WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:55:43"

NOTE recognizability:0.829

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.744377118888889

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:04.120 Is a special lecture in our Yale Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.744377118888889

00:00:04.120 --> 00:00:08.200 Center Grand Rounds series and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.744377118888889

 $00{:}00{:}08{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}10.675$ the Blanche Tolman lecture series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744377118888889

 $00:00:10.680 \dashrightarrow 00:00:12.674$ So this lecture series was established

NOTE Confidence: 0.744377118888889

00:00:12.674 --> 00:00:15.236 in 2012 by Doctor Marvin Sears,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744377118888889

00:00:15.240 --> 00:00:16.350 who I believe will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9242030325

 $00:00:16.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.728$ attending today as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

00:00:18.440 --> 00:00:20.432 Dr. Sears was a long time chair and

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:00:20.432 \rightarrow 00:00:22.401$ founder of of Thermology and Visual

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}00{:}22{.}401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24{.}505$ Sciences at Yale and the lecture was

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:00:24.505 \rightarrow 00:00:26.413$ established in honor of his mother,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}00{:}26.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.790$ Blanche Tallman, who passed away

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:00:28.790 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.686$ from acute myeloid leukemia.

 $00:00:30.690 \rightarrow 00:00:31.634$ So to our delight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}00{:}31.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33.376$ this was the first lecture series at

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:00:33.376 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.056$ year dedicated solely to hematologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:00:35.056 \rightarrow 00:00:36.766$ malignancies and it continues to

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:00:36.766 \dashrightarrow 00:00:38.902$ bring to Yale pioneers that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

00:00:38.902 --> 00:00:40.940 made major contributions to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:00:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.246$ understanding of the current trends

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:00:43.246 \rightarrow 00:00:44.488$ and hematologic malignancies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}00{:}44{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}47{.}416$ So it is an absolute pleasure to

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:00:47.416 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.779$ introduce the actor Irene Gabriel

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

00:00:49.779 --> 00:00:52.098 today as our special lecturer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}00{:}52.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54.402$ So Doctor Gabriel is professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}00{:}54.402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}56.640$ medicine at Harvard Medical School.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}00{:}56.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59.016$ She received her MD from Cairo

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

00:00:59.016 --> 00:01:01.269 University School of Medicine in Egypt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:01.270 \rightarrow 00:01:02.847$ And she then completed her internal

- NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714
- $00:01:02.847 \dashrightarrow 00:01:04.832$ medicine training at Wayne State
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714
- $00:01:04.832 \dashrightarrow 00:01:06.420$ University and her hematology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714
- $00:01:06.478 \dashrightarrow 00:01:08.118$ on cology subspecialty training at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714
- 00:01:08.118 --> 00:01:10.168 Mayo Clinic College of Medicine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714
- 00:01:10.170 --> 00:01:10.818 In 2005,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714
- 00:01:10.818 --> 00:01:12.762 she joined in a Farber Cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714
- $00{:}01{:}12.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}14.725$ Institute in the field of Waldenstrom's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714
- 00:01:14.725 --> 00:01:17.150 Macroglobulinemia and a multiple myeloma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714
- 00:01:17.150 --> 00:01:18.086 So doctor Gabrielle,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714
- 00:01:18.086 --> 00:01:19.646 as you will all see,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714
- $00{:}01{:}19.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}22.158$ has risen to become one of the world's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714
- $00{:}01{:}22.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23.690$ leaders in the democratic field.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714
- $00:01:23.690 \dashrightarrow 00:01:25.466$ Not only has she advanced major
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714
- $00{:}01{:}25.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}27.090$ novel treatments to the clinic,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714
- 00:01:27.090 --> 00:01:29.589 but she now also focuses on early
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:29.589 \rightarrow 00:01:31.379$ detection and interception to prevent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

00:01:31.380 --> 00:01:34.578 Regression to full blown multiple myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:34.580 \dashrightarrow 00:01:36.614$ Doctor Gabriel has a broad background

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:36.614 \rightarrow 00:01:38.769$ in the biology of multiple myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}01{:}38.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}41.044$ and in the bone Marinette so

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:41.044 \rightarrow 00:01:44.012$ important in the focus on M gas

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:44.012 \rightarrow 00:01:46.590$ and smoldering myeloma and again

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:46.590 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.132$ preventing disease and her her

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:49.132 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.580$ research knowledge expertise allow

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}01{:}50{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}52{.}830$ us to define both cell autonomous

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}01{:}52.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}54.710$ and bone marrow age dependent

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:54.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.720$ and also genetic and epigenetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:56.720 \rightarrow 00:01:58.180$ mechanisms of disease progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}01{:}58.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}00.220$ And we couldn't be more excited

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:02:00.220 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.858$ to hear your talk today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:02:01.860 \rightarrow 00:02:04.574$ So welcome we wish we were in person but.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714
- $00:02:04.574 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.590$ This is still wonderful.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714
- $00{:}02{:}05{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}07{.}094$ And at least we didn't have to cancel.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7882431066666667
- 00:02:07.610 --> 00:02:09.248 Yes. Well, thank you so much,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7882431066666667
- 00:02:09.250 --> 00:02:11.070 Stephanie. And as you said,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7882431066666667
- $00:02:11.070 \rightarrow 00:02:12.888$ it's really a pleasure and honor to be here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7882431066666667
- $00{:}02{:}12.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.570$ And I'm sorry that it's not in person,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7882431066666667
- 00:02:14.570 --> 00:02:16.047 but it's New England and we all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7882431066666667
- 00:02:16.047 00:02:17.966 know how to deal with that, I guess.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7882431066666667
- 00:02:17.966 --> 00:02:19.950 So I'll take you through a little bit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7882431066666667
- 00:02:20.011 -> 00:02:21.901 of what we do in the lab and how we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7882431066666667
- $00:02:21.957 \rightarrow 00:02:24.970$ translated it into the clinic on the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7882431066666667
- $00:02:24.970 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.370$ promise of early detection and interception.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7882431066666667
- $00:02:27.370 \rightarrow 00:02:30.250$ These are these are my conflicts of interest.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00{:}02{:}33{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}35{.}819$ So I'll just start with a simple
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00:02:35.819 \rightarrow 00:02:38.289$ question that many of us ask ourselves.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:02:38.290 --> 00:02:39.750 In general, in every Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}02{:}39{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41{.}210$ Center when you see patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:02:41.210 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.535$ it's because they either had

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:02:42.535 \rightarrow 00:02:44.463$ symptoms and they want to see their

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:02:44.463 - > 00:02:46.119 primary care doctor or by accident,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:02:46.120 \rightarrow 00:02:47.716$ something happened in their blood works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:02:47.720 --> 00:02:49.106 They had a little bit of anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}02{:}49{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51{.}358$ a little bit of a higher white count

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}02{:}51{.}358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53{.}368$ and that led to further workup,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:02:53.370 \rightarrow 00:02:55.519$ which led to the diagnosis of cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:02:55.519 \dashrightarrow 00:02:57.730$ and then they get referred to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:02:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.308$ But if you think about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}02{:}59{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}00{.}894$ this means that we are waiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:00.894 \rightarrow 00:03:02.470$ for things to happen and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:02.470 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.521$ We react to cancer and by chance

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:04.521 \rightarrow 00:03:06.617$ some of those made by good luck

00:03:06.617 --> 00:03:08.694 have an early cancer and we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:08.694 \rightarrow 00:03:11.014$ diagnose it early and we can cure it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:11.020 \rightarrow 00:03:13.436$ But many of them actually have stage three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:13.440 \rightarrow 00:03:14.211$ stage four cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:14.211 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.332$ And we do sit down with them and

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:16.332 \dashrightarrow 00:03:18.194$ say we may give you some treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:18.200 \dashrightarrow 00:03:19.796$ but we may not cure the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:03:19.800 --> 00:03:21.176 And in fact if you think about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:21.180 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.760$ pharmaceutical companies as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:22.760 \rightarrow 00:03:25.130$ as cancer centers put millions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:25.196 \rightarrow 00:03:27.081$ billions of dollars into developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}03{:}27{.}081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29{.}370$ the rapies that can change to survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:03:29.370 --> 00:03:31.722 of metastatic cancer by three or four

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}03{:}31.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33.608$ months and we consider that. Success.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:33.608 \rightarrow 00:03:36.260$ So what can we do to change that?

 $00:03:36.260 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.717$ How can we become less reactive to

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}03{:}38{.}717 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}41{.}677$ cancer and be more proactive to cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:41.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.690$ trying to find it early before

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:03:43.690 - 00:03:44.695 it becomes symptomatic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.340$ trying to define it early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:46.340 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.230$ And then by doing that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:48.230 \rightarrow 00:03:50.042$ intervene early and make a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:50.042 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.920$ in the survival of those patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:51.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.810$ Now I would probably say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:53.810 \rightarrow 00:03:56.183$ myeloma is a great example of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:56.183 \dashrightarrow 00:03:58.271$ as a potential model system for

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:58.271 \rightarrow 00:04:00.459$ early detection and interception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}04{:}00{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}03{.}276$ We know that myeloma has a well known

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:04:03.276 --> 00:04:05.299 clinically defined precursor condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:05.300 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.136$ monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:07.136 \rightarrow 00:04:09.431$ significance and then yet another

- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00:04:09.431 \rightarrow 00:04:11.778$ stage of the disease that progresses
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00:04:11.778 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.598$ just before the active cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00:04:13.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.740$ sort of a stage one,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00:04:14.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.504$ stage two breast cancer if you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00{:}04{:}16{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}18{.}935$ want to call it and that's the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00{:}04{:}18.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}20.123$ asymptomatic smoldering myeloma
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00:04:20.123 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.609$ Now I was lucky enough to be.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00:04:22.610 \dashrightarrow 00:04:24.658$ Trained by Bob Kyle at Mayo Clinic who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00:04:24.658 \rightarrow 00:04:26.169$ actually coined both of those terms,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00:04:26.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.010$ monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00:04:28.010 \rightarrow 00:04:29.850$ significance and smoldering myeloma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- 00:04:29.850 --> 00:04:31.894 And he had this amazing vision because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00:04:31.894 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.897$ he thought that when he described
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00{:}04{:}33{.}897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}35{.}325$ those asymptomatic patients who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00:04:35.325 \rightarrow 00:04:37.704$ are just walking around with a very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:37.704 \rightarrow 00:04:39.269$ small tiny monoclonal protein that

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}04{:}39{.}269 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}40{.}854$ they should actually be watched

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:40.854 \rightarrow 00:04:42.750$ carefully and we they may actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:42.809 \rightarrow 00:04:44.469$ progress to develop the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}04{:}44{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45{.}289$ And in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}04{:}45{.}289 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47{.}200$ him and Jan Waldenstrom had a big

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:04:47.266 --> 00:04:48.882 discussion where Jan Waldenstrom

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:48.882 \rightarrow 00:04:51.306$ wanted to call it benign gammopathy

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:51.372 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.740$ because those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:52.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.575$ Are completely benign and why

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:54.575 \rightarrow 00:04:56.043$ would we worry them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:04:56.050 --> 00:04:58.322 Yet Bob Kyle was so good in thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}04{:}58{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00{.}816$ ahead and thinking that there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:05:00.816 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.671$ potential of cancer development and

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:05:02.738 \dashrightarrow 00:05:04.892$ he coined the name of undetermined

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:05:04.892 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.667$ significance to give it that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- 00:05:06.667 --> 00:05:07.678 sense of urgency,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00:05:07.678 \dashrightarrow 00:05:09.026$ of understanding who would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00{:}05{:}09{.}026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}11{.}005$ progress in their lifetime and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00{:}05{:}11.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}12.250$ potentially preventing it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00{:}05{:}12.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}12.926$ And indeed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00:05:12.926 \rightarrow 00:05:14.616$ even the name smould ering myeloma
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00:05:14.616 \rightarrow 00:05:16.496$ gives you that urgency that it's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286
- $00:05:16.496 \rightarrow 00:05:18.386$ going to be on fire very soon.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955
- $00:05:18.390 \dashrightarrow 00:05:20.226$ So let's do something about it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955
- $00:05:20.230 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.810$ So indeed he had that vision.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955
- $00{:}05{:}22.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.148$ As we should think of the mechanisms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955
- $00{:}05{:}25{.}148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27{.}150$ of disease progression in asymptomatic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955
- $00:05:27.150 \rightarrow 00:05:29.495$ people and potentially intercepting early.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955
- $00{:}05{:}29{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}31{.}194$ Now in the older days we didn't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955
- 00:05:31.194 > 00:05:33.270 have good drugs, we had melphalan,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:05:33.270 --> 00:05:34.620 Prednisone, fat chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}05{:}34.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35.715$ So may be intercepting

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:05:35.715 --> 00:05:37.540 early May not make sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}05{:}37{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39{.}521$ And indeed the trend or the standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}05{:}39{.}521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}41{.}737$ of care was watch and wait until

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:05:41.737 --> 00:05:43.687 people have symptoms and end organ

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:05:43.749 --> 00:05:46.066 damage and then we treat them because

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:05:46.066 \rightarrow 00:05:48.104$ we have palliative care and myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}05{:}48.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.540$ survival is only three to five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:05:50.540 \rightarrow 00:05:52.940$ but now we have 30 new drugs approved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:05:52.940 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.800$ For myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:05:53.800 \rightarrow 00:05:56.380$ we have amazing responses and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:05:56.380 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.535$ question is truly can we change that

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:05:59.535 \rightarrow 00:06:01.311$ thinking of disease interception

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:06:01.311 --> 00:06:03.467 at an earlier time point?

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:03.470 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.444$ Now the other important piece to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955
- $00:06:05.444 \rightarrow 00:06:07.845$ think about is myeloma is more common

 $00{:}06{:}07.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09.891$ in African Americans and people of

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:06:09.891 --> 00:06:12.148 African descent 2 times or even higher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:12.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.958$ more common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:12.958 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.382$ more common to happen at an

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:15.382 \rightarrow 00:06:16.533$ earlier younger age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:06:16.533 --> 00:06:17.219 In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:17.219 \rightarrow 00:06:20.128$ we know that myeloma is more common because

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:20.128 \rightarrow 00:06:22.667$ they haven't earlier stage of development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:22.667 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.552$ not because usually of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:24.552 \rightarrow 00:06:26.060$ mgus transition to myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:26.060 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.970$ not that we know of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}06{:}26{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}29{.}106$ but we don't think that there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:29.106 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.768$ faster transition from mgus to myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:30.770 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.070$ So really understanding what causes.

 $00:06:33.070 \rightarrow 00:06:36.101$ Early development of MGUS in an African

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}06{:}36{.}101 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}39{.}009$ American population at the younger age could.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:39.010 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.822$ That you help us understand why

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:40.822 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.030$ they've developed Milo memoir,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:42.030 \dashrightarrow 00:06:43.760$ but also intercepting it early

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:06:43.760 -> 00:06:45.490 because most of those patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:45.490 \rightarrow 00:06:46.650$ by the time they're diagnosed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:46.650 \rightarrow 00:06:48.002$ they're either misdiagnosed because

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:06:48.002 --> 00:06:50.030 anemia is very common in African

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}06{:}50{.}081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51{.}965$ Americans or because of renal failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}06{:}51{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52{.}506$ And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:52.506 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.846$ renal failure is more common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:53.850 \rightarrow 00:06:55.410$ So they are getting misdiagnosed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:55.410 \rightarrow 00:06:56.790$ They don't have the World Cup.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:56.790 \rightarrow 00:06:58.393$ And even when they have the World

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:58.393 \rightarrow 00:07:00.110$ Cup and the disease assessment,

 $00:07:00.110 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.238$ they do not get the access to clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:02.238 \dashrightarrow 00:07:04.159$ trials and to car T and to transplant

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:04.159 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.249$ and all of the options that we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:06.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.065$ so the survival of myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}07{:}08.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09.154$ in African Americans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:07:09.160 --> 00:07:11.410 Unfortunately, it's still very poor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:11.410 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.146$ Despite all of the amazing advances we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:14.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.320$ we still have a huge discrepancy there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}07{:}16.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18.750$ So potentially closing that gap would

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}07{:}18.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}21.232$ be critical for us to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:21.232 \rightarrow 00:07:23.990$ how to change the survival of Milo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}07{:}23.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.430$ So with that in mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:25.430 \rightarrow 00:07:27.326$ our hypothesis really our model is

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}07{:}27.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}29.772$ why are we doing it any different

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:29.772 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.888$ than other cancers?

 $00:07:30.890 \rightarrow 00:07:33.106$ If you think of breast cancer for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:07:33.110 --> 00:07:34.970 you screen early because cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:34.970 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.086$ screening saves lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:36.090 \dashrightarrow 00:07:37.746$ And I would tell you that the blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:37.746 \dashrightarrow 00:07:39.348$ test for a serum protein Electro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:39.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.975$ Races and monoclonal protein is much easier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}07{:}41.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}44.182$ more sensitive and more specific and

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:44.182 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.274$ potentially much better for us because

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:07:46.274 --> 00:07:48.241 I would rather get a blood sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}07{:}48.241 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}50.419$ done than mammography or colonoscopy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}07{:}50{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}51{.}880$ It's much easier to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:07:51.880 - > 00:07:53.938 But even though we with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}07{:}53.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.638$ we don't screen for blood cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:55.640 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.850$ They're easy to screen but

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}07{:}56.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58.060$ we don't screen for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}07{:}58.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00.412$ And even when we find the monoclonal

 $00:08:00.412 \rightarrow 00:08:02.218$ gammopathy is when I find mgus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:08:02.220 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.755$ and it's very common in

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:08:03.755 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.676$ the general population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:08:04.680 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.090$ 3 to 5% over the age of 50 or even

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:08:07.162 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.357$ when I find smoldering myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:08:09.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.177$ The standard of care to date is still telling

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

00:08:12.177 --> 00:08:14.870 them watch and wait until you have anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:08:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.222$ renal failure, fractures in your bones or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00{:}08{:}17.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}19.751$ lesions in your bones, and high calcium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:08:19.751 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.953$ what we call the crab criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:08:21.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.053$ That would be just like telling a

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:08:24.053 \dashrightarrow 00:08:25.785$ woman with breast cancer, DCIS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00{:}08{:}25.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}28.270$ or stage one, stage two breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:08:28.270 \dashrightarrow 00:08:30.110$ You know what, you're asymptomatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

00:08:30.110 --> 00:08:32.078 Go watch and wait until you

00:08:32.078 -> 00:08:33.062 have metastases everywhere,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00{:}08{:}33{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}34{.}250$ fractures in your bones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:08:34.250 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.725$ and then I'll treat you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:08:35.730 \rightarrow 00:08:37.786$ Now you'll have a lawsuit when that case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:08:37.790 \rightarrow 00:08:39.477$ So why are we not getting lawsuits?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00{:}08{:}39{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}42{.}680$ Myeloma, when we do that exact same idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:08:42.680 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.848$ So really we need to rethink the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00{:}08{:}44.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}47.288$ we think of treatment of myeloma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00{:}08{:}47.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}49.524$ retrain ourselves to think that's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:08:49.524 \rightarrow 00:08:51.534$ actually the right way of thinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

00:08:51.540 --> 00:08:52.214 Maybe again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:08:52.214 \rightarrow 00:08:54.236$ 30-40 years ago when we only

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

00:08:54.236 --> 00:08:55.840 had melphalan at Prednisone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:08:55.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.420$ it was a good idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:08:57.420 \dashrightarrow 00:08:59.300$ Right now it may not be a good idea to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:08:59.350 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.366$ watch and wait for those patients or as

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667
- 00:09:01.366 --> 00:09:03.292 my patients call it, watch and worry.

 $00:09:03.292 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.236$ So how do we change that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00{:}09{:}05{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}07{.}700$ We have three different areas or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:09:07.700 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.349$ pillars of work that we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:09:10.350 \rightarrow 00:09:12.470$ Both in the lab and in the clinic we said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

00:09:12.470 --> 00:09:13.966 well, let's detect early,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:09:13.966 \rightarrow 00:09:15.836$ let's screen early because currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:15.836 \rightarrow 00:09:18.278$ most patients with mgus and smoldering

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:09:18.278 \rightarrow 00:09:20.288$ myeloma are found purely incidentally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:09:20.290 \dashrightarrow 00:09:22.075$ So let's really understand better

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:09:22.075 \rightarrow 00:09:23.860$ when you screen those patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00{:}09{:}23.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.724$ what is the prevalence but also who will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:09:25.724 \rightarrow 00:09:27.598$ progress and who will not in their lifetime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00{:}09{:}27.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}29.225$ The next question is let's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:09:29.225 \dashrightarrow 00:09:30.525$ risk stratify those patients.

00:09:30.530 --> 00:09:32.492 Not every mgus we diagnose will

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00{:}09{:}32{.}492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34{.}610$ go on to progress to myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:34.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.810$ So the question is who in their lifetime

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

00:09:36.810 --> 00:09:38.169 will progress to myeloma because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:09:38.169 \dashrightarrow 00:09:40.280$ these are the ones you want to treat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:09:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.838$ And the others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

00:09:40.838 --> 00:09:42.140 you want to tell them you're OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:42.140 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.310$ you're going to live a normal life

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:44.310 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.028$ without having to develop myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00{:}09{:}46.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}48.206$ and that differential is critical so

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:48.206 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.789$ that you can truly personalize that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00{:}09{:}50.789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}52.577$ risk stratification for patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:09:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.960$ And then the third one is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

00:09:53.960 --> 00:09:55.780 unless you know that you can change

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:09:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.380$ the survival of those patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:09:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.920$ unless you can really intercept

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667
- $00:09:58.920 \rightarrow 00:10:00.152$ and change their survival,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667
- $00:10:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.516$ why are you screening for it?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667
- 00:10:01.520 --> 00:10:02.615 Because otherwise you're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667
- $00:10:02.615 \rightarrow 00:10:04.440$ causing anxiety and no change.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667
- 00:10:04.440 --> 00:10:06.680 So truly I reverse it usually and say
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667
- $00:10:06.680 \rightarrow 00:10:08.369$ interception is more important because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667
- $00:10:08.369 \rightarrow 00:10:10.517$ without interception we should not be.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667
- $00:10:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.722$ Training and we should not be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667
- $00:10:12.722 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.823$ stratifying those patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667
- $00:10:13.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.930$ So let's start with early
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667
- $00:10:15.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.030$ detection and why it matters.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667
- $00:10:18.030 \rightarrow 00:10:20.928$ We have seen lots of nationwide studies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667
- $00:10:20.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.108$ the first one in Olmsted County
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667
- $00{:}10{:}23.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}24.896$ where we indeed know the prevalence
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667
- $00{:}10{:}24.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}26.679$ of emcas in the general population
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:10:26.679 \rightarrow 00:10:28.770 3$ to 5% over the age of 50.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00{:}10{:}28.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30.768$ But that was found in mostly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00{:}10{:}30.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}32.522$ Caucasian population in the area

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:10:32.522 \rightarrow 00:10:34.307$ of Olmsted County in Minnesota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00{:}10{:}34{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35{.}426$ So the question was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:10:35.426 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.613$ can we really detect in a much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00{:}10{:}37.613 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39.853$ more sensitive way than serum

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

00:10:39.853 --> 00:10:40.749 protein electrophoresis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:10:40.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.244$ And in the high risk population

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00:10:42.244 \rightarrow 00:10:43.840$ not in the general population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00{:}10{:}43.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.820$ what is the prevalence of monoclonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

00:10:45.820 --> 00:10:48.164 hem
optysis and does a treaty occur in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598712406666667

 $00{:}10{:}48.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.096$ a younger age in African Americans?

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}10{:}50{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}52{.}557$ So there has been some studies indicating NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

10112 Confidence: 0.0000000000011241

 $00{:}10{:}52{.}557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55{.}347$ that people of African descent as well as NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:10:55.347 \rightarrow 00:10:57.787$ people with a first degree family member

 $00:10:57.787 \rightarrow 00:11:00.279$ are likely two to three times higher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}11{:}00{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}02{.}674$ have a higher chance of developing myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:02.680 \rightarrow 00:11:04.680$ So we wanted to ask why in high

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:04.680 \rightarrow 00:11:06.521$ risk screen population and this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:06.521 \rightarrow 00:11:08.759$ started four years ago with the help

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}11{:}08.759 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}10.823$ of a stand up to cancer Dream Team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:10.830 \rightarrow 00:11:13.458$ Application where we started to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}11{:}13.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16.410$ let's screen in the US for myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}11{:}16{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}18{.}418$ and we said we will do it nation wide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:11:18.420 --> 00:11:19.191 So it's online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:11:19.191 - 00:11:20.476 As you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}11{:}20{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}22{.}451$ you get a QR code and if you meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}11{:}22{.}451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}23{.}980$ the eligibility criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:11:23.980 --> 00:11:25.359 you can sign up wherever you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}11{:}25{.}359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}26{.}799$ and we send you a kit at home.

00:11:26.800 --> 00:11:28.347 You go to a quest diagnostic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}11{:}28{.}347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}29{.}920$ you send us the blood sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:29.920 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.320$ And the second thing we did is

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:31.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.020$ we did it by mass spectrometry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}11{:}33{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}36{.}098$ which is much more sensitive than

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:36.098 \rightarrow 00:11:37.637$ serum protein electrophoresis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:37.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.980$ Now to do that effort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:38.980 \rightarrow 00:11:41.526$ we said that we want to screen 30,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:41.526 \rightarrow 00:11:44.256$ individuals to potentially get 10%

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:44.260 \rightarrow 00:11:46.280$ screen positive because that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}11{:}46{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48{.}711$ number that from our preliminary data

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:48.711 \rightarrow 00:11:51.140$ indicated we will have a positive number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:51.140 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.555$ And then we will follow those 3000

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:53.555 \rightarrow 00:11:55.220$ people to understand genomics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:11:55.220 --> 00:11:57.900 genetics mechanisms of disease progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}11{:}57{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}00{.}400$ immune microenvironment or non immune

- NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241
- 00:12:00.400 --> 00:12:02.400 epidemiological causes like obesity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241
- 00:12:02.400 --> 00:12:02.811 inflammation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241
- $00:12:02.811 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.455$ autoimmune diseases and of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241
- $00:12:04.455 \rightarrow 00:12:06.099$ course develop therapeutics and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241
- $00{:}12{:}06.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}07.638$ imaging modalities for those.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241
- 00:12:07.640 --> 00:12:10.330 People now as we started,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241
- $00:12:10.330 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.138$ we really had to learn to have boots
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241
- $00:12:12.138 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.230$ on the ground to really do the effort
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241
- $00:12:14.230 \rightarrow 00:12:16.607$ because if you talk to anyone about myeloma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241
- $00{:}12{:}16.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17.638$ even the African American
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241
- 00:12:17.638 --> 00:12:18.666 population would tell you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241
- 00:12:18.670 --> 00:12:19.822 I didn't even know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241
- $00{:}12{:}19.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}22.070$ There is more common in the black
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241
- $00{:}12{:}22{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24{.}020$ community than in the white population.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241
- $00{:}12{:}24.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}26.378$ So we have to do effort to even educate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:26.378 \rightarrow 00:12:28.564$ what is myeloma to gain the trust

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}12{:}28.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30.272$ of the African American population

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}12{:}30{.}272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32{.}307$ and then start screening them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:32.310 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.927$ And that was a lot of effort

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:33.927 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.488$ from a team that we hired,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:12:35.490 --> 00:12:37.370 just going to church events,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:37.370 \rightarrow 00:12:38.441$ going to healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:12:38.441 --> 00:12:38.798 Events,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}12{:}38.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}40.583$ understanding how to work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:12:40.583 --> 00:12:42.363 our Congress people like Ayanna

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:12:42.363 --> 00:12:44.403 Presley here and of course COVID

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:44.465 \rightarrow 00:12:46.641$ hit and all our effort got shot down

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:12:46.641 - 00:12:48.530 because you cannot do that on zoom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:48.530 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.321$ So it really took us a lot of effort

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:50.321 \rightarrow 00:12:52.005$ to try and restart all of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:12:52.010 --> 00:12:54.082 And indeed we just started to go back

 $00{:}12{:}54.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}56.100$ to health fair events and restarting it

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:56.100 \rightarrow 00:12:58.370$ while while we were in COVID we said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}12{:}58.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01{.}170$ well let's look at datasets and samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:13:01.170 \rightarrow 00:13:04.369$ that are already collected in other cohorts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:13:04.370 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.349$ And this is when we turned to

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}13{:}06{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07{.}590$ the mass general, Brigham,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:13:07.590 \rightarrow 00:13:08.520$ so mass general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:13:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.722$ Brigham is a huge sample collection

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}13{:}10.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}13.252$ study that's been going on now for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:13:13.252 \rightarrow 00:13:15.568$ last 10 years with samples as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}13{:}15{.}568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}17{.}920$ as of course clinical data annotation

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}13{:}17{.}991 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}20{.}097$ from all of the partners healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}13{:}20.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22.587$ system or MGB as we call it now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}13{:}22.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.146$ So we collected the same criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}13{:}25{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27{.}665$ African-American or people of first

00:13:27.665 --> 00:13:30.180 degree family members from 80,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}13{:}30{.}261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32{.}760$ samples that we have in MGB and

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}13{:}32{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}34{.}892$ total enrolled so far is 12,592

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}13{:}34{.}892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}38{.}144$ of those from the US is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}13{:}38{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42{.}210$ 6485. We also opened a promised South

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:13:42.210 \rightarrow 00:13:44.498$ Africa one where actually they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:13:44.498 --> 00:13:47.124 getting almost to 2000 samples now

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:13:47.124 \rightarrow 00:13:49.060$ that they've recruited prospectively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:13:49.060 --> 00:13:50.880 And we're also going on into opening

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}13{:}50.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}52.949$ it now in Israel because of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}13{:}52{.}949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54{.}785$ family histories as well as many

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:13:54.843 --> 00:13:56.595 other countries that we can do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}13{:}56.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}58.856$ And we were screening in my lab almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}13{:}58{.}856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}00{.}897$ 1000 samples a week and we can do

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:00.897 \rightarrow 00:14:02.223$ even more because mass spectrometry

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:02.223 \rightarrow 00:14:04.428$ can get to a higher throughput level

 $00:14:04.428 \rightarrow 00:14:06.820$ and you can then get detection of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}14{:}06.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09.020$ monoclonal proteins as well as light.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}14{:}09{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11{.}330$ Machines in a very quantitative way

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:11.330 \rightarrow 00:14:13.950$ compared to serum protein electrophoresis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}14{:}13{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17{.}253$ In fact, we set up the normals for binding NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:14:17.253 --> 00:14:20.887 site and now we are part of their FDA

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:20.887 \rightarrow 00:14:23.490$ approval hopefully soon for binding site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}14{:}23{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25{.}594$ So these are just some of the numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}14{:}25{.}594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}27{.}416$ showing you from MGB from promised

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:27.416 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.966$ South Africa and promised us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:28.970 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.818$ But this is the largest number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:14:30.818 --> 00:14:32.376 A
frican Americans who have been screened

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:14:32.376 --> 00:14:34.355 to date as well as people with family

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}14{:}34{.}355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}36{.}029$ history and it was interesting when

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:36.029 \rightarrow 00:14:38.187$ we saw families with 567 members.

 $00:14:38.187 \rightarrow 00:14:41.260$ We have mgus and myeloma and lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:14:41.260 --> 00:14:43.594 Now you start asking questions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}14{:}43.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45.566$ germline events of events that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:45.566 \rightarrow 00:14:47.624$ really can lead to that development

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:14:47.624 --> 00:14:49.779 in an early risk population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}14{:}49{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}51{.}663$ So this is the paper that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:51.663 \rightarrow 00:14:53.678$ published last year just for the 1st

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:14:53.678 --> 00:14:55.394 7000 people and now we're actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}14{:}55{.}457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}57{.}197$ going on for the larger cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:57.200 \rightarrow 00:14:59.066$ And as you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}14{:}59{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}01{.}622$ the people with a family history of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}15{:}01.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05.708$ blood cancer were 3866 and people of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}15{:}05{.}708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}08{.}660$ African descent or blacks were 2439.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:15:08.660 \rightarrow 00:15:10.340$ And this is the mass spectrometry

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}15{:}10{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12{.}580$ and I call this the Christmas tree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:15:12.580 \rightarrow 00:15:14.700$ So mass spectrometry is quantifiable

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875
- $00{:}15{:}14.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17.511$ and you can also reflects it to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875
- $00{:}15{:}17{.}511 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}19{.}667$ LCMS to give you a further detection
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875
- $00:15:19.667 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.620$ of the monoclonal protein.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875
- $00:15:21.620 \rightarrow 00:15:24.308$ So all of these were truly monoclonal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875
- $00:15:24.308 \rightarrow 00:15:27.180$ proteins that were quantified and verified.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875
- $00{:}15{:}27{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29{.}168$ What we found is anything above 1
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875
- $00:15:29.168 \rightarrow 00:15:31.045$ gram per liter is something that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875
- $00{:}15{:}31.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33.285$ you can also detect by serum protein
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875
- 00:15:33.346 --> 00:15:35.271 electrophores
is because we did spap
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875
- $00{:}15{:}35{.}271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}37{.}554$ the traditional method in the sum of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875
- $00{:}15{:}37{.}554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39{.}350$ the samples or in almost all of the samples.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875
- $00:15:39.350 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.961$ If we did anything below that at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875
- 00:15:41.961 --> 00:15:43.670 .2 grams per liter,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875
- $00{:}15{:}43.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}45.394$ you could potentially also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875
- $00:15:45.394 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.687$ detected by immunofixation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:15:46.690 \rightarrow 00:15:48.862$ but of course you have quantification

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}15{:}48.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.310$ and much more sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:15:50.372 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.808$ by the mass spectrometry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:15:51.810 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.290$ So we kept those terms as they are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}15{:}54{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}55{.}650$ But interestingly and I still

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}15{:}55{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57{.}656$ remember it when we got the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}15{:}57.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}59.426$ data because we couldn't believe it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}15{:}59{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}01{.}936$ we found another 20% of people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:16:01.936 --> 00:16:03.421 very small monoclonal gammo pathy

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}16{:}03{.}421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05{.}794$ that were much lower than the level

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}16{:}05{.}794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08{.}368$ that we can detect by immunofixation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:16:08.370 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.250$ And at first we said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:16:09.250 \rightarrow 00:16:10.820$ well these are probably errors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:16:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.264$ so we reconfirmed them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:16:12.264 \rightarrow 00:16:15.158$ Maybe these are people who have infections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:16:15.158 \rightarrow 00:16:16.966$ so we rescreen them.

 $00:16:16.970 \rightarrow 00:16:18.858$ We kept going on to try and understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:16:18.858 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.509$ what this is and we finally said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:16:20.510 \rightarrow 00:16:23.114$ well no one has they've ever discovered

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:16:23.114 \rightarrow 00:16:24.840$ very small monoclonal proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}16{:}24.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}27.225$ Let's let the research tell us what it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}16{:}27{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}28{.}760$ Now we wanted to term this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:16:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.780$ something separate that mgus

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:16:29.830 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.718$ because we really didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:16:30.718 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.290$ know if this is mgus or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:16:32.290 --> 00:16:33.890 So we called it mgip,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:16:33.890 --> 00:16:35.792 monoclonal gammopathy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}16{:}35{.}792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38{.}328$ indeterminate potential alert ship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:16:38.330 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.358$ Don't let him have the praises

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}16{:}40.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}41.372$ of indeterminate potential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}16{:}41{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}43{.}977$ And the story goes that David Steensma

 $00:16:43.977 \rightarrow 00:16:47.057$ is the one who coined the name chip.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}16{:}47.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}48.356$ And I saw him once and he said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:16:48.360 \rightarrow 00:16:50.800$ well I called chip based on M Gus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:16:50.800 - 00:16:52.200 I was trying to imitate

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:16:52.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.600$ what doctor Kyle had done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}16{:}53.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}55.496$ So now we called M give based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:16:55.496 --> 00:16:57.990 chip and it keeps going round and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:16:57.990 \rightarrow 00:16:59.558$ round in hematological malignancies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}16{:}59{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}01{.}729$ But what is this chip and what is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:01.729 \rightarrow 00:17:04.276$ mgus prevalence in this high risk population?

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:17:04.280 --> 00:17:06.114 So you can see here by age

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:06.114 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.780$ that mgip is very common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:07.780 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.550$ almost 20% of the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:09.550 \rightarrow 00:17:10.522$ It increases with age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}17{:}10.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}13.004$ but as you go on with age the M

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}17{:}13.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14.609$ Gus proportion of those monoclonal

 $00:17:14.609 \rightarrow 00:17:16.522$ gammopathy is increases more and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:16.522 \rightarrow 00:17:18.726$ light chain mgus was actually a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:18.726 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.606$ small number in that population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}17{:}20.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}24.514$ If I just take a standard values 3% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:17:24.514 --> 00:17:26.224 the population in general population

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}17{:}26{.}224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28{.}264$ is what doctor Kyle had described

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:28.264 \rightarrow 00:17:30.490$ before and that was based on Spep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:30.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.255$ If you double it because of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:17:33.255 --> 00:17:35.104 higher risk population which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:35.104 \rightarrow 00:17:37.455$ true 6% in our population are espec

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:37.455 \rightarrow 00:17:40.178$ positive and then if you look by mass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:17:40.180 --> 00:17:42.064 That trauma too because it's much

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}17{:}42.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}44.017$ more sensitive and can get you

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}17{:}44.017 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}46.018$ immunofixation than we are 13% and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}17{:}46.018$ --> $00{:}17{:}48.566$ that's not even accounting for the mgip.

 $00{:}17{:}48.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51.586$ So a large proportion of our high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:51.586 \rightarrow 00:17:54.164$ individuals have mgus and we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:54.164 \rightarrow 00:17:56.190$ understand better why they have it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:56.190 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.875$ but also who would progress

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}17{:}57.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58.886$ in their lifetime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:17:58.890 --> 00:18:02.048 Now in general all monoclonal gammopathy's

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:02.048 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.888$ were associated with worse overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:03.888 \rightarrow 00:18:06.688$ survival and it was not because of myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:06.690 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.796$ it was also because of many

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}18{:}08.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}10.200$ other all caused mortalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:18:10.200 -> 00:18:11.450 Autoimmune diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:18:11.450 --> 00:18:12.700 cardiovascular disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:12.700 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.575$ many other lymphomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}18{:}14.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}16.494$ So we started seeing maybe mgus

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}18{:}16{.}494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}18{.}167$ and immune dysregulation in those

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:18:18.167 -> 00:18:19.877 patients may have other effects,
00:18:19.880 --> 00:18:21.404 not just myeloma development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:21.404 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.187$ And thus lead is leading us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}18{:}24.187 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.899$ understand more into correlations

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:25.899 \rightarrow 00:18:28.039$ of mgus and chip mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:28.040 \rightarrow 00:18:29.440$ both of them cause inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:18:29.440 --> 00:18:30.984 potentially increased cardiovascular risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:30.984 \rightarrow 00:18:33.300$ We're trying to understand how that

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:18:33.352 --> 00:18:35.578 regulates the immune system and immune aging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}18{:}35{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}37{.}320$ how it correlates with autoimmune

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:37.320 \rightarrow 00:18:39.700$ diseases and so many other questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:39.700 \rightarrow 00:18:41.940$ But what we were intrigued by is

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:41.940 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.367$ those M Gibbs and why were they

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}18{:}44{.}367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}46{.}455$ present in many of those people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:18:46.460 --> 00:18:48.637 And most of those M gifts were

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:18:48.637 --> 00:18:51.224 actually IG M Mgip, not IG or IGA.

 $00:18:51.224 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.060$ So the first thing we said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}18{:}53.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55.748$ Well, maybe it's an isotype class switch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:18:55.750 -> 00:18:57.700 This is the precursor of myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:18:57.700 --> 00:18:59.689 and it's IGM positive and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}18{:}59{.}689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01{.}880$ it's class switches to IgG as it

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}19{:}01.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}03.941$ progresses and this is the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:19:03.941 \rightarrow 00:19:05.626$ event that requires the mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}19{:}05{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07{.}705$ The other possibility was may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}19{:}07.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}10.234$ these are lymphomas and they secrete

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}19{:}10{.}234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}12{.}894$ very low levels of IGM that's non

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}19{:}12{.}894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}15{.}223$ detectable by spep and in general

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:19:15.223 --> 00:19:17.461 we don't even screen for lymphomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:19:17.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.018$ by serum protein electrophoresis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}19{:}19{.}018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}21{.}340$ So we're under we're not detecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:19:21.402 \rightarrow 00:19:23.334$ enough of the cells and low grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:19:23.340 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.158$ Performers and now we have a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619
- $00:19:25.158 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.370$ technology that can be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579
- $00{:}19{:}26{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}28{.}604$ more sensitive and indeed for us to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579
- $00:19:28.604 \rightarrow 00:19:31.060$ prove that, we took samples from healthy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579
- $00:19:31.060 \rightarrow 00:19:33.629$ donors from two people who have mgus,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579
- $00{:}19{:}33.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}35.542$ one of them had mgus and mcgiff and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579
- 00:19:35.542 --> 00:19:37.569 from 2 participants who had mgip.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579
- $00:19:37.570 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.003$ And we did CD19 and CD138 selection of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579
- $00:19:41.003 \rightarrow 00:19:42.900$ the peripheral blood because we don't have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579
- $00{:}19{:}42.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}44.686$ bone marrow biopsies on those patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579
- $00:19:44.690 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.258$ And indeed we did first single
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579
- 00:19:47.258 --> 00:19:48.970 cell sequencing for VDJ,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579
- $00:19:48.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.338$ so now for the BCR to see if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579
- $00{:}19{:}51{.}338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}53{.}567$ they have clonal BCR in those.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579
- $00{:}19{:}53{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}55{.}691$ Patients and then of course we did
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579
- $00:19:55.691 \rightarrow 00:19:57.000$ gene expression profiling afterwards
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}19{:}57{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}59{.}040$ with the single cell RNA sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:19:59.040 --> 00:20:00.685 And what was surprising as you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}20{:}00{.}685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02{.}699$ see here for this patient for example, NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:02.700 \rightarrow 00:20:06.060$ they had one clone that was all VDJ,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}20{:}06.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08.156$ the same clone and you can see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:08.156 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.400$ in this patient all of those cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.213$ So this is single cell RNA sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:12.213 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.990$ and the blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}20{:}12{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}15{.}139$ all of the cells were for one

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:15.139 \rightarrow 00:20:17.060$ clone only in that patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}20{:}17.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}19.223$ And then this second patient had two

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}20{:}19{.}223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}21{.}166$ different clones as you can see one

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:21.166 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.050$ of them was very high which is the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}20{:}23.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}25.080$ The red one here and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:25.080 \dashrightarrow 00:20:27.218$ second one here in the orange one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.405$ And indeed we reconfirmed that

 $00{:}20{:}29{.}405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30{.}279$ those patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}20{:}30{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}32{.}848$ one of them was indeed an early CLL

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:32.848 \rightarrow 00:20:35.083$ case because we did flow cytometry

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:35.083 \rightarrow 00:20:37.369$ and because this patient had almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:37.439 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.260 60\%$ of the cells are all clonal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}20{:}39{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}41{.}150$ we were able to do whole genome

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:41.150 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.400$ sequencing on that sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:42.400 \rightarrow 00:20:45.235$ And indeed it was an atypical lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:20:45.240 --> 00:20:47.515 likely a post germinal B cell lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:20:47.520 --> 00:20:50.160 So either DLBCL or something like

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:50.160 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.601$ a marginal zone which was MIT

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}20{:}52{.}601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54{.}406$ 88 positive and it had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:54.410 \rightarrow 00:20:56.944$ Copy number alterations as you see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}20{:}56{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}57{.}810$ chromosome 3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}20{:}57{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}59{.}960$ chromosome 18 with a gain

 $00:20:59.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.250$ of those chromosomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:21:01.250 --> 00:21:03.530 So indeed by both DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:03.530 \rightarrow 00:21:05.396$ by protein level in flow cytometry

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:05.396 \rightarrow 00:21:07.228$ and by RNA sequencing we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}21{:}07{.}228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}09{.}090$ able to indicate that two of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:21:09.090 --> 00:21:10.249 cases were lymphomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}21{:}10.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}12.266$ Now we're expanding that cohort to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:12.266 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.981$ another 4050 samples with single

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}21{:}13.981 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.829$ cell RNA sequencing and then it

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:21:15.829 --> 00:21:17.707 will be followed by DNA sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:21:17.707 --> 00:21:19.786 of course if we find this positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:19.790 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.286$ but that opens the door for saying we

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:22.286 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.610$ can screen also for other lymphomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}21{:}24.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}25.940$ And not just for myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}21{:}25{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}27{.}949$ And the question is what are all

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:27.949 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.600$ of those monoclonal gammopathy is

 $00{:}21{:}29.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}31.415$ doing in our general population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:31.420 \rightarrow 00:21:33.296$ So to answer some of those questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:33.300 \rightarrow 00:21:35.778$ we're moving on to other bigger cohorts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:21:35.780 --> 00:21:38.380 So now we're talking to the UK Biobank,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}21{:}38{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}40{.}124$ they have a half a million samples that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:40.124 \rightarrow 00:21:41.678$ have been collected over 20 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:21:41.680 --> 00:21:44.038 We're talking to end Haynes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:21:44.038 --> 00:21:46.345 trying to get samples from NHANES

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}21{:}46{.}345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}49{.}050$ as you can see here 7937 another

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:21:49.050 --> 00:21:51.381 8000 and PLO another 14,000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:51.381 \rightarrow 00:21:53.069$ We are also trying to see if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}21{:}53.069 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}54.619$ can get access to the million.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}21{:}54{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}56{.}825$ Veterans project to all of us and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:56.825 \rightarrow 00:21:58.903$ many other cohorts that have already

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}21{:}58{.}903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}00{.}688$ collected large numbers of samples

 $00:22:00.688 \rightarrow 00:22:03.358$ to ask big questions of what is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:22:03.358 --> 00:22:05.045 prevalence in high risk population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:22:05.045 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.595$ but also what are those early

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:22:07.595 \rightarrow 00:22:09.325$ monoclonal democracies doing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:22:09.325 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.507$ the general population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}22{:}10{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}12{.}070$ And then of course we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}22{:}12.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}13.110$ collaborations with all link

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:22:13.164 \rightarrow 00:22:14.868$ to try and look at the protein level

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}22{:}14.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}16.530$ in those patients with proteomics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}22{:}16{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}18{.}048$ So the next step I'll take

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}22{:}18.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}19.060$ you through is understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}22{:}19.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}20.670$ mechanisms of disease progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:22:20.670 --> 00:22:23.428 If you have mgus or smoldering myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:22:23.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.900$ you want to know what is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:22:24.900 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.748$ My personal risk of going on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}22{:}26.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28.043$ dissolve myeloma and I don't

 $00:22:28.043 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.604$ have in the slides here what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:22:29.604 --> 00:22:31.210 just published yesterday night,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:22:31.210 \rightarrow 00:22:33.128$ it just came out in Lancet hematology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:22:33.130 \rightarrow 00:22:35.356$ a new dynamic model to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:22:35.356 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.657$ risk of progression because we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:22:37.657 \rightarrow 00:22:39.527$ that the current clinical criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:22:39.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.364 20\%$ plasma cells in your bone marrow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:22:41.370 --> 00:22:42.486 2 grams M spike,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:22:42.486 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.613$ 20 light chain ratio for a smoldering

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}22{:}44.613 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47.336$ myeloma are good but not good enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:22:47.336 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.784$ because they give you a 50% chance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}22{:}49{.}784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51{.}866$ progression in two years and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}22{:}51.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53.550$ basically like flipping a coin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}22{:}53{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55{.}382$ 50% chance of progressing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:22:55.382 \rightarrow 00:22:57.840$ 50% said chance of not progressing.

 $00:22:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.375$ So we need something better

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}22{:}59{.}375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}01{.}340$ than that or to improve on it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:23:01.340 --> 00:23:02.858 So we developed a dynamic model

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}23{:}02{.}858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}04{.}898$ and now this is a risk calculator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:04.900 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.548$ Any patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}23{:}05{.}548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07{.}816$ any physician can use the risk calculator

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:07.816 \rightarrow 00:23:10.095$ and have the prediction of five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:23:10.100 --> 00:23:11.524 10 years, 20 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:23:11.524 --> 00:23:13.304 what is my personal risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:13.304 \rightarrow 00:23:15.160$ based on clinical markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:15.160 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.220$ But clinical markers are

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:17.220 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.556$ assessing the tumor burden,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:18.556 \rightarrow 00:23:20.560$ how many cancer cells you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:20.560 \rightarrow 00:23:22.856$ It doesn't give you the underlying biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}23{:}22{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}24{.}480$ how fast are they growing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.532$ So we need more.

 $00:23:25.532 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.110$ And that the dynamic model helps

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:27.172 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.720$ you because the more data you

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:28.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.410$ enter in the light chain increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:30.410 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.910$ or the M spike increase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:31.910 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.660$ it gives you the dynamics

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:23:33.660 - 00:23:34.710 of tumor progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}23{:}34{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37{.}524$ But we need something as the genomics

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}23{:}37{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}39{.}890$ and immune and other factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:23:39.890 - 00:23:42.338 So here's one of the first papers we

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:23:42.338 - > 00:23:44.777 published a few years ago where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}23{:}44.777 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}46.730$ looked at whole exome sequencing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}23{:}46{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}48{.}710$ 250 patients with smoldering myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:48.710 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.460$ And now we expanded it of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:50.460 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.210$ so many others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}23{:}51{.}210$ --> $00{:}23{:}53{.}770$ And we found that there were three main

 $00:23:53.770 \rightarrow 00:23:55.358$ mechanisms of genomic aberrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:55.358 \rightarrow 00:23:58.186$ That leads to progression or that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:58.186 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.726$ associated strongly with progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:59.726 \rightarrow 00:24:02.399$ to myeloma and these were MAP kinase

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}24{:}02{.}399 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}04{.}109$ mutations like ANRAS and Karas

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}24{:}04{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06{.}574$ ATM and ATR and P53 mutations DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}24{:}06{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}09{.}225$ repair pathway and of course make

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}24{:}09{.}225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10.749$ alterations or translocations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:10.750 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.009$ In fact I think that if we have Mike,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:13.010 \rightarrow 00:24:15.320$ we already have myeloma and potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}24{:}15{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17{.}742$ some of those alterations are all

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:17.742 \rightarrow 00:24:19.378$ secondary mutations and secondary

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}24{:}19{.}378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}21{.}514$ alterations that occur when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:24:21.514 --> 00:24:23.266 already going towards myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:23.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.956$ when there is no coming back

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:24.956 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.799$ and hopefully these.

 $00:24:25.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.640$ Will become routine in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:28.640 \rightarrow 00:24:30.490$ understanding of if someone has

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}24{:}30{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32{.}730$ smoldering myeloma and has one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:32.730 \rightarrow 00:24:35.026$ those likely they have very high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:24:35.026 --> 00:24:37.446 of progression and we should consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}24{:}37{.}446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}39{.}146$ the rapeutic interventions in them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:24:39.150 - 00:24:42.206 Now what we found lately is that one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:24:42.210 --> 00:24:43.668 many of our patients don't get

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:24:43.668 --> 00:24:44.958 bone marrow biopsies or serial

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:24:44.958 --> 00:24:46.308 bone marrow biopsies and two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:24:46.310 -> 00:24:48.182 whole exome sequencing is OK and

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}24{:}48.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}50.189$ it's not good enough because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}24{:}50{.}189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52{.}265$ doesn't give you the primary events,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}24{:}52{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54{.}340$ the translocations that occur in those

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:54.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.980$ patients. So this is a paper that.

 $00:24:55.980 \rightarrow 00:24:57.758$ Just got published a few weeks ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}24{:}57.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59.998$ Work from Ankit and John Batiste

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:59.998 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.490$ where we took circulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:01.558 \rightarrow 00:25:03.014$ tumor cells, isolated them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}25{:}03.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.750$ developed a method of low input DNA and

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:05.821 \rightarrow 00:25:08.082$ were able to do whole genome sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:08.082 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.526$ from as low as 30 to 50 cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:10.526 \rightarrow 00:25:12.166$ you can get in the peripheral blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:25:12.166 --> 00:25:13.558 So you can see in mgus

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:13.558 \rightarrow 00:25:14.770$ and smoldering myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}25{:}14.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17.283$ Many of them have small numbers of

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:17.283 \rightarrow 00:25:19.613$ circulating tumor cells and when you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}25{:}19.613 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21.770$ able to capture them and purify them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:21.770 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.414$ you can do whole genome sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:23.414 \rightarrow 00:25:25.427$ and you don't even have to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:25.427 \rightarrow 00:25:26.579$ deep sequencing because the.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00:25:26.580 \rightarrow 00:25:29.009$ Security is so good in those samples.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00:25:29.010 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.240$ So indeed we had head-to-head
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00:25:31.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.024$ comparison of circulating tumor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00:25:33.024 \rightarrow 00:25:35.276$ cells versus bone marrow cells so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00{:}25{:}35{.}276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37{.}773$ that you can show indeed that all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00{:}25{:}37{.}773 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}39{.}849$ of the clonal and subclonal events
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00:25:39.849 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.330$ can also happen in the blood.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00:25:41.330 \rightarrow 00:25:43.109$ And you don't need the bone marrow biopsy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- 00:25:43.110 --> 00:25:46.086 but also head-to-head comparison to fish,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00{:}25{:}46.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}47.658$ which is the standard of care that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00:25:47.658 \rightarrow 00:25:49.078$ we have right now in myeloma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- 00:25:49.080 --> 00:25:51.228 yet another 50 year old technology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00{:}25{:}51{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}52{.}490$ So indeed, of course,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00{:}25{:}52{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54{.}380$ no surprise there that whole genome
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00:25:54.436 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.146$ sequencing is better than fish,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:56.150 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.686$ indeed it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:56.686 \rightarrow 00:25:58.562$ And get you all of the translocations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}25{:}58{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}59{.}914$ but it can get you much more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:25:59.920 --> 00:26:00.919 You get mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:26:00.919 --> 00:26:02.584 you get copy number alterations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}26{:}02{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}04{.}225$ you can even get translocations

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:04.225 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.860$ you couldn't detect by fish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:26:05.860 --> 00:26:07.460 And indeed because you're purifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:07.460 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.060$ small numbers of cells especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:09.109 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.269$ in the peripheral bloods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}26{:}10.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}13.166$ you can do that multiple times during the

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:26:13.166 --> 00:26:15.519 serial development of a patients progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}26{:}15.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}17.249$ So you can ask the question when

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:17.249 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.640$ the MIC clone is growing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:18.640 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.551$ what is going on and when can

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:20.551 \rightarrow 00:26:21.960$ I treat this patient.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- 00:26:21.960 --> 00:26:24.736 Now I'll move on to single cell and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00{:}26{:}24.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27.169$ I borrowed this slide from Aviva.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00{:}26{:}27.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}28.922$ Who basically tries to tell you why do
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00:26:28.922 \rightarrow 00:26:30.889$ we need to go to the single cell level,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00:26:30.890 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.200$ and it's basically when you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- 00:26:32.200 --> 00:26:32.986 do bulk sequencing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00:26:32.990 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.358$ whether it's whole genome
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- 00:26:34.358 --> 00:26:36.068 sequencing or bulk RNA sequencing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00{:}26{:}36.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37.672$ you're sequencing all of the cells
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00:26:37.672 \rightarrow 00:26:39.210$ mushed together like a smoothie.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00:26:39.210 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.210$ Now it tastes good,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00{:}26{:}40{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}42{.}123$ but you can't really tell the differences
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00:26:42.123 \rightarrow 00:26:44.265$ between a strawberry and a Raspberry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- 00:26:44.270 --> 00:26:46.574 You can't even tell if it's a good
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333
- $00{:}26{:}46.574$ --> $00{:}26{:}48.288$ Raspberry versus a mutant Raspberry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:48.290 \rightarrow 00:26:50.030$ Single cell sequencing gives you that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}26{:}50{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}51{.}950$ It gives you that ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}26{:}51{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}53{.}630$ differentiate them from each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:26:53.630 --> 00:26:55.865 And of course spatial transcriptomics

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:55.865 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.206$ or spatial sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}26{:}57{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}59{.}170$ Is the ultimate goal where you get

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:59.170 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.375$ the whole fruit tart and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}27{:}01{.}375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}03{.}000$ understand better the localization of

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}27{:}03.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}05.298$ all of those cells in the environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:05.300 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.197$ So what we did is we said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:07.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.459$ well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:07.459 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.013$ let's look at the tumor cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}27{:}09{.}013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10.899$ in the bone marrow compartment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:27:10.900 -> 00:27:12.396 And this is a study where we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}27{:}12.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}13.672$ it in collaboration with MIT

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:13.672 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.376$ and of course with the broad.

 $00:27:15.380 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.484$ All of our work is with the Broad

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:27:17.484 --> 00:27:19.301 Institute where we said we're lucky

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:19.301 \rightarrow 00:27:21.143$ enough in mgus and smoldering myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:21.197 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.565$ that not all of the plasma cells are

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:23.565 \rightarrow 00:27:25.027$ actually malignant plasma cells we

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:25.027 \rightarrow 00:27:27.450$ have some of them are normal plasma cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}27{:}27{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}30{.}942$ So the potential here is instead of

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:30.942 \rightarrow 00:27:32.846$ looking at interpatient variability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:27:32.850 --> 00:27:34.370 healthy versus cancer patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:34.370 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.650$ we can actually look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:27:36.715 --> 00:27:38.389 intra patient variability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:38.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.234$ healthy cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:27:39.234 --> 00:27:40.922 plasma cells within one

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:27:40.922 --> 00:27:42.610 patient versus malignant plasma

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}27{:}42.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}44.354$ cells. And now you can ask the

 $00:27:44.354 \rightarrow 00:27:45.853$ questions of here are the normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}27{:}45.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}47.575$ plasma cells here are the malignant

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}27{:}47.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}49.267$ plasma cells from the same patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}27{:}49{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51{.}433$ what are the differences in them and

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}27{:}51{.}433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54{.}070$ can I understand that mechanism of early

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}27{:}54.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}55.722$ genomic events and transcriptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:27:55.722 \rightarrow 00:27:57.840$ changes that occur with malignant?

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:27:57.840 --> 00:27:58.370 Transformation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:27:58.370 \rightarrow 00:28:01.550$ even within the same neoplastic cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}28{:}01{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}03{.}278$ I can find subclusters that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}28{:}03{.}278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}04{.}790$ very different from each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}28{:}04.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}06.610$ There is a proliferating cluster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}28{:}06{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08{.}647$ There is some that have higher expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}28{:}08{.}647 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10{.}885$ of certain genes and that can help you

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}28{:}10.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.650$ understand when the patient is treated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:12.650 \rightarrow 00:28:14.720$ which subcluster may respond and which

- NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727
- $00:28:14.720 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.809$ one may be resistant to the rapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727
- $00{:}28{:}16.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.310$ Now we moved on to do even more work on that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727
- $00{:}28{:}19{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}21{.}802$ So this was presented in Ash this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727
- $00{:}28{:}21.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}24.506$ year where we showed 245 samples
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727
- $00{:}28{:}24.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26.150$ from 234 patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727
- $00:28:26.150 \rightarrow 00:28:28.638$ Here we did not only do the jacks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727
- 00:28:28.640 --> 00:28:30.950 The gene expression single cell sequencing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727
- 00:28:30.950 --> 00:28:32.636 but we also did BCR profiling
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727
- $00:28:32.636 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.390$ on all of those patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727
- 00:28:34.390 --> 00:28:36.638 So now you can get with the VGA
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727
- $00:28:36.638 \rightarrow 00:28:39.118$ or with the BCR sequencing the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727
- $00:28:39.118 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.958$ clonality of those patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727
- $00:28:40.960 \rightarrow 00:28:43.319$ So this just shows you the potential
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727
- $00{:}28{:}43{.}319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45{.}140$ of really understanding the tumor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727
- $00{:}28{:}45.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}46.640$ compartment in those patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.160$ We've done the same thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:28:48.160 --> 00:28:49.376 on circulating tumor cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:49.380 \dashrightarrow 00:28:51.340$ but I'm not showing that data here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:51.340 \rightarrow 00:28:53.833$ So of course with a huge number of samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}28{:}53{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56{.}464$ what was very interesting is indeed all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:56.464 \rightarrow 00:28:58.769$ the malignant samples cluster separately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:58.770 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.766$ It was not surprising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}28{:}59{.}766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01{.}641$ We saw that before and the normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}29{:}01{.}641 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}03{.}246$ plasma cells clustered together from

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}29{:}03{.}246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}05{.}501$ all of the patients and indeed the more

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}29{:}05{.}501 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}07{.}336$ you look at the number of cells are

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:29:07.336 --> 00:29:08.932 increasing as you go on to myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:08.940 \rightarrow 00:29:11.500$ the malignant versus normal compartment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:29:11.500 - 00:29:13.786 But what was interesting is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:29:13.786 --> 00:29:14.929 compared head-to-head cytogenetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:14.929 \rightarrow 00:29:17.099$ from those patients with fish or when

 $00{:}29{:}17.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}18.994$ we have whole genome sequencing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}29{:}18.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21.034$ the single cell RNA sequencing data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.352$ And indeed you can see that the hyper

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:23.352 \rightarrow 00:29:25.454$ deployed cases were confirmed, the 414,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:29:25.454 --> 00:29:28.132 you can confirm it with FGFR 311,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:28.132 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.806$ fourteen with cycling. 11416 and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:29:30.806 - 00:29:32.844 So you can be very accurate in

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}29{:}32{.}844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}34{.}949$ understanding who has a specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:34.949 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.370$ translocation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}29{:}35{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}39{.}296$ But then we said well 50% of our samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:39.296 \rightarrow 00:29:41.970$ did not even have good fish information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:29:41.970 --> 00:29:44.682 Either it failed which happens a lot or

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}29{:}44.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}47.201$ they give us the fish information with

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}29{:}47{.}201 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}50{.}010$ an igh partner that we cannot detect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:29:50.010 --> 00:29:51.650 So we were basically blinded

 $00:29:51.650 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.290$ to know what is happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:53.290 \rightarrow 00:29:56.098$ So we used our single cell RNA sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}29{:}56.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}58.856$ to generate what could potentially be the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:58.860 \rightarrow 00:30:01.170$ Cytogenetic information of those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}30{:}01{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}03{.}510$ So you can see here that all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:30:03.510 --> 00:30:05.645 unavailable or we didn't know what they were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}30{:}05{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}08{.}716$ we were able to reclassify them into

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:30:08.716 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.030$ specific cytogenetic abnormalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}30{:}10{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}12{.}064$ And this is the confusion matrix

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:30:12.064 \rightarrow 00:30:14.061$ showing you that indeed all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}30{:}14.061 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}15.801$ the unclassified we were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:30:15.801 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.050$ get them into a 4141114 and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:30:18.050 - 00:30:19.600 Biggest number was the hyper

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:30:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.410$ deployed numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}30{:}20{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}22{.}154$ So that can tell you that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:30:22.154 --> 00:30:23.808 use RNA sequencing to basically

 $00:30:23.808 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.748$ predict what are the cytogenetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}30{:}25.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}27.748$ abnormalities at the single cell level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:30:27.750 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.280$ So now you can really say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:30:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.790$ Subclusters of those patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}30{:}31{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}34{.}300$ subclonal abnormalities and we took

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:30:34.375 \rightarrow 00:30:37.175$ it even more because we have potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:30:37.175 \rightarrow 00:30:39.978$ the ability to identify rare events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:30:39.980 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.488$ You can now find 814 translocation

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:30:42.488 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.160$ extremely rare in myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}30{:}44.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}45.910$ We miss it in many patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}30{:}45{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}47{.}946$ now we can find it with this math

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}30{:}47{.}946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}50{.}307$ A and you can even look at their

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}30{:}50{.}307 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}51{.}739$ expression of certain genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}30{:}51.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}53.150$ So for example they express

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

00:30:53.150 --> 00:30:54.278 high levels of Mike,

 $00:30:54.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.850$ they don't express other levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}30{:}56.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}59.790$ of other genes for example in 14.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:30:59.790 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.340$ 16 or in 1420 translocations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:02.340 \rightarrow 00:31:04.932$ So now you can really go into the genetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}31{:}04{.}932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06{.}983$ and the transcriptional changes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}31{:}06{.}983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}09{.}533$ are occurring in those rare events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

00:31:09.540 --> 00:31:11.404 So when you go back to also looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}31{:}11{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}13{.}074$ at the normal versus malignant

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:13.074 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.598$ cells in those patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}31{:}14.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}16.744$ you can also ask questions that are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:16.744 \dashrightarrow 00:31:18.976$ specific to the phenotype of those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:18.980 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.910$ So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:19.910 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.647$ we always think that CD 56 is highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:22.647 \dashrightarrow 00:31:25.117$ expressed on malignant plasma cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.502$ That's not actually true for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:27.502 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.944$ small numbers of 1416 and 14.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652
- $00:31:29.944 \rightarrow 00:31:30.766 20$ cells,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652
- $00:31:30.770 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.486$ they are negative for CD 56
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652
- $00:31:32.486 \rightarrow 00:31:33.910$ and you can go on.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652
- 00:31:33.910 --> 00:31:36.250 So now you can really say if I'm going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652
- $00:31:36.250 \rightarrow 00:31:38.989$ to develop a therapeutic target not BCMA,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652
- $00:31:38.990 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.614$ but others,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652
- $00{:}31{:}39{.}614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41{.}486$ I want to understand whether it's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652
- $00:31:41.486 \rightarrow 00:31:43.433$ highly expressed on those cells with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652
- $00:31:43.433 \dashrightarrow 00:31:44.701$ certain genetic abnormalities and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652
- $00:31:44.701 \dashrightarrow 00:31:46.777$ those are the patients that I will not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652
- 00:31:46.777 --> 00:31:48.890 or I will include in my clinical trial.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652
- $00{:}31{:}48.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}51.729$ Now moving on to the gene expression data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652
- $00:31:51.730 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.586$ you can see here these are the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652
- $00{:}31{:}54{.}586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}56{.}780$ top highly expressed or the top.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652
- 00:31:56.780 --> 00:31:58.031 Significantly downregulated genes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:58.031 \rightarrow 00:32:00.533$ across the spectrum from mgus to

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

00:32:00.533 --> 00:32:02.039 smoldering myeloma to myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

00:32:02.040 --> 00:32:03.370 And because again we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

00:32:03.370 --> 00:32:04.434 huge numbers of cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.574$ you have more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:05.574 \rightarrow 00:32:08.220$ you have a better ability to detect

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:08.299 \rightarrow 00:32:10.074$ genes that really are modulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

00:32:10.074 --> 00:32:12.989 as you go on to progress like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}32{:}12{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}14{.}838$ T3 which is a leukemia growth factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}32{:}14.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}16.682$ as well or transcriptional factor as

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:16.682 \rightarrow 00:32:18.999$ well as many other genes that get

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:19.062 \rightarrow 00:32:20.946$ down regulated as you progress but

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:20.946 \rightarrow 00:32:23.280$ also you can identify new targets

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}32{:}23.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}25.420$ potentially for developing the rapeutics

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:25.420 \rightarrow 00:32:28.688$ or new by specifics or new cartes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:28.690 \rightarrow 00:32:31.588$ And then we developed a signature

 $00{:}32{:}31{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}33{.}739$ that was developed not from the normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}32{:}33{.}739 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}35{.}653$ plasma cells but from the malignant

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:35.653 \rightarrow 00:32:37.525$ plasma cells and it was increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:37.525 \rightarrow 00:32:39.628$ as you go on from mgus to myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}32{:}39{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}42{.}332$ And that signature by NMF by non

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}32{:}42{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}44{.}562$ matrix factorization was able to also

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:44.562 \rightarrow 00:32:46.886$ detect when we applied it to compass

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

00:32:46.959 - 00:32:49.465 data which is the overt myeloma data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}32{:}49{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}51{.}480$ it showed us a progression free

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.820$ survival and overall survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:52.882 \rightarrow 00:32:54.826$ difference and it could be predictive

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:54.826 \rightarrow 00:32:57.010$ of prognostic risk in those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:57.010 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.590$ So if you put that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}32{:}58{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}00{.}984$ In those patients as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}33{:}00{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}03{.}070$ looking at the proliferation index,

00:33:03.070 -> 00:33:04.445 you can actually stratify the

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

00:33:04.445 --> 00:33:05.545 patients as low risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}33{:}05{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}07{.}446$ intermediate and high risk even in

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:33:07.446 \rightarrow 00:33:09.649$ the compass data in those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}33{:}09{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}11{.}477$ We then applied it to the gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}33{:}11.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}13.208$ expression data to all gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}33{:}13.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15.294$ data from mgus to myeloma and indeed

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:33:15.352 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.110$ show that this can be predictive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:33:17.110 \dashrightarrow 00:33:19.378$ So again not only genomics like

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}33{:}19{.}378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}21{.}370$ DNA data that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}33{:}21{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}23{.}225$ Like map kinase mutations and so on

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:33:23.225 \rightarrow 00:33:25.129$ can be predictive of who will progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

00:33:25.130 --> 00:33:26.770 Now at the RNA level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

00:33:26.770 --> 00:33:28.378 we also have a gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:33:28.378 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.103$ profile that can be predictive of

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:33:30.103 \rightarrow 00:33:32.189$ who would progress and who will not.

00:33:32.190 - 00:33:34.570 So moving on to the immune system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

00:33:34.570 - > 00:33:37.030 here I'm showing you that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}33{:}37{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}39{.}610$ tumor system is an ecosystem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:33:39.610 \rightarrow 00:33:41.410$ You cannot look only at the cancer cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:33:41.410 \rightarrow 00:33:43.453$ you need to look at the cancer and immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}33{:}43{.}453 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}45{.}623$ cells and of course not immune cells to

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:33:45.623 \rightarrow 00:33:47.409$ understand better what causes progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:33:47.410 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.360$ So the first thing we did a few years ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}33{:}49{.}411 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}51{.}266$ is again we did single cell sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}33{:}51{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}53{.}454$ Of the immune cells in the bone marrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}33{:}53{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55{.}319$ from MGUS smoldering to myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}33{:}55{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}57{.}231$ And indeed what was surprising is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:33:57.231 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.771$ found that there were compositional

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}33{:}58{.}771 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}01{.}095$ changes that happened as early as mgus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}34{:}01{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}02{.}780$ It looked almost like myeloma.

 $00:34:02.780 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.621$ And we were shocked because we usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:04.621 \dashrightarrow 00:34:06.440$ think that mgus is a benign disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

00:34:06.440 --> 00:34:07.178 You're walking around,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:07.178 \rightarrow 00:34:09.500$ you have a very small chance of progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:09.500 \dashrightarrow 00:34:11.390$ Why would your immune system be so

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:11.390 \rightarrow 00:34:13.280$ altered that it looks like myeloma?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:13.280 \dashrightarrow 00:34:15.198$ So we found T regs are increased,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.628$ 16 monocytes are increased,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

00:34:16.628 --> 00:34:18.056 NK cells are altered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:18.060 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.478$ and then later on you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:20.478 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.687$ further functional changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}34{:}21.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}25.050$ You have loss of the memory cytotoxic

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:25.050 \rightarrow 00:34:28.330$ CD8 cells and then you start having less

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}34{:}28{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}31{.}024$ granzyme K which are the earlier stem

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:31.024 \rightarrow 00:34:34.307$ cells and more granzyme B in those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:34.310 \rightarrow 00:34:35.480$ And this is just showing you

- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:34:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.260$ some of those changes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:34:36.260 \rightarrow 00:34:38.801$ You can see here those memory excitotoxic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:34:38.801 \rightarrow 00:34:40.918$ cells almost completely depleted in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:34:40.918 \rightarrow 00:34:42.666$ patients with smoldering myeloma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- 00:34:42.670 00:34:43.730 sorry, with overt myeloma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:34:43.730 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.018$ So we went on to ask a couple
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:34:46.018 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.966$ of other questions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:34:46.970 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.568$ One is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00{:}34{:}47{.}568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}49{.}661$ are those changes altered if I treat
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:34:49.661 \rightarrow 00:34:50.950$ someone with smoldering myeloma
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:34:50.950 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.560$ and can we expand that in also the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:34:53.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.430$ peripheral blood of those patients?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:34:55.430 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.590$ So this is work by Romanos,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00{:}34{:}57{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}59{.}870$ just got published a couple of weeks ago,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00{:}34{:}59{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}03{.}020$ again also in cancer cell where we took
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:03.020 \rightarrow 00:35:05.330$ samples from patients on a clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}35{:}05{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}07{.}420$ With Elotuzumab limited dexame thasone 51

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:07.420 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.308$ patients who were treated on high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:10.308 \rightarrow 00:35:12.522$ smoldering trial and we took samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:12.522 \rightarrow 00:35:14.776$ baseline cycle nine and end of therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:14.780 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.376$ And what we found is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:16.376 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.960$ found a couple of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

00:35:17.960 --> 00:35:19.228 First is of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:19.228 \rightarrow 00:35:21.130$ the compositional changes were very similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:21.185 \rightarrow 00:35:23.193$ to what you expected in our other study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.260$ but now it's a much bigger #190 samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

00:35:26.260 --> 00:35:28.735 So indeed more T regs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}35{:}28.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32.560$ more CD4 TNS and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}35{:}32{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}34{.}042$ But what we found that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:34.042 \dashrightarrow 00:35:35.579$ interesting is a couple of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

00:35:35.580 --> 00:35:35.878 One,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:35:35.878 \dashrightarrow 00:35:37.666$ because we had single cell TCR
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:35:37.666 \rightarrow 00:35:39.639$ sequencing on all of those patients,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:35:39.640 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.794$ we found that you actually have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:35:41.794 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.650$ a significant change in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00{:}35{:}43.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}45.726$ diversity of the T cells even
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:35:45.726 \rightarrow 00:35:47.360$ in early smoldering myeloma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:35:47.360 \rightarrow 00:35:49.624$ So this is just showing you when I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:35:49.624 \rightarrow 00:35:51.998$ resample the TCR in all of those patients,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00{:}35{:}52.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}54.672$ always we had a smaller diversity in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:35:54.672 \rightarrow 00:35:56.698$ healthy compared to smoldering myeloma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:35:56.700 \rightarrow 00:35:58.632$ So it shrinks significantly and you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00{:}35{:}58{.}632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}00{.}687$ would think that it shrinks because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:36:00.687 \rightarrow 00:36:02.793$ you have one clone that expands.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00{:}36{:}02.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05.770$ So the diversity is smaller and indeed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263
- $00:36:05.770 \rightarrow 00:36:07.310$ It is clonal expansion,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:36:07.310 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.426$ but it's not just one clone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}36{:}08{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}09{.}954$ it's multiple clones and

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:36:09.954 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.240$ some of them are very small

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:12.317 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.029$ clones that expand in those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}36{:}15{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}17{.}070$ Now, interestingly, that expansion

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}36{:}17.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}19.932$ was merely in granzyme BC8T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:19.932 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.987$ As well as T regs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:21.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.575$ and you can see it here, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}36{:}23.575$ --> $00{:}36{:}26.060$ nicely that those clonal T cell expansions NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:26.060 \dashrightarrow 00:36:29.009$ were in the CD 8 terms in those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

00:36:29.010 --> 00:36:31.522 So that tells you the immune system is NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:31.522 \rightarrow 00:36:33.929$ trying to react to the cancer cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}36{:}33{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}35{.}454$ but it's exhaustive and it cannot

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}36{:}35{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}37{.}674$ do a very good job in responding to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}36{:}37{.}674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39{.}390$ those cancer cells and that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:39.448 \rightarrow 00:36:41.393$ potentially be useful for the rapeutic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714
- 00:36:41.393 --> 00:36:42.949 interventions in the future,

 $00:36:42.950 \rightarrow 00:36:46.326$ especially with TCR therapeutics as we go on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}36{:}46{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}48{.}530$ Now, the other question we said is can

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:48.530 \rightarrow 00:36:51.170$ we use the immune system as a biomarker?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:51.170 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.064$ Of disease progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

00:36:52.064 --> 00:36:53.852 can I use an immune signature

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}36{:}53.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}55.575$ that tells me this patient will

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:55.575 \rightarrow 00:36:56.940$ respond to therapy or not?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.725$ And after therapy did they

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}36{:}58{.}725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00{.}153$ normalize their immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}37{:}00{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}02{.}104$ So indeed we found the signature

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:02.104 \dashrightarrow 00:37:04.067$ that is predictive of response which

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

00:37:04.067 --> 00:37:06.467 is if you are reactive to the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:06.533 \dashrightarrow 00:37:08.717$ cells then you have a better chance NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:08.717 \rightarrow 00:37:11.031$ of responding to therapy and a

 $00:37:11.031 \rightarrow 00:37:12.859$ long-term progression free survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

00:37:12.860 --> 00:37:15.282 And post therapy if you normalize your

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:15.282 \dashrightarrow 00:37:17.726$ immune system indeed you have a much NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}37{:}17.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}19.401$ better progression free survival and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}37{:}19{.}401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21{.}906$ that tells us that indeed those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

00:37:21.910 --> 00:37:24.034 Can have that normalization of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}37{:}24.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}26.050$ immune system along with MRD and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:26.050 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.670$ we're hoping to apply that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}37{:}27.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}29.770$ all of the future studies so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:29.770 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.586$ you don't only look for Mart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:31.586 \rightarrow 00:37:34.114$ you also look for pin in those patients NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:34.114 \rightarrow 00:37:36.458$ both therapy and your normalization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}37{:}36{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}38{.}556$ And this is just showing you some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:38.556 \rightarrow 00:37:40.607$ those factors specifically for grand time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

00:37:40.610 --> 00:37:40.935 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}37{:}40{.}935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}43{.}210$ as you go on to that normalization

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714
- $00:37:43.210 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.560$ in those patients,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714
- $00:37:44.560 \dashrightarrow 00:37:46.675$ now we moved on into the blood and said,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714
- $00{:}37{:}46.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}48.528$ can we use the blood instead of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714
- $00:37:48.528 \rightarrow 00:37:50.220$ bone marrow again in those patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714
- $00:37:50.220 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.439$ So indeed here is just showing you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714
- $00:37:52.439 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.466$ the volcano plot of those patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714
- $00:37:54.466 \rightarrow 00:37:56.818$ and indeed you have the same changes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714
- 00:37:56.883 --> 00:37:59.286 in the blood as you have in the bone
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714
- $00{:}37{:}59{.}286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}01{.}468$ marrow of those patients and the same
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714
- $00:38:01.468 \dashrightarrow 00:38:04.000$ thing also happens for the T cell receptor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714
- $00{:}38{:}04.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}05.917$ So this is just showing you the T cell
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714
- $00{:}38{:}05{.}917 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}07{.}287$ diversity and the peripheral blood.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714
- $00{:}38{:}07{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}09{.}036$ And it mimicked exactly what happens
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714
- $00:38:09.036 \dashrightarrow 00:38:11.210$ in the bone marrow of those patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714
- $00:38:11.210 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.071$ Not only that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}38{:}12.071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}14.080$ if I just do another confusion plot

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}38{:}14.147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}16.240$ and say give me randomly anyone who

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}38{:}16{.}240$ --> $00{:}38{:}18{.}235$ has a peripheral blood sample and I NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:18.235 \dashrightarrow 00:38:20.609$ will tell you if they have mgus or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}38{:}20.609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}22.520$ It was very predictive in the blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

00:38:22.581 --> 00:38:24.492 by the immune cell signature that I NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:24.492 \rightarrow 00:38:26.507$ can tell you this one is healthy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:26.510 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.558$ this one is mgus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}38{:}27{.}558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}29{.}635$ Now that opened the door for us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}38{:}29{.}635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}31{.}411$ say can we use it also for cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:31.472 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.669$ screening in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}38{:}32{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}34{.}554$ And this is something that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:34.554 \rightarrow 00:38:36.090$ trying to develop right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}38{:}36{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}37{.}330$ So with that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

00:38:37.330 --> 00:38:38.144 Big data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:38.144 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.958$ big questions,

 $00:38:38.958 \rightarrow 00:38:42.035$ which means that we have 317 new samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}38{:}42.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}44.105$ that we sequenced bone marrow and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:44.105 \rightarrow 00:38:46.321$ peripheral blood to really ask those

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:46.321 \rightarrow 00:38:48.131$ bigger questions of immune regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

00:38:48.196 --> 00:38:49.976 in mgus and smoldering myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

00:38:49.980 --> 00:38:51.870 And now you can have more

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:51.870 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.130$ expression data that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:38:53.193 \dashrightarrow 00:38:54.909$ defines the progression signatures

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}38{:}54{.}909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}57{.}054$ because you have more samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:38:57.060 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.082$ you can differentiate what causes progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:38:59.082 \rightarrow 00:39:01.020$ from mgus to smoldering to myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}39{:}01{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}04{.}317$ not causes what is associated with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}39{:}04{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}05{.}755$ Hopefully causative would be all

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}39{:}05{.}755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}07{.}380$ of the functional studies that we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}39{:}07{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}09{.}783$ Can do in vivo and in vitro to say

 $00:39:09.783 \rightarrow 00:39:11.965$ what is really causing progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}39{:}11{.}965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}14{.}274$ in those patients and then of

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:14.274 \rightarrow 00:39:16.122$ course at the gene expression level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:16.130 \rightarrow 00:39:18.010$ So at the compositional changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:18.010 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.377$ most of the things happen at mgus and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}39{:}20{.}377 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}22{.}706$ they stay constant or increased slightly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}39{:}22{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}24{.}897$ But at the signatures of the genes you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:39:24.897 --> 00:39:27.468 a huge difference in interference signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}39{:}27{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}29{.}612$ You see that sudden change of granzyme

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:29.612 \dashrightarrow 00:39:31.701$ B increasing and you have more of

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:31.701 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.369$ those granzyme BCZ its cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}39{:}33{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}35{.}341$ are more senescent as you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:35.341 \rightarrow 00:39:37.594$ here with their expression of KR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:37.594 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.506$ One and less cytolytic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:39.510 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.706$ So they're not capable of really

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:41.706 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.576$ responding to the cancer cells

00:39:43.576 - > 00:39:45.956 and this is just showing you how

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:39:45.956 --> 00:39:48.217 altered immune system goes on from

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}39{:}48.217 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}50.107$ progression from mgus to myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:50.110 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.262$ And then again because

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:51.262 \rightarrow 00:39:52.702$ we have so many samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:39:52.710 --> 00:39:54.118 especially low risk smoldering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:54.118 \rightarrow 00:39:56.548$ which we think is likely more like

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}39{:}56{.}548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}58{.}361$ an mgus and some of those mgus

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:58.361 \rightarrow 00:40:00.328$ look more like smoldering myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}40{:}00{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}02{.}022$ So the clinical factors of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:02.022 \rightarrow 00:40:04.465$ we call mgus and what we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:04.465 \rightarrow 00:40:06.550$ smoldering myeloma may actually be

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:06.550 \rightarrow 00:40:08.040$ biologically completely different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:40:08.040 --> 00:40:09.590 And they are intermixed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}40{:}09{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}10{.}830$ mg us and smoldering myeloma.

 $00:40:10.830 \rightarrow 00:40:14.380$ We have biological relevance from each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:40:14.380 --> 00:40:16.473 So you can see here huge diversity

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:16.473 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.353$ changes that occur in some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:18.353 \rightarrow 00:40:20.251$ MGA samples as well as the smoldering

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:20.251 \rightarrow 00:40:22.506$ myeloma samples in those populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:22.510 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.566$ And then finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:23.566 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.678$ we're starting to look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:25.678 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.120$ spatial transcriptomics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}40{:}27.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28.744$ But until then we started to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:28.744 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.376$ at the cells that basically are

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}40{:}30{.}376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}31{.}556$ adhered to each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}40{:}31{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}33{.}800$ What is close to a myeloma cell when

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:33.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.821$ we pull it in a CD130 is selection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:35.821 \rightarrow 00:40:38.040$ and indeed we found many of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:38.040 \rightarrow 00:40:43.367$ B cells, granzyme key positive cells and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:40:43.370 --> 00:40:44.819 Megakaryocytes were highly,

00:40:44.819 --> 00:40:45.302 uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:45.302 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.268$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:46.270 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.232$ uh attached to the tumor cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:48.232 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.654$ indicating that there is a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}40{:}50{.}654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}52{.}050$ interaction between those cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.947$ So in the last few minutes I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}40{:}53.947 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}55.168$ talk about clinical interception

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:55.168 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.244$ and we have done many clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:40:57.244 --> 00:40:58.660 trials throughout the years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}40{:}58.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}00.522$ but now we're thinking of it more

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:00.522 \rightarrow 00:41:02.108$ of that specific interception being

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:02.108 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.943$ precise in our interception what

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}41{:}03{.}943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}05{.}790$ we call precision interception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}41{:}05{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}07{.}624$ So in the older days we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}41{:}07.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}10.153$ shown there is a proof of concept

 $00:41:10.153 \rightarrow 00:41:11.833$ that indeed observation versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:41:11.833 --> 00:41:13.350 treatment treatment is better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:41:13.350 --> 00:41:14.995 In progression free survival and

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:14.995 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.085$ in one case overall survival with

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:17.085 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.637$ the Lenalidomide index studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:18.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.575$ But these were early events

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:20.575 \rightarrow 00:41:21.736$ or early attempts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:21.740 \rightarrow 00:41:24.236$ Let's do something better than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.315$ So our efforts are divided

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:26.315 \rightarrow 00:41:27.560$ into early prevention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:41:27.560 --> 00:41:29.015 metformin, intermittent fasting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:29.015 \rightarrow 00:41:31.440$ things that really prevent progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.240$ Then we have targeted approaches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:41:33.240 --> 00:41:35.208 MAP kinase mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:35.208 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.770$ 1114 with venetoclax, we're looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:37.770 \rightarrow 00:41:39.540$ at synthetically salty in one queue,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316
- $00:41:39.540 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.220$ abnormalities and so on.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316
- 00:41:41.220 --> 00:41:42.900 Then we have Immunotherapeutics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316
- 00:41:42.900 --> 00:41:43.312 vaccines,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316
- $00:41:43.312 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.784$ T cell therapy with carton by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316
- $00:41:45.784 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.320$ specifics and so on,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316
- $00:41:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.528$ and then novel combinations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316
- 00:41:48.528 --> 00:41:50.840 And we're doing now 4 drug regimen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00:41:50.840 \rightarrow 00:41:52.640$ There are RVD, which is basically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00:41:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.510$ the standard of care of myeloma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- 00:41:54.510 --> 00:41:56.472 Bringing it on into an earlier
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00:41:56.472 \rightarrow 00:41:58.870$ setting with the idea that can we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00{:}41{:}58.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}00{.}910$ cure the patients at the earlier
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- 00:42:00.910 --> 00:42:02.979 precursor stages and at least can we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- 00:42:02.979 --> 00:42:05.041 make sure that we do never develop
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00:42:05.041 \rightarrow 00:42:06.943$ end organ damage in those patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

00:42:06.950 --> 00:42:08.378 So I'll just give you a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:08.378 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.629$ of examples of those trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:09.630 \rightarrow 00:42:11.946$ This one is ongoing right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:11.950 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.534$ immunol prism and this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:13.534 \rightarrow 00:42:15.550$ first time we treat patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:15.550 \rightarrow 00:42:17.350$ immunotherapy in smoldering myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00{:}42{:}17.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}19.594$ So we chose these inclusion criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00{:}42{:}19.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}21.494$ for high risk smoldering myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00{:}42{:}21{.}494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}22{.}842$ and we're randomizing patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:22.842 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.270$ 2 to one to tech listenable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00{:}42{:}25{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}28{.}020$ Bcma CD3 antibody by specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:28.020 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.670$ antibody or landex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:29.670 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.162$ our first six patients were only to

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:32.162 \rightarrow 00:42:34.139$ Christmas because the FDA mandated that

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:34.139 \rightarrow 00:42:37.110$ we go very slowly and we do lose reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:37.110 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.740$ And then now we're actually

- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00:42:38.740 \rightarrow 00:42:40.736$ randomizing patients and we're up to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00{:}42{:}40{.}736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}42{.}261$ 18 patients currently either treated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00:42:42.261 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.502$ or going to treat soon with the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00:42:44.502 \rightarrow 00:42:46.107$ primary endpoint of response rate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- 00:42:46.110 --> 00:42:47.940 And I can tell you preliminary,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00{:}42{:}47{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}50{.}280$ we are not seeing the same rate of CRS.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00:42:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.911$ We are not seeing the same rate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00:42:51.911 \rightarrow 00:42:53.548$ of infections you see in other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00{:}42{:}53{.}548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}55{.}023$ patients and we're seeing impressive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00:42:55.023 \rightarrow 00:42:56.379$ responses in those patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00:42:56.380 \rightarrow 00:42:57.962$ And then of course the other option
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00{:}42{:}57{.}962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}00{.}141$ is can I use the one and done cartee
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- 00:43:00.141 -> 00:43:01.708 therapy as early as possible when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00{:}43{:}01.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}03.612$ you have less tumor burden and when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00{:}43{:}03.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}05.535$ you have better T cell response
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:05.535 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.580$ and potentially will this be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:07.580 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.245$ curative intent in our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:09.250 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.670$ So we're hoping to open soon the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:11.670 \rightarrow 00:43:14.454$ car T therapy in early precursor settings

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:14.454 \rightarrow 00:43:16.730$ in high risk smoldering myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

00:43:16.730 --> 00:43:18.137 And I can tell you when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:18.137 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.190$ submitted it to the FDA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

00:43:19.190 --> 00:43:20.880 the first thing I got

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

00:43:20.880 --> 00:43:22.232 back was absolutely not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00{:}43{:}22{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}24{.}624$ you're not doing this and we were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00{:}43{:}24{.}624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}26{.}897$ to convince the FDA to give us the Ind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:26.900 \rightarrow 00:43:29.660$ And we're hoping soon to open that trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:29.660 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.494$ So with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:30.494 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.440$ I hope I convince you that early

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:32.504 \rightarrow 00:43:34.589$ detection and early interception in

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00{:}43{:}34{.}589 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}36{.}674$ one disease like myeloma matters.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- 00:43:36.680 00:43:38.384 And hopefully this can be applied
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00{:}43{:}38{.}384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}40{.}374$ to many other diseases and we can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00:43:40.374 \rightarrow 00:43:41.964$ change the survival of our patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- 00:43:41.970 --> 00:43:44.418 And I want to thank of course amazing people,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00{:}43{:}44{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}46{.}980$ the lab, the clinical teams.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- 00:43:46.980 --> 00:43:48.505 And our collaborators from really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00:43:48.505 \rightarrow 00:43:49.725$ all over the world,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00:43:49.730 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.284$ but all of course our funders
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- 00:43:51.284 --> 00:43:53.140 stand up to cancer, MRI, FLS,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- 00:43:53.140 --> 00:43:53.550 NIH,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00{:}43{:}53{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}55{.}190$ our collaboration with gadgets
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00:43:55.190 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.209$ who just basically does everything
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00{:}43{:}57{.}209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}59{.}363$ with us at the Broad Institute
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- $00{:}43{:}59{.}363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}01{.}238$ and above all our patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667
- 00:44:01.240 --> 00:44:01.790 Thank you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85799748

00:44:05.450 --> 00:44:07.690 I mean, absolutely spectacular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85799748

00:44:07.690 --> 00:44:09.160 incredibly, incredibly exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85799748

 $00:44:09.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.435$ So we have doctor nefarious

NOTE Confidence: 0.85799748

 $00{:}44{:}11{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}14{.}670$ here as our panelist too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85799748

 $00{:}44{:}14.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}20.070$ And maybe I have a quick question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85799748

 $00{:}44{:}20.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}22.570$ Do you see correlations between,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85799748

 $00{:}44{:}22{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}25{.}030$ you know, the mutational spectrum and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85799748

 $00{:}44{:}25{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}29{.}270$ then the immune environment? Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.594678492

 $00:44:29.710 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.030$ How do they happen? Yeah, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667

 $00:44:32.040 \rightarrow 00:44:34.776$ haven't even started putting it together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667

 $00:44:34.780 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.844$ I mean it's it's an so if any

NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667

 $00:44:37.844 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.070$ bioinformaticians you have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9466406616666667

00:44:39.070 --> 00:44:40.194 please come because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667

 $00:44:40.194 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.599$ have enough data for many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667

 $00:44:41.600 \rightarrow 00:44:43.616$ many years to analyze the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9466406616666667

 $00:44:43.620 \rightarrow 00:44:46.113$ But yes, now that we have that many samples,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9466406616666667
- $00:44:46.120 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.884$ you can start asking the question
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667
- $00:44:47.884 \rightarrow 00:44:50.499$ in an 1114 or in a certain mutation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667
- $00:44:50.500 \rightarrow 00:44:52.156$ what are the immune, that's regulations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667
- $00{:}44{:}52.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}54.029$ The older samples were very small numbers
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9466406616666667
- 00:44:54.029 --> 00:44:56.100 and of course if you start subdividing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667
- 00:44:56.100 --> 00:44:58.718 if P53 haven't foreseen, you don't have.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9466406616666667
- $00{:}44{:}58.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}59.450$ Of data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667
- $00:44:59.450 \rightarrow 00:45:02.005$ But now as we're enlarging the cohorts,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9466406616666667
- $00{:}45{:}02.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}04.110$ we will start to see that correlation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.11864579
- 00:45:09.990 --> 00:45:11.240 Now you wanna ask a question,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458
- $00{:}45{:}11.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}12.674$ I think there there is a question in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458
- $00{:}45{:}12.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}14.539$ the chat, but Irene congratulations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458
- $00:45:14.539 \rightarrow 00:45:17.054$ on your really tremendous success
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458
- $00{:}45{:}17.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}19.889$ and in terms of promise study,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458
- $00:45:19.890 \rightarrow 00:45:23.026$ I think that's really a successful enrollment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

 $00:45:23.026 \rightarrow 00:45:25.968$ and of extensive data generated there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

00:45:25.970 --> 00:45:28.510 In terms of potential future

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

00:45:28.510 --> 00:45:29.526 clinical applications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

 $00{:}45{:}29{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}31{.}595$ I mean terms like number needed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

 $00{:}45{:}31{.}595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}33{.}608$ screen are used for breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

 $00:45:33.610 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.630$ 80 or 100 seems acceptable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

00:45:35.630 --> 00:45:37.328 What's your sense of number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

 $00{:}45{:}37{.}328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}38{.}805$ needed to screen potentially for

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

 $00{:}45{:}38.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}40.245$ high risk patients with myeloma?

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

 $00:45:40.250 \rightarrow 00:45:43.076$ Or perhaps those with family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

00:45:43.080 --> 00:45:43.690 Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00{:}45{:}43.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}45.344$ great question. And this is indeed

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:45:45.344 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.921$ exactly the question of how can

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:45:46.921 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.199$ we make it standard of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:45:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.604$ what is needed for us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:45:49.604 \rightarrow 00:45:51.140$ switch to an early detection.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333
- $00{:}45{:}51{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}53{.}443$ I think unlike breast cancer and other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333
- $00{:}45{:}53{.}443 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}55{.}733$ solid tumors where you know that if you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333
- $00{:}45{:}55{.}733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}58{.}018$ cut it and the patient survived in mgus,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333
- $00:45:58.020 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.477$ if you find it, what is the,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333
- $00:46:00.480 \rightarrow 00:46:01.912$ what's the relevance, right,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333
- $00:46:01.912 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.344$ because we know sensitivity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333
- $00:46:03.344 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.758$ and specificity is very good.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333
- $00{:}46{:}04.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}06.656$ So that's not the problem that we have.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333
- $00{:}46{:}06{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}09{.}180$ So I think what we have thought
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333
- $00:46:09.180 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.260$ of is actually.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333
- $00:46:10.260 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.510$ That showed that indeed interception
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333
- $00:46:12.510 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.310$ matters because then early
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333
- $00{:}46{:}14.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}16.143$ detection would matter and 13%
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333
- $00{:}46{:}16.143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}17.808$ prevalence is a huge number.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333
- $00{:}46{:}17.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}18.951$ I mean these are not numbers you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:46:18.951 \rightarrow 00:46:20.209$ see in any other cancer right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:46:20.210 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.988$ breast or lung and all of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:46:21.990 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.580$ So a high risk population being African

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

00:46:24.580 --> 00:46:27.101 American or of African descent or

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

00:46:27.101 --> 00:46:28.967 black or first degree family members

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00{:}46{:}28{.}967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}31{.}110$ should be such a low hanging fruit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

00:46:31.110 --> 00:46:33.644 Like you don't need to justify numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:46:33.644 \rightarrow 00:46:35.926$ needed to treat with the 13% prevalence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00{:}46{:}35{.}926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}38{.}770$ And that's just mgus if you add the M

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:46:38.836 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.896 *** which could be the taxing lymphomas.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

00:46:40.900 --> 00:46:42.622 Now we have a huge number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:46:42.622 \rightarrow 00:46:44.084$ people walking around with early

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:46:44.084 \rightarrow 00:46:45.089$ lymphomas and myelomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786183

00:46:46.520 --> 00:46:49.550 And if I, if I may just ask one more in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.885824233125

00:46:49.625 --> 00:46:51.215 of I think you put you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885824233125

 $00:46:51.220 \rightarrow 00:46:53.173$ you had some of this in the slides in

 $00:46:53.173 \rightarrow 00:46:55.452$ terms of you know fasting or metformin

NOTE Confidence: 0.885824233125

 $00:46:55.452 \rightarrow 00:46:56.788$ or other metabolic interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885824233125

 $00:46:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.720$ What's your potential vision on

NOTE Confidence: 0.885824233125

 $00:46:58.720 \rightarrow 00:47:00.650$ preventive intervention for those who

NOTE Confidence: 0.885824233125

00:47:00.710 - 00:47:02.670 you capture as mgus or early stage?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885824233125

00:47:02.670 --> 00:47:03.966 What's your current counseling

NOTE Confidence: 0.885824233125

 $00:47:03.966 \rightarrow 00:47:05.450$ that you provide? Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00{:}47{:}05{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}07{.}134$ so you know the interceptions are

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:07.134 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.867$ easy because I can give something

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:08.867 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.553$ and I can see the response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00{:}47{:}10.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12.877$ But then so many patients have this

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00{:}47{:}12.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}15.043$ earlier factors and there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:15.043 \rightarrow 00:47:16.888$ of questions of obesity microbiome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00{:}47{:}16.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}18.820$ Metabolic pathways, so we're starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:18.820 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.750$ to do now microbiome studies.

 $00:47:20.750 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.418$ We're starting to do metabolic changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:22.418 \rightarrow 00:47:24.480$ and immune and again they come together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.575$ right, the microbiome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00{:}47{:}25{.}575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}27{.}400$ the metabolomics and the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.208$ dysregulation to lead to progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00{:}47{:}29{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}31{.}730$ So a lot of that effort we're starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00{:}47{:}31{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}34{.}034$ to work on because that can also

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:34.034 \rightarrow 00:47:35.498$ be therapeutically intervened with

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:35.498 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.373$ whether you have microbiome therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00{:}47{:}37{.}373 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}39{.}188$ or of course other mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00{:}47{:}39{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}41{.}386$ And then Catherine Mayernik and Betsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:41.386 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.663$ O'Donnell are amazing and trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:43.663 \rightarrow 00:47:45.925$ develop other studies like that metformin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:45.930 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.700$ intermittent fasting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00{:}47{:}46.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}49.010$ Exercise and fitness things that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

00:47:49.010 --> 00:47:51.399 really help modulate the lifestyle of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556
- $00:47:51.399 \rightarrow 00:47:52.959$ patients for modifications basically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556
- $00{:}47{:}52.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55.178$ that can help prevent progression.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.651024306
- 00:47:56.630 --> 00:47:58.020 Yeah, I think your former
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635570715
- 00:47:58.030 00:47:59.428 answer may have to Natalia may
- NOTE Confidence: 0.721241593846154
- $00{:}47{:}59{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}02{.}312$ have answered the question in the chat um
- NOTE Confidence: 0.721241593846154
- 00:48:02.312 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:48:05.510 by um Manju Prasad who's asking is risk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.721241593846154
- $00:48:05.510 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.490$ stratification for mgas being offered
- NOTE Confidence: 0.721241593846154
- $00:48:07.490 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.020$ to patients in the clinical setting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76735268525
- $00{:}48{:}10{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}12{.}456$ Yeah. So actually our publication that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76735268525
- 00:48:12.456 --> 00:48:14.369 just came out yesterday and Nancy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76735268525
- $00:48:14.370 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.214$ mythology was specifically to ask that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76735268525
- $00{:}48{:}17{.}214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}19{.}308$ question because many of our patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76735268525
- $00{:}48{:}19{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}20{.}521$ don't have a bone marrow biopsy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76735268525
- $00{:}48{:}20{.}521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}21{.}907$ So you think they have mgus,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76735268525
- $00{:}48{:}21{.}910$ --> $00{:}48{:}23{.}703$ they actually have smoldering myeloma and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76735268525

 $00{:}48{:}23.703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}26.770$ then you don't even know and as I said the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76735268525

00:48:26.770 --> 00:48:28.512 Clinical annotation of what is mgus

NOTE Confidence: 0.76735268525

 $00{:}48{:}28{.}512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}30{.}548$ and what smoldering myeloma is so

NOTE Confidence: 0.822001147142857

 $00:48:30.560 \rightarrow 00:48:32.765$ hard because the bone marrow is patchy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822001147142857

00:48:32.770 --> 00:48:35.220 So I can have a 10% plasma cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.822001147142857

 $00:48:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.820$ but I'm really mgus or I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.822001147142857

 $00:48:37.820 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.170$ really small ring myeloma. So the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7761632

 $00:48:40.220 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.568$ Pangea model was actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00:48:42.420 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.190$ 6700 participants where we annotated

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00{:}48{:}44{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}46{.}686$ all of their clinical data and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00:48:46.686 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.346$ developed the clinical model of

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00{:}48{:}48{.}346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}50{.}299$ progression based on dynamic numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

00:48:50.300 --> 00:48:51.716 If they're M spike is increasing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00{:}48{:}51{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}53{.}658$ if their light chains chain is

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00:48:53.658 \rightarrow 00:48:55.480$ increasing hemoglobin it would freezing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00:48:55.480 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.479$ creatinine is increasing.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455
- $00:48:56.479 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.477$ Remember all of those are blood
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455
- $00{:}48{:}58{.}477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}00{.}427$ things and then we added bone marrow,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455
- $00:49:00.430 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.347$ uh, as well as age and we did the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455
- $00:49:02.347 \rightarrow 00:49:04.074$ model with or without bone marrow
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455
- $00:49:04.074 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.818$ biopsy to help you really say
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455
- 00:49:05.818 --> 00:49:07.449 if I had a bone marrow biopsy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455
- 00:49:07.450 --> 00:49:08.002 here's the risk,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455
- 00:49:08.002 --> 00:49:09.490 if I don't have the bone marrow box,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455
- $00:49:09.490 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.348$ here's the risk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455
- $00:49:10.348 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.205$ But it was a model for all small ring model.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455
- $00:49:13.205 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.630$ So I would use it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455
- $00{:}49{:}14.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}16.550$ It's available online there is calculated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455
- $00{:}49{:}16.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}18.170$ So look up angia and hopefully
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455
- $00{:}49{:}18.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}19.590$ you'll be able to find.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433
- $00{:}49{:}21.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}25.640$ Other conflicts? And considering the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00:49:25.640 \rightarrow 00:49:27.880$ fact that so many of these younger

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00{:}49{:}27{.}945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}30{.}045$ patients who are diagnosed with full

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

00:49:30.045 - 00:49:32.158 blown myeloma in their 30s or 40s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00{:}49{:}32{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}34{.}648$ you'd have to conceive that there are likely NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00{:}49{:}34{.}648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}37{.}217$ have had endust from their teenage years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00{:}49{:}37{.}220$ --> $00{:}49{:}40{.}541$ So I wonder if you have any germ line NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00{:}49{:}40{.}541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}43{.}510$ genomic data within the within the

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00:49:43.510 \rightarrow 00:49:45.821$ promise cohort or elsewhere? Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00{:}49{:}45{.}821 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}48{.}530$ So we are trying to sequence right now all NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00{:}49{:}48{.}598$ --> $00{:}49{:}51{.}300$ of the samples which won't even sequencing. NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00{:}49{:}51{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}54{.}867$ Uh, the MGB cohort already had their

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00{:}49{:}54.867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}57.069$ smooth arrays or now they're actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00{:}49{:}57.069 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}59.147$ redoing whole thing security in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00{:}49{:}59{.}147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}01{.}268$ same samples and then of course many

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00:50:01.333 \rightarrow 00:50:03.259$ of those other folks had already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00:50:03.260 \rightarrow 00:50:05.018$ So you're right, we're trying to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433
- $00:50:05.018 \dashrightarrow 00:50:08.590$ actually do that all of this data.

00:50:08.590 --> 00:50:12.608 OK, I think they're having some static

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

00:50:12.610 -> 00:50:14.800 from me or from somewhere else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.497013415

00:50:16.170 --> 00:50:19.412 Nope, it's. OK, it may have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.497013415

00:50:19.412 --> 00:50:21.428 your computer, but let me umm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.497013415

 $00{:}50{:}21{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}22{.}310$ so there this Mendez

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933679625

 $00:50:22.320 \rightarrow 00:50:24.824$ is asking a question in the question answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933679625

 $00:50:24.830 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.386$ So how do you think of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933679625

 $00:50:26.386 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.998$ mgip compared to lymphoid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933679625

 $00{:}50{:}28.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}30.758$ clonal hematopoies is and is in GIMP

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933679625

 $00{:}50{:}30{.}758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}32{.}844$ and the absence of lymphoma CL and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933679625

 $00{:}50{:}32{.}844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}34{.}399$ manifestation of lymphoid cloning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933679625

 $00{:}50{:}34{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}38{.}040$ hematopoies is and then any information

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933679625

 $00{:}50{:}38{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}40{.}952$ on overlapping somatic mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933679625

 $00:50:40.960 \rightarrow 00:50:42.528$ So great question. So we work very

 $00:50:42.540 \rightarrow 00:50:44.340$ closely with Ben Ebert and Lachelle

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:50:44.340 \rightarrow 00:50:46.365$ weeks and others to understand really

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

00:50:46.365 --> 00:50:48.275 the interlink between Chip and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

00:50:48.280 --> 00:50:50.786 Mgus and we are, as we speak,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:50:50.790 \rightarrow 00:50:54.998$ trying to sequence all our samples for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00{:}50{:}55{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}56{.}533$ It's hard to know whether there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:50:56.533 \dashrightarrow 00:50:58.200$ an overlap of the mutations or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:50:58.200 \rightarrow 00:51:00.495$ I think we need to 1st see how many of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00{:}51{:}00{.}495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}02{.}754$ do have chip and then we try to understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00{:}51{:}02{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}04{.}712$ We worked with Dan Lando where we took

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00{:}51{:}04{.}712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}06{.}885$ some of our chip samples from myeloma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00{:}51{:}06.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}09.139$ we did the single cell sequencing data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:51:09.140 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.149$ but most of the chip mutations were

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:51:11.149 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.064$ in the myeloid lineage and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:51:13.064 \rightarrow 00:51:14.380$ in the lymphoid lineage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:51:14.380 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.715$ But that brings up the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059
- 00:51:15.715 00:51:16.516 lymphoid chip question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059
- $00:51:16.520 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.996$ And again until we have more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059
- 00:51:17.996 --> 00:51:19.593 data we don't know the answer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059
- $00:51:19.593 \rightarrow 00:51:20.978$ but it's a great question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790463533333333
- $00{:}51{:}22{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}24{.}389$ We have another question from American
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790463533333333
- $00{:}51{:}24{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}26{.}860$ Idol and I think this highlights
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839159103333333
- $00:51:26.870 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.718$ how important is it is that we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839159103333333
- $00{:}51{:}28.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}29.987$ think mechanism and disease
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839159103333333
- $00{:}51{:}29{.}987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}32{.}060$ agnostic and across specialties.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839159103333333
- 00:51:32.060 --> 00:51:33.988 So Amir is of course loving you talk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286
- $00:51:34.000 \rightarrow 00:51:36.926$ And then right we have similar similar
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286
- $00{:}51{:}36{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}42{.}205$ issues in chips because MB spectrum in terms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286
- $00:51:42.205 \rightarrow 00:51:44.413$ of difficulties of response assessment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286
- $00{:}51{:}44{.}413 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}47{.}317$ And So what do you think the primary
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286
- $00{:}51{:}47{.}317 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}50{.}420$ endpoint of early phase trial for high risk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:51:50.420 \rightarrow 00:51:52.284$ smoldering myeloma should be the great?

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:51:52.284 \rightarrow 00:51:53.594$ Question, because if we wait

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

00:51:53.594 --> 00:51:54.860 for progression to myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:51:54.860 \rightarrow 00:51:56.712$ especially if you treat them in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:51:56.712 \rightarrow 00:51:58.060$ observation arm with Rev depth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:51:58.060 \rightarrow 00:52:00.970$ you're wait for another 1520 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:52:00.970 \rightarrow 00:52:03.085$ So we do have a meeting with the FDA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:52:03.090 \rightarrow 00:52:05.941$ which actually is in Madrid to ask those

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:52:05.941 \rightarrow 00:52:07.326$ questions. What are the endpoints?

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:52:07.330 \rightarrow 00:52:08.980$ Can we get accelerated endpoints?

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00{:}52{:}08{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}12{.}050$ Can we look at response, can we look at RT?

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00{:}52{:}12.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}14.802$ Can we consider pure as a sustained MRD

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:52:14.802 \rightarrow 00:52:16.970$ negative disease for four to five years?

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:52:16.970 \rightarrow 00:52:18.728$ These are all great questions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:52:18.728 \rightarrow 00:52:21.104$ we need answers to be able to design

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:52:21.104 \rightarrow 00:52:22.167$ for this property. Yes.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286
- $00:52:22.167 \rightarrow 00:52:23.823$ Let me maybe go back then to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860350535
- $00:52:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.500$ interplay between the immune
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860350535
- $00:52:25.500 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.160$ system and your clone.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860350535
- $00:52:27.160 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.456$ So do you expect that if you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860350535
- $00:52:29.456 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.819$ get rid of the malignant clone,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860350535
- $00{:}52{:}30{.}819 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}33{.}093$ however small, that it would have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860350535
- $00:52:33.093 \rightarrow 00:52:35.600$ an effect on the immune system?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698
- $00{:}52{:}36{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}37{.}180$ Oh, I don't know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698
- $00{:}52{:}37{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}38{.}020$ That's a great question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698
- 00:52:38.020 --> 00:52:39.140 Will it normalize, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698
- $00:52:39.140 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.756$ I mean, if you look at the therapy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698
- $00{:}52{:}40{.}756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41{.}979$ we gave to those patients and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698
- $00:52:41.979 \rightarrow 00:52:43.174$ when they were MRD negative,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698
- $00{:}52{:}43.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}45.380$ they normalized their immune system.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698
- $00{:}52{:}45{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}46{.}720$ But the other question is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:52:46.720 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.792$ which one started first?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:52:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.920$ Is it the chicken and the egg?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:52:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.384$ And was it already an immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:52:50.384 \rightarrow 00:52:51.999$ dysregulation that led to those clones?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

00:52:52.000 --> 00:52:52.350 Growing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00{:}52{:}52{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}54{.}450$ And is that already there even

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

00:52:54.450 --> 00:52:57.263 when you get rid of the MRI of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:52:57.263 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.276$ clone that years and years later

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

00:52:59.276 --> 00:53:01.296 yet another mutation will occur

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:53:01.296 \rightarrow 00:53:03.596$ because the soil is fertile, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00{:}53{:}03{.}596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}05{.}044$ So I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

00:53:05.050 --> 00:53:06.568 And I'd love to get samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:53:06.570 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.382$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:53:07.382 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.006$ from patients before they

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:53:09.006 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.439$ develop mgus so that we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:53:11.439 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.907$ which one happens first.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698
- $00:53:12.910 \rightarrow 00:53:14.548$ But these are all great questions

 $00{:}53{:}14{.}548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}16{.}324$ that we would love to collaborate

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:53:16.324 \rightarrow 00:53:18.530$ with people and answer them together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727924032857143

 $00:53:21.890 \rightarrow 00:53:23.640$ Awesome. We have a little more Natalia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

00:53:24.130 --> 00:53:26.930 Any questions from your team?

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

00:53:26.930 --> 00:53:29.461 Yeah, I mean, I think, uh, perhaps, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:53:29.461 \rightarrow 00:53:32.800$ to answer amers question and perhaps a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

00:53:32.800 - > 00:53:36.136 an immune endpoint should be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

00:53:36.136 --> 00:53:37.804 potential secondary endpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:53:37.810 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.502$ how to normalize that

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

00:53:40.502 --> 00:53:41.848 immunosuppressive environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:53:41.850 \rightarrow 00:53:44.260$ you know what potential interventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:53:44.260 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.188$ strategies like whether it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00{:}53{:}46.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}47.970$ nutritional or microbiome or

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00{:}53{:}47.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}50.030$ metabolomic strategies that could be,

 $00:53:50.030 \rightarrow 00:53:52.207$ I don't think we pay enough attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00{:}53{:}52{.}207 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}53{.}804$ to weight loss interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:53:53.804 \rightarrow 00:53:55.516$ or exercise interventions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:53:55.516 \rightarrow 00:53:57.330$ myeloma and there's so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00{:}53{:}57{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}59{.}202$ Data you made parallels Irene with

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00{:}53{:}59{.}202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}01{.}216$ breast cancer and there's so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:54:01.216 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.636$ commonality between the diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:54:02.640 \rightarrow 00:54:04.204$ the role of inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00{:}54{:}04{.}204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}05{.}377$ the obesity etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:54:05.380 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.500$ So I I don't think we pay enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00{:}54{:}07{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}09{.}254$ attention to those kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:54:09.254 \rightarrow 00:54:10.806$ interventions in myeloma prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00{:}54{:}10.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}12.894$ and even relapse prevention once

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:54:12.894 \rightarrow 00:54:15.039$ you have successfully treated them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:54:15.040 \rightarrow 00:54:16.528$ Your thoughts on that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861487791

00:54:17.700 --> 00:54:19.723 Absolutely. And I think you and Betsy

00:54:19.723 --> 00:54:21.214 O'Donnell would really, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861487791

 $00{:}54{:}21{.}214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}23{.}086$ talk for hours because we're even

NOTE Confidence: 0.861487791

 $00{:}54{:}23.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}24.798$ thinking should we use some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861487791

00:54:24.798 --> 00:54:26.110 those new obesity drugs, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861487791

 $00{:}54{:}26{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}28{.}470$ Like, there are so many things that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.861487791

 $00{:}54{:}28{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}30{.}477$ can do to prevent progression and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.861487791

00:54:30.477 - 00:54:32.970 of them may be in our hands right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.708418995

00:54:35.420 --> 00:54:36.200 Yeah, excellent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78088813625

 $00:54:38.470 \rightarrow 00:54:41.025$ So we're getting close to to running

NOTE Confidence: 0.78088813625

 $00{:}54{:}41.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}44.020$ clock and I don't see additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

 $00{:}54{:}44{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}48{.}590$ questions. Um, well, I'm Erin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

 $00:54:48.590 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.156$ thank you so much for this really

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

 $00:54:51.156 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.204$ spectacular grand rounds and

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

 $00:54:53.204 \rightarrow 00:54:55.320$ congratulations on these amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

 $00:54:55.320 \rightarrow 00:54:58.094$ advances that are clearly, you know,

 $00:54:58.094 \rightarrow 00:55:00.356$ advancing prevention which is so a mazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

 $00{:}55{:}00{.}356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}03{.}022$ for many patients and then treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

00:55:03.022 --> 00:55:05.462 So thank you. Thank you for sticking

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

 $00:55:05.462 \rightarrow 00:55:08.398$ through you know with the zoom only option.

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

00:55:08.400 --> 00:55:10.395 And we look forward to you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

 $00:55:10.400 \rightarrow 00:55:11.780$ getting together in person

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

 $00:55:11.780 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.160$ and collaborating for sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888757123636364

00:55:13.590 --> 00:55:15.140 Absolutely. Thank you again and

NOTE Confidence: 0.888757123636364

 $00:55:15.140 \rightarrow 00:55:16.930$ definitely look forward to seeing you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888757123636364

 $00:55:16.930 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.946$ Not in person, but this was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.888757123636364

00:55:18.946 --> 00:55:21.020 good alternative. Fantastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.74254241

00:55:21.030 --> 00:55:22.656 talk, Harry. Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82808761

 $00:55:22.810 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.920$ Thank you, everyone.