WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:04:43" NOTE recognizability:0.871

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.394 So our first speaker is Pam Kuntz,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

 $00:00:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.864$ who is associate professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

 $00:00:03.864 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.328$ of medical oncology here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

 $00:00:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.367$ director of the Center for GI Cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

00:00:07.370 --> 00:00:09.785 As the chief of GI Medical Oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

 $00:00:09.790 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.548$ and the Vice Chief of Diversity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

00:00:11.550 --> 00:00:14.388 Equity and inclusion for medical oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

 $00{:}00{:}14.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}15.845$ she received her medical degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

 $00{:}00{:}15.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17.300$ from Dartmouth and Residency and

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

00:00:17.352 --> 00:00:18.808 Fellowship Training at Stanford,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

 $00{:}00{:}18.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}20.765$ where she joined the faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

 $00:00:20.765 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.330$ and she joined us now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

 $00:00:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.166$ 2020 She is an international leader

 $00:00:26.166 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.282$ in the clinical care of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

 $00{:}00{:}28.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.101$ with neuroendocrine tumors conducting

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

 $00:00:30.101 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.527$ important clinical trials in this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

 $00:00:33.530 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.246$ And as well as translational science

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

 $00:00:35.246 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.170$ for patients with this rare diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

 $00{:}00{:}37.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}39.522$ she's also a vocal advocate for women

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

 $00:00:39.522 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.210$ and underrepresented groups in medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

00:00:41.210 --> 00:00:41.966 And recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

 $00:00:41.966 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.990$ the women leader in oncology named her the

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

00:00:44.990 --> 00:00:48.084 2021 Women Women oncologist of the Year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

 $00:00:48.090 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.681$ so we're very happy to hear, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

 $00:00:49.681 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.667$ we'll hear about GI neuroendocrine tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

00:00:51.670 --> 00:00:53.710 I suspect from Pam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

 $00:00:53.710 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.730$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788754128571429

 $00:00:54.730 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.920$ Thanks, Dan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:00:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.632$ I can switch here.

 $00:00:58.632 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.644$ There has that looks OK all right excellent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:01:01.644 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.252$ So thanks so much for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

00:01:03.252 --> 00:01:04.260 opportunity to speak today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

00:01:04.260 --> 00:01:06.759 I will in fact be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:01:06.759 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.178$ neuroendocrine tumors and establishing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:01:09.178 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.008$ Yale and neuroendocrine tumor program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

00:01:12.010 --> 00:01:13.879 I'd like to highlight this is broadly

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:01:13.879 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.939$ part of the Center for GI cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:01:15.940 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.298$ We have a new Twitter handle

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

00:01:18.298 --> 00:01:20.380 and email which is here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:01:20.380 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.000$ These are my disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:01:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.550$ I'm going to go over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:01:24.550 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.700$ I'm just a brief outline of epidemiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:01:27.700 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.085$ nomenclature, and some key characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:01:30.085 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.470$ of Nets that impact treatment.

 $00:01:32.470 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.650$ We'll talk some about treatments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00{:}01{:}34.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}36.264$ some clinical trials in which I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:01:36.264 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.099$ been involved over the last few years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:01:38.100 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.678$ and why we should create a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

00:01:39.680 --> 00:01:41.224 Net program at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:01:41.224 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.261$ and then we'll finish with some future

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00{:}01{:}44.261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}46.817$ clinical and research opportunities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

00:01:46.820 --> 00:01:48.818 I like starting with a little bit of history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:01:48.820 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.277$ and I think this this one is

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:01:51.277 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.595$ especially important, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00{:}01{:}52.595 {\: -->\:} 00{:}01{:}55.055$ Neuroendocrine tumors were recognized

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:01:55.055 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.412$ in the late 1800s and early 1900s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:01:59.412 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.296$ The term carcinoid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:02:01.300 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.828$ which means cancer like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00{:}02{:}02.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05.120$ has been attributed to doctor or

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00{:}02{:}05.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}07.337$ Bender for a German pathologist.

 $00:02:07.340 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.860$ He felt that these had five key

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:02:09.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.699$ characteristics that they were small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:02:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.084$ and multifocal had undifferentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:02:14.084 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.276$ cellular formations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:02:15.280 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.768$ well defined borders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

00:02:16.768 --> 00:02:18.256 no metastatic potential,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

00:02:18.260 --> 00:02:20.660 and we're slow growing and harmless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:02:20.660 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.250$ though this was a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:02:22.250 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.522$ important contribution to the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00{:}02{:}23.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}25.762$ Field we now know that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

00:02:25.762 --> 00:02:28.710 tumors are can in fact metastasize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:02:28.710 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.950$ They are in fact cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:02:30.950 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.585$ and unfortunately this

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:02:32.585 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.310$ really held back the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143 00:02:35.310 --> 00:02:35.928 In fact, NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143 $00{:}02{:}35.928 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}02{:}37.782$ for many many years these were

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00{:}02{:}37.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}39.194$ not incorporated or included

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:02:39.194 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.289$ in cancer registries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:02:40.290 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.660$ and therefore it made epidemiologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:02:42.660 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.030$ and other studies very difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:02:45.030 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.173$ So we Fast forward to the 1980s

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:02:48.173 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.498$ when we developed streptozotocin

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00{:}02{:}50.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53.701$ and octreotide for the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

00:02:53.701 --> 00:02:55.609 of neuroendocrine tumors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:02:55.609 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.563$ hormone hypersecretion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00{:}02{:}56.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}59.314$ And then there was really a desert

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:02:59.314 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.061$ of the apeutic and diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

00:03:01.061 --> 00:03:03.526 advances for almost 30 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00{:}03{:}03.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06.757$ And then you can see an explosion

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:03:06.757 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.044$ of research starting in 2011

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:03:09.044 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.480$ with a number of FDA approvals

 $00{:}03{:}11.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13.175$ for everolimus and student Neb

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:03:13.175 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.110$ and pancreatic Nets and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:03:15.110 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.538$ I'm going to go into a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:03:16.538 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.559$ bit more detail on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:03:17.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.683$ Up on the top we see that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

00:03:20.683 --> 00:03:23.558 actually 3 new novel imaging modalities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:03:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.672$ three types of PET scans with

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

00:03:25.672 --> 00:03:26.376 different radioisotopes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

00:03:26.380 --> 00:03:27.864 Those have really completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

00:03:27.864 --> 00:03:29.719 replaced the use of octreoscan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:03:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.096$ so it's been an exciting time

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:03:32.096 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.350$ to be in the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00{:}03{:}34.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36.975$ I'd like to also really dispel the

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:03:36.975 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.789$ myth that Nets are really that rare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:03:39.790 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.160$ so they are in fact low,

00:03:42.160 --> 00:03:43.920 have a low incidence rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:03:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.245$ so that's the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

00:03:45.245 --> 00:03:46.305 patients diagnosed per year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:03:46.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.438$ and we can see that in this figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:03:48.438 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.575$ from a seer epidemiologic study in

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

00:03:50.575 --> 00:03:53.272 yellow is the incidence of Nets the

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:03:53.272 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.547$ corresponding Y axis is on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:03:55.550 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.430$ so at present we have about 7 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

00:03:58.430 --> 00:04:00.706 8 diagnosis per 100,000 patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:04:00.706 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.670$ in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:04:02.670 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.658$ However, in the figure on the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:04:04.660 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.194$ I like to also describe that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00{:}04{:}07.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}09.592$ are a higher prevalent cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00{:}04{:}09.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}12.056$ than was previously recognized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

 $00:04:12.060 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.828$ The prevalence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776436492857143

00:04:12.828 --> 00:04:14.748 meaning the number of patients

 $00:04:14.748 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.900$ alive at any

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:04:15.969 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.630$ given time and the net prevalence actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

00:04:18.630 --> 00:04:21.508 exceeds that of stomach and pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:04:21.508 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.892$ adenocarcinoma combined, so I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:04:23.892 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.476$ it's a bigger public health problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

00:04:25.480 --> 00:04:27.436 Many primary care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:04:27.436 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.348$ general oncologists will see these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00{:}04{:}31.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}33.370$ Nets are epithelial neoplasms that

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

00:04:33.370 --> 00:04:34.986 are derived from neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:04:34.986 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.569$ cells throughout the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:04:36.570 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.562$ As Dan said, I may officially

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

00:04:38.562 --> 00:04:40.730 a card carrying GI oncologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00{:}04{:}40.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43.021$ but they do in fact happen throughout

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00{:}04{:}43.021 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.247$ the body GI tract most commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:04:45.247 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.349$ followed by lungs and then other.

00:04:47.350 --> 00:04:49.426 And I actually see Nets of

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

00:04:49.426 --> 00:04:50.810 almost every primary site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

00:04:50.810 --> 00:04:54.010 I just saw a base of Skull net last week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:04:54.010 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.936$ so most grow slowly in comparison with

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:04:56.936 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.190$ their adenocarcinoma counterparts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

00:04:58.190 --> 00:05:00.596 The majority are sporadic with only

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00{:}05{:}00.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02.900$ the minority associated with inherited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

00:05:02.900 --> 00:05:06.968 Familial syndromes such as M1 MEN 2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

00:05:06.968 --> 00:05:07.640 von Hippel, NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:05:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.628$ Lindau and Neurofibroma Fibromatosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:05:09.628 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.113$ on pathognomonic for this disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:05:12.113 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.310$ or the presence of somatostatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00{:}05{:}14.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17.240$ receptors on the surface of the cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:05:17.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.943$ There are five types and over 80% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:05:20.943 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.958$ Nets over express somatostatin receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00{:}05{:}22.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.262$ type 2 and we can take great advantage

 $00{:}05{:}26.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}29.191$ of this in terms of diagnostics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:05:29.191 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.215$ therapeutics and we'll talk about that also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

00:05:32.220 --> 00:05:33.730 And just a brief mention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:05:33.730 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.902$ this is a really cursory overview

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:05:35.902 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.988$ of net biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:05:36.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.630$ but I did want to to bring this

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:05:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.236$ in large scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00{:}05{:}41.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}43.788$ Chromosomal alterations are common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

00:05:43.790 --> 00:05:46.894 Tumor mutation burden is low in the net,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00{:}05{:}46.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}49.066$ so that's the lower grade grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:05:49.066 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.510 1/2$ nor underprint tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:05:50.510 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.270$ It's higher in neuroendocrine carcinomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

00:05:53.270 --> 00:05:55.958 that's the Grade 3 or poorly

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:05:55.958 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.256$ differentiated recurrent somatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:05:57.256 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.948$ mutations are actually rare.

