## WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:06:09.1500000"

NOTE recognizability:0.815

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.753344047

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.130 Yeah, petrol. It's my pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.753344047

 $00{:}00{:}02.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}04.260$  to introduce Doctor Mark Rubin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753344047

 $00:00:04.260 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.556$  who is a professor and director

NOTE Confidence: 0.753344047

 $00:00:05.560 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.168$  of Department of Biomedical

NOTE Confidence: 0.753344047

00:00:07.170 --> 00:00:09.190 Research and Burn Center for

NOTE Confidence: 0.753344047

 $00:00:09.190 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.565$  the Precision Medicine at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.830919952

 $00:00:10.580 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.200$  University of Bern in Switzerland. Previously

NOTE Confidence: 0.830048071428571

00:00:13.210 --> 00:00:15.464 was moving to Europe. Six years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830048071428571

 $00{:}00{:}15.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17.180$  Doctor Rubin was a group leader at

NOTE Confidence: 0.830048071428571

 $00{:}00{:}17.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}19.172$  Weill Cornell Medicine Institution that

NOTE Confidence: 0.830048071428571

 $00{:}00{:}19.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21.638$  remained engaged to the prostate spore

NOTE Confidence: 0.8936092275

 $00:00:21.710 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.730$  as a project leader.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

 $00:00:23.870 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.435$  Doctor Rubin is a recognized

 $00{:}00{:}25.435 \rightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.000$  world renowned leader and prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

 $00{:}00{:}27.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.548$  cancer genomics and pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

00:00:28.550 --> 00:00:30.130 And in precision medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

 $00:00:30.130 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.230$  doctor Rubin's laboratory led a series

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

 $00:00:32.230 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.100$  of landmark studies defining distinct

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

00:00:34.100 --> 00:00:36.150 molecular features of prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

 $00:00:36.150 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.555$  revealing pathways that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

 $00:00:37.555 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.230$  perturbed and drive different types

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

 $00:00:39.230 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.814$  of different types of this cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

 $00:00:40.814 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.998$  Furthermore, he has translated many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

 $00{:}00{:}42.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44.790$  the genomic discoveries into clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

00:00:44.790 --> 00:00:46.610 tests that are currently patented

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

 $00{:}00{:}46.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}48.680$  and Stanley used in the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

00:00:48.680 --> 00:00:49.993 and treatment of prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

00:00:49.993 --> 00:00:52.160 He founded the Angler Institute for

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

 $00:00:52.160 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.506$  Precision Medicine and most recently,

 $00:00:53.506 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.102$  the Burn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

 $00:00:54.102 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.808$  Center for Precision Medicine Doctor Rubin

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

00:00:56.808 --> 00:00:58.778 has published around 300 manuscripts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

 $00:00:58.780 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.980$  including those in major top journals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

 $00{:}01{:}00.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}02.884$  Today will tell us about his latest

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

 $00{:}01{:}02.884 \to 00{:}01{:}05.615$  work in the minor spy summit exploring

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

00:01:05.615 --> 00:01:07.004 novel cancer vulnerabilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845784318571429

 $00:01:07.070 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.750$  Doctor Rubin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229190825

 $00{:}01{:}13.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}17.210$  Well, it's great to. Doctor OK, sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74868226

 $00{:}01{:}19.000 --> 00{:}01{:}22.580$  Her. No, no. I thought Katie

NOTE Confidence: 0.845896133333333

 $00:01:22.590 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.886$  would want to say something again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709378

 $00:01:28.380 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.269$  We're OK. So is OK, OK, great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709378

 $00{:}01{:}30.269 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}32.421$  So it's great to be here and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709378

 $00:01:32.421 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.681$  think I last time I was here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709378

 $00:01:34.681 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.200$  definitely over 10 years ago.

00:01:36.200 --> 00:01:38.336 So it's it's great to come back and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709378

00:01:38.336 --> 00:01:40.681 visit and hopefully I can figure out how

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709378

 $00:01:40.681 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.320$  to move the slides forward on this. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:01:45.360 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.139$  There we go. So just the following,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:01:48.140 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.328$  mostly non relevant disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:01:51.328 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.084$  So a lot of people asked in 2017

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

00:01:56.084 --> 00:01:58.386 when I went to Switzerland, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

00:01:58.386 --> 00:02:00.338 what I was going to do and why I was going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:02:00.340 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.384$  And so you heard that there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:02:02.384 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.639$  some initiatives that I was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

00:02:03.640 --> 00:02:04.820 I've been involved in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:02:04.820 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.042$  so setting up the Burn Center for

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00{:}02{:}07.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08.972$  Precision Medicine and running a

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

00:02:08.972 --> 00:02:11.051 new department and reorganizing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:02:11.051 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.458$  department biomedical research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00{:}02{:}12.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.371$  But one of the decisions I made

00:02:14.371 --> 00:02:16.230 when I moved was that instead

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00{:}02{:}16.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}18.174$  of taking projects that I had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00{:}02{:}18.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.480$  I would start from scratch and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

00:02:21.480 --> 00:02:23.328 Really start new projects and just

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00{:}02{:}23.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}26.095$ say I know I see we have a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

00:02:26.095 --> 00:02:28.138 of trainees in the audience and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:02:28.138 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.130$  just think it's a it was a very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00{:}02{:}30.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31.985$  I think it's been an exciting time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

00:02:31.990 --> 00:02:34.348 It didn't count for the pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:02:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.832$  but it's certainly been an exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00{:}02{:}35.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37.450$  time to think about new projects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:02:37.450 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.592$  what you think is important and

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00{:}02{:}39.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}42.344$  actually going in places that feel a

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:02:42.344 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.024$  bit uncomfortable scientifically just

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

00:02:44.024 --> 00:02:46.627 because you need to learn new things.

 $00:02:46.630 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.550$  And so I think I left all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00{:}02{:}48.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}49.994$  projects with this prostate spore

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:02:49.994 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.812$  and we started a new project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00{:}02{:}51.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53.980$  So I'll tell you a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:02:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.932$  two things that we worked on more

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

00:02:55.932 --> 00:02:57.667 recently just as way of background

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

00:02:57.667 --> 00:02:59.928 so many of you are familiar with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:02:59.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.960$  But you know prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00{:}03{:}01.960 \longrightarrow 00{:}03{:}04.291$  but I'll just as a as a means of

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

00:03:04.291 --> 00:03:06.326 background just to remind you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00{:}03{:}06.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08.041$  the landscape for prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:03:08.106 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.821$  therapy has really changed dramatically

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:03:09.821 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.460$  if we go back ten years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:03:13.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.460$  Or 2010, even more than 10 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:03:16.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.320$  it was relatively androgen deprivation

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00{:}03{:}19.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.180$  the rapy based with the taxanes.

00:03:22.180 --> 00:03:24.268 But it's a very different than

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:03:24.268 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.312$  the landscape today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

00:03:25.320 --> 00:03:26.640 And I'm not going,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:03:26.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.960$  I'm not expert oncologists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00{:}03{:}27.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.760$  I'm a pathologist by training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

00:03:29.760 --> 00:03:31.340 And I'm not going to go into all the details,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

00:03:31.340 --> 00:03:33.284 but just to point out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:03:33.284 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.580$  there are many choices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:03:34.580 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.939$  And if you go to clinical meetings

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:03:36.939 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.436$  and listen about, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:03:38.436 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.137$  what's going on just all the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:03:40.140 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.400$  they're new opportunities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00{:}03{:}41.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44.340$  The one thing I would point out

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

00:03:44.419 --> 00:03:47.125 is that as patients are being

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

00:03:47.125 --> 00:03:48.478 treated with antiandrogen,

 $00:03:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.300$  various types of more potent

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00{:}03{:}51.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}53.556$  antiandrogen the rapies such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:03:53.556 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.380$  enzalutamide and abiraterone

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:03:55.380 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.164$  resistances obviously an inevitable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:03:57.164 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.737$  So patients can do well that for a short

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:04:00.737 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.419$  time or they can do well for long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

00:04:03.420 --> 00:04:04.596 Eventually they'll fail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00{:}04{:}04{:}596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}06.556$  but there are other opportunities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:04:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.840$  Now there's PARP inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:04:07.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.120$  so that's quite exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00{:}04{:}09.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}10.470$  Obviously there's immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:04:10.470 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.720$  for such a small subset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:04:12.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.576$  For patients where it seems to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:04:15.576 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.269$  effective and then there's PSA treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:04:18.269 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.902$  or imaging and and treatment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:04:21.902 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.589$  these are again really more therapies.

 $00:04:25.590 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.828$  There's problems with all of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:04:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.870$  but there is a lot more opportunity now

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00{:}04{:}30.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}33.540$  for treating advanced prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:04:33.540 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.473$  Resistance remains a major focus of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00{:}04{:}36.473 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39.870$  labs work and and other labs now to

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:04:39.870 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.960$  try to understand why patients are failing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

00:04:42.960 --> 00:04:44.885 And what I think is very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:04:44.885 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.872$  is to think about in all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.856139078571429

 $00:04:46.872 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.522$  these settings what is the key

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:04:48.583 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.659$  clinical question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:04:49.660 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.766$  at what time should we change

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:04:51.766 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.860$  therapies or introduce new therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00{:}04{:}53.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}55.736$  So that's one of the main things

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

00:04:55.736 --> 00:04:57.500 we're focusing on. So I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:04:57.500 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.940$  make a few comments about lineage,

 $00:04:58.940 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.785$  plasticity and the resistance framework

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00{:}05{:}00.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03.850$  and I'm going to talk a little bit about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:05:03.850 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.322$  Some work that may be relevant to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:05:06.322 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.324$  to the lung spore in the context of

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:05:09.324 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.690$  switch sniff and epigenetic modulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

00:05:11.690 --> 00:05:14.270 And then I'm going to talk about a new story

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:05:14.337 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.157$  that's unpublished that has connections

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:05:16.157 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.750$  with both Yale and Connecticut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00{:}05{:}18.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21.070$  And it's our minor splicing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

00:05:21.070 --> 00:05:23.527 So I have my main goal today will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:05:23.527 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.612$  hopefully to tell you about something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

00:05:25.612 --> 00:05:28.146 you may not know a lot about and maybe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

00:05:28.150 --> 00:05:30.185 maybe we'll all learn something

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

00:05:30.185 --> 00:05:31.813 together about minor splicing

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:05:31.813 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.848$  and maybe also some insights.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:05:33.850 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.334$  As well and that we can talk about them.

 $00:05:36.340 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.716$  So just a few comments about

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:05:38.716 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.300$  resistance and lineage plasticity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:05:40.300 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.197$  I've been showing these slides for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

00:05:42.197 --> 00:05:44.514 few years and I think it it helps me a

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

00:05:44.514 --> 00:05:46.304 lot of giving a framework for thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:05:46.304 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.458$  about what we do clinically in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:05:48.458 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.324$  setting that most in the in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00{:}05{:}51.324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53.893$  that most of the types of the rapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

00:05:53.893 --> 00:05:56.899 are very index oncogenic pathway driven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00{:}05{:}56.900 \to 00{:}05{:}58.916$  So if you have estrogen receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:05:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.040$  if you have her too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00{:}06{:}00.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03.659$  if you have EGFR as a main

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00{:}06{:}03.659 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.210$  pathway that's activated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

00:06:05.210 --> 00:06:06.746 Braf, et cetera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87617127454545500:06:06.746 --> 00:06:07.770 the main, NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455  $00:06:07.770 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.620$  the index oncogenic output is

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00{:}06{:}09.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11.942$  being targeted and so then you

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:06:11.942 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.927$  have resistance related to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:06:13.930 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.826$  But there are alternate effectors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:06:15.826 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.850$  they're also alternate states that can occur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:06:17.850 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.930$  So in the context of prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00{:}06{:}20.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}23.660$  the Andrew receptor is the main

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

00:06:23.660 --> 00:06:26.759 oncogenic target for most of the therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:06:26.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.736$  whether it's Andrew and deprivation therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:06:29.736 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.630$  or targeted ligand targeted therapy or

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00{:}06{:}32.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35.594$  therapy based on decreasing synthesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:06:35.594 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.138$  With androgens or hormones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:06:38.140 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.172$  So you'd expect and and it is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:06:40.172 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.433$  case that most of the mutations are

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:06:42.433 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.720$  resistance occur in the ANGIOMA receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:06:44.720 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.865$  So there's amplifications mutations and

 $00:06:46.865 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.627$  so this is this is what you expect but

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:06:50.627 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.469$  what we also are seeing emerging more

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:06:53.469 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.217$  and more frequently with very potent

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:06:56.217 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.446$  anti ancient therapy are alternate

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

00:06:58.446 --> 00:07:00.984 pathways and this is getting into

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:07:00.984 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.757$  the theme of of lineage plasticity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:07:03.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.460$  So if you switch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:07:05.460 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.010$  From an adenocarcinoma to something else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:07:08.010 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.422$  you're no longer driven,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:07:09.422 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.187$  so you're a RH negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:07:11.190 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.206$  And what are the mechanisms then that

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:07:13.206 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.469$  lead to this resistance is what we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00{:}07{:}15.469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}17.839$  trying to understand and a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:07:17.839 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.247$  other groups are doing the same in prostate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:07:20.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.086$  Now obviously this is very interesting

 $00:07:22.086 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.770$  in other cancers like bladder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:07:23.770 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.089$  lung and breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:07:26.090 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.010$  So just to be specific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:07:28.010 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.522$  as a pathologist we look under the microscope

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:07:30.522 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.026$  and we see this is an adenocarcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:07:33.030 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.107$  it's very pink.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

00:07:34.107 --> 00:07:36.620 The cells have a lot of cytoplasm

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:07:36.702 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.122$  and after antiandrogen therapy a

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:07:39.122 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.542$  certain subset of these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:07:41.550 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.894$  And what I'm showing here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00{:}07{:}42.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}44.513$  just the types of the rapies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

00:07:44.513 --> 00:07:45.845 that patients may receive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

00:07:45.850 --> 00:07:48.268 very strong potent anti entrant therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:07:48.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.710$  but after these therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:07:49.710 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.790$  where most patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876171274545455

 $00:07:50.790 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.470$  almost all patients respond initially,

 $00:07:54.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.976$  many of the patients will have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:07:55.980 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.460$  Resistance and there are many

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

00:07:57.460 --> 00:07:58.644 different flavors of resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:07:58.650 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.268$  the most common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

00:07:59.268 --> 00:08:00.710 so I don't want to mislead you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:08:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.929$  The most common is probably still something

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:08:02.929 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.909$  that looks like an adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00{:}08{:}04.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07.584$  It still can be responsive to more

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00{:}08{:}07.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09.412$  potent antiandrogens, but a subset,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00{:}08{:}09.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.378$  may be 10 to 15% will have

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:08:11.378 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.748$  something that looks like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00{:}08{:}12.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}14.980$  So it's a neuroendocrine prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00{:}08{:}14.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}17.210$  cancer that's no longer responding

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00{:}08{:}17.283 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}19.283$  to AR therapy and something

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:08:19.283 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.283$  usually these patients have very,

00:08:21.290 --> 00:08:22.514 very aggressive disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00{:}08{:}22.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.962$  If you look under the microscope

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

00:08:24.962 --> 00:08:27.390 and perform immunohistochemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:08:27.390 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.288$  you'll see that you have the adenocarcinomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:08:30.288 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.008$  are very positive for Antrim receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:08:33.008 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.816$  expression and are not positive for

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:08:35.816 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.357$  neuroendocrine markers such as synaptophysin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

00:08:38.360 --> 00:08:40.420 And for neuroendocrine cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663598733333333

00:08:40.420 --> 00:08:42.995 you lose AR protein expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:08:43.000 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.855$  Now that's important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00{:}08{:}43.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}45.618$  just one important point, and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

00:08:45.618 --> 00:08:46.854 probably true in many other cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

00:08:46.860 --> 00:08:48.900 If you block A R and now you

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:08:48.900 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.019$  have a neuroendocrine tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:08:51.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.132$  the pathways for AR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00{:}08{:}52.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54.560$  so you might see at the transcript.

 $00:08:54.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.936$  Level an attempts for Andrew receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:08:56.936 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.312$  to produce protein but but protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:08:59.312 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.490$  levels are are usually very low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:09:01.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.415$  So you don't see active

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

00:09:04.415 --> 00:09:06.170 Andrew receptor protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:09:06.170 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.648$  These patients have a very poor outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

00:09:08.650 --> 00:09:12.227 So patients who are now diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:09:12.227 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.270$  neuroendocrine prostate cancer clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00{:}09{:}14.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}16.732$  or by biopsy usually have anywhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:09:16.732 \dashrightarrow 00:09:19.490$  from 7 to 12 months median survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:09:19.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.178$  So very poor and there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:09:22.178 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.970$  very few therapy options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

00:09:23.970 --> 00:09:25.354 In showing this slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:09:25.354 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.921$  I can show that we have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00{:}09{:}27.921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}29.549$  example of a denocarcinoma all

 $00:09:29.549 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.190$  the way to small cell cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00{:}09{:}32.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34.500$  You can see in the adenocarcinoma it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

00:09:34.500 --> 00:09:36.846 positive for PSA which is a surrogate

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:09:36.846 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.790$  for antron singling and that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:09:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.310$  negative for neuroendocrine markers here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:09:41.310 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.676$  And then as the tumor seems to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:09:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.252$  as we see different tumors where

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

00:09:45.252 --> 00:09:46.610 you have this neuroendocrine tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663598733333333

 $00:09:46.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.692$  we see a positivity for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:09:48.692 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.386$  neuroendocrine markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

00:09:49.390 --> 00:09:51.638 Now I think the important point here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:09:51.638 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.526$  an additional comment is that this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:09:53.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.240$  All from the same patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:09:55.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.180$  This patient had metastatic prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:09:57.180 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.564$  cancer and these are from almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00{:}09{:}59.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}01.820$  the same lesion in different areas.

 $00:10:01.820 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.333$  And so it's possible that you not

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:10:04.333 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.803$  only have this trans differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:10:06.803 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.777$  or lineage plasticity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:10:08.780 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.188$  but it may be in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

00:10:11.188 --> 00:10:12.220 of mixed Histology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:10:12.220 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.620$  So I think it's important to just remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:10:15.620 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.936$  that heterogeneities obviously were is

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00{:}10{:}17.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}20.256$  an important component of resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:10:20.260 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.944$  A few years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:10:21.944 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.879$  probably around 2013 we started

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:10:23.879 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.694$  a stand up to cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00{:}10{:}25.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}28.275$  PCF funded prostate Cancer Foundation

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:10:28.275 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.235$  funded trial which was one of the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:10:32.235 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.839$  precision oncology trials or number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:10:34.839 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.500$  of PI's leading this Charles Sawyers,

00:10:37.500 --> 00:10:38.450 Real Shanian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

00:10:38.450 --> 00:10:41.300 Levi Garraway among others if Phil

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

00:10:41.300 --> 00:10:44.750 Kantoff and in this study one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:10:44.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.479$  things that as pathologist was great is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:10:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.552$  is that we're able to look at now

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

00:10:49.552 --> 00:10:51.784 over 1000 cases of patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:10:51.784 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.428$  were prospectively collected who

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

00:10:53.428 --> 00:10:55.639 were failing Andrew and decoration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663598733333333

 $00:10:55.640 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.480$  Therapy and one sort or the other from

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:10:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.176$  multiple institutions and we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663598733333333

 $00:11:00.176 \dashrightarrow 00:11:02.030$  ask the question as a pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00{:}11{:}02.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}04.074$  If we used AR signaling as one

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00{:}11{:}04.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}05.977$  score to look how a denocarcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:11:05.977 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.889$  tumor was and neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.866359873333333

 $00:11:07.889 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.801$  signaling because we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00{:}11{:}09.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12.159$  transcriptomic data as to how

00:11:12.159 --> 00:11:13.530 neuroendocrine tumor was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00{:}11{:}13.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}15.756$  we might expect to see 2A division

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:11:15.756 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.376$  into 2 camps and we could expect that

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:11:18.376 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.838$  there might be a third gradient of

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:11:20.838 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.226$  what tumors that are in transition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:11:23.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.730$  This is the real data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

00:11:24.730 --> 00:11:26.770 So it looks doesn't look like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00{:}11{:}26.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28.714$  Seven, I think this was at the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:11:28.714 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.898$  we had around 500 cases, but many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

00:11:30.898 --> 00:11:33.166 many of the cases are sitting here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:11:33.170 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.450$  so high anger receptor signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:11:36.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.574$  only some of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:11:37.574 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.260$  So I mentioned about 15 percent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:11:39.260 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.095$  10 to 15% of our cases showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

00:11:42.095 --> 00:11:42.905 neuroendocrine features.

00:11:42.910 --> 00:11:45.122 What was surprising and this is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00{:}11{:}45.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47.040$  the first prospective study that I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:11:47.040 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.090$  aware of this or have been involved in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:11:49.090 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.818$  in prostate cancer in this setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:11:50.818 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.184$  was that when we looked in the this

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

00:11:53.184 --> 00:11:55.337 quadrant here where we expect to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:11:55.337 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.515$  these very blue cells and neuroendocrine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:11:57.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.235$  So they showed you we see things

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00{:}11{:}59.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}00.847$  that don't quite look like

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

00:12:00.847 --> 00:12:02.080 neuroendocrine prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00{:}12{:}02.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03.500$  they look like something else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

00:12:03.500 --> 00:12:06.020 So it has a squamous appearance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:12:06.020 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.940$  take my word for it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

00:12:06.940 --> 00:12:07.920 if you're not a pathologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:12:07.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.272$  but it doesn't look like a

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:12:10.272 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.448$  typical neuroendocrine tumor.

 $00:12:11.450 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.991$  And then in area where we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

00:12:13.991 --> 00:12:16.038 very strong expression for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

00:12:16.038 --> 00:12:17.806 answer and receptor signaling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

00:12:17.810 --> 00:12:20.150 not just Andrew receptor but signaling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:12:20.150 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.435$  we see something that looks

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

00:12:21.435 --> 00:12:22.463 like a neuroendocrine cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:12:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.610$  These were not mixed up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:12:23.610 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.612$  We confirmed everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:12:24.612 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.190$  So I think what is very important is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:12:27.190 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.886$  is that the Histology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00{:}12{:}28.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}31.430$  so the phenotype and the genotype

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:12:31.513 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.485$  don't necessarily correlate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00{:}12{:}33.485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}37.065$  well and this causes obviously a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

00:12:37.065 --> 00:12:39.249 of confusion for classification,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:12:39.250 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.638$  which is very important for treatment.

 $00:12:41.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.576$  This is a case that's in the middle

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:12:43.576 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.917$  and it shows a very unusual Histology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:12:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.960$  So for somebody doing prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

00:12:47.960 --> 00:12:50.000 pathology for many years now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:12:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.184$  we usually see certain morphologies but

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

00:12:52.184 --> 00:12:54.900 we don't see these odd looking nuclei.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:12:54.900 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.042$  And I think this is really related

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:12:57.042 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.600$  to a few factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00{:}12{:}58.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01.432$  but at least one factor is that patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:01.432 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.057$  are getting very potent anti androgens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00{:}13{:}04.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06.314$  Another factor is that they're living longer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.300$  so they're able to survive longer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:08.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.040$  So we're seeing changes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:10.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.432$  we previously hadn't seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.328$  So I think this is part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:13.328 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.538$  natural evolution of the cancer.

 $00:13:14.540 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.556$  It's just that maybe we haven't seen this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

00:13:16.560 --> 00:13:17.904 And then in prostate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:17.904 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.920$  maybe in other cancers as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:19.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.380$  metastatic biopsies are not the norm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:22.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.270$  So usually we're not actually seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

00:13:24.270 --> 00:13:26.680 what's going on as you treat patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:26.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.158$  So this was eye opening for me

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:29.158 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.220$  as a pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:30.220 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.822$  When we looked at the molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:31.822 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.539$  alterations in some of these cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:33.540 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.424$  they tended to have P53 and RB

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:36.424 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.692$  alterations in these cases as

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00{:}13{:}38.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}40.580$  well as in neuroendocrine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.600$  I'll talk a little bit more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714 00:13:41.600 --> 00:13:42.274 About that. NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714 00:13:42.274 --> 00:13:44.633 But this leaves us with a picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00{:}13{:}44.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47.029$  where we have a spectrum of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

00:13:47.030 --> 00:13:48.845 It's very difficult just looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:48.845 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.890$  under the microscope to classify it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:50.890 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.416$  So it really suggested to us that

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:52.416 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.164$  we need to come up with other

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:54.164 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.204$  ways of classifying it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:55.210 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.950$  And I would say right now we don't have that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818726499285714

 $00:13:57.950 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.306$  I mean, we're moving towards that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:13:59.310 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.165$  but we don't really have

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:14:01.165 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.649$  that established to date.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:14:02.650 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.760$  So as I just mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:14:05.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.824$  the phenotype and the scores or

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:14:07.824 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.115$  the signaling scores that you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:14:10.115 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.807$  from transcriptomics don't match.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:14:11.810 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.276$  Perfectly so. And we also recognize

 $00:14:14.276 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.920$  they're probably intermediate states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00{:}14{:}15.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}18.174$  So I think those are important observations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:14:18.180 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.042$  So we start out with a model where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

00:14:21.042 --> 00:14:24.116 wanted to look at very discrete differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:14:24.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.524$  adenocarcinoma and neuroendocrine tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:14:26.524 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.940$  In fact, when we look more carefully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:14:28.940 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.034$  what we see is a whole collection

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:14:32.034 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.360$  of intermediate morphologies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:14:33.360 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.888$  genotype and also transcriptomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:14:35.888 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.416$  and probably epigenetic alterations

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:14:38.416 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.869$  about the same time we wanted to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

00:14:41.870 --> 00:14:43.802 A very focused study at looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00{:}14{:}43.802 --> 00{:}14{:}45.784$  at these extremes to ask the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:14:45.784 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.989$  question what are some of the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:14:47.989 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.800$  factors that might play a role.

00:14:49.800 --> 00:14:54.264 So genomics but also epigenetics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:14:54.264 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.670$  transcriptomics were applied then to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876687335555556 00:14:57.670 --> 00:14:58.381 A set of, NOTE Confidence: 0.876687335555556

 $00:14:58.381 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.002$  I think at the time 50 or 60 cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:15:01.002 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.237$  where we had bonafide neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

00:15:03.237 --> 00:15:05.632 cancers diagnosed by pathology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:15:05.632 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.097$  adenocarcinomas and we compared them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

00:15:08.100 --> 00:15:09.472 And I'm not going to go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.876687335555556

00:15:09.472 --> 00:15:10.060 these published studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

00:15:10.060 --> 00:15:11.116 but I just want to highlight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876687335555556

00:15:11.120 --> 00:15:14.130 I think the important finding for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

00:15:14.130 --> 00:15:17.757 was that we expected to see some some

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:15:17.760 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.935$  really exciting genomic alteration that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:15:19.935 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.675$  would characterize the difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:15:21.680 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.640$  but we didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

00:15:22.640 --> 00:15:24.880 What we really see is a commonality

 $00:15:24.944 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.960$  that RB1 and P53 are very commonly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

00:15:27.960 --> 00:15:30.246 Altered in the small cell phenotype,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:15:30.250 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.826$  less commonly altered in the adenocarcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:15:33.830 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.152$  but we do see it and that in general

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:15:36.152 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.488$  the overlap in genomic alterations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:15:38.490 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.518$  copy number alterations is very similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:15:40.518 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.618$  except for let's say the Andrew

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:15:42.618 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.682$  receptor where that would be something

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

00:15:44.682 --> 00:15:46.778 that's very different and altered in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00{:}15{:}46.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.470$  adenocarcinoma but not in the small cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:15:50.470 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.886$  When we looked at the data in combination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:15:52.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.474$  so looking at DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00{:}15{:}54.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56.850$  RNA and epigenetics and then asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:15:56.926 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.491$  the question what which element

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:15:59.491 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.543$  best explained the differences

 $00:16:01.543 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.528$  between the two phenotypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00{:}16{:}03.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05.095$  I think importantly the numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:16:05.095 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.660$  may differ depending on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:16:06.718 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.788$  configuration of the of the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:16:08.790 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.470$  But certainly epigenetics or methylation

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

00:16:11.470 --> 00:16:14.150 helped explain the difference quite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:16:14.150 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.430$  quite well in this population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

00:16:16.430 --> 00:16:17.522 And I'm not going to say too

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

00:16:17.522 --> 00:16:18.210 much more about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:16:18.210 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.250$  but we think methylation or epigenetic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:16:20.250 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.250$  Alterations are very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:16:22.250 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.733$  So here's a figure that we made

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:16:25.733 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.449$  for a review and the concept was

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00{:}16{:}28.449 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}31.649$  that at some point adenocarcinomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766873355555600:16:31.650 --> 00:16:32.356 there's a, NOTE Confidence: 0.876687335555556

 $00:16:32.356 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.121$  there's an inflection point where

 $00:16:34.121 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.509$  adenocarcinomas maybe go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00{:}16{:}35.509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}37.406$  some sort of stem like state and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:16:37.406 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.980$  then undergo lineage plasticity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:16:38.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.898$  Now I think for people in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

00:16:40.898 --> 00:16:41.720 lung cancer field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

00:16:41.720 --> 00:16:44.180 this was something not unexpected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:16:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.623$  but this is something we wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

00:16:46.623 --> 00:16:48.671 explore in prostate as well because

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:16:48.671 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.841$  we think that at some point he's

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00{:}16{:}50.914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}53.159$  around 10 to 15% of the cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

00:16:53.159 --> 00:16:55.980 Are no longer responding to AR driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00{:}16{:}56.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58.435$  the rapies and become a RH negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00{:}16{:}58.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}01.998$  in a sense that then they will then

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:17:01.998 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.368$  either trans differentiate to small

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:17:04.368 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.170$  cell or AR negative neuroendocrine negative.

00:17:08.170 --> 00:17:10.384 So another type of air negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

00:17:10.384 --> 00:17:12.687 phenotype and that's I think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:17:12.687 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.943$  was supported by some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

00:17:14.943 --> 00:17:17.069 morphologies we saw from the trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87668733555556

 $00:17:17.070 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.390$  I list a number of studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:17:18.390 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.315$  I'll just very briefly make a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

00:17:20.315 --> 00:17:21.879 comments about the Polycom gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00:17:21.880 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.218$  so easy H2. And also the switch

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00:17:24.218 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.079$  sniff work that we've done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00{:}17{:}26.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.744$  but I I think others are are quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00{:}17{:}28.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30.977$  interested also for the lung cancer spore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

00:17:30.980 --> 00:17:32.916 It's probably quite interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:17:32.916 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.852$  thinking about epigenetic regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00:17:34.852 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.818$  and where we stand today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:17:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.367$  So I think this is a very nice review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00:17:39.370 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.156$  They came out after two papers were

 $00:17:42.156 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.276$  published in science suggesting that

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00{:}17{:}44.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}47.258$  there's a stem like state that occurs

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

00:17:47.258 --> 00:17:49.211 before you go to neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:17:49.211 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.716$  or to a negative state and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:17:51.716 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.346$  one of the key players.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:17:53.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.738$  It's probably easy H2 so a Polycom

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00:17:56.738 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.058$  gene that's responsible for repression

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00:17:59.058 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.194$  of of a large number of of genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00{:}18{:}02.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}04.700$  associated with AR signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:18:04.700 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.541$  And this is just one key experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00:18:06.541 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.274$  from one of the papers from

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

00:18:08.274 --> 00:18:10.454 David Goodrich's group where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00{:}18{:}10.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}13.280$  demonstrate that if you knock out

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

00:18:13.363 --> 00:18:16.040 in a mouse model P53 and RB and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:18:16.040 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.200$  that's what's shown here the the

 $00:18:18.279 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.543$  tumors are no longer sensitive to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:18:21.543 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.719$  the potent antiandrogen enzalutamide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:18:23.720 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.752$  And so you could see that the tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

00:18:25.752 --> 00:18:27.754 are now continuing to grow even

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:18:27.754 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.549$  in the presence of enzalutamide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

00:18:29.550 --> 00:18:31.558 If you use EH2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:18:31.558 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.068$  you can reactivate or resensitize

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

00:18:34.068 --> 00:18:37.353 these tumors to the epigenetic drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:18:37.353 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.616$  that are used now clinically for

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00:18:40.616 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.934$  as easy as two inhibitors and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

00:18:43.934 --> 00:18:45.570 can reactivate air sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00:18:45.570 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.724$  So this is just a concept that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:18:48.724 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.920$  a stem like state that's potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:18:50.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.920$  reversible and I think that's an interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00:18:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.628$  Concept there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

00:18:54.628 --> 00:18:57.106 I'm happy to discuss more about those.

00:18:57.110 --> 00:18:58.850 There's some controversy a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

00:18:58.850 --> 00:18:59.894 bit about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:18:59.900 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.528$  but I'll just leave it at that for now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:19:02.530 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.030$  We were interested in the other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

00:19:04.030 --> 00:19:06.564 the flip side of the Polycom complex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:19:06.570 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.175$  which is a repressive complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

00:19:08.175 --> 00:19:10.190 looking at the switch sniff complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00{:}19{:}10.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}12.633$  and the things that really were of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

00:19:12.633 --> 00:19:14.238 particular interest to us were one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:19:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.270$  that there's an embryonic switch

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00:19:16.270 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.799$  sniff complex that goes to other

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:19:18.799 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.089$  types of complexes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:19:20.090 \dashrightarrow 00:19:22.835$  So you can have this a stem like complex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667 00:19:22.840 --> 00:19:23.818 but it also. NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00:19:23.818 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.774$  A neuronal complex and the idea

 $00:19:25.774 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.177$  was that in development it's very

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00{:}19{:}28.177 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30.700$  important that you're able to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

00:19:30.700 --> 00:19:32.940 neural development and switch sniff

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00{:}19{:}32.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}35.326$  complex activates and can facilitate

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00:19:35.326 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.256$  a neural development and playing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

00:19:38.256 --> 00:19:42.394 an important role that H3K27 marks

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:19:42.394 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.188$  of escalation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00:19:44.190 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.278$  So this is important in development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:19:46.280 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.088$  but in cancer it's also known

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00:19:49.088 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.620$  that that the switch sniff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:19:51.620 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.583$  particularly the ATP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:19:52.583 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.222$  Asus market two and Smart K for are

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00{:}19{:}55.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}57.184$  often altered and a particular interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00:19:57.184 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.987$  in many of the synthetic lethal screens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

00:19:59.990 --> 00:20:02.286 So Mark four and smart K2 come

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00{:}20{:}02.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05.433$  up as sort of key findings and so

 $00{:}20{:}05.433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08.216$  there's been a great interest in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:20:08.216 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.696$  translationally developing drugs that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

00:20:10.696 --> 00:20:13.726 would knockout smarca 2 specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

00:20:13.726 --> 00:20:17.807 In smart key for ultra tumors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

00:20:17.807 --> 00:20:20.896 unfortunately as many of us are

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

00:20:20.896 --> 00:20:23.301 aware and knowing what's happened,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

00:20:23.310 --> 00:20:25.128 it's very difficult to actually have

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00{:}20{:}25.128 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}20{:}27.029$  a specific smart gate 2 inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:20:27.030 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.858$  So most of whether it's an ATP

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

00:20:29.858 --> 00:20:31.930 ACE inhibitor or a protac,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835506886666667

 $00:20:31.930 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.080$  this has been a difficult,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:20:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.884$  it's been challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83550688666667

 $00:20:33.884 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.760$  So most of the work is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.88847246047619

 $00:20:35.824 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.840$  really focused on knocking out both

NOTE Confidence: 0.88847246047619

 $00:20:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.800$  and creating a synthetic lethality.

 $00:20:41.930 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.562$  What we found in a sort of as

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:20:44.562 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.570$  as is very common in prostate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:20:47.570 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.880$  so prostate tends to prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:20:49.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.710$  Research tends to look at things

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:20:51.710 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.930$  differently because for whatever

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

00:20:52.983 --> 00:20:54.699 reason prostate is a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:20:54.699 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.843$  different than other cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

00:20:55.850 --> 00:20:58.643 And we were interested in looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

00:20:58.643 --> 00:21:00.739 the overexpression of smart Guy 4,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:21:00.740 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.721$  which is not usually the case in

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00{:}21{:}02.721 \longrightarrow 00{:}21{:}05.165$  in many of the tumors that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:21:05.165 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.070$  lost smart gave for expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00{:}21{:}07.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}09.435$  So these are complicated complexes

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00{:}21{:}09.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11.327$  and I'll simplify it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:21:11.330 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.448$  By just saying that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00{:}21{:}13.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.224$  working component which is a

00:21:15.224 --> 00:21:17.006 TPA and there are two paralogs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

00:21:17.010 --> 00:21:18.746 mark four and smart K2 and fortunately

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:21:18.746 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.290$  they also have different names.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

00:21:20.290 --> 00:21:22.747 So there's Brahma and Berg one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:21:22.750 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.130$  but I'll just call it smart K4 and smart K2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:21:26.130 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.975$  And the idea is that most of the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:21:27.975 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.927$  is going and targeting these and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00{:}21{:}29.927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}31.863$  were interested to see what happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:21:31.863 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.367$  in prostate cancer progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00{:}21{:}33.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35.680$  whether there were mutations or

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

00:21:35.680 --> 00:21:38.510 alterations in these in the ATP Aces.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:21:38.510 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.950$  What we found is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00{:}21{:}39.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}41.774$  is that when we look there's as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00{:}21{:}41.774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}43.769$  look in prostate cancer progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00{:}21{:}43.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}46.458$  we sent to see an increase in smart

 $00:21:46.458 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.050 \text{ K4}$  and a decrease in smart K2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:21:49.050 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.444$  So that's a little bit different than

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:21:51.444 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.790$  what's seen in some other cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:21:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.150$  but the other important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

00:21:55.150 --> 00:21:57.739 Features as you see as you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00{:}21{:}57.739 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}59.659$  at prostate cancer progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:21:59.660 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.768$  we also see an increase in some

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

00:22:02.768 --> 00:22:05.285 of the neural components of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00{:}22{:}05.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}07.655$  switch sniff complex that are there

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:22:07.655 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.431$  associated with the the neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00{:}22{:}09.431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}11.574$  complex which is called back 53B as

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:22:11.574 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.550$  one of the as one of the proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:22:13.550 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.350$  So just to visualize this as a pathologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

00:22:16.350 --> 00:22:18.638 their core complexes don't change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:22:18.640 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.226$  So going from localized disease or

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00{:}22{:}21.226 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.638$  benign tissue to advanced disease

00:22:23.638 --> 00:22:26.106 that's neuroendocrine positive you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00{:}22{:}26.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}27.972$  You don't see any differences but what

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:22:27.972 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.226$  you do see is the neural marker shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:22:30.226 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.385$  here back 53B is only expressed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:22:32.385 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.450$  their endocrine tumor as you'd expect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:22:34.450 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.042$  And what we see here is that smart K4,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:22:37.050 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.516$  so one of the two ATP A says a

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

00:22:39.516 --> 00:22:41.613 paralogs is very highly expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

00:22:41.613 --> 00:22:43.793 in the neuroendocrine tumors or

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:22:43.793 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.252$  maybe the stem like type tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:22:46.252 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.399$  we see smart K2 is not expressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:22:48.399 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.097$  So we were originally thinking that

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

00:22:50.097 --> 00:22:51.870 for us would be very interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:22:51.870 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.850$  interesting to modulate smart K4

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:22:53.850 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.370$  in the contest of prostate cancer.

00:22:56.370 --> 00:22:57.510 And just as an aside,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:22:57.510 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.330$  we don't see any mutations that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:23:00.330 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.780$  seen in other cancers in either of

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:23:02.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.541$  the of the paralogs or in any of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:23:05.541 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.288$  of the Swiss sniff complex members.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:23:08.290 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.250$  When we look at pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:23:10.250 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.036$  again if you look at cases where

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

00:23:13.036 --> 00:23:14.948 you have adenocarcinoma here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00{:}23{:}14.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}16.604$  then you have some neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:23:16.604 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.848$  cancer in the exact same tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00{:}23{:}18.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}20.313$  you can very nicely see some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:23:20.313 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.809$  the things I'm telling you about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00{:}23{:}21.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}24.642$  So the small cell expression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:23:24.642 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.530$  synaptophysin the scene here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:23:26.530 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.616$  The BAT 53B,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:23:27.616 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.788$  which again is a neural component,

00:23:29.790 --> 00:23:33.120 neural protein component of the Swiss

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00{:}23{:}33.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}35.950$  sniff complex is expressed there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:23:35.950 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.710$  but not in the adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

 $00:23:37.710 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.760$  So that's important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811509029285714

00:23:38.760 --> 00:23:41.210 And then here is I think a

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

 $00:23:41.286 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.408$  very important finding at least in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

00:23:44.408 --> 00:23:47.558 published paper where we took an organoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

 $00{:}23{:}47.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}49.718$  that was a neuroendocrine organoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

 $00{:}23{:}49.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}52.061$  and from a patient and looked at it

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

 $00{:}23{:}52.061 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54.190$  now this we're seeing heterogeneity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

 $00:23:54.190 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.335$  So that's one thing that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

00:23:55.335 --> 00:23:56.910 important is even in a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

 $00{:}23{:}56.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}58.502$  Private organoid this passage

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

 $00:23:58.502 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.492$  many times we see heterogeneity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

 $00:24:00.500 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.348$  And what's interesting here is smart

 $00:24:02.348 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.738$  guy four is expressed here, socks two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

 $00{:}24{:}04.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06.833$  So transcription factor that's very

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

 $00{:}24{:}06.833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}09.618$  much involved in stemness is expressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

 $00:24:09.620 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.532$  But the neural markers that I mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

00:24:12.532 --> 00:24:14.460 back 53B are not expressed here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

 $00:24:14.460 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.520$  but they are expressed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

 $00:24:16.520 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.580$  the Smart Gate 2 area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

 $00:24:18.580 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.044$  So it looks like the paralogs play a

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

 $00:24:21.044 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.878$  different role in modulating them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

 $00:24:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.760$  May do something very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

 $00:24:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.704$  And so we think that there's a dynamic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

00:24:27.710 --> 00:24:28.718 Activity going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

 $00:24:28.718 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.070$  you have some cells that are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

00:24:31.136 --> 00:24:33.251 poised to be neuroendocrine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

 $00:24:33.251 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.425$  others that are potentially that

NOTE Confidence: 0.830189032666667

 $00{:}24{:}35.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}37.800$  are potentially still very stem

 $00:24:37.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.700$  like and potentially reversible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746438354

00:24:44.880 --> 00:24:47.860 David Goodrich, who and collaborations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746438354

 $00:24:47.860 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.121$  has shared some of the organizers he's

NOTE Confidence: 0.746438354

 $00:24:50.121 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.320$  developed through some of these mouse models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746438354

 $00:24:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.070$  So I mentioned that he's

NOTE Confidence: 0.746438354

 $00:24:54.070 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.470$  developed mouse models where

NOTE Confidence: 0.746438354

 $00:24:55.470 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.029$  he's done knockout of P53 and RB.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746438354

 $00:24:58.029 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.994$  They've also knocked out P-10.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746438354

 $00:25:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.928$  And so in black we have P-10 knocked

NOTE Confidence: 0.746438354

00:25:02.928 --> 00:25:06.106 out in blue we have both RB and P-10.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746438354

00:25:06.106 --> 00:25:08.220 And in red we had we include,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746438354

 $00:25:08.220 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.020$  he included P53 knockout in

NOTE Confidence: 0.746438354

 $00{:}25{:}10.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11.460$  these various mouse models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746438354

 $00:25:11.460 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.440$  And you can see that in the mouse models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746438354

 $00:25:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.359$  You also have the same observation that

00:25:16.359 --> 00:25:19.765 smart K4 goes up when you knock out a RB1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746438354

 $00:25:19.765 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.885$  And a little bit of the controversy is

NOTE Confidence: 0.746438354

 $00{:}25{:}21.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}23.905$  whether you need P53 or not because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.746438354

 $00:25:23.905 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.043$  don't see much change when you add P53,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746438354

 $00:25:26.043 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.581$  but that's a sort of another

NOTE Confidence: 0.746438354

00:25:28.581 --> 00:25:30.410 discussion and then smart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746438354

 $00{:}25{:}30.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31.890$  The two goes down dramatically

NOTE Confidence: 0.746438354

 $00:25:31.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.370$  when you knock out either

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:25:35.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.960$  P53RB alone or with P53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:25:37.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.600$  There's some other interesting findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:25:40.600 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.235$  The methyl transferases

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:25:42.235 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.960$  increase when you do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

00:25:44.960 --> 00:25:46.535 and I'm not going to talk about it today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:25:46.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.754$  but there's I think an interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

00:25:48.754 --> 00:25:51.588 story related to the epigenetic side of

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:25:51.588 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.591$  methylation that also occurs in this setting.

 $00:25:54.600 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.000$  One other, I think important

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:25:56.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.790$  point is in this mouse model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:25:57.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.709$  we then did proteomics on the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:26:00.709 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.799$  derived organoids from this model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:26:02.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.475$  And what's interesting and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

00:26:04.475 --> 00:26:06.851 you'd expect is if you have these

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:26:06.851 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.759$  models where you knock it out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:26:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.790$  that we see overexpression of socks too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

00:26:10.790 --> 00:26:12.488 which I showed you in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:26:12.488 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.620$  in the human samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:26:13.620 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.245$  We also have smart K fours overexpressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:26:16.250 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.660$  But what's quite interesting is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00{:}26{:}17.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20.210$  is that if you take this model out of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

00:26:20.281 --> 00:26:22.831 mouse and now put it and just grow it in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:26:22.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.163$  in, in, in.

00:26:24.163 --> 00:26:26.809 In vitro should say in vitro,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:26:26.810 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.599$  not in vivo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

00:26:27.599 --> 00:26:29.177 What ends up happening is you

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

00:26:29.177 --> 00:26:30.930 don't see these changes anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:26:30.930 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.988$  So just one other comment that environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00{:}26{:}32.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}34.865$  we think is very important and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:26:34.865 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.330$  think when do a lot of us when we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:26:37.330 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.000$  doing the our organoid experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:26:39.000 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.645$  we have to be mindful that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:26:40.645 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.150$  results could be very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:26:42.150 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.589$  And there's I think more to come about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:26:44.590 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.912$  I think Walter Carter who's was

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00{:}26{:}46.912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49.747$  at memorial now is in Lisanne and

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:26:49.747 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.561$  others are working very much in this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:26:52.570 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.019$  in this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:26:54.019 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.160$  So just a final comments about switch sniffs.

 $00{:}26{:}58.160 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}27{:}01.220$  So we were sort of surprised

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:27:01.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.240$  at the end of 21,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:27:04.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.352$  so beginning of 22 to see a paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

00:27:06.352 --> 00:27:08.386 from rural Shanes group because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:27:08.386 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.546$  were we've been following this field

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

00:27:10.613 --> 00:27:12.839 for awhile and we've known about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

00:27:12.839 --> 00:27:15.192 toxicity for the Protex if you try

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:27:15.192 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.777$  to target switch net and they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:27:17.777 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.267$  very nice paper where they developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:27:20.267 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.777$  a protech that was not a toxic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:27:22.780 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.355$  So they showed not a lot of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:27:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.898$  Very nice toxicology data from their

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00{:}27{:}26.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}29.383$  in their paper that's not toxic

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:27:29.383 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.882$  and at least the mouse models that

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:27:31.882 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.145$  they show and that the switch sniff

00:27:35.145 --> 00:27:38.895 protect for a combined smart A4

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00{:}27{:}38.895 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}27{:}42.455$  and smart K2 are exquisitely potent

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00{:}27{:}42.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.530$  against AR sensitive prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:27:45.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.910$  And so we found that quite interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:27:47.910 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.381$  We we have been following up we

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:27:50.381 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.385$  had actually been working on

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

00:27:52.385 --> 00:27:54.550 something similar and and have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:27:54.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.940$  Come to a similar conclusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00{:}27{:}55.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58.652$  but extend it a little bit and just

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:27:58.652 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.249$  point out that our collaborators Uchen

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00{:}28{:}01.249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}04.472$  and Ekta Karana who was formerly a

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

00:28:04.472 --> 00:28:06.794 trainee and Mark Burstein is here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:28:06.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.998$  I had a very nice paper in

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:28:08.998 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.849$  science where they used a taxi,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:28:10.850 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.640$  so an epigenetic approach to

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

00:28:12.640 --> 00:28:14.430 classify prostate cancer and I

 $00:28:14.497 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.315$  won't go into all the details,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00{:}28{:}16.320 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}28{:}19.014$  but against essentially in addition to

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

00:28:19.014 --> 00:28:21.340 AR sensitive advanced prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:28:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.594$  they also came up with the wind

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:28:23.594 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.948$  signaling pathway, a stem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:28:24.948 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.010$  Like and neuroendocrine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

00:28:26.010 --> 00:28:28.362 So I think it's a good working

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:28:28.362 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.830$  classification for these advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:28:29.830 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.188$  cancers more than just air negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00{:}28{:}32.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}34.206$  but air negative could be stem like it

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:28:34.206 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.480$  could be when singling or neuroendocrine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820125292

 $00:28:36.480 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.780$  I'm sure this will change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00{:}28{:}37.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39.468$  but I think it's a very nice study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00{:}28{:}39.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}42.260$  So when we applied this classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:28:42.260 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.795$  and used a protect that we had

00:28:45.795 --> 00:28:47.915 acquired in collaboration with

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00{:}28{:}47.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}49.970$  Genentech that was recently published

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

00:28:49.970 --> 00:28:52.732 for lung cancer and very nice study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:28:52.732 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.624$  we were also able to demonstrate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

00:28:55.630 --> 00:28:58.100 Exquisite sensitivity to air positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

00:28:58.100 --> 00:29:01.036 but also to some of the other subclasses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:29:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.260$  so extending it beyond air sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:29:03.260 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.852$  So we think that these are very useful

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:29:06.852 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.112$  approaches of unfortunately that toxicity

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:29:09.112 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.340$  at least in our hands is very high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:29:12.340 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.986$  And so I think the strategy now is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:29:14.986 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.628$  to try to come up with more specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

00:29:17.630 --> 00:29:19.838 They're smart K2 inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

00:29:19.838 --> 00:29:21.494 but for prostate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:29:21.500 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.453$  the question is should we also be

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:29:23.453 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.060$  looking for smart K4 inhibitor?

 $00:29:25.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.300$  And so that's something that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:29:26.300 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.044$  of great interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:29:27.050 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.615$  So just to summarize this

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

00:29:28.615 --> 00:29:29.867 part of the presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

00:29:29.870 --> 00:29:31.662 I wanted to just give you sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:29:31.662 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.381$  of the landscape of what's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:29:33.381 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.565$  on in prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:29:34.570 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.910$  And with regards to resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:29:37.910 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.590$  there's genomic and epigenetic players,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00{:}29{:}40.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43.842$  which I I mention are B and P53

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:29:43.842 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.854$  being two of the main players.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:29:46.860 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.788$  Which are probably necessary

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:29:48.788 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.234$  but not sufficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:29:50.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.054$  And then there's a very interesting stories

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:29:53.054 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.980$  developing in epigenetic regulation.

00:29:54.980 --> 00:29:57.374 I didn't talk about rest for the first part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:29:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.298$  I'll talk about rest which is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:29:59.298 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.020$  inhibitor of neural differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:30:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.940$  I'll talk about that in the second part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:30:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.180$  And then I think that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:30:05.180 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.637$  now emerging where based on these

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

00:30:07.637 --> 00:30:09.533 different alterations there may

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:30:09.533 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.955$  be different subclasses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:30:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.298$  So I just want to highlight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:30:12.300 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.183$  we've modified a little bit of review

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00{:}30{:}14.183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}16.695$  that we had a few years ago where I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

00:30:16.700 --> 00:30:18.852 Microenvironment plays an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00{:}30{:}18.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}21.542$  role and there are different

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00{:}30{:}21.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}23.270$  pathways that are taken.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:30:23.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.910$  Are they unidirectional, are they reversible?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

00:30:25.910 --> 00:30:28.046 I think that's going to be a very

 $00:30:28.046 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.910$  important translational issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00{:}30{:}28.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}31.066$  And I think for those treating patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:30:31.070 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.218$  obviously wanting to identify the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:30:33.218 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.438$  point where people will best respond

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:30:35.438 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.604$  to novel therapies will be important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:30:37.610 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.534$  So that's one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:30:38.534 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.690$  Thing I wanted to tell you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00{:}30{:}40.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}42.830$  this morning and now I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00{:}30{:}42.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}45.145$  tell you about something that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

00:30:45.145 --> 00:30:48.307 that's entirely new to our group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:30:48.307 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.825$  And I when I go for bike rides

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

00:30:50.825 --> 00:30:52.812 around my house, I don't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:30:52.812 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.520$  there are a lot of bike riders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

00:30:54.520 --> 00:30:55.810 I know David Rim might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:30:55.810 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.240$  listening and he's a bike rider,

 $00:30:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.832$  but when you are biking and you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:30:59.832 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.038$  that there's a slope of 27 degrees,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:31:02.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.087$  that's really steep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00{:}31{:}03.087 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06.798$  I mean so in the in the Tour de France,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:31:06.800 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.476$  20 is starting to become extremely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:31:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.722$  Challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:31:09.722 \dashrightarrow 00:31:12.090$  I've been in races where I can't go up 20.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

00:31:12.090 --> 00:31:12.610 I'm walking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

00:31:12.610 --> 00:31:14.690 So when I see this I'm always thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:31:14.746 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.458$  one day I'm going to go down and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

00:31:16.458 --> 00:31:17.896 try going up this, but I haven't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:31:17.896 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.988$  I haven't reached that day yet

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:31:18.988 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.026$  but I'm going to try that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

00:31:20.030 --> 00:31:21.848 Maybe I'll have to wait for an E bike,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:31:21.850 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.750$  but I'm not there yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00{:}31{:}23.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}26.977$  But so I I think in our lab we'd like to

00:31:26.977 --> 00:31:29.889 take our challenges and when Anka Outback,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:31:29.890 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.472$  who's a postdoc in my lab who

NOTE Confidence: 0.82381292125

 $00:31:31.472 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.150$  had been working

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

00:31:32.200 --> 00:31:34.385 in Switch sniff Project, said what she

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:31:34.385 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.730$  really wants to work on is splicing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

00:31:36.730 --> 00:31:39.466 And she said I'm particularly interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

00:31:39.466 --> 00:31:41.780 in minor splicing. I had a problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00{:}31{:}41.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}43.777$  which was one I knew nothing about minor

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

00:31:43.777 --> 00:31:45.639 splicing and I had no idea whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:31:45.639 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.739$  this was really a good use of her time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:31:47.740 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.444$  So I think this is always

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

00:31:49.444 --> 00:31:51.239 important point for Pi to decide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:31:51.240 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.328$  OK, are we really going to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:31:53.330 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.915$  Take this arm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:31:53.915 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.565$  So I learned a lot and I'm going

 $00:31:55.565 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.224$  to tell you about what we learned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00{:}31{:}57.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}59.494$  It's a it's a work in progress but

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

00:31:59.494 --> 00:32:01.540 I think it's a potentially it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:32:01.540 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.103$  exciting new area for us and helping

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:32:04.103 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.798$  me with my process of education was

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00{:}32{:}06.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}08.770$ Rahul Canadia who's close by so he's

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:32:08.770 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.059$  up just up the road at UConn and his

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00{:}32{:}11.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}13.000$ post dot Kyle Drake who very helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:32:13.000 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.022$  and this is also a collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:32:15.022 \dashrightarrow 00:32:17.196$  with Mark Gerson's lab here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:32:17.196 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.641$  So there's a very strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

00:32:18.641 --> 00:32:19.530 Connecticut component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:32:19.530 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.217$  So what I did know about splicing

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00{:}32{:}21.217 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}23.367$  was I knew from Gunner Rich's group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:32:23.370 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.740$  The TCGA that splicing is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

00:32:25.740 --> 00:32:27.773 occurring very often in cancer

 $00:32:27.773 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.363$  that it can lead to NEO epitope.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:32:30.370 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.130$  So I was more familiar with aberrant splicing

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:32:33.130 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.348$  in the context of potentially immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:32:36.350 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.260$  What I was also aware is that the IT plays

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:32:39.335 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.389$  initially important role in the regulations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:32:42.390 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.273$  So this is a hallmark like figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:32:44.273 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.202$  showing all the different areas where

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:32:46.202 \dashrightarrow 00:32:48.326$  splicing can play an important role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:32:48.330 \dashrightarrow 00:32:50.269$  But I didn't know anything about minor

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

00:32:50.269 --> 00:32:52.386 splicing so I had to learn from Anka,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:32:52.390 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.965$  Rahul and others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

00:32:53.965 --> 00:32:57.640 And so I knew that when gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00{:}32{:}57.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}59.000$ genes create messenger RNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

00:32:59.000 --> 00:33:01.775 But in order to do that you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00{:}33{:}01.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}04.106$  to take the exons and somehow the

00:33:04.106 --> 00:33:06.107 introns have to be spliced out to

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00{:}33{:}06.107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08.552$  get to go from a preeminent M RNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00{:}33{:}08.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}11.640$  to an MRA that can be translated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:33:11.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.880$  And so the question is how does

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:33:13.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.840$  that actually occur?

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

00:33:14.840 --> 00:33:17.444 And I'm not expert but I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:33:17.444 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.241$  learned that the vast majority of

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:33:20.241 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.439$  introns are excised through the major

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00{:}33{:}23.439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}25.678$  spliceosome which is U2 splices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:33:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.558$  And in this place is home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00{:}33{:}27.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30.704$  There are small nuclear RNA's that

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:33:30.704 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.276$  recognize consensus sequences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:33:32.280 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.259$  both of the three prime and the five prime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:33:35.260 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.725$  but also branching points that

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:33:36.725 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.560$  allow for this placing to occur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:33:38.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.736$  So that's the vast majority of all proteins.

00:33:41.740 --> 00:33:42.636 And it turns out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:33:42.636 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.596$  and this is what Anka was interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00{:}33{:}44.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}47.136$  because of work she had done, her pH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

00:33:47.136 --> 00:33:47.564 D,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00{:}33{:}47.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}49.704$  That there's a minor splice osome

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:33:49.704 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.139$  which recognizes introns that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

00:33:52.139 --> 00:33:54.139 a different consensus sequence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:33:54.140 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.214$  And it's also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:33:55.214 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.874$  Referred to as the U-12 splicer zone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:33:57.874 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.730$  And so this is something that's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:34:00.809 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.389$  very understudied in in homeostasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:34:03.390 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.916$  I'll tell you a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00{:}34{:}04.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}06.814$  what it we think it does or what

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:34:06.814 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.004$  it's it's believed to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

00:34:08.010 --> 00:34:10.929 But it's very important in cutting out

 $00:34:10.929 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.573$  minor introns that make that are part

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:34:13.573 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.649$  of of genes that also have major introns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:34:16.650 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.186$  So I'll show you what that

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:34:18.186 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.790$  what that means in a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772385457142857

 $00:34:19.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.130$  So the idea is that in a typical gene that

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

 $00:34:22.191 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.799$  has a minor intron which we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

 $00:34:23.799 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.429$  going to refer to as minor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

 $00:34:25.430 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.626$  Intron gene or Mig.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

 $00:34:27.626 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.920$  These genes have one minor intron,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

 $00:34:30.920 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.760$  usually not more than one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

 $00:34:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.004$  and that it requires a specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

 $00:34:35.004 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.778$  machinery to cut this minor intron out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

00:34:37.780 --> 00:34:40.606 Now I just will focus for most of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

 $00:34:40.606 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.066$  presentation on one element of the minor

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

00:34:43.066 --> 00:34:45.269 spliceosome which is called Usix attack,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

 $00:34:45.270 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.958$  which is one of the catalytic components

 $00:34:47.958 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.773$  of the minor spliceosome and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

 $00{:}34{:}50.773 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}53.418$  in homeostasis believed that under

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

 $00{:}34{:}53.418 \to 00{:}34{:}55.629$  stress situations the conditions are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

00:34:55.629 --> 00:34:57.927 Such that that you six attack

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

00:34:57.927 --> 00:35:00.647 is is not degraded and it allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

 $00:35:00.647 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.670$  for minor splicing to occur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

 $00:35:02.670 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.470$  So whatever this gene is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

 $00:35:04.470 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.870$  it might be important in a stress situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

 $00{:}35{:}06.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}09.192$  It allows now map kinase signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

 $00{:}35{:}09.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}11.437$  occurs and allows for the excision

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

00:35:11.437 --> 00:35:13.925 of this minor intron M RNA to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

 $00:35:13.999 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.715$  produced and then translation

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

 $00{:}35{:}15.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}18.289$  of whatever that protein of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

 $00:35:18.290 \dashrightarrow 00:35:20.390$  whatever that protein will be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854723949090909

 $00:35:20.390 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.730$  So that's an important component.

 $00:35:21.730 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.960$  This is an evolutionary conserved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

00:35:26.160 --> 00:35:27.872 Events of minor splicing

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:35:27.872 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.012$  is not only in humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:35:30.020 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.940$  but also throughout the evolution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:35:33.940 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.706$  My inner splicing has been maintained

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:35:36.706 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.390$  and there's some interesting exceptions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:35:39.390 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.536$  The main role of minor splicing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:35:43.540 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.192$  Is seen in development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:35:45.192 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.670$  So Rahul who's our collaborator is

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:35:47.742 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.520$  really an expert in neural development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:35:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.310$  And so in patients who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:35:53.310 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.170$  germline alterations or other

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:35:55.252 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.700$  alterations in minor splicing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:35:57.700 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.241$  they see developmental problems and there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:36:00.241 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.129$  many developmental diseases that described,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:36:02.130 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.442$  described or attributed to

 $00:36:04.442 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.754$  errors in minor splicing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:36:06.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.614$  For cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

00:36:07.614 --> 00:36:10.176 there's only two really known diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:36:10.180 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.215$  so put Sieger and myelodysplastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:36:12.215 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.436$  syndrome that are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00{:}36{:}13.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}15.600$  Associated with minor splicing alterations

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

00:36:15.600 --> 00:36:19.232 and in total in Toto they're around 750,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:36:19.232 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.688$  maybe 800 genes that have a minor intron,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:36:22.690 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.227$  which we can refer to as minor intron genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00{:}36{:}26.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}28.502$  So Anka asked some questions that I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00{:}36{:}28.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}30.590$  are are pretty straightforward questions to

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:36:30.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.769$  ask in the in the beginning of this project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:36:33.770 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.452$  That is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:36:34.452 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.839$  do we see any evidence of minor

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:36:36.839 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.789$  splicing alterations in cancer,

 $00:36:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.082$  cancer progression?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:36:40.082 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.958$  If so, is it preferentially during?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:36:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.640$  Disease progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:36:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.783$  So is this something that you see a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:36:47.783 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.919$  so in advanced or resistant disease?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

00:36:49.920 --> 00:36:53.056 Is this an active functionally active event?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:36:53.060 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.232$  And that would be very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:36:55.232 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.420$  if we're going to attribute this

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00{:}36{:}57.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}59.504$  as a key causative role or playing

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:36:59.504 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.659$  a part in the cause of cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

00:37:01.659 --> 00:37:03.057 progression or resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:37:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.789$  And is there what happens if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:37:04.789 \dashrightarrow 00:37:06.613$  inhibit this and what do you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

00:37:06.613 --> 00:37:08.155 you reverse some of these features?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:37:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.483$  And so I'll show you some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:37:09.483 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.340$  the work we have.

 $00:37:10.340 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.260$  It's a as a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:37:11.260 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.410$  as I mentioned this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:37:12.410 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.008$  a work in progress but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

00:37:14.010 --> 00:37:16.908 But we do have a first paper in revision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00{:}37{:}16.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}18.450$  which seems like it's been a revision

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

00:37:18.450 --> 00:37:19.968 for I think almost a year now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

00:37:19.970 --> 00:37:21.530 but hopefully we're getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:37:21.530 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.090$  closer to that point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:37:23.090 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.988$  And so first study was in silico.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:37:25.990 \dashrightarrow 00:37:30.406$  So one of our collaborators for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:37:30.406 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.998$  project looked at computationally

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

00:37:32.998 --> 00:37:35.590 at protein protein interactions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00{:}37{:}35.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}38.404$  taking 26 of the most well described

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:37:38.404 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.826$  prostate cancer genes and ask the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:37:40.826 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.112$  question what is the direct protein

 $00:37:43.112 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.580$  protein interaction with these minor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:37:45.580 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.482$  And try and containing genes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:37:47.482 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.414$  as you can see on the right some

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

00:37:50.414 --> 00:37:52.404 very interesting genes and just

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:37:52.404 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.221$  highlight BRACA for example here

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

00:37:54.221 --> 00:37:56.585 are kinase a Mick which are genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:37:56.585 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.595$  that are very much associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:37:58.595 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.077$  prostate but also other cancers have

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00{:}38{:}01.077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}05.019$  as a very close relationship direct

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:38:05.019 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.820$  interactions with minor intron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:38:07.820 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.752$  Containing genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:38:08.752 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.480$  So that's sort of a first interesting hint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:38:12.480 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.706$  I'm going to tell you about minor

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00{:}38{:}14.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}16.440$  splicing in disease progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

00:38:16.440 --> 00:38:18.352 but before I just want to emphasize why

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00{:}38{:}18.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}20.196$  I'm going to focus on you six attack.

 $00:38:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.454$  I mentioned that it's a catalytic component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00{:}38{:}22.460 \longrightarrow 00{:}38{:}24.612$  So if you have a gene that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

00:38:24.612 --> 00:38:25.720 a minor intron,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:38:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.495$  somehow the machinery comes together

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:38:27.495 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.498$  and has to cut out this minor intron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:38:30.500 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.670$  And the reason why U-6 attack we

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

00:38:32.670 --> 00:38:34.857 think is very important is because

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

00:38:34.857 --> 00:38:37.189 it's a dynamic component of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

00:38:37.189 --> 00:38:39.104 of this process where it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00:38:39.104 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.878$  really like the last step.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76776221

 $00{:}38{:}40.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}42.680$  So it has to come together with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00{:}38{:}42.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}44.815$  Four attack and this catalytic

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00{:}38{:}44.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}47.527$  subunit now cuts out the intron

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

00:38:47.527 --> 00:38:50.467 and that's why it's probably very

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:38:50.467 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.950$  carefully regulated in homeostasis.

 $00:38:52.950 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.372$  So that's the reason why we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

00:38:54.372 --> 00:38:55.710 focusing on you six attack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:38:55.710 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.774$  And the idea is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:38:56.774 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.681$  as I mentioned that in stress we

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:38:58.681 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.459$  think that you six attack is used

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:39{:}00.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}02.474$  to help remove the minor intron for

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:39:02.474 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.614$  a subset of genes that are probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:39:04.614 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.349$  important in responding to stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00{:}39{:}06.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}07.415$  That's our hypothesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

00:39:07.415 --> 00:39:09.545 So what happens if you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00{:}39{:}09.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}11.050$  in prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:39:11.050 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.954$  this is probably also true for other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:39:12.960 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.242$  This is, but we focus on prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:39:15.242 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.249$  cancer using some of the common

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:39:17.249 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.849$  cell lines and patient Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00{:}39{:}18.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}20.342$  Organoids and arranging them

 $00:39:20.342 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.580$  going from benign all the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:39:22.654 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.328$  to neuroendocrine disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:39:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.406$  So trying to cover the spectrum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:39:26.410 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.810$  we see an increase in U-6 attack expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:39:29.810 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.304$  As I mentioned there are other

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:39:31.304 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.910$  components of the minor spliceosome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:39:32.910 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.170$  They also show similar overexpression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:39:36.170 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.648$  We were able to then show this

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00{:}39{:}38.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}41.086$  in sight to using RNA ISH and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:39:41.086 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.410$  able to show that you can see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

00:39:43.410 --> 00:39:46.938 Higher expression of these of the U-6 attack,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00{:}39{:}46.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}49.880$  but also other components as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00{:}39{:}49.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}52.620$  look at primary prostate cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:39:52.620 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.356$  but also higher in primary prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:39:55.356 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.327$  cancers that go on to metastasize

00:39:58.327 --> 00:40:01.495 and then in metastases even higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:40:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.970$  What's important is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

00:40:02.970 --> 00:40:05.910 is this actually functionally doing anything?

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:40:05.910 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.502$  And So what Anka did was she used

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:40:08.502 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.824$  two vector systems that are designed

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:40:10.824 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.015$  so they have either 1 intron and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:40:14.015 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.903$  intron is either a minor or major intron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

00:40:16.910 --> 00:40:18.200 And with luciferous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:40:18.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.210$  with this luciferous assay she's able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

00:40:21.281 --> 00:40:24.026 demonstrate expression if it's working,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:40:24.030 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.314$  so if it's working as a minor

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:40:28.314 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.538$  intron excising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:40:29.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.570$  Machinery or is there a major

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

00:40:32.570 --> 00:40:34.590 intron excising machinery working?

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

00:40:34.590 --> 00:40:37.215 And I think importantly when she looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

00:40:37.215 --> 00:40:40.590 at the major insurance splicing activity,

 $00:40:40.590 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.582$  it remained fairly similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:40:42.582 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.072$  throughout all the different types

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:40:45.072 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.067$  of of model systems she looked at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

00:40:48.070 --> 00:40:50.206 but only highly expressed for minor

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:40:50.206 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.709$  entrance splicing in the advanced cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:40:52.710 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.350$  which is intriguing suggesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:40:54.350 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.400$  that this activity is increased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00{:}40{:}56.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}58.269$  And this is a very simple vector

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00{:}40{:}58.269 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}59.999$  system and we've since developed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:41:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.110$  More complex vector systems that

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:41:02.110 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.220$  I could tell you about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

00:41:04.220 --> 00:41:05.360 At the transcript level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00{:}41{:}05.360 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}41{:}07.984$  we see and these are just some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:41:07.984 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.979$  the the cell lines in prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

00:41:09.980 --> 00:41:13.276 we see very high expression of U-6 attack

00:41:13.276 --> 00:41:16.210 but also other minor splicing components,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:41:16.210 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.060$  but then also the MIGS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:41:18.060 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.220$  So the minor intron containing

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:41:20.220 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.380$  genes are more highly expressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:41:22.380 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.863$  So as we might expect now Mark Burstein's

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

00:41:25.863 --> 00:41:28.847 lab helped us with I think a important

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

00:41:28.847 --> 00:41:31.489 part which is also extending this to

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:41:31.489 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.340$  other cancers and the question really is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:41:34.340 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.625$  Are these Migs that we see that are altered

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

00:41:37.625 --> 00:41:41.007 or alternatively expressed in in cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:41:41.010 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.058$  are they potentially very useful in

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:41:44.058 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.090$  distinguishing different cancer types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:41:46.090 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.800$  So we would hypothesize that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

00:41:47.800 --> 00:41:49.301 makes are expressed but probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

00:41:49.301 --> 00:41:50.851 different in different tissue types

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:41:50.851 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.909$  just like we see in progression.

 $00:41:52.910 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.241$  And so in Mark's group is able

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:41:55.241 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.195$  to create these silhouette plot

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

00:41:57.195 --> 00:41:59.841 here looking at 23 different tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:41:59.841 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.218$  types from a pan cancer analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054783333333

 $00:42:02.218 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.342$  and they were able to show.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00{:}42{:}04.350 \to 00{:}42{:}07.297$  That in this experiment where you go

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:42:07.297 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.485$  from 0% MIGS and then so there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:42:10.485 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.822$  dilution experiment all the way to 100%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

00:42:12.822 --> 00:42:15.076 So this is done by doing many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:42:15.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.960$  many iterations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

00:42:15.960 --> 00:42:19.040 You can demonstrate that the best model

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:42:19.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.920$  is a model where you include the mix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:42:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.744$  So it shows that they have a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:42:23.744 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.558$  strong ability to distinguish

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:42:25.558 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.016$  different cancer types.

 $00:42:27.020 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.272$  But specifically for prostate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:42:28.272 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.837$  when we ask the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:42:29.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.858$  if we look at benign prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:42:32.858 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.367$  tissue from GTX.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:42:34.370 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.786$  Database versus localized prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

00:42:36.786 --> 00:42:39.806 cancer or advanced prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:42:39.810 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.879$  We see that the MIG genes do a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:42:42.879 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.213$  nice job without in any selection

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:42:45.213 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.045$  of a subclass of of the Migs to

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:42:48.045 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.097$  distinguish the different groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00{:}42{:}50.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}51.540$  I think it's important because

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:42:51.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.355$  it suggests that I think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:42:53.355 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.970$  these genes for whatever reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:42:54.970 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.894$  for evolutionary reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:42:55.894 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.126$  are important and stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:42:57.130 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.244$  but they also are probably reactivated

 $00:43:00.244 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.470$  or useful for cancer progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00{:}43{:}03.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}05.694$  And as I mentioned in the first part

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

00:43:05.694 --> 00:43:08.098 of the presentation is very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:43:08.098 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.323$  when we think about resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00{:}43{:}10.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}12.808$  think about probably two types of resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:43:12.810 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.162$  One is still related to AR

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

00:43:15.162 --> 00:43:17.131 signaling active tumors and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:43:17.131 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.413$  have to find ways to attack them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:43:19.420 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.030$  but also AR negative tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

00:43:22.030 --> 00:43:23.615 And I mentioned that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

00:43:23.615 --> 00:43:24.883 are these four categories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:43:24.890 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.140$  So the question really is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:43:28.140 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.660$  How can we gain any insight into that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169 00:43:30.660 --> 00:43:31.002 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:43:31.002 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.396$  one of the things that Anka had

 $00:43:33.396 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.938$  read about and was known is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00{:}43{:}35.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}37.718$  map kinase signaling is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:43:37.799 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.439$  important for you 6 attack stability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:43:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.618$  And so she asks a question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

00:43:42.620 --> 00:43:45.386 I'm using a A an antibiotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

00:43:45.386 --> 00:43:47.792 that stimulates map sign kinase

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00{:}43{:}47.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}50.612$  signaling and ask them and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00{:}43{:}50.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}53.710$  myosin and was able to demonstrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

00:43:53.710 --> 00:43:56.556 that when you activate MAP kinase

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

00:43:56.556 --> 00:43:58.346 signaling or Jack stat signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

00:43:58.350 --> 00:44:01.997 You see only increased expression of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00{:}44{:}01.997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}06.029$  minor splicing in the neuroendocrine tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:44:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.060$  which is sort of interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:44:07.060 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.456$  not in the AR sensitive tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:44:10.460 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.040$  when she knocked it down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:44:12.040 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.140$  it was the same thing.

 $00:44:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.010$  So I think that's important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00{:}44{:}15.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}18.188$  And then in a separate study looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

00:44:18.188 --> 00:44:21.819 at the effect of androgen stimulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:44:21.820 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.902$  she was able to demonstrate in

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:44:23.902 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.290$  different model systems whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:44:25.355 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.933$  it's a lineat cell line which

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:44:26.933 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.610$  is very angry and sensitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:44:28.610 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.578$  Or a line cap cell line that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:44:31.578 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.850$  over expresses AR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:44:32.850 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.575$  She's able to demonstrate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00{:}44{:}35.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}38.300$  minor intron activity is increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:44:38.383 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.047$  when you increase AR and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

00:44:41.047 --> 00:44:43.330 can be modulated through that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:44:43.330 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.530$  but not major entrance splicing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:44:45.530 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.532$  So basically I'm trying to think about how a.

 $00:44:50.532 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.184$  ASICS attack could be stabilizer or

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:44:53.184 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.738$  modulated AR signaling could play a

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:44:55.738 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.426$  role but also maps map kinase signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:44:58.430 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.027$  And so she started developing a working

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00{:}45{:}01.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}03.964$  framework of of how to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:45:03.964 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.716$  this thinking that neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:45:05.716 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.883$  tumors might require map kinase

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:45:07.883 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.908$  signaling to stabilize you six

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:45:09.908 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.555$  attack and allow for a minor intron

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:45:12.555 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.390$  containing genes to be expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:45:14.463 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.268$  whereas AR sensitive tumors might

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:45:16.268 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.849$  do it in different way through air

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:45:18.849 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.973$  signaling and we have more data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:45:20.980 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.072$  That helps support this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

 $00:45:22.072 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.634$  One thing that was nice is there are two

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923169

00:45:24.634 --> 00:45:26.657 high impact papers that came out talking

00:45:26.715 --> 00:45:28.545 about a subclass of prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00{:}45{:}28.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}30.544$  that is really driven by Jack stat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:45:30.544 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.834$  So this is quite interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:45:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.605$  And these are stem like tumors which

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

00:45:34.605 --> 00:45:37.707 would fit in nicely with with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:45:37.707 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.461$  model of minor splicing being driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:45:40.548 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.369$  by a map sign map kinase signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

00:45:43.370 --> 00:45:47.194 So in just the last part I now I

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:45:47.194 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.281$  want to go into what happens if

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:45:49.281 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.360$  we try to target you 6 attacks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:45:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.944$  Specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:45:51.944 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.864$  And we hypothesize that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00{:}45{:}54.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}58.900$  will have an A direct effect on

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:45:58.900 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.780$  the ability to to exercise these

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:46:01.780 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.930$  introns that are minor introns.

 $00:46:03.930 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.430$  And in fact that's the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:46:05.430 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.150$  So when when Anka used a small interfering

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

00:46:11.150 --> 00:46:13.610 RNA's to knock down U-6 attack,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:46:13.610 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.418$  she demonstrated using a a minor splicing

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:46:17.418 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.530$  index which looks for misplacing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:46:20.530 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.186$  So now now the introns are

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:46:22.186 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.290$  no longer being spliced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321 00:46:23.290 --> 00:46:23.616 Out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:46:23.616 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.224$  And so the data is analyzed and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:46:26.224 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.251$  consistently shows in all the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00{:}46{:}28.251 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}30.580$  model systems that if you knock out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

00:46:30.580 --> 00:46:33.740 if you knock down your six pack it

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:46:33.740 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.437$  functionally has the effect of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00{:}46{:}36.437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}38.722$  not allowing these minor intron

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:46:38.722 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.628$  containing genes to to excise out the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00{:}46{:}41.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}43.892$  entrance for the experts in splicing.

 $00:46:43.892 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.597$  I won't go into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:46:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.896$  I'm not an expert in splicing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

00:46:46.900 --> 00:46:48.940 but cryptic cryptic splice site

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:46:48.940 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.899$  alterations seem to be the most common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:46:51.900 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.540$  But here you can actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:46:53.540 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.969$  Determine the specific types of splice sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:46:55.970 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.150$  So we have experts here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:46:57.150 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.182$  but I'm not an expert to talk about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:47:01.190 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.866$  Anka performed transcriptomics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:47:02.866 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.380$  proteomics and in the context of

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

00:47:05.449 --> 00:47:07.435 knocking down you six attack the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00{:}47{:}07.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}10.155$  main finding I think are that in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00{:}47{:}10.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12.141$  different cell lines we saw different

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:47:12.150 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.019$  genes that are altered which I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:47:14.019 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.015$  goes in the to the view that this

 $00:47:16.015 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.975$  is going to be very context specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:47:17.975 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.267$  what the regulation of minor splicing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:47:20.270 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.028$  There were certain themes that emerged

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

00:47:22.028 --> 00:47:23.966 and I think the important theme

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:47:23.966 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.071$  that should highlight would be 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:47:26.071 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.639$  So one would be cell cycle and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:47:28.639 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.190$  DNA repair were two themes that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:47:31.190 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.185$  Came out when we look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00{:}47{:}33.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}35.304$  common genes that are altered in

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:47:35.304 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.900$  these different model systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:47:36.900 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.175$  and so here's an example of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

00:47:39.175 --> 00:47:41.158 David analysis where it shows some

NOTE Confidence: 0.847652321

 $00:47:41.158 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.349$  of the common themes and cell cycle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00{:}47{:}45.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}48.380$  As well as DNA alterations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:47:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.369$  came came out as being altered when

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00{:}47{:}51.369 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}53.970$ you knocked down you six attack.

00:47:53.970 --> 00:47:56.238 In single cell sequencing fact fax

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00{:}47{:}56.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}58.409$  analysis not showing all the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:47:58.409 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.474$  just to just to highlight that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

00:48:00.474 --> 00:48:02.641 see at G1 arrest when you knock

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:48:02.641 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.694$  down you six attack supporting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

00:48:04.694 --> 00:48:07.226 view that that minor splicing plays

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

00:48:07.226 --> 00:48:09.512 an important role in cell cycle

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:48:09.512 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.564$  in a series of experiments where

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:48:11.633 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.775$  she looked at both cancer cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:48:13.780 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.645$  So this is a Antrim receptor sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:48:18.650 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.434$  castration resistant tumor that is still

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:48:21.434 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.080$  probably sensitive to AR signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00{:}48{:}24.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}26.456$  And you knocked down you six attack you

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:48:26.456 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.560$  see a decrease in in growth of tumor cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:48:29.560 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.800$  We see no change when you look at

 $00:48:31.800 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.536$  either mouse fibroblasts or human

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:48:33.536 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.391$  fibroblasts and in cocultures one

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00{:}48{:}35.391 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}37.772$  of the reviewers I think is a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:48:37.772 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.752$  point do we see preferential changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:48:39.752 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.003$  occurring in a Co culture and we we we

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00{:}48{:}44.003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}47.034$  actually see only the tumor cells are

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:48:47.034 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.317$  affected by by you six attack knockdown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:48:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.680$  This is probably the key

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00{:}48{:}52.680 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}48{:}54.096$  the rapeutic translational slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:48:54.100 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.050$  Which is that in air sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:48:56.050 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.251$  tumors if you treat with enzalutamide

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00{:}48{:}58.251 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}00.747$ or knockdown usix attack you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:49:00.747 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.082$  basically the same result which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:49:03.082 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.230$  a decrease in confluence of cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:49:05.230 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.200$  So this is using Incyte.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:49:07.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.152$  If you then go to cell lines that

 $00{:}49{:}09.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}11.065$  are AR resistant and there's just

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

00:49:11.065 --> 00:49:13.690 two cell lines but we've done it or

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00{:}49{:}13.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}15.738$  more are you see that the tumors are

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

00:49:15.740 --> 00:49:17.800 no longer sensitive to enzalutamide

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:49:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.860$  or the antiandrogen therapy but

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:49:19.930 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.922$  continue to be very sensitive to

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

00:49:21.922 --> 00:49:24.119 knocking down you six attack which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

00:49:24.120 --> 00:49:26.521 Is A is a promising first step

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

00:49:26.521 --> 00:49:29.123 for thinking about does this have

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:49:29.123 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.626$  any therapeutic translation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

00:49:30.630 --> 00:49:31.578 although many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

00:49:31.578 --> 00:49:33.948 many steps away from actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00{:}49{:}33.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}35.370$  having the rapeutic translation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:49:35.370 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.100$  We extended this to the Memorial Sloan

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:49:38.100 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.049$  Kettering and Cornell patient Dr.

 $00:49:40.050 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.335$  Organoids that represent a range

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:49:42.335 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.166$  of air positive and air negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00{:}49{:}45.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}48.421$  prostate cancers and we see the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

00:49:48.421 --> 00:49:51.350 effect that you can by knocking down

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00{:}49{:}51.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}53.908$ you six attack you can decrease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00{:}49{:}53.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}56.460$  Cell viability and confluence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:49:56.460 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.676$  I'll skip the videos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:49:57.676 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.262$  but we can also see this in benign

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00:50:00.262 \dashrightarrow 00:50:03.014$  prostate cell lines that are that we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.726276324

 $00{:}50{:}03.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}04.530$  as well as the cancer cell lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88153776

 $00{:}50{:}08.210 --> 00{:}50{:}08.678$  If you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:50:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.090$  move, OK. So the last,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:50:11.090 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.648$  the very last piece I just want to bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00{:}50{:}13.648 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}50{:}16.294$  up is a interesting concept and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:50:16.294 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.768$  is something we call poison peptides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:50:18.770 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.354$  Maybe somebody's used this in another

00:50:20.354 --> 00:50:22.428 context and maybe it's not the right context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

00:50:22.430 --> 00:50:24.782 but right now we're just working

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:50:24.782 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.350$  title is poison peptides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:50:26.350 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.014$  And the idea is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

00:50:28.014 --> 00:50:30.510 is that when the minor introns

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:50:30.601 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.662$  splicing occurs it it performs a

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

00:50:33.662 --> 00:50:35.827 protein that it potentially plays

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

00:50:35.827 --> 00:50:38.718 an important role in cell cycle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00{:}50{:}38.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}41.066$  And help stabilize the cancer cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:50:41.070 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.390$  but when it's not excised,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00{:}50{:}43.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}45.736$  the question is what what's happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:50:45.736 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.824$  with these message and is it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:50:47.824 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.128$  is it becoming just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:50:49.130 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.975$  is it just undergoing degradation

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

00:50:50.975 --> 00:50:53.605 or are there some sort of aberrant

00:50:53.605 --> 00:50:56.097 or other types of isoforms that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:50:56.097 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.555$  formed and just want to show you an

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:50:58.555 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.621$  example that we were quite intrigued

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:51:00.621 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.003$  with which is related to rest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:51:03.010 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.754$  So rest is a transcription factor plays

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:51:05.754 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.789$  an important role in neural fate regulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00{:}51{:}08.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}10.635$  In development and so most

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:51:10.635 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.480$  of us know about rest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00{:}51{:}12.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}14.735$  rest when rest expression is

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

00:51:14.735 --> 00:51:16.990 present in in cancer types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00{:}51{:}16.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}19.498$  we know that it it prevents

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:51:19.498 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.334$  neural differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

00:51:20.340 --> 00:51:21.436 And when it's down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00{:}51{:}21.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}23.528$  we expect that you may see neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:51:23.528 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.826$  differentiation and this is in cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00{:}51{:}25.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}28.056$  We see this occurring quite often.

 $00:51:28.060 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.462$  There's also a isoform that's that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:51:31.462 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.158$  known but little known and that's called

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:51:35.158 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.930$  rest four and rest 4 forms a dimer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

00:51:38.930 --> 00:51:41.359 With rest one and prevents it from

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00{:}51{:}41.359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}44.133$  binding to DNA and therefore it

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:51:44.133 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.795$  allows neural differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

00:51:45.800 --> 00:51:47.558 So if risk four is present,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:51:47.560 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.260$  you do have neural differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00{:}51{:}49.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}51.347$  and this is just showing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

00:51:51.347 --> 00:51:52.639 a slightly different way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:51:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.880$  So there's a small there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:51:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.284$  There's a small mini intron here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00{:}51{:}59.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}02.296$ a small Exxon here that needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:52:02.296 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.606$  to be excised in order for you

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:52:05.606 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.170$  to go from rest 4 to rest one.

 $00{:}52{:}09.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}11.162$  So what I wanted to show you is

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00{:}52{:}11.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}13.129$  that then Anka asks the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00{:}52{:}13.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}15.560$  well what does she see as far as rest

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:52:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.802$  expression as expected it's very low

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:52:17.802 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.330$  in neuroendocrine prostate cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

00:52:19.330 --> 00:52:22.249 this from our stand up cancer data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:52:22.250 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.415$  but she sees higher expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:52:24.415 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.180$  of risk for in these cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:52:27.180 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.755$  So that's something we had never looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:52:28.755 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.333$  at because we never thought about

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:52:30.333 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.763$  looking at the different isoforms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:52:31.770 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.528$  And if you think about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:52:33.528 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.700$  endogenous expression of risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:52:34.754 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.428$  for in the different cell lines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:52:36.430 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.606$  we also see the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:52:37.610 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.510$  So very low risk 4.

 $00:52:39.510 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.540$  Expression in the neuroendocrine tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00{:}52{:}41.540 \to 00{:}52{:}44.339$  when she knocks down you six attack,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:52:44.340 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.300$  she increases rest rest expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

00:52:48.300 --> 00:52:49.950 But I think what's really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:52:49.950 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.896$  is if you look at the protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00{:}52{:}51.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}53.744$  level and you look at the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:52:53.802 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.517$  isoform she's able to demonstrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:52:55.517 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.595$  in neuroendocrine model said if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:52:57.595 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.725$  knocked down Usix attack and again

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00{:}52{:}59.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}02.354$  this is not a perfect but it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:53:02.354 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.318$  it's a beginning of a developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00{:}53{:}04.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}06.706$  a hypothesis that she sees a

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00{:}53{:}06.706 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}53{:}08.662$  decrease in rest for so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:53:08.662 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.948$  At least the hypothesis is developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:53:10.948 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.033$  that we can think that in the normal

 $00:53:14.033 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.197$  state of these advanced prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00{:}53{:}16.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}18.557$  cancers that are neuroendocrine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:53:18.560 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.714$  they have high levels of rest

NOTE Confidence: 0.789957396

 $00:53:20.714 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.150$  four which prevent arrest

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

00:53:22.216 --> 00:53:24.856 from being functional and allow

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00{:}53{:}24.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}26.440$  for neuroendocrine maintenance

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00:53:26.440 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.277$  or differentiation and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

00:53:28.277 --> 00:53:30.047 knocking down you six attack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00{:}53{:}30.050 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}53{:}32.054$  But clearly other ways of modulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00:53:32.054 \longrightarrow 00:53:34.285$  rest could also lead to a situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00{:}53{:}34.285 \to 00{:}53{:}36.217$  where you knock down rest for it

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

00:53:36.277 --> 00:53:37.977 and you increase rest anyway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00:53:37.980 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.300$  So I'll I'll leave you with just nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

00:53:40.300 --> 00:53:41.305 Image of Switzerland,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00:53:41.305 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.059$  so Nice Lake that we like to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00:53:44.059 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.404$  hiking around and just a summary.

00:53:46.410 --> 00:53:48.790 So I've told you about minor splicing

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00:53:48.790 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.401$  how there's a it's really a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00:53:51.401 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.301$  small component of the spliceosome

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00:53:53.301 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.990$  and that we think U-6 attack is

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00{:}53{:}55.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}57.981$  is quite interesting because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00{:}53{:}57.981 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}00.436$  plays an important catalytic role

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00:54:00.436 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.400$  and potentially represents an

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00:54:02.479 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.007$  important opportunity for the rapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00{:}54{:}05.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}06.762$  And most important slide is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00{:}54{:}06.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}08.988$  to make sure I acknowledge all

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00{:}54{:}08.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}10.479$  our great collaborators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00:54:10.480 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.880$  So in addition to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00{:}54{:}11.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}13.980$  Bronco with the Ruben Lab members

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

00:54:14.048 --> 00:54:16.064 as well as members from Rahul

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00:54:16.064 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.615$  Canali's group at at the at the

 $00:54:18.615 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.505$  University of Connecticut and Mark

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00{:}54{:}20.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}21.870$  Gerstein's group here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

00:54:21.870 --> 00:54:23.007 They've been really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00{:}54{:}23.007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}25.281$  really helpful in letting us think

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

 $00:54:25.281 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.715$  about this and and really broad terms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845042928888889

00:54:27.720 --> 00:54:29.448 Thank you very much for your attention today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8141235

 $00:54:34.160 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.940$  Happy to take any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

 $00:54:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.348$  OK. Questions. So yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

 $00:54:43.348 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.928$  So the floor is open.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

 $00:54:46.930 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.709$  Questions for mark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

00:54:51.710 --> 00:54:54.139 I I can get started with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

00:54:54.139 --> 00:54:56.209 question that was really nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

 $00:54:56.210 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.118$  Thank you very much and wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

00:54:58.118 --> 00:55:00.371 to hear about both of those stories

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

 $00:55:00.371 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.513$  on the Swiss knife complex and on

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

 $00:55:02.574 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.409$  the the minor intron splicing.

 $00:55:04.410 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.418$  So one of the questions that I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

 $00{:}55{:}07.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}10.488$  and it's something that that that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

 $00:55:10.490 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.577$  something that we think about a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

 $00:55:13.577 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.977$  as well is the sensitivity of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

 $00{:}55{:}16.977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}19.546$  tumors to smarka for modulation for

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

 $00:55:19.546 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.340$  example and one of the things that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

 $00:55:22.340 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.078$  You mentioned was or what it seems

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

 $00{:}55{:}26.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}29.365$  like these protax seemed to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

 $00:55:29.365 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.990$  most effective in tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

 $00{:}55{:}31.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}35.070$  are AR sensitive to which is kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

 $00:55:35.070 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.911$  of interesting if we think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

 $00:55:37.911 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.546$  smart before perhaps exerting its

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

 $00{:}55{:}40.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}43.660$  functions in the resistant tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

 $00:55:43.660 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.711$  And I was wondering if you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

00:55:45.711 --> 00:55:48.163 if if if you have any thoughts

 $00:55:48.163 \longrightarrow 00:55:50.033$  on sort of that paradox?

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253157

00:55:50.040 --> 00:55:52.348 Well, if it is a paradox,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:55:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.920$  yeah. So I'll just expand a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:55:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:55:57.160$  So I think the things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

00:55:57.160 --> 00:55:59.470 just to reiterate what I said

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:55:59.470 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.380$  though also and you're well

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:56:01.380 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.748$  aware of is that if you do any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

00:56:03.750 --> 00:56:05.964 Synthetic lethal screens, smart K4 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00{:}56{:}05.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}08.740$  Mark 2 come out as always winners,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:56:08.740 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.312$  they're always there, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:56:10.312 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.277$  And so I think that the Protex in effectively

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:56:14.277 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.197$  you know hitting both regardless are

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:56:17.197 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.766$  you know great targets I think for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00{:}56{:}19.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}21.906$  So the question is why is it so?

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:56:21.910 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.980$  Why are the AR sensitive tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:56:23.980 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.722$  So you know why are they

 $00:56:26.722 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.550$  exquisitely sensitive to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:56:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.364$  We think that that's not the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:56:31.370 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.210$  So we actually think that it extends beyond,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:56:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.596$  it's not just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

00:56:34.596 --> 00:56:37.305 They are and the the complexity we

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:56:37.305 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.761$  have is is that we think that AR that

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

00:56:40.761 --> 00:56:43.564 smart K4 is something you want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00{:}56{:}43.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}46.220$  decrease and but we know that if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:56:46.220 \longrightarrow 00:56:48.814$  do that smart K2 has to be maintained.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:56:48.820 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.010$  So there's a problem with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00{:}56{:}51.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}53.160$  the Protex is extremely toxic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:56:53.160 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.020$  so how to get around that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00{:}56{:}55.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}57.015$  The other thing also I'm sure you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:56:57.015 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.985$  aware and you've maybe seen this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:56:58.985 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.924$  that when you use the protects you

 $00:57:00.924 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.429$  can actually get nice resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00{:}57{:}02.429 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}04.180$  very quickly and as you expect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:57:04.180 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.530$  Where would the resistance be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:57:05.530 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.514$  It's in VHL or and and and one

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

00:57:07.514 --> 00:57:09.757 of the genes associated with how

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00{:}57{:}09.757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}11.847$  the protects are are designed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

00:57:11.850 --> 00:57:14.048 So we have nice experiments I didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:57:14.048 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.811$  show but where we've you know in cycle

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:57:16.811 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.954$  through resistance which is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:57:18.954 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.530$  be different than the ATP a type resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00{:}57{:}21.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}23.722$  So in a rules paper I think it

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:57:23.722 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.204$  was exciting to see that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:57:26.204 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.326$  potentially target cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00{:}57{:}27.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}29.731$  I think there's a bit of skepticism

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:57:29.731 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.841$  in the issue of toxicity because

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

00:57:31.841 --> 00:57:34.550 I think the field and in in Bob.

 $00:57:34.550 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.220$  The box paper from Genentech,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00{:}57{:}36.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}38.458$  I think they nicely described that

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00{:}57{:}38.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}41.214$  the toxicity is a major issue and

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:57:41.214 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.189$  so since a specificity whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:57:43.189 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.699$  it's for Smarca 2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:57:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.820$  but we think it would be interesting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

00:57:46.820 --> 00:57:48.899 have smart K4 specificity for prostate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:57:48.900 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.476$  So I don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

00:57:49.476 --> 00:57:51.313 I don't know I mean it's but we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.65090655875

 $00:57:51.313 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.846$  have either so at this point so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894762494

 $00:57:55.120 \dashrightarrow 00:57:58.930$  Thank you. Yes. So yes, please go ahead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83852434

00:58:20.110 --> 00:58:21.350 You might you might have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83852434

 $00{:}58{:}21.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}22.390$  repeat the question for me

NOTE Confidence: 0.914617287333333

 $00:58:22.437 \longrightarrow 00:58:23.477$  because I didn't hear it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60060287 00:58:25.500 --> 00:58:25.870 OK. NOTE Confidence: 0.887259296 00:58:36.350 --> 00:58:37.220 Do you think you could?

NOTE Confidence: 0.686882793333333

 $00:58:37.370 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.450$  I think it would be hard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686882793333333

00:58:38.450 --> 00:58:39.428 Would you like to come out?

NOTE Confidence: 0.788932177222222

 $00:58:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.640$  I think you have to say it in the microphone

NOTE Confidence: 0.788932177222222

 $00:58:41.690 \longrightarrow 00:58:43.690$  so people can hear it who are listening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788932177222222

00:58:43.690 --> 00:58:46.310 And also I I can't hear very well, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934025416666667

00:58:48.750 --> 00:58:53.798 So this is thank you. So what's your name?

NOTE Confidence: 0.934025416666667

 $00{:}58{:}53.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}55.046$  So we have a guest speaker here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.631046205

 $00{:}58{:}56.000 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}59{:}00.200$  My question was about smarka force,

NOTE Confidence: 0.631046205

 $00:59:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.230$  the sensitivity with the protests

NOTE Confidence: 0.631046205

 $00:59:02.230 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.260$  and the prostate cancer cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.631046205

 $00:59:04.260 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.026$  I'm wondering if you think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.631046205

 $00:59:07.026 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.919$  the smart effort like the BRG

NOTE Confidence: 0.631046205

 $00:59:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.466$  catalytic subunit is active within us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.631046205

00:59:13.470 --> 00:59:15.406 why sniff chromatin remodeler?

NOTE Confidence: 0.631046205

00:59:15.406 --> 00:59:18.310 Enzyme complex in in the context

 $00:59:18.390 \longrightarrow 00:59:20.466$  where it is being affected or

NOTE Confidence: 0.631046205

 $00{:}59{:}20.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}23.079$  if you think it has a separate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.631046205

00:59:23.080 --> 00:59:25.450 Activity and I'm wondering because

NOTE Confidence: 0.631046205

00:59:25.450 --> 00:59:27.252 you mentioned EH2 as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.631046205

 $00{:}59{:}27.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}28.956$  I know can have Polycom independent

NOTE Confidence: 0.631046205

 $00:59:28.956 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.258$  functions where it associates

NOTE Confidence: 0.631046205

00:59:30.258 --> 00:59:31.873 with the ENERGEN receptor or

NOTE Confidence: 0.631046205

 $00:59:31.873 \longrightarrow 00:59:33.449$  something similar might be going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

 $00:59:33.700 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.760$  So that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

 $00:59:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:59:37.442$  So and and the way that I didn't show

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

 $00{:}59{:}37.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}39.997$  data but the way that I think we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

00:59:39.997 --> 00:59:42.532 been going at this has been to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

 $00:59:42.532 \longrightarrow 00:59:44.844$  at also there are ATP ace inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

 $00:59:44.844 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.196$  So we also have data for

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

 $00:59:47.196 \longrightarrow 00:59:49.290$  the ATP ACE inhibitors.

00:59:49.290 --> 00:59:51.290 The protects are more effective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

 $00:59:51.290 \longrightarrow 00:59:55.196$  but they're more toxic and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

 $00:59:55.196 \longrightarrow 00:59:58.590$  but inhibiting a TPA does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

00:59:58.590 --> 01:00:00.389 You know make it have a similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

 $01:00:00.389 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.104$  effect but again it's not specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

 $01:00:02.104 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.886$  to this market force market too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

 $01:00:03.890 \longrightarrow 01:00:05.584$  So it's hard to dissociate that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

01:00:05.584 --> 01:00:07.755 we have SSI data with CRISPR data for

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

01:00:07.755 --> 01:00:09.767 knocking out what happens if you knock

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

01:00:09.767 --> 01:00:11.465 out smart key forwards market too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

 $01:00:11.470 \longrightarrow 01:00:12.790$  So that's one problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

01:00:12.790 --> 01:00:14.770 The other problem that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

 $01:00:14.840 \longrightarrow 01:00:16.970$  which didn't discuss at all is

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

01:00:16.970 --> 01:00:18.729 that it's really difficult to

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

 $01:00:18.729 \longrightarrow 01:00:19.892$  chip these these proteins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

 $01:00:19.892 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.447$  some people can do it.

01:00:21.450 --> 01:00:23.172 So Cigar Codex Group is is world

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

 $01:00:23.172 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.219$  expert in that we we haven't been

NOTE Confidence: 0.698803522

 $01:00:25.219 \longrightarrow 01:00:26.789$  able to achieve that unfortunately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895636886666667

 $01:00:30.410 \longrightarrow 01:00:31.628$  Other questions, yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.578035086666667

 $01:00:39.070 \longrightarrow 01:00:39.760$  The role the

NOTE Confidence: 0.759423952857143

 $01:00:39.770 \longrightarrow 01:00:41.830$  role of progesterones as

NOTE Confidence: 0.759423952857143

 $01:00:41.830 \longrightarrow 01:00:44.050$  well as androgens. Estrogen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848446689

 $01:00:44.100 \longrightarrow 01:00:45.510$  OK. So that's a that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.848446689

01:00:45.510 --> 01:00:46.920 one of my favorite topics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848446689

 $01:00:46.920 \longrightarrow 01:00:48.915$  I love that topics the role of

NOTE Confidence: 0.848446689

 $01:00:48.915 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.720$  estrogen in advanced prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848446689

01:00:50.720 --> 01:00:53.368 So I think it's it's it seems like

NOTE Confidence: 0.848446689

 $01{:}00{:}53.368 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}54.886$ it's paradoxically it shouldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.848446689

 $01:00:54.886 \longrightarrow 01:00:56.856$  be that important but estrogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.848446689

 $01:00:56.856 \longrightarrow 01:00:58.924$  receptor and antrum receptor they

 $01:00:58.924 \longrightarrow 01:01:00.979$  have very similar binding sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848446689

 $01:01:00.980 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.723$  So there's a lot of half binding

NOTE Confidence: 0.848446689

 $01:01:02.723 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.479$  sites that are are regulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848446689

 $01:01:04.480 \longrightarrow 01:01:06.853$  So I think it's important role and

NOTE Confidence: 0.848446689

 $01:01:06.853 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.254$  that it's also known that other

NOTE Confidence: 0.848446689

 $01:01:09.254 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.434$  nuclear hormones are activated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.848446689

 $01:01:11.434 \longrightarrow 01:01:13.699$  the context of AR depletion so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848446689

01:01:13.700 --> 01:01:15.278 Do you think it's important and

NOTE Confidence: 0.848446689

 $01{:}01{:}15.278 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}16.770$  in prior studies we've seen it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848446689

01:01:16.770 --> 01:01:17.934 it's part of progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848446689

 $01{:}01{:}17.934 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}19.389$  but we didn't specifically focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.848446689

 $01:01:19.389 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.800$  on that here. So it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590920588333333

01:01:29.130 --> 01:01:31.920 In plasticity it's role in plasticity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.715208235714286

 $01:01:31.930 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.018$  so the role of estrogen and plasticity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715208235714286

 $01:01:36.020 \longrightarrow 01:01:38.520$  Or progestin or progesterone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715208235714286

 $01:01:38.520 \longrightarrow 01:01:41.355$  So I think I think in stem in stemness.

01:01:41.360 --> 01:01:43.334 So I think Charles Sawyers group

NOTE Confidence: 0.715208235714286

 $01:01:43.334 \longrightarrow 01:01:45.610$  has looked at that and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.715208235714286

01:01:45.610 --> 01:01:47.689 there's the view that it does play

NOTE Confidence: 0.715208235714286

 $01:01:47.756 \longrightarrow 01:01:50.150$  a role in resistance and is seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.715208235714286

 $01:01:50.150 \longrightarrow 01:01:51.940$  associated with stem like state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.715208235714286

01:01:51.940 --> 01:01:54.250 but maybe not neuroendocrine state. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.718713145

 $01:01:54.820 \longrightarrow 01:01:56.588$  yeah. Thank you, Joe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7995987

01:02:00.910 --> 01:02:01.880 Thank you for coming out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6157551

 $01{:}02{:}06.500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}10.750$  My question is about the therapies for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90906139

 $01:02:10.750 \longrightarrow 01:02:15.260$  As you know. Ready for those people?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6613467333333333

 $01:02:16.750 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.500$  Show that you're.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66546635

 $01:02:22.850 \longrightarrow 01:02:26.740$  Or is there any incremental attrition? To.

NOTE Confidence: 0.552128935

 $01:02:28.590 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.230$  Or any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:02:34.070 \longrightarrow 01:02:35.290$  Well, I'm a pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

01:02:35.290 --> 01:02:36.815 I better not comment on,

 $01:02:36.820 \longrightarrow 01:02:37.888$  on clinical oncology therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:02:37.888 \longrightarrow 01:02:39.490$  But the only thing I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:02:39.540 \longrightarrow 01:02:40.776$  say is that there's a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

01:02:40.780 --> 01:02:43.576 there's great interest in you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:02:43.576 \longrightarrow 01:02:45.940$  epigenetic regulation which are toxic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

01:02:45.940 --> 01:02:48.532 And you know close friend of mine Johann

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

01:02:48.532 --> 01:02:50.755 Debono was just with him the other day

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:02:50.755 \longrightarrow 01:02:52.620$  in Basel and we were talking about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:02:52.620 \longrightarrow 01:02:53.956$  So I think there are a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

01:02:53.956 --> 01:02:55.260 studies that are coming down the line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843472615555556

 $01:02:55.260 \longrightarrow 01:02:57.416$  but it may not be you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

01:02:57.420 --> 01:02:59.460 so I presented these different categories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:02:59.460 \longrightarrow 01:03:01.728$  it may be for a R negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843472615555556

 $01:03:01.730 \longrightarrow 01:03:04.327$  But not neuroendocrine tumors that there are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

01:03:04.330 --> 01:03:07.246 you know, some new therapeutic targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:03:07.250 \longrightarrow 01:03:08.880$  Her three is the target.

01:03:08.880 --> 01:03:11.984 There are other targets that are coming up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:03:11.990 \longrightarrow 01:03:15.028$  some common to lung cancer as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

01:03:15.030 --> 01:03:17.050 but no winners yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:03:17.050 \longrightarrow 01:03:18.565$  no successful winners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:03:18.570 \longrightarrow 01:03:20.418$  And then hopefully I pointed out in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

01:03:20.418 --> 01:03:22.535 the first part of the presentation how

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:03:22.535 \longrightarrow 01:03:24.810$  difficult it is to actually classify these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:03:24.810 \longrightarrow 01:03:26.790$  So once it's air negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

01:03:26.790 --> 01:03:29.170 I think there's still complexity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:03:29.170 \longrightarrow 01:03:30.900$  So we're far behind breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:03:30.900 \longrightarrow 01:03:32.630$  cancer and maybe lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:03:32.630 \longrightarrow 01:03:35.073$  As far as being able to accurately

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01{:}03{:}35.073 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}37.088$  classify what needs to be treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843472615555556

 $01:03:37.090 \longrightarrow 01:03:39.146$  So I think that in all the and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:03:39.146 \longrightarrow 01:03:41.668$  so in the in the advisory boards,

 $01:03:41.670 \longrightarrow 01:03:43.254$  I think the common conclusion after

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:03:43.254 \longrightarrow 01:03:44.946$  two or three days of discussions

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:03:44.946 \longrightarrow 01:03:46.110$  are always the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:03:46.110 \longrightarrow 01:03:48.990$  which is that we just need to treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:03:48.990 \longrightarrow 01:03:51.187$  everybody and see who what works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

01:03:51.190 --> 01:03:52.450 Which is sort of depressing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:03:52.450 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.690$  but it's that's that's sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.84347261555556

 $01:03:53.690 \longrightarrow 01:03:55.320$  of where we are I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804383019411765

01:03:55.790 --> 01:03:57.422 I think we have similar issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.804383019411765

 $01:03:57.422 \longrightarrow 01:03:58.895$  in lung cancer and resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.804383019411765

 $01{:}03{:}58.895 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}00.845$  tumors trying to figure it out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77401228

 $01:04:02.140 \longrightarrow 01:04:03.845$  What pathologist. So that's just

NOTE Confidence: 0.77401228

01:04:03.845 --> 01:04:05.778 my personal opinion, not don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77401228

01:04:05.778 --> 01:04:07.840 don't take that. Beyond that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7915238275

 $01:04:07.970 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.746$  we have one last question in the back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71277517

 $01:04:12.330 \longrightarrow 01:04:13.900$  Roll off. Thank you.

 $01:04:17.230 \longrightarrow 01:04:18.338$  So like the cluster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875699211666667

 $01:04:22.580 \longrightarrow 01:04:24.488$  I'm sorry, I can't hear from

NOTE Confidence: 0.818377945238095

 $01:04:24.660 \longrightarrow 01:04:27.068$  do you think there is a role

NOTE Confidence: 0.818377945238095

 $01:04:27.068 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.100$  for differentiation therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.818377945238095

01:04:28.161 --> 01:04:29.851 in prostate cancer kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.818377945238095

01:04:29.851 --> 01:04:31.780 analogous to the retinoic acid and

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

 $01:04:32.480 \longrightarrow 01:04:35.080$  there would be. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

01:04:35.080 --> 01:04:37.264 So one thing I just maybe not

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

 $01{:}04{:}37.264 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}39.439$  directly relate to your question but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

 $01:04:39.440 \longrightarrow 01:04:42.065$  Being able to model differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

 $01:04:42.065 \longrightarrow 01:04:43.640$  would be phenomenal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

 $01:04:43.640 \longrightarrow 01:04:45.728$  So if we had model systems where it

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

01:04:45.728 --> 01:04:47.840 could show I know like in AML and

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

 $01:04:47.840 \longrightarrow 01:04:49.387$  other cancer and other hematopoietic

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

 $01:04:49.387 \longrightarrow 01:04:51.712$  cancers you can show differentiation

 $01:04:51.712 \longrightarrow 01:04:53.851$  of blocking differentiation and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

 $01:04:53.851 \longrightarrow 01:04:55.477$  think we don't have those models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

 $01:04:55.480 \longrightarrow 01:04:57.968$  I think the closest thing we have right

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

 $01:04:57.968 \longrightarrow 01:05:00.602$  now is develop of like the stem like

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

 $01:05:00.602 \longrightarrow 01:05:03.061$  state and then you know ideally we'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

 $01:05:03.061 \longrightarrow 01:05:05.254$  like to flux back between adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

01:05:05.254 --> 01:05:07.498 So we're starting to see some

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

 $01:05:07.498 \longrightarrow 01:05:09.359$  of the organoid models and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

01:05:09.360 --> 01:05:11.050 Mouse, but we don't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

 $01:05:11.050 \longrightarrow 01:05:12.402$  have that ability yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

 $01{:}05{:}12.410 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}14.642$  So I do think what you're saying is

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

01:05:14.642 --> 01:05:16.182 interesting and we my view would

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

 $01:05:16.182 \longrightarrow 01:05:17.959$  be that if you could tell which

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

 $01:05:17.959 \longrightarrow 01:05:19.649$  tumors are going to transition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

 $01:05:19.650 \longrightarrow 01:05:22.098$  you'd want to treat them as early as

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

 $01{:}05{:}22.098 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}24.221$  possible with the other element in

01:05:24.221 --> 01:05:26.387 addition to AR targeted therapy before

NOTE Confidence: 0.621062275

 $01:05:26.456 \longrightarrow 01:05:28.969$  it goes down the road to differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5343186

 $01:05:30.420 \longrightarrow 01:05:35.510$  Next. By those standards. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7234029075

 $01:05:38.890 \longrightarrow 01:05:41.080$  Have you tried like stemness

NOTE Confidence: 0.7234029075

 $01:05:41.080 \longrightarrow 01:05:42.394$  targeted like therapies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.777768090909091

 $01:05:42.410 \longrightarrow 01:05:43.900$  Yeah, we haven't, but that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.777768090909091

 $01:05:43.900 \longrightarrow 01:05:45.940$  obviously there's a lot of interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777768090909091

 $01{:}05{:}45.940 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}49.308$  So you saw the, I showed two papers

NOTE Confidence: 0.777768090909091

 $01{:}05{:}49.308 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}51.630$  from main paper from Charles Sawyers

NOTE Confidence: 0.777768090909091

 $01:05:51.630 \longrightarrow 01:05:54.000$  Group and looking at Jack Stats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777768090909091

 $01:05:54.000 \longrightarrow 01:05:56.615$  So they're very interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.777768090909091

 $01{:}05{:}56.615 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}58.707$  various the rapies related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.777768090909091

 $01{:}05{:}58.707 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}01.148$  that to targeting stemness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777768090909091

 $01:06:01.150 \longrightarrow 01:06:02.915$  I mean our approach was related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.777768090909091

 $01:06:02.915 \longrightarrow 01:06:04.350$  the epigenetic approach and you know.

01:06:07.280 --> 01:06:09.149 Thank you, I.