 $00:05:58.950 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.540$ There have been a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00{:}06{:}00.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01.812$ of fairly recent studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

00:06:01.820 --> 00:06:03.352 So in pancreatic net,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

00:06:03.352 --> 00:06:05.267 we will say somatic mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00{:}06{:}05.267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08.190$ in MN dachs und fate Terex we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

00:06:08.190 --> 00:06:09.834 mtor pathway gene mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91739007083333300:06:09.840 --> 00:06:10.650 mute YH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:06:10.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.485$ check two and bracket two and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:06:13.485 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.616$ are proposed or hypothesized at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:06:16.616 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.061$ four different molecular subtypes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:06:19.061 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.148$ pancreatic Nets and in small bowels

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

00:06:21.148 --> 00:06:25.070 we will see mutations in CDKN 1B.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00{:}06{:}25.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27.010$ Tumor grade progression does

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

00:06:27.010 --> 00:06:28.950 occur in pancreatic Nets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:06:28.950 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.650$ and we have seen clonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00{:}06{:}30.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32.010$ evolution patterns as well.

 $00:06:32.010 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.672$ There was a very elegant study

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:06:33.672 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.450$ done by friends and colleagues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

00:06:35.450 --> 00:06:38.264 Doctors Nature Raj and Diane Reidy Lagunes

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

00:06:38.264 --> 00:06:40.770 at memorial that demonstrated this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:06:40.770 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.104$ and then germline alterations are also

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:06:43.104 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.190$ more common than previously thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

00:06:45.190 --> 00:06:49.088 So in the Scarpa Nature Paper from 2017,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00{:}06{:}49.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51.078$ 17% of quote sporadic pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.917390070833333

 $00:06:51.078 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.350$ Nets actually had germline mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:06:55.430 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.285$ In terms of the diagnostic work up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

00:06:57.290 --> 00:07:00.468 the mainstay of imaging is cross sectional,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:07:00.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.228$ so either a multiphasic CT and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:07:03.228 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.086$ is key when you order a net CT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00{:}07{:}06.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}08.810$ So you have to order it as multiphysics

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:07:08.810 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.569$ specifically with an arterial phase

 $00{:}07{:}10.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}13.255$ and or MRI somatostatin receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:07:13.255 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.940$ imaging complements the cross sectional

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:07:16.022 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.447$ imaging octreoscan has been completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:07:18.447 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.305$ replaced by the gallium 60 Dota

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

00:07:21.305 --> 00:07:24.022 Tate or Copper 64 Dota Tate Pets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:07:24.022 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.500$ We still occasionally will use FTG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

00:07:26.500 --> 00:07:28.614 But that's really only for high grade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

00:07:28.620 --> 00:07:31.458 poorly differentiated disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

00:07:31.460 --> 00:07:34.020 And as you can see in the figure on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:07:34.020 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.524$ this is a cross section through the liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:07:36.524 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.778$ with two hypervascular liver lesions shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

00:07:38.778 --> 00:07:41.680 in the arterial phase of the CT scan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:07:41.680 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.936$ So that's really important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:07:42.936 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.198$ as these can be missed if that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:07:45.198 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.778$ arterial phase is not done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00{:}07{:}46.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}49.978$ We also tissue diagnosis is important.

 $00:07:49.980 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.444$ We try to find the primary site as

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:07:52.444 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.760$ there are some differences in FDA

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:07:54.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.709$ approvals by primary site and then key

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

00:07:57.709 --> 00:07:59.889 minimum data elements on pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

00:07:59.889 --> 00:08:02.766 include WHN grade Ki 67 mitotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:08:02.766 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.376$ index and degree of differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:08:05.380 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.270$ Tumor markers are not all that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:08:07.270 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.215$ helpful for Nets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

00:08:08.220 --> 00:08:10.404 In fact, Crimina, which has historically

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:08:10.404 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.860$ been used pretty widely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00{:}08{:}11.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}13.400$ is in fact falling out of favor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:08:13.400 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.610$ I don't use it anywhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

00:08:15.610 --> 00:08:17.466 24 hour urine 5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

00:08:17.466 --> 00:08:17.930 HIA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:08:17.930 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.988$ which is a metabolite of serotonin,

 $00:08:19.990 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.402$ is useful for those patients in

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:08:22.402 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.608$ whom it's elevated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:08:23.610 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.662$ and then they're all also other

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:08:25.662 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.346$ specific peptides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:08:26.350 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.690$ Any means which will speak about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

00:08:28.690 --> 00:08:31.831 So I like to think of six key patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:08:31.831 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.498$ characteristics that impact treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:08:33.498 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.988$ will go over these briefly hormone

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00{:}08{:}35.988 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}08{:}38.887$ status stage and burden of disease grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

00:08:38.887 --> 00:08:41.128 and differentiation pace of growth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:08:41.128 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.268$ primary site and somatostatin receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:08:44.268 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.309$ status on these all yield potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:08:48.310 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.470$ clinical and research questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:08:49.470 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.909$ So I I think it also helps frame

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:08:51.909 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.835$ the rest of the conversation today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00{:}08{:}53.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55.940$ So in terms of hormone status,

 $00:08:55.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.295$ we divide patients into functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:08:58.295 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.708$ and non functional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

00:08:59.710 --> 00:09:02.090 Functional refers to patients having

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:09:02.090 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.470$ symptoms from a measurable hormone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:09:04.470 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.966$ Carcinoid syndrome is the classic example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:09:06.970 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.178$ so this happens in about 10% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:09:09.178 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.266$ small intestine Nets and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00{:}09{:}11.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13.240$ due to production of serotonin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

00:09:13.240 --> 00:09:15.140 Patients may have flushing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:09:15.140 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.660$ venous telangiectasia shown in

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:09:16.660 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.268$ the picture on the left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00{:}09{:}18.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.130$ Patients are often misdiagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00{:}09{:}20.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}21.525$ as having rosacea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:09:21.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.290$ They can have diarrhea, bronchospasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

00:09:24.290 --> 00:09:25.080 Valvular fibrosis,

 $00:09:25.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.450$ as seen in that second picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00{:}09{:}27.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}30.146$ which is fibrosis of the pulmonary

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:09:30.146 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.520$ and tricuspid valves?

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:09:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.830$ Pancreatic Nets can also secrete

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:09:33.830 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.140$ hormones in about 40 percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:09:36.140 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.680 40\%$ of the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

00:09:37.680 --> 00:09:38.835 Most commonly insulin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:09:38.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.370$ followed by gastrin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:09:40.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.920$ Glucagon and wezo intestinal polypeptide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:09:42.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.515$ and the symptoms are defined

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:09:44.515 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.350$ by the hormones to create it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:09:46.350 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.236$ And then nonfunctional Nets are patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:09:49.236 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.126$ who are asymptomatic or have symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:09:52.126 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.778$ that are not from hormone access.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:09:54.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.200$ Stage and burden of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:09:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.420$ It's important to think about.

 $00:09:57.420 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.360$ Do they have localized or metastatic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:10:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.770$ liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286 00:10:00.770 --> 00:10:01.180 dominant, NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

00:10:01.180 --> 00:10:03.640 or widely metastatic or low volume

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:10:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.299$ or high volume and aren't new dodo

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:10:06.299 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.907$ pets really are the best tool to

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

00:10:08.907 --> 00:10:11.199 help us determine extent of disease?

NOTE Confidence: 0.924400735714286

 $00:10:11.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.480$ So as you can see on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:10:12.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.376$ this is a localized pancreatic net

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

00:10:14.376 --> 00:10:16.686 with you can see the pancreatic net

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:10:16.686 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.878$ here in the middle liver dominant

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:10:18.878 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.512$ disease with a liver really filled

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:10:21.512 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.328$ with with tumor metastatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:10:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.310$ And then widely metastatic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:10:26.310 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.582$ and this is these are actually bone lesions

00:10:29.582 --> 00:10:32.700 throughout the axial skeleton. I'm a JCC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00{:}10{:}32.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34.650$ Staging follows other solid tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:10:34.650 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.909$ but the key point I want to make from

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

00:10:36.909 --> 00:10:39.017 this slide is that Nets were actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:10:39.017 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.649$ only added to the AJC staging as of 2010,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:10:41.650 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.488$ so relatively new.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

00:10:44.490 --> 00:10:46.980 Grade and differentiation is important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

00:10:46.980 --> 00:10:49.380 I just have highlighted the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

00:10:49.380 --> 00:10:51.410 most recent WHO classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

00:10:51.410 --> 00:10:54.485 for thoracic and digestive Nets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00{:}10{:}54.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}57.556$ They are, I'd say loosely related,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:10:57.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.842$ but there are some nuances and suffice

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:10:59.842 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.516$ it to say that the nomenclature and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:11:02.516 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.222$ evolution of The Who classification and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:11:05.222 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.680$ what we call these tumors is complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:11:08.759 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.189$ and has changed a lot overtime.

 $00:11:11.190 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.884$ I'd like to just bring you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:11:12.884 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.288$ this right column of the 2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:11:14.290 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.091$ Adjusted WHL classification so we now have

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:11:18.091 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.318$ well differentiated grade 1/2 and three Nets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:11:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.357$ and then a poorly differentiated carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:11:24.357 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.893$ so that word carcinoma implies that it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

00:11:28.893 --> 00:11:33.048 grade 3 and has a Ki 67 greater than 20%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:11:33.050 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.066$ So piece of growth matters also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00{:}11{:}35.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37.182$ so I may need a patient who has

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

00:11:37.182 --> 00:11:39.228 had stable disease for many years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

00:11:39.230 --> 00:11:42.209 or I may meet a patient who has rapidly

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:11:42.209 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.984$ progressive metastatic disease and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00{:}11{:}43.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47.090$ matters in terms of how I select the rapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:11:47.090 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.134$ Primary site matters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:11:48.134 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.071$ as I'd mentioned some of our FDA approvals

00:11:51.071 --> 00:11:53.669 are really dependent on primary site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

00:11:53.670 --> 00:11:55.298 so for one example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:11:55.298 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.926$ sunitinib is only approved

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

00:11:56.926 --> 00:11:58.150 for pancreatic Nets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:11:58.150 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.590$ not for other primary sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

00:12:01.590 --> 00:12:03.696 And then lastly of these characteristics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:12:03.700 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.752$ somatostatin receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:12:04.752 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.908$ is our newest characteristic that matters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:12:07.910 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.094$ This is just a really nice example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

00:12:10.094 --> 00:12:12.449 this same patient who had an octreoscan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00{:}12{:}12.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}14.880$ so that's our older imaging tool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

00:12:14.880 --> 00:12:16.925 It required patients coming back

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:12:16.925 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.689$ to the facility two days in a row,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:12:19.690 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.245$ and then our newer gallium 68 dotatate

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:12:22.245 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.070$ pet that has much higher resolution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:12:25.070 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.470$ I'll just highlight a couple

 $00:12:26.470 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.310$ of interesting points,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:12:27.310 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.242$ so the pituitary gland is normally

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00{:}12{:}29.242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}31.398$ a little bit positive on this as is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:12:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.328$ Be or as are the liver has

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:12:34.328 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.180$ some normal background,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:12:35.180 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.674$ but then spleen and that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.839235135882353

 $00:12:36.674 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.670$ concentrated in the latter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:12:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.324$ So just a brief overview of what we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:12:42.324 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.919$ in terms of tools for hormone control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:12:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.488$ So somatostatin analogs are the mainstay

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

00:12:47.488 --> 00:12:50.499 of how we treat functional Nets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:12:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.727$ primarily carcinoid syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00{:}12{:}51.727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54.590$ There are two approved agents in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00{:}12{:}54.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}57.116$ United States, octreotide and lanreotide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:12:57.116 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.840$ These are both approved for hormone control.

 $00:13:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.348$ They have the same affinity for

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:13:03.348 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.440$ somatostatin receptors 2 and five.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:13:05.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.645$ The main difference is that octreotide has

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:13:07.645 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.389$ comes in both a short and a long acting form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:13:10.390 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.730$ It is an intramuscular injection whereas

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

00:13:12.730 --> 00:13:15.109 langeous only has a long acting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

00:13:15.110 --> 00:13:17.990 4 minutes, a deep subq injection

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:13:17.990 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.090$ pass rate is a third form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:13:20.090 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.270$ It's approved in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:13:21.270 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.454$ in Europe for cushions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:13:23.454 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.993$ And then on the right is actually a new

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

00:13:26.993 --> 00:13:30.160 agent that is a was approved a few years

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:13:30.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.822$ ago on the basis of improving diarrhea

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

00:13:32.822 --> 00:13:35.000 for patients with carcinoid syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:13:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.156$ diarrhea, telotristat blocks, TPH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:13:37.156 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.040$ It's the rate limiting enzyme in the

 $00:13:41.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.855$ conversion of tryptophan to serotonin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00{:}13{:}43.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}45.666$ So I participated in this clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00{:}13{:}45.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}48.347$ trial when I was at Stanford and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:13:48.347 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.117$ reduces on average bound movements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:13:50.120 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.320$ about two per day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

00:13:51.320 --> 00:13:53.950 You may not think that is clinically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:13:53.950 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.280$ Important, but it often helps

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:13:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.970$ get patients out of the house,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

00:13:56.970 --> 00:13:59.400 so it's again and it's oral,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:13:59.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.010$ so that's great for patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:14:03.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.195$ There are a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:14:04.195 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.143$ tools for tumor control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00{:}14{:}05.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}07.030$ They fall into four categories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

00:14:07.030 --> 00:14:09.730 somatostatin analogs, biologics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:14:09.730 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.530$ cytotoxic chemotherapy,

00:14:11.530 --> 00:14:12.794 and PRRT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00{:}14{:}12.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}15.322$ which is peptide receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:14:15.322 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.586$ radionuclide therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:14:16.590 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.438$ I am going to focus today just

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:14:18.438 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.779$ talking about two of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:14:19.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.634$ so we're going to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:14:21.634 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.167$ tempos Olamide and capecitabine on

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:14:23.167 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.619$ the basis of a large randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

00:14:24.619 --> 00:14:26.427 trial that I lead through the NC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

00:14:26.430 --> 00:14:29.190 TN and also the crescendo dictate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

00:14:29.190 --> 00:14:32.960 which is our sort of first and only so far.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:14:32.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.016$ On peptide receptor radiotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:14:35.016 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.558$ for this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00{:}14{:}36.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38.224$ So this was a study and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:14:38.224 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.997$ if there are any trainees on that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00{:}14{:}40.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42.448$ I started getting involved with E

 $00:14:42.448 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.488$ Cogen the national clinical trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:14:44.488 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.534$ network as a fellow and junior

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:14:46.534 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.056$ faculty it was a great opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:14:49.056 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.964$ for networking and mentorship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00{:}14{:}50.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53.140$ Through that I helped to develop this

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:14:53.140 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.056$ randomized study for patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

00:14:55.056 --> 00:14:56.891 progressive, metastatic pancreatic Nets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00{:}14{:}56.891 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}59.520$ Grade one and two half received

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:14:59.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.920$ 10s Olumide alone and half received

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00{:}15{:}01.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}03.699$ capecitabine and Thomas Alameda.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:15:03.700 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.137$ Together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:15:04.137 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.759$ the maximum duration was 13 cycles

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:15:06.759 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.540$ to about one year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:15:08.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.675$ and the primary endpoint was

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

00:15:11.675 --> 00:15:13.556 progression free survival.

 $00:15:13.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.894$ So this study indicated a benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:15:15.894 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.355$ of the combination arm with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:15:18.355 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.023$ median progression free survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:15:20.023 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.366$ of 22.7 months versus 14.4 months

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:15:23.366 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.190$ with a hazard ratio of .58.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:15:27.190 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.942$ And the overall survival also showed a

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:15:30.942 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.550$ statistically significant difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:15:32.550 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.326$ The median in the Thames Olumide

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:15:34.326 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.864$ alone arm was 38 months and it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:15:36.864 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.660$ not reached in the combination arm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

00:15:40.660 --> 00:15:42.319 And then in terms of response rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:15:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.336$ this is actually a combination that

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:15:44.336 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.576$ yields one of the highest response

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:15:46.576 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.656$ rates of any available agent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:15:48.660 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.019$ so the response rate for the combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:15:51.019 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.815$ arm was 33% and the single agent arm 27.8%.

 $00:15:55.815 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.185$ These were not powered to determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

00:15:58.185 --> 00:15:59.999 a difference between the arms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:16:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.586$ but but I think another important

NOTE Confidence: 0.934096590625

 $00:16:02.586 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.310$ takeaway is that both

NOTE Confidence: 0.916516976666667

00:16:04.391 --> 00:16:09.470 agents yield approximately a 30% record rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916516976666667

 $00:16:09.470 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.694$ So moving on to talk about Sonata Staten

NOTE Confidence: 0.916516976666667

00:16:12.694 --> 00:16:15.490 receptors also just a brief history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916516976666667

00:16:15.490 --> 00:16:18.550 Somatostatin was sequenced in 1963.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916516976666667

 $00:16:18.550 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.530$ It's a naturally occurring peptide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916516976666667

00:16:20.530 --> 00:16:22.889 but has a very short half life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916516976666667

 $00:16:22.890 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.992$ so analogs were later developed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.916516976666667

 $00{:}16{:}25.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29.060$ order to be clinically practical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916516976666667

 $00{:}16{:}29.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}31.484$ There are two Nobel prizes on this list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916516976666667

 $00:16:31.490 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.826$ The first is in the 1970s for

NOTE Confidence: 0.916516976666667

00:16:33.826 --> 00:16:36.416 doctors Gilman and Shelly on

 $00:16:36.416 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.300$ the discovery of somatostatin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916516976666667

 $00:16:39.300 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.440$ And then later in 2012,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916516976666667

 $00:16:41.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.005$ doctors could Belka and Lefkowicz

NOTE Confidence: 0.916516976666667

 $00:16:43.005 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.219$ were awarded the Nobel Prize for their

NOTE Confidence: 0.916516976666667

 $00:16:45.219 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.007$ discovery of G protein coupled receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.916516976666667

 $00:16:47.007 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.169$ to which somatostatin receptors belong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00{:}16{:}51.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}53.008$ So I'd like to introduce you next

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

00:16:53.008 --> 00:16:54.669 to the concept of theranostics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:16:54.670 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.530$ and this is really important as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:16:56.530 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.155$ we think about developing our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:16:58.155 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.785$ yield or under consumer program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:16:59.790 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.002$ So imagine you have a group of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:17:02.002 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.780$ patients you'd like to determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:17:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.306$ whether they have a specific target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

00:17:06.310 --> 00:17:08.330 You have a diagnostic imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00{:}17{:}08.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}10.350$ tool that actually helps select

00:17:10.416 --> 00:17:12.270 who in fact has that target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:17:12.270 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.896$ and then you have a targeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:17:13.896 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.710$ therapy that goes to that target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:17:15.710 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.175$ So Theranostics is using the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00{:}17{:}18.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}20.640$ same target for both the rapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:17:20.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.150$ And diagnostics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:17:23.150 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.809$ I like to think of this using

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:17:24.809 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.299$ a lock and key analogy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:17:26.300 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.970$ so the lock is that target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00{:}17{:}28.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30.174$ or the somatostatin receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:17:30.174 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.679$ in the case of Nets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:17:31.680 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.716$ the key is the peptide or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

00:17:34.716 --> 00:17:36.740 octreotide in our case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

00:17:36.740 --> 00:17:39.890 and the reporting unit is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:17:39.890 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.990$ payload or the radioisotope.

 $00:17:41.990 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.490$ So the diagnostics for Nets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

00:17:43.490 --> 00:17:45.730 I walked you through this a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

00:17:45.730 --> 00:17:47.900 but it actually dates back to the 1980s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

00:17:47.900 --> 00:17:50.770 and using I won I won 23,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:17:50.770 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.828$ labeled octreotide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:17:51.828 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.002$ but then it's been through this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

00:17:55.002 --> 00:17:57.459 entire evolution of Indian 111

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:17:57.459 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.649$ which is Indian 111 octreotide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:17:59.650 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.822$ And so that's the octreoscan that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:18:01.822 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.678$ was approved in 1994 and then in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00{:}18{:}04.678 --> 00{:}18{:}07.422$ the 2000s we've had gallium 68,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:18:07.422 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.082$ dotatate pet gallium 68 Doda

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:18:10.082 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.890$ talk pet and copper 64 dodat 8.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:18:13.890 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.652$ So that and there is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:18:15.652 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.936$ I put the reference in here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:18:16.940 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.604$ There's a great article that's an

00:18:19.604 --> 00:18:22.133 appropriate use criteria for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00{:}18{:}22.133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24.829$ somatostatin receptor imaging modalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:18:24.830 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.150$ Therapy is similarly we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:18:26.150 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.730$ think about the lock and key.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:18:27.730 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.044$ I use this analogy quite a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:18:29.044 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.610$ bit when I talk to patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:18:30.610 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.690$ So for peptide receptor radionuclide

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00{:}18{:}32.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35.188$ therapy there has also been an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

00:18:35.188 --> 00:18:36.592 evolution first using Indian

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

00:18:36.592 --> 00:18:38.731 111 in the 2000s using yttrium

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:18:38.731 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.950$ 90 and then later in the 2000s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00{:}18{:}40.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}45.246$ Evaluation of lutetium 177 dooda tape.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:18:45.250 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.322$ It was this clinical trial that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:18:47.322 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.018$ had the opportunity to lead while

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

00:18:49.018 --> 00:18:52.434 I was at Stanford and this was an

00:18:52.434 --> 00:18:54.858 international multicenter randomized trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:18:54.860 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.525$ Get randomized patients with midgut

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00{:}18{:}57.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}00.674$ or small intestine narendran tumors 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:19:00.674 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.116$ to one to receive 4 administrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:19:03.120 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.490$ Ivy of this this radioisotope

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:19:06.490 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.860$ lutetium dotatate at 200 millicurie

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

00:19:09.966 --> 00:19:12.870 versus high dose octreotide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:19:12.870 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.670$ This was a positive study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:19:15.670 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.705$ so the primary endpoint was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

00:19:17.705 --> 00:19:18.926 progression free survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:19:18.930 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.430$ It showed a hazard ratio of .21 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:19:22.430 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.710$ this is one of our in the median.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00{:}19{:}25.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}28.310$ PFS was not reached at the time of the study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00{:}19{:}28.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}31.028$ but is approximately 2 1/2 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:19:31.030 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.315$ The overall survival had not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:19:32.315 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.329$ been reached at the time of this

00:19:34.329 --> 00:19:35.007 initial publication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

00:19:35.010 --> 00:19:36.828 It's since has been reported actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:19:36.830 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.682$ Just ask, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:19:37.682 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.386$ this year it did not officially

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

00:19:39.386 --> 00:19:41.149 meet statistical significance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:19:41.150 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.910$ but was a clinical difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:19:42.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.762$ Of one year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

00:19:43.762 --> 00:19:45.750 So on the basis of this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:19:45.750 \dashrightarrow 00:19:48.110$ this was FDA approved in January of 2018.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:19:48.110 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.238$ It was really fun to be part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

00:19:50.238 --> 00:19:52.800 a process of kind of a a novel

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:19:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.264$ class getting FDA approved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:19:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.966$ and then the clinical implementation of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:19:57.970 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.064$ I'd also like to sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:20:00.064 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.060$ postulate that's not a statin.

00:20:02.060 --> 00:20:04.840 Receptors are a perfect target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00{:}20{:}04.840 --> 00{:}20{:}06.290$ I'll just mention for other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313929875

 $00:20:06.290 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.740$ agents that are in very

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:20:07.801 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.938$ early phase studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00{:}20{:}08.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}12.432$ But Letitia Satureia tide is acineta

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

00:20:12.432 --> 00:20:15.334 Staten receptor antagonist so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

00:20:15.334 --> 00:20:18.208 Ludo date is actually an agonist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:20:18.210 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.292$ This was an early phase study

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00{:}20{:}20{:}20{:}292 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}22.445$ that actually had quite a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

00:20:22.445 --> 00:20:24.175 of grade 4K metalogic toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:20:24.180 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.100$ They that led to some dose reductions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

00:20:26.100 --> 00:20:28.029 they are pursuing that in later phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:20:28.030 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.622$ Committees PEN 221 is a peptide

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:20:30.622 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.350$ drug conjugate with DM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:20:32.350 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.458$ one that also just recently completed

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00{:}20{:}35.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}38.907$ a small phase two trial and did

 $00:20:38.907 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.469$ not have a modest benefit rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

00:20:41.470 --> 00:20:45.646 No PR's, but had an 88% on stable disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

00:20:45.646 --> 00:20:48.930 rate to do to Mab is a Sonata Staten

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

00:20:48.930 --> 00:20:51.503 receptor 2 CD 3 bispecific antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:20:51.503 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.790$ also just completed a phase one study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

00:20:54.790 --> 00:20:58.342 Modest response rates but hadn't had a 55.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:20:58.342 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.550$ Percent stable disease rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769 00:21:00.550 --> 00:21:00.998 Of note.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

00:21:00.998 --> 00:21:02.342 I'll just mention I didn't go

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

00:21:02.342 --> 00:21:03.468 into detail in this today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:21:03.470 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.260$ but single agent checkpoint inhibitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:21:05.260 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.368$ do not work in low grade nor consumers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:21:08.370 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.722$ So the idea of trying to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00{:}21{:}10.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}12.263$ combination approaches is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00{:}21{:}12.263 \to 00{:}21{:}14.865$ attractive and then led to 12 dot M.

 $00:21:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.710$ Tate is an alpha emitter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00{:}21{:}16.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}19.650$ PRT alpha emitters are felt to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

00:21:19.650 --> 00:21:21.714 I'm have fewer side effects and

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:21:21.714 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.690$ have more tumor cell killing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:21:23.690 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.335$ This just completed a phase or in

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:21:25.335 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.990$ the middle of a phase one trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:21:26.990 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.878$ They just reported some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

00:21:28.878 --> 00:21:29.704 Early results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:21:29.704 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.828$ so in their first ten patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:21:31.828 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.429$ there was a response rate of 80%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00{:}21{:}34.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36.614$ So we are all very excited about

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:21:36.614 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.689$ the idea of alpha emitters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:21:38.690 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.778$ and so stay tuned on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

00:21:40.780 --> 00:21:43.356 And then I did a tweet Oriel kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:21:43.356 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.975$ of on this medicine receptors as

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:21:45.975 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.801$ part of a Twitter tumor board.

 $00:21:48.810 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.301$ So what do we know about Nets

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:21:50.301 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.992$ as we start thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:21:51.992 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.489$ translational research questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:21:53.490 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.338$ Well, we know there are chronic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:21:55.340 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.100$ We know somatostatin receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:21:57.100 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.420$ are unique target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:21:58.420 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.012$ Somatic mutations are rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

00:22:00.012 --> 00:22:02.400 Tumor mutation burden is low and

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

00:22:02.474 --> 00:22:04.599 germline mutations are more common

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00{:}22{:}04.599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}07.324$ than was once appreciated and existing

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:22:07.324 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.096$ biomarkers or imperfect and we have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:22:10.096 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.860$ number of treatments that yield stability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00{:}22{:}11.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}13.192$ Hodo dictates those progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:22:13.192 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.190$ and shrinks tumors in the optimal

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:22:15.243 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.361$ sequence of the rapies is unknown and

 $00:22:17.361 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.773$ most patients receive therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00{:}22{:}18.780 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}21.888$ From this experience years of toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:22:21.890 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.070$ So how can we optimize PRT?

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

00:22:25.070 --> 00:22:26.926 How could we take better advantage of this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:22:26.930 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.268$ Meta Staten receptor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:22:28.268 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.052$ Can we identify resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:22:30.052 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.770$ mechanisms and overcome them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:22:31.770 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.900$ And how can we develop predictive

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00{:}22{:}33.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}35.320$ and prognostic biomarkers so

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:22:35.377 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.980$ the bar is low in the field?

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:22:36.980 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.682$ Needs your help,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:22:37.682 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.989$ so this is part of my plea to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:22:39.989 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.819$ Cancer Center community to help me

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

00:22:41.819 --> 00:22:43.266 start thinking about translational

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:22:43.266 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.174$ questions as we develop teams for research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00{:}22{:}46.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}49.946$ So one such team that I'm part of

 $00:22:49.946 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.636$ is the National Cancer Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00{:}22{:}52.640 \to 00{:}22{:}54.775$ Under Consumer task force that I chair,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:22:54.780 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.055$ I had the opportunity to lead a

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

00:22:57.055 --> 00:22:58.415 clinical trial planning meeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:22:58.415 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.790$ this past year with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:22:59.790 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.190$ The objective was to really think

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:23:02.190 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.500$ about treatment in the era of PRT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:23:04.500 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.380$ I'll bring you down to the bottom here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:23:06.380 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.960$ Our deliverables are in manuscript

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:23:07.960 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.224$ that is in process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:23:09.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.348$ But really the deliverables are clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.873074789230769

 $00:23:11.348 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.820$ trials and so we now out of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00{:}23{:}13.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}17.812$ Like many month process have a PRT re

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:23:17.812 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.271$ treatment clinical trial started or

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:23:20.271 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.748$ in development I should say and then

 $00:23:21.748 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.517$ we have working groups on looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00{:}23{:}23.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}28.336$ PRT plus DNA damage repair PRT plus

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:23:28.340 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.632$ IO PRT and liver directed therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:23:30.632 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.770$ ended in some dosimetry studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

00:23:32.770 --> 00:23:35.490 So why build a net program at Yale?

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:23:35.490 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.562$ I hope I've shown you that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00{:}23{:}37.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}39.773$ a real renaissance in net research

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

00:23:39.773 --> 00:23:41.808 in terms of increased publications

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:23:41.808 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.270$ and clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:23:43.270 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.496$ The volume of net patients at

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

00:23:45.496 --> 00:23:46.609 YCC is increasing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00{:}23{:}46.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}47.657$ There's significant downstream

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:23:47.657 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.100$ revenue of patients who have a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

00:23:50.162 --> 00:23:51.938 prevalent disease and are in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:23:51.938 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.820$ health care systems for a long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:23:53.820 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.516$ We have the multidisciplinary

00:23:55.516 --> 00:23:57.510 expertise for metal, concert, junk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:23:57.510 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.189$ and endocrine and IR, a nuke Med.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

00:24:00.189 --> 00:24:02.667 Our clinical trial portfolio is growing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:24:02.670 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.230$ There is philanthropic interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:24:04.230 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.180$ I'd like to specifically thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

00:24:06.180 --> 00:24:08.152 the Alan and Cheryl Lipson fund

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:24:08.152 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.410$ for a generous and multi year gift

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00{:}24{:}10.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}12.115$ that we have recently received.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00{:}24{:}12.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}14.268$ And really the timing is right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:24:14.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.657$ I think that with my arrival to

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00{:}24{:}16.657 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}24{:}18.565$ Yale and really a convergence

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:24:18.565 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.585$ of all of this expertise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00{:}24{:}20.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}22.456$ it's very exciting and we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:24:22.456 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.816$ identified Nets as one of our four key

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

00:24:24.816 --> 00:24:26.930 programs in the Center for GI cancers.

00:24:26.930 --> 00:24:28.994 So I have just a few minutes remaining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

00:24:29.000 --> 00:24:30.212 I'm going to kind of try

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:24:30.212 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.020$ to breeze through this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:24:31.020 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.966$ but our Yale net program is cold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:24:32.970 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.562$ By myself, dark crime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

00:24:34.562 --> 00:24:36.950 We are adding Doctor Mary McCoy

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:24:37.029 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.080$ and we have currently a monthly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

00:24:39.080 --> 00:24:41.510 Net support group and I'm sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

00:24:41.510 --> 00:24:44.070 of the anchor medical oncologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:24:44.070 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.688$ And we are planning a PRT clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:24:46.690 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.270$ Some efforts around a nutrition education

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:24:49.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.871$ and a new theranostics program that

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:24:51.871 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.289$ Doctor Abovyan will be leading that

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:24:54.289 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.515$ will really have benefits beyond

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:24:56.515 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.203$ neuroendocrine to include Neuro and Gu.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

00:24:59.210 --> 00:24:59.951 As I've mentioned,

 $00:24:59.951 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.939$ we have clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:25:00.940 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.356$ We just opened our first one and actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:25:03.356 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.624$ our first patient is enrolling on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00{:}25{:}05.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}07.879$ net are two clinical trial this week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

00:25:07.880 --> 00:25:09.425 We have a biorepository enriched

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:25:09.425 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.062$ with net cases and we have a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:25:12.062 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.434$ of transitional team brands,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:25:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.720$ one of which we just submitted last week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:25:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.120$ On looking at sex differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

00:25:18.120 --> 00:25:19.560 in neuroendocrine tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:25:19.560 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.816$ This is the net are two clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00{:}25{:}21.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}23.800$ It's a randomized phase three

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00{:}25{:}23.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}25.780$ trial for patients with advanced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:25:25.780 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.880$ well differentiated GI in order

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:25:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.560$ consumers that are slightly

00:25:29.560 --> 00:25:31.700 higher grade than the number one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00{:}25{:}31.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.459$ and it's randomized loot 8 versus octreotide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

00:25:35.460 --> 00:25:37.452 I have a whole list of my wish

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:25:37.452 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.460$ list of other clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00{:}25{:}39.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}41.782$ These are all NC TN trials that are in

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

00:25:41.782 --> 00:25:44.155 queue to hopefully open in the next year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

00:25:44.160 --> 00:25:46.200 This is a new alpha emitter as I'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:25:46.200 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.791$ mentioned where there's a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:25:47.791 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.680$ excitement about this and I serve on

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:25:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.474$ the steering committee for this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00{:}25{:}51.480 \to 00{:}25{:}54.549$ So a shout out to the bio GI tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:25:54.549 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.027$ biorepository led by Doctor John Kunstmann.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:25:57.030 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.970$ It's been established for almost a decade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:25:59.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.112$ and I'd like to highlight that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

00:26:02.112 --> 00:26:03.390 really enriched fernette cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:26:03.390 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.309$ so we have 106 net tissue samples,

 $00:26:06.310 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.054$ 70 of which are fresh frozen and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333

 $00:26:09.054 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.836$ can see the breakdown of diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.810262798333333 00:26:10.836 --> 00:26:11.430 and they're NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

00:26:11.487 --> 00:26:12.999 all associated with plasma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

 $00:26:13.000 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.336$ so a great opportunity for collaboration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

 $00:26:16.340 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.118$ And then lastly, in terms of education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

00:26:18.120 --> 00:26:19.520 we launched our Patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

 $00{:}26{:}19.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20.920$ Education initiative in November.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

 $00{:}26{:}20.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}23.136$ We have a CMU series planned and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

 $00{:}26{:}23.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25.400$ number of trainees already involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

00:26:25.400 --> 00:26:27.367 Carolyn Gordons of Pgy 2 who's helping

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

 $00:26:27.367 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.239$ to do a review paper on sex differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

 $00{:}26{:}30.239 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}32.199$ and or under commute class rooms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

 $00:26:32.200 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.528$ They shall shrikumar is helping with

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

00:26:34.528 --> 00:26:37.454 a pathology project in jamies Ang just

 $00:26:37.454 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.058$ completed a really wonderful JCO oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

 $00{:}26{:}40.058 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}26{:}42.270$ practice review so please join us we

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

 $00:26:42.270 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.539$ have on Thursdays I'd like to highlight it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

 $00:26:44.540 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.248$ We have a great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

 $00:26:46.248 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.383$ In our series on Thursday

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

 $00:26:48.383 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.349$ after noons from 4:15 to 5:00,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

 $00:26:50.350 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.126$ and I think I'll end there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

00:26:52.130 --> 00:26:53.786 So, you know, we're really excited

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

 $00:26:53.786 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.890$ about building this program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

 $00:26:54.890 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.546$ I think our next step is

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

 $00{:}26{:}56.546 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}26{:}58.728$ really building on some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429

 $00{:}26{:}58.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}00.249$ translational research opportunities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929551083571429 00:27:00.250 --> 00:27:00.880 so thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86450185

00:27:02.030 --> 00:27:03.690 Thank you, Pam. Very exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86450185

 $00:27:03.690 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.820$ Particularly these tremendous

NOTE Confidence: 0.86450185

 $00:27:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.950$ advances in therapy.

 $00:27:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.890$ Those are very impressive capital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86450185

 $00:27:09.890 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.180$ Higher plots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86450185

 $00:27:12.180 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.105$ So are there questions while

NOTE Confidence: 0.86450185

 $00:27:14.105 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.972$ we're waiting I-1 quick one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86450185

 $00:27:15.972 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.520$ There's a huge increase since the 1970s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86450185

 $00{:}27{:}18.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20.627$ Is that just better diagnosis or is

NOTE Confidence: 0.86450185

00:27:20.627 --> 00:27:22.170 it incidents actually increasing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912398866

 $00:27:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.220$ So that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912398866

 $00:27:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.195$ I think that the diagnostics

NOTE Confidence: 0.912398866

 $00:27:26.195 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.775$ or have clearly improved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912398866

 $00:27:27.780 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.132$ I think that we're seeing incidentally

NOTE Confidence: 0.912398866

 $00{:}27{:}30.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}32.235$ discovered GI Nets through colonoscopies

NOTE Confidence: 0.912398866

 $00{:}27{:}32.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}34.595$ to specifically rectal and colon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912398866

 $00:27:34.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.100$ but I think that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.912398866

 $00:27:36.100 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.300$ probably goes beyond that,

 $00:27:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.244$ and so some hypotheses have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.912398866

 $00:27:39.244 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.540$ are there environmental risks

NOTE Confidence: 0.912398866

 $00:27:40.599 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.375$ that we have not yet picked up on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912398866

 $00:27:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.899$ So I think some of its diagnostics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912398866

 $00:27:43.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.365$ but some of it's something

NOTE Confidence: 0.912398866

 $00:27:45.365 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.537$ we don't yet understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866711835

 $00:27:48.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.482$ And you may have said this, but I missed it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866711835

00:27:50.482 --> 00:27:52.300 Do you sometimes have patience with

NOTE Confidence: 0.866711835

 $00:27:52.359 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.689$ different primaries and different sites?

NOTE Confidence: 0.895372974

 $00:27:56.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.080$ That would be really infrequent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895372974

 $00:27:58.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.551$ but can happen with some of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.895372974

00:28:00.551 --> 00:28:01.926 inherited syndromes, but usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.895372974

 $00:28:01.926 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.924$ they have a single primary site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921798330909091

 $00:28:05.990 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.785$ Are there other questions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.921798330909091

 $00:28:07.785 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.010$ the chat or raise your hand?

NOTE Confidence: 0.911120924

00:28:15.130 --> 00:28:17.290 Well, I have another question we

 $00:28:17.290 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.246$ talk about briefly before we started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911120924

 $00:28:19.250 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.440$ Has anyone looked for viruses in

NOTE Confidence: 0.911120924

 $00:28:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.790$ these tumors? I'm asking 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.911120924

 $00:28:23.790 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.389$ as we'll hear the next one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911120924

 $00:28:25.390 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.250$ there is a virus involvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890498788888889

00:28:28.940 --> 00:28:30.168 So Dan, good question

NOTE Confidence: 0.890498788888889

 $00:28:30.168 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.703$ and not to my knowledge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890498788888889

 $00{:}28{:}31.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}34.027$ and as I was sharing with you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890498788888889

 $00:28:34.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.030$ I think that in this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.890498788888889

 $00:28:37.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.810$ field the bar is pretty low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890498788888889

 $00{:}28{:}38.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}40.790$ The the clinical science interestingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.890498788888889

 $00:28:40.790 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.630$ was way ahead of some of the basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.890498788888889

 $00:28:43.630 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.990$ understandings of the molecular biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890498788888889

 $00:28:45.990 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.382$ and in fact we knew that M Tor

NOTE Confidence: 0.890498788888889

 $00:28:48.382 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.169$ inhibitors worked clinically before.

 $00:28:50.170 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.288$ We knew that there were entire

NOTE Confidence: 0.890498788888889

 $00{:}28{:}52.288 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}28{:}53.500$ pathway mutations, so matic mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890498788888889

 $00:28:53.500 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.500$ So I think there are a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.890498788888889

00:28:55.555 --> 00:28:56.885 really great questions we still

NOTE Confidence: 0.890498788888889

 $00:28:56.885 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.580$ need to ask in this field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790213188181818

00:29:00.130 --> 00:29:01.246 Well, it's great that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.790213188181818

00:29:01.246 --> 00:29:02.210 such a strong program here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790213188181818

00:29:02.210 --> 00:29:03.656 Oh, thank you for doing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790213188181818

 $00{:}29{:}03.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}05.185$ I'm seeing whether questions we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.790213188181818

 $00:29:05.185 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.210$ move on to our second speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790213188181818

 $00:29:07.210 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.562$ so there's one quick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790213188181818

 $00:29:08.562 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.914$ There is one question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021318818181800:29:09.920 --> 00:29:10.880 I'm sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021318818181800:29:10.880 --> 00:29:14.240 It just came in from Jeremy Jaycox.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790213188181818

 $00:29:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.968$ Do you see that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92802095

00:29:17.680 --> 00:29:21.405 Yes. Had a dominant features of

00:29:21.405 --> 00:29:23.040 non STR Nets biologically and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92802095

 $00{:}29{:}23.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}25.344$ clinically compared to those that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92802095

 $00:29:25.344 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.136$ are somatostatin receptor positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92802095

 $00:29:27.140 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.330$ so that's another great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92802095

 $00{:}29{:}29{:}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}31.826$ I would say typically the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.92802095

00:29:31.826 --> 00:29:34.022 who are noncitizen receptor avid

NOTE Confidence: 0.92802095

 $00:29:34.022 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.850$ have more aggressive disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92802095

 $00:29:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.290$ They tend to have lost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92802095

00:29:37.290 --> 00:29:39.198 That's in Edison receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.92802095

 $00:29:39.198 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.629$ as they differentiate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92802095

 $00:29:40.630 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.326$ so it usually are the grade 3 poorly

NOTE Confidence: 0.92802095

 $00:29:43.326 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.069$ differentiated in order consumers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92802095

 $00:29:45.070 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.226$ I'm as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92802095

 $00:29:46.226 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.310$ we can see great evolution over time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92802095

 $00:29:48.310 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.200$ so sometimes a patient who may have

 $00:29:50.200 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.950$ initially been SSTR positive can lose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92802095

 $00:29:51.950 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.190$ That

NOTE Confidence: 0.882307798333333

 $00:29:54.140 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.858$ and another question are there supportive

NOTE Confidence: 0.882307798333333

 $00:29:56.860 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.510$ services unique for this population?

NOTE Confidence: 0.633058035

 $00:30:01.550 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.928$ So so yes, and I actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633058035

 $00{:}30{:}04.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}07.009$ I'm really eager to work Terrace Hampton.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633058035

 $00:30:07.010 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.270$ I have talked some about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633058035

 $00:30:08.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.106$ but I think particularly for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.633058035

 $00{:}30{:}10.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}12.139$ grade one and two under consumers

NOTE Confidence: 0.633058035

00:30:12.139 --> 00:30:14.383 defined as a more chronic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633058035

00:30:14.390 --> 00:30:15.990 the chronicity of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.633058035

00:30:15.990 --> 00:30:17.220 survivorship issues, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633058035

 $00:30:17.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.510$ is a really unique aspect that

NOTE Confidence: 0.633058035

 $00:30:18.510 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.126$ we need to pay more attention to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633058035

 $00:30:20.130 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.178$ So hopefully we'll have

NOTE Confidence: 0.633058035

00:30:22.178 --> 00:30:23.714 more dedicated services.

00:30:24.690 --> 00:30:27.345 And and finally, what about

NOTE Confidence: 0.839316141428571

 $00:30:27.345 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.407$ differentiation therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.839316141428571

 $00:30:28.410 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.158$ Isaac Kim has a question on that point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70385482625

 $00:30:31.690 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.426$ yes, so in fact one wish list for

NOTE Confidence: 0.70385482625

 $00:30:36.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.806$ 2022 is to actually bring together

NOTE Confidence: 0.70385482625

00:30:38.806 --> 00:30:40.837 a multidisciplinary group of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.70385482625

 $00:30:40.837 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.141$ to to examine new under condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.70385482625

00:30:43.141 --> 00:30:44.850 ciation across specialties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70385482625

 $00:30:44.850 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.856$ So bringing GUGI thoracic

NOTE Confidence: 0.70385482625

 $00:30:47.856 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.108$ folks together to release.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70385482625

 $00:30:50.110 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.161$ Think about this as a team or

NOTE Confidence: 0.70385482625

 $00:30:52.161 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.747$ underground differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70385482625

 $00:30:52.750 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.250$ It can be an end differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.70385482625

 $00:30:54.250 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.858$ for many cancer types and I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70385482625

 $00:30:55.860 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.510$ We know very little about that.

00:30:58.600 --> 00:31:00.217 OK terrific, thank you for other people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

00:31:00.220 --> 00:31:01.268 Have questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

00:31:01.268 --> 00:31:03.888 You should contact Pam directly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

 $00:31:03.890 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.598$ Our second speaker today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

 $00:31:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.380$ Get my little sheet out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

00:31:08.380 --> 00:31:11.194 Is is Kelly Olino from partement surgery?

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

 $00:31:11.200 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.915$ She's an assistant professor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

 $00:31:12.915 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.036$ received her medical training at Johns

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

 $00:31:15.036 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.828$ Hopkins and also residency there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

 $00:31:16.828 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.276$ Then a fellowship at

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

00:31:18.276 --> 00:31:19.660 Memorial Sloan Kettering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

00:31:19.660 --> 00:31:21.916 She came to Yale from the University of

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

00:31:21.916 --> 00:31:23.920 Texas Medical Branch and while in Texas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

00:31:23.920 --> 00:31:26.696 she was recognized as a Texas Rising Star,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

00:31:26.700 --> 00:31:28.550 a Lone Star, a Provost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

 $00:31:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.765$ scholar and recipient of the

00:31:30.765 --> 00:31:32.537 Society for Surgical Oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

 $00:31:32.540 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.325$ Clinical Investigation Award.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

00:31:34.325 --> 00:31:37.300 Interested in terminology including Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

 $00:31:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.010$ And her clinical specialties include

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

00:31:39.010 --> 00:31:40.720 treatment of patients with Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

00:31:40.720 --> 00:31:43.234 Merkel cell cancer which will hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

 $00:31:43.234 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.959$ about today and other other cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

 $00{:}31{:}45.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}49.090$ and also interested in developing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

 $00:31:49.090 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.946$ Clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

00:31:49.946 --> 00:31:51.658 including immune therapy for

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

00:31:51.658 --> 00:31:53.737 these diseases and like what

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

 $00:31:53.737 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.326$ we just heard about merkle's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

 $00{:}31{:}55.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}56.910$ is a neuroendocrine tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

 $00:31:56.910 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.078$ with the virus association,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

 $00:31:58.078 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.830$ which makes it interesting to me.

 $00:31:59.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.870$ So I'm very interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998448571428

 $00:32:00.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.120$ hearing what Kelly has to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923697

00:32:05.360 --> 00:32:06.108 OK, it looks good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

 $00:32:07.110 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.246$ Great thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

00:32:09.246 --> 00:32:11.736 So, again, another neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

00:32:11.736 --> 00:32:14.401 tumor and again another instance

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

 $00:32:14.401 \dashrightarrow 00:32:17.507$ where it really was a here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

00:32:17.510 --> 00:32:20.022 Seeing more and more of a disease process

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

 $00{:}32{:}20.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}22.347$ and really relying on our skin cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

 $00:32:22.350 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.782$ spore and multidisciplinary networks

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

 $00{:}32{:}24.782 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}32{:}27.822$ actually build a relatively new

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

 $00:32:27.822 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.030$ program for a rare disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

 $00:32:30.030 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.460$ So I have no disclosures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

 $00:32:32.460 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.427$ so today we'll talk about an update

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

 $00:32:34.427 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.940$ on the incidence, the management,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

 $00:32:35.940 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.285$ and the treatment of Merkel cell carcinoma.

 $00:32:38.290 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.450$ And then we'll go into specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

00:32:40.450 --> 00:32:42.753 some more recent work that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

00:32:42.753 --> 00:32:45.141 done looking at our vast experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

00:32:45.141 --> 00:32:47.046 and benchmarking that against

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

 $00:32:47.046 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.088$ national guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

 $00:32:48.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.038$ and then briefly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

 $00:32:49.038 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.934$ I'll mention some of the new

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

 $00{:}32{:}50.934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52.329$ research again supported through

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

 $00:32:52.329 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.363$ the score and through the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

 $00:32:54.363 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.273$ great relationships that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.902238968

 $00{:}32{:}56.273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}58.158$ through our skin cancer program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00{:}33{:}01.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}05.182$ So. Merkel cell was first described as

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00{:}33{:}05.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}07.559$ a true bickler carcinoma of the skin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00{:}33{:}07.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}10.530$ and it wasn't until 1978 that it was first

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:33:10.530 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.669$ coined to even be a neuroendocrine tumor.

00:33:12.670 --> 00:33:13.918 However, at that time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00{:}33{:}13.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}16.190$ thought to be derived from Merkel cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:33:16.190 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.506$ which is actually not the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:33:18.510 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.646$ It wasn't until 2008 that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:33:20.646 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.332$ was the discovery of a Merkel cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00{:}33{:}23.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}25.708$ polyoma virus which is fairly endemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:33:25.710 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.237$ as even being a causative factor in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:33:28.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.616$ In addition to UV radiation for this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:33:31.620 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.004$ And to be quite as we still don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:33:34.004 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.535$ even know what the cell of origin is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:33:36.540 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.250$ there's been some hypothesis that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:33:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.720$ may be from a pre pro fiesel which

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:33:40.720 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.628$ would fit somewhat with the increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00{:}33{:}42.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}44.660$ incidence in patients with lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:33:44.660 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.606$ Other work is focused on dermal stem

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

00:33:46.606 --> 00:33:48.720 cells as well as dermal fibroblasts,

 $00:33:48.720 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.074$ but it's still a work in progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00{:}33{:}53.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}54.652$ As opposed to Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

00:33:54.652 --> 00:33:57.170 where we speak about the ABC's we

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:33:57.170 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.820$ use our vowels for Merkel cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00{:}33{:}58.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}02.155$ So it's the AEIOU's and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:34:02.155 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.780$ are known to be asymptomatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:34:04.780 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.686$ They're not painful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:34:05.686 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.203$ The big thing with these and how they

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:34:08.203 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.597$ behave differently is their rate of growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:34:10.600 \dashrightarrow 00:34:12.319$ When we look about when we look at Merkel

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:34:12.319 \dashrightarrow 00:34:14.173$ cell and the ones that I'm showing you here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

00:34:14.180 --> 00:34:15.844 one is an intransit picture on the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:34:15.850 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.914$ but the other ones which are

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00{:}34{:}17.914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}19.795$ seeing we measure Merkel cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:34:19.795 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.425$ in centimeters versus Melanoma.

 $00:34:21.425 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.000$ We really measure that in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00{:}34{:}23.000 \to 00{:}34{:}25.540$ Millimeters or fractions of millimeters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:34:25.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.222$ so this expanding rapidly of a of a non

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

00:34:28.222 --> 00:34:30.235 pigmented mass really should open the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:34:30.235 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.798$ thought that this could be a Merkel cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:34:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.264$ It's more likely to be found in

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:34:35.264 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.880$ patients with immunosupression older

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

00:34:36.880 --> 00:34:40.240 patients and again can be associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:34:40.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.252$ with UV exposure and about if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:34:43.252 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.436$ one looks about 90% of each patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00{:}34{:}45.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}47.410$ will have more than or equal to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6860527

 $00:34:47.470 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.330$ three of these characteristics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828741832857143

 $00{:}34{:}52.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}53.425$ He immunohistological diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.828741832857143

 $00:34:53.425 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.095$ is always based upon the primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.828741832857143

00:34:56.095 --> 00:34:58.548 skin lesion and generally speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828741832857143

 $00:34:58.550 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.830$ most patients will present about 50%

 $00:35:00.830 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.626$ of the time with localized disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828741832857143

 $00:35:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.202 35\%$ with nodal disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828741832857143

 $00:35:05.202 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.374$ and about 15% of patients at

NOTE Confidence: 0.828741832857143

 $00:35:07.374 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.104$ the time of presentation with

NOTE Confidence: 0.828741832857143

00:35:09.104 --> 00:35:11.850 metastatic disease and up to 15%

NOTE Confidence: 0.828741832857143

 $00{:}35{:}11.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}13.638$ can present with an unknown primary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:35:16.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.570$ So as far as management for

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:35:19.570 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.819$ localized or Merkel cell carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00{:}35{:}21.819 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}23.937$ it really is a surgical disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00{:}35{:}23.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26.268$ So we recommend that we do a wide

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:35:26.268 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.408$ local excision with one to two

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:35:28.408 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.896$ centimeter margins when possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00{:}35{:}29.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32.455$ you should perform a Sentinel node biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:35:32.460 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.992$ again, with the caveat being the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:35:34.992 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.329$ demographic population who can be high

 $00:35:37.329 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.633$ risk and elderly and may not be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:35:39.704 \dashrightarrow 00:35:42.319$ best candidates for general anesthesia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

00:35:42.320 --> 00:35:43.610 Again, adjuvant radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:35:43.610 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.190$ which is very different than Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:35:46.190 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.950$ Merkel cells are exquisitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:35:47.950 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.270$ sensitive to radiotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:35:49.270 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.950$ and again are much larger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:35:50.950 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.365$ The only times when we really don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00{:}35{:}53.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}55.363$ consider radiation again for a given

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

00:35:55.363 --> 00:35:57.163 patient would be very small tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:35:57.170 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.758$ less than a centimeter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00{:}35{:}58.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}00.346$ no lymphova scular invasion in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:36:00.346 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.368$ the setting of a widely margin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:36:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.088$ Negative resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:36:03.088 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.601$ There are times two that if a

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:36:05.601 \dashrightarrow 00:36:07.658$ primary is unable to be respected.

00:36:07.660 --> 00:36:09.988 For example, very poor surgical candidate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

00:36:09.990 --> 00:36:13.175 You could have just local

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:36:13.175 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.449$ palliative radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

00:36:14.450 --> 00:36:16.574 Similar to Melanoma Sentinel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:36:16.574 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.229$ nodes are important prognostic value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:36:19.230 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.658$ Now, in the case of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

00:36:20.658 --> 00:36:21.610 clinically positive lymph node,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00{:}36{:}21.610 \longrightarrow 00{:}36{:}23.128$ so a patient presents with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

00:36:23.128 --> 00:36:24.669 lymph node that you can feel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:36:24.670 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.705$ In that case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:36:25.705 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.775$ the recommendation is that we still

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:36:27.775 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.367$ perform a therapeutic lymph node dissection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00{:}36{:}30.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}33.035$ and it's controversial whether or

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:36:33.035 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.167$ not additional adjuvant radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:36:35.167 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.629$ therapy is needed in that context.

00:36:37.630 --> 00:36:40.205 If someone undergoes a Sentinel

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

00:36:40.205 --> 00:36:41.750 lymph node procedure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

00:36:41.750 --> 00:36:43.182 if it's positive again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:36:43.182 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.010$ the options are to to go further.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

00:36:46.010 --> 00:36:47.564 Surgical treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

00:36:47.564 --> 00:36:52.226 Or to actually undergo radiotherapy again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:36:52.230 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.058$ there's lots of series that are all

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:36:55.058 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.420$ retrospective in nature as we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:36:57.420 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.568$ no prospective data looking at this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

00:36:59.570 --> 00:37:01.466 And again, even if you're a central node,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

00:37:01.470 --> 00:37:03.252 negative patient, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:37:03.252 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.768$ fairly controversial radiotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:37:04.768 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.064$ We typically would use here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:37:07.070 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.038$ There are some centers that still use that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:37:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.272$ and that really is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:37:10.272 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.888$ for instance,

00:37:10.890 --> 00:37:12.885 is particularly in head and neck cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:37:12.890 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.249$ where if you thought that your nodal

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:37:15.249 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.314$ mapping was poor or inaccurate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

00:37:17.314 --> 00:37:19.624 you could offer that to a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:37:19.630 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.770$ adjutant therapy currently for Merkel

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:37:21.770 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.416$ still is just under investigation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

00:37:24.416 --> 00:37:26.200 actively ongoing clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:37:26.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.248$ including the stamp trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831801

 $00:37:27.248 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.820$ which we have open at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879137373

 $00{:}37{:}31.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}33.690$ So for metastatic Merkel cell carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.879137373

00:37:33.690 --> 00:37:35.984 used to be chemotherapy, first line

NOTE Confidence: 0.879137373

 $00:37:35.984 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.946$ with the topside and platinum agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879137373

 $00:37:37.950 \dashrightarrow 00:37:40.986$ Those had very short lived responses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879137373

00:37:40.990 --> 00:37:44.668 lots of toxicity, so we're abandoned

NOTE Confidence: 0.879137373

 $00:37:44.668 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.986$ beginning with two clinical trials that

 $00:37:47.986 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.938$ were completed and published both initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.879137373

 $00:37:50.938 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.369$ in 2016 and then updated in 2019 and 2020.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879137373

 $00:37:54.370 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.730$ Looking at pembrolizumab as frontline

NOTE Confidence: 0.879137373

 $00:37:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.940$ for metastatic disease with 50%

NOTE Confidence: 0.879137373

 $00:38:00.940 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.720$ overall response rates, which was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879137373

 $00:38:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.575$ Which is fantastic compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.879137373

00:38:04.575 --> 00:38:06.880 what we we saw historically with

NOTE Confidence: 0.879137373

 $00:38:06.880 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.800$ chemotherapy and then with PDL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879137373

 $00:38:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.862$ One agents have a limo map with 33%

NOTE Confidence: 0.879137373

00:38:11.862 --> 00:38:13.794 just looking at the overall response

NOTE Confidence: 0.879137373

 $00:38:13.794 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.365$ rates and this again continues to grow

NOTE Confidence: 0.879137373

 $00:38:16.365 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.295$ and expand with additional clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.879137373

00:38:18.295 --> 00:38:20.267 trials focused on immune therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:38:22.630 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.045$ So Merkel cell carcinoma is

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:38:26.045 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.777$ very interesting because of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:38:28.780 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.054$ The end product of your disease

 $00:38:31.054 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.570$ looks exactly the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:38:32.570 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.360$ However, there are two completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:38:35.360 --> 00:38:36.760 distinct pathophysiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:38:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.120$ underlying the the tumorigenesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:38:40.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.915$ Interestingly, as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:38:40.915 --> 00:38:42.240 depending on where you live,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:38:42.240 --> 00:38:43.836 for example, the US and Europe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00{:}38{:}43.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}46.213$ about 20% of Merkel cell carcinomas are

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:38:46.213 --> 00:38:49.314 what we call what we think to be UV related,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:38:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.910$ very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:38:51.910 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.778$ Then Australia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:38:52.778 --> 00:38:55.816 In in the United States and Europe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00{:}38{:}55.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}58.790$ again we see much more prevalent

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00{:}38{:}58.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}00.770$ Merkel cell polyomavirus related

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:39:00.847 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.406$ disease and was interested

 $00:39:02.406 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.774$ again about this polyomavirus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:39:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.265$ It's really endemic it if you one

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:39:06.265 --> 00:39:08.619 looks from China to South America,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:39:08.620 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.498$ it wouldn't were to swab patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222 00:39:10.500 --> 00:39:11.790 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:39:11.790 --> 00:39:14.370 usually this polyomaviruses found

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:39:14.370 --> 00:39:16.521 during childhood 6080% of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:39:16.521 \dashrightarrow 00:39:18.900$ will be colonized if you take out a

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00{:}39{:}18.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}20.754$ squamous cell or basal cell cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:39:20.760 --> 00:39:22.920 And if you look for Merkel cell polyomavirus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:39:22.920 --> 00:39:24.501 you'll see that in up to 25%

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:39:24.501 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.404$ of those samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:39:25.404 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.725$ Even though it's not related to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00{:}39{:}27.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}30.970$ Physiology, at least that we think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222 00:39:30.970 --> 00:39:31.694 And again, NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:39:31.694 --> 00:39:33.866 any infection with a polyomaviruses really

 $00:39:33.866 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.936$ asymptomatic and we have patients come in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:39:35.940 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.418$ They're very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:39:36.418 --> 00:39:37.852 very concerned that they're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:39:37.852 --> 00:39:39.688 give this to their spouse or their partner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222 00:39:39.690 --> 00:39:40.456 But again, NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:39:40.456 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.137$ this is not something that that's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:39:43.137 --> 00:39:45.884 of concern as far as being contagious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222 00:39:45.884 --> 00:39:46.968 and again, NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:39:46.968 --> 00:39:49.678 the UV mediated Merkel cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:39:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.060$ Again, we don't know what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00{:}39{:}51.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}52.540$ what the cell of origin is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:39:52.540 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.808$ However, what we know is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:39:54.808 --> 00:39:55.942 there's Burley mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00{:}39{:}55.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}58.318$ particularly in RB one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:39:58.318 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.618$ and those become founder

00:40:00.618 --> 00:40:03.208 mutations in P53 and RB-1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00{:}40{:}03.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.884$ And when we look at the metastases

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:40:05.890 --> 00:40:08.686 later in patients on autopsy study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:40:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.390$ we'll see that those are

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:40:10.390 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.410$ really clonal nature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222 00:40:11.410 --> 00:40:12.102 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:40:12.102 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.870$ for virally mediated polyomavirus

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:40:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.946$ associated Merkel cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00{:}40{:}16.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}19.426$ what you see is actually a critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:40:19.426 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.066$ event where there's viral integration

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00{:}40{:}21.066 \to 00{:}40{:}23.122$ and there's a small and a large

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:40:23.122 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.646$ T cell antigen and Merkel cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:40:24.701 --> 00:40:26.729 and what happens is that actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00{:}40{:}26.729 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}40{:}28.081$ gets incorporate actually very

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:40:28.090 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.850$ close to where the RB 1 gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:40:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.487$ is and what happens is that.

00:40:33.490 --> 00:40:35.695 Along T cell antigen as you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:40:35.695 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.270$ downstream that becomes truncated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:40:37.270 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.532$ it becomes a trophic factor and actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:40:40.532 --> 00:40:42.990 drives further growth of the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:40:42.990 --> 00:40:43.323 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:40:43.323 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.654$ the things that are interesting in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:40:45.654 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.670$ UV associated Merkel cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:40:47.670 --> 00:40:50.580 This is very high in neoantigen

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:40:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.806$ burden as well as a high overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00{:}40{:}52.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}54.094$ tumor mutational burden compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:40:54.094 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.750$ to that of the viral one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

00:40:55.750 --> 00:40:56.262 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00{:}40{:}56.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}00.358$ that has a viral T cell antigen expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:41:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.178$ and interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873954812222222

 $00:41:01.178 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.632$ the responses to immune therapy are

 $00:41:03.632 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.500$ exactly the same regardless of the etiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:41:09.050 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.422$ Why is this important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:41:10.422 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.284$ You know such a rare cancer is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

00:41:13.284 --> 00:41:15.468 it's actually growing and you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:41:15.468 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.983$ similar to the question that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

00:41:17.983 --> 00:41:20.520 just asked of Doctor Kuntzman Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:41:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.818$ Kunz. We were actually really curious

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:41:22.818 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.740$ as to why we were seeing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:41:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.455$ So this is a multidisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:41:27.455 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.650$ effort really headed by Dan Jacobs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:41:29.650 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.340$ who is now a first year, head and neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:41:33.340 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.710$ Surgical resident and this was done

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:41:35.710 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.380$ with myself or medical oncology group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:41:38.380 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.697$ Ben Judson from otolaryngology and Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:41:41.697 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.636$ Zhang from the School of Public Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

00:41:43.640 --> 00:41:45.710 Really trying to answer the

 $00:41:45.710 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.292$ question so how we underwent this

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:41:48.292 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.472$ analysis is doing something called

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

00:41:50.472 --> 00:41:52.960 a age period cohort analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:41:52.960 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.325$ again similar to what's happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:41:54.325 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.144$ with neuroendocrine tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:41:55.144 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.599$ and a lot of other cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:41:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.785$ Even thyroid cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

00:41:57.785 --> 00:41:59.760 When we're seeing increasing incidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:41:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.536$ you want to say is this related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:42:01.536 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.267$ aging of the population which is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

00:42:03.270 --> 00:42:05.274 Really important for Merkel cell or

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:42:05.274 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.895$ as it related to the calendar period

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00{:}42{:}07.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10.325$ of diagnosis and the calendar period

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:42:10.325 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.467$ effect really is looking at well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:42:12.470 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.330$ are we better at detecting this?

 $00:42:14.330 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.178$ Are we more aware of the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

00:42:16.178 --> 00:42:18.364 and so that will affect equally affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:42:18.364 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.735$ people across all ages and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:42:20.735 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.595$ last thing is really really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:42:22.595 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.718$ is the birth cohort and that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:42:24.718 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.290$ says are there real changes in risk factors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:42:27.290 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.546$ Is there something actually changing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

00:42:29.546 --> 00:42:31.433 the environment that's explaining the

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

00:42:31.433 --> 00:42:33.377 increase in incidence that we're seeing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:42:33.380 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.349$ In Merkel cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:42:34.349 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.610$ we thought that this was a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:42:36.680 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.660$ important analysis to be done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:42:38.660 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.068$ Even though this is a rare disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:42:41.070 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.464$ so we were able to do is we looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:42:43.464 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.622$ and use SEER data and we're able

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:42:45.622 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.123$ to get it over 3700 patients again.

 $00:42:48.123 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.709$ We saw what one would expect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385 00:42:50.710 --> 00:42:51.035 Again, NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:42:51.035 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.310$ this is usually a male dominated disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:42:53.310 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.470$ almost exquisite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:42:54.470 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.790$ almost exclusively found in

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:42:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.046$ Caucasians this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

00:42:58.046 --> 00:43:00.074 Registry data becomes a little tricky

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00{:}43{:}00.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}02.217$ 'cause it just turns out by chance

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:43:02.217 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.013$ that the areas that are actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:43:04.013 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.011$ involved in this year registry happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:43:06.011 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.970$ to be some of the higher volume.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:43:08.970 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.930$ Tertiary referral centres from

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00{:}43{:}10.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}13.659$ Merkel cell in the country so you

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

00:43:13.659 --> 00:43:16.172 know that that data was was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

00:43:16.172 --> 00:43:17.900 little difficult to interpret,

 $00:43:17.900 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.340$ but again, we saw you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:43:19.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.330$ head and neck primarily again

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:43:21.330 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.889$ just what we would expect to

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:43:23.889 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.857$ see localized regional disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:43:25.860 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.998$ But the the APC analysis itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:43:29.000 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.925$ What you can see here in panel

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:43:30.925 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.998$ A is what you would expect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:43:33.000 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.352$ So if we look at by the calendar

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

00:43:35.352 --> 00:43:36.720 period of diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:43:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.032$ right so that the time that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00{:}43{:}39.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}41.672$ diagnosed and we look at age older patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

00:43:41.672 --> 00:43:44.096 particularly with improving diagnostics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

00:43:44.100 --> 00:43:47.670 were seen in more age adjusted

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:43:47.670 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.455$ incidence rates happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:43:49.460 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.180$ And we see that in men and women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:43:52.180 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.842$ More interestingly,

 $00:43:52.842 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.884$ if you look at panel C&D,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:43:54.884 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.340$ when we look at the birth cohort effect now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

00:43:58.340 --> 00:44:00.488 compared by age and having looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:44:00.488 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.660$ at the age adjusted incidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

00:44:02.660 --> 00:44:05.168 they're still seeing actually an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

00:44:05.168 --> 00:44:07.750 association due to the birth cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

 $00:44:07.750 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.180$ which really points to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

00:44:09.180 --> 00:44:09.830 Despite that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894604984615385

00:44:09.830 --> 00:44:12.430 the that we're better and more aware of

NOTE Confidence: 0.914520824482759

 $00:44:12.500 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.414$ diagnosing Merkel cell and that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.914520824482759

 $00:44:14.414 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.904$ know that this is a disease that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.914520824482759

00:44:16.904 --> 00:44:19.196 more prevalent in the aging population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914520824482759

 $00{:}44{:}19.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}21.546$ there does appear to be something

NOTE Confidence: 0.914520824482759

 $00:44:21.546 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.256$ that has changed. Overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914520824482759

00:44:23.256 --> 00:44:25.936 that's an environmental risk factor,

 $00:44:25.940 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.879$ although we don't know what that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871875370625

 $00:44:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.548$ So and again, this is this is just part

NOTE Confidence: 0.871875370625

 $00:44:32.548 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.540$ of the the conclusions of that paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871875370625

 $00:44:34.540 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.508$ Once again, just saying that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.871875370625

 $00:44:36.508 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.307$ the effect of how good we are at

NOTE Confidence: 0.871875370625

00:44:39.307 --> 00:44:41.431 diagnosing this is really leveling out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871875370625

 $00:44:41.440 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.176$ yet the incidence is still going on going up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871875370625

00:44:44.180 --> 00:44:46.595 And that's not just explained by that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871875370625

 $00:44:46.600 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.270$ The aging of the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:44:51.960 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.794$ So the second thing that I wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:44:53.794 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.733$ to show again is some original

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:44:55.733 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.911$ work again put together by our

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:44:57.911 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.338$ multidisciplinary team here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:44:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.546$ Looking at our near 20 year experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:45:02.546 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.129$ taking care of this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:45:05.130 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.446$ And once again, this is work.

 $00:45:07.450 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.302$ Put together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:45:08.302 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.432$ The registry really brought together

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

00:45:10.432 --> 00:45:13.088 by Andrew Esposito who's one of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

00:45:13.088 --> 00:45:14.723 general surgery residents as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

00:45:14.723 --> 00:45:17.171 as Dan Jacobs who had worked on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:45:17.171 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.790$ other APC cohort analysis paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:45:18.790 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.770$ And they really built this up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:45:20.770 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.416$ And then with the support of

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

00:45:23.416 --> 00:45:25.016 our Yale spore program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:45:25.016 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.806$ is also now the registry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

00:45:26.810 --> 00:45:28.530 being maintained by Ray Bowman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:45:28.530 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.644$ who who is a wonderful and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00{:}45{:}30.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}33.290$ helps us with even our Melanoma program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00{:}45{:}33.290 \to 00{:}45{:}35.186$ So again, when we look at just our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:45:35.190 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.586$ 20 year Yale experience.

 $00:45:36.586 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.680$ What we see are similar clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:45:38.749 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.559$ pathologic features to what one

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:45:40.559 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.615$ would find at other centers when

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:45:42.615 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.330$ we look at the cause of death,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:45:44.330 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.410$ what we see is only about 36%

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:45:46.410 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.210$ of our patients that we see are

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

00:45:49.210 --> 00:45:51.459 actually dying from Merkel cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:45:51.460 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.020$ We see them recurring at rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:45:53.020 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.840$ that are similar to other centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:45:54.840 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.495$ We do have a little bit more of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00{:}45{:}57.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59.379$ enriched in transit population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:45:59.380 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.872$ which may be due to how things

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:46:01.872 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.034$ are referred to us all. Be it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:46:04.034 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.456$ Even when we see patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

00:46:05.456 --> 00:46:06.720 presented with de Novo disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00{:}46{:}06.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}09.816$ I do see more intransit disease

 $00:46:09.820 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.794$ than what I would expect if one

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:46:11.794 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.720$ were to compare that to Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:46:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.981$ and I think that Merkel cell does

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:46:15.981 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.120$ have very different biologic behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667 00:46:18.120 --> 00:46:18.451 Again, NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:46:18.451 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.437$ when we look at our final

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:46:20.437 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.430$ pathologic stage again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:46:21.430 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.575$ We're seeing similar presentation as

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

00:46:23.575 --> 00:46:26.734 to what we would be seeing around

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00{:}46{:}26.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}29.548$ the country at high volume centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:46:29.550 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.350$ So the trends in treatment again with

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:46:32.350 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.599$ newer approvals, there's been very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00{:}46{:}34.599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37.014$ very quick adoption of increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00{:}46{:}37.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}39.083$ frequency of immunotherapy for

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

00:46:39.083 --> 00:46:41.648 systemic therapy for patients who

 $00:46:41.648 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.480$ are eligible with the concomitant

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:46:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.307$ decrease in chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:46:46.310 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.263$ The radiation therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:46:48.263 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.867$ Again, some of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667 00:46:50.870 --> 00:46:51.502 I think, NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

00:46:51.502 --> 00:46:53.398 is that we're having more patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:46:53.398 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.167$ who have better systemic treatment options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:46:56.170 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.270$ And some of the earlier patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:46:58.270 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.112$ who are seen because I think of

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

00:47:01.112 --> 00:47:02.936 improved recognition amongst the

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00{:}47{:}02.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}05.040$ dermatologic community in the state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00{:}47{:}05.040 \to 00{:}47{:}07.335$ So I think that that explains a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:47:07.335 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.868$ of this decrease in the radiation receipt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667 00:47:09.870 --> 00:47:10.632 But again, NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:47:10.632 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.680$ if we look at our initial nodal management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:47:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.774$ We're we're right on par with where

 $00:47:16.774 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.580$ we would expect our group to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00{:}47{:}19.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}22.296$ And our management is following yet again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:47:22.300 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.025$ national Trends 1 looks at

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:47:25.025 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.660$ surgical resection rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:47:26.660 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.960$ The rates in which you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

00:47:28.960 --> 00:47:30.340 appropriately staging patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:47:30.340 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.976$ nodal basins and those patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00{:}47{:}33.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}36.400$ are receiving non-surgical management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:47:36.400 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.220$ Again, what we're seeing here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:47:38.220 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.900$ And this is the data that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:47:39.900 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.279$ had pulled from SEER is is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

00:47:41.280 --> 00:47:43.310 We're very much on target with where

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00{:}47{:}43.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}45.240$ we would expect our program to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00{:}47{:}45.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}48.280$ and in aligned with what's going on or

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:47:48.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.446$ across the nation in high volume centers.

00:47:51.450 --> 00:47:54.267 But one thing that that started to bother me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:47:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.550$ the more that I learned about Merkel cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:47:56.550 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.308$ we thought it was a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.942329574166667

 $00:47:58.308 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.480$ opportunity when we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:47:59.541 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.093$ looking at our institutional

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:48:01.093 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.053$ series was there's many, many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:48:03.053 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.645$ many papers that were being published

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:48:05.645 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.520$ as retrospective series and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:48:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.419$ were really solely using overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:48:11.419 --> 00:48:13.989 survival as their outcome measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00{:}48{:}13.989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}17.170$ for trying to make conclusions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:48:17.170 --> 00:48:18.090 And we said, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:48:18.090 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.470$ this doesn't really sound right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:48:19.470 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.806$ We think there's competing

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:48:20.806 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.808$ causes of mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:48:21.810 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.644$ We had done our APC cohort analysis.

 $00:48:23.650 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.922$ We we know that age was

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:48:24.922 --> 00:48:26.090 driving a lot of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:48:26.090 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.034$ so we said which you know no one

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:48:28.034 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.807$ had looked just for this specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:48:29.807 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.342$ disease before as we said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:48:31.350 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.090$ Does this make any sense?

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:48:33.090 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.955$ Should people be writing retrospective

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00{:}48{:}35.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}38.635$ papers using things like the NCDB

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:48:38.635 --> 00:48:40.740 which doesn't have disease specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:48:40.809 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.465$ survival in it and writing you know how

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:48:43.465 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.969$ we should be managing a rare cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:48:45.970 --> 00:48:47.356 And the answer you know as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00{:}48{:}47.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}48.640$ can see here nicely illustrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:48:48.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.928$ is we really shouldn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:48:49.930 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.995$ So if one looks at by age

 $00:48:51.995 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.760$ if we break this down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:48:53.760 --> 00:48:55.288 Patients who are 64,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:48:55.288 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.855$ which is young for Merkel cell up

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:48:57.855 --> 00:48:59.750 until about two years or so, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:48:59.750 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.670$ Those patients and even up to three years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:49:01.670 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.762$ Those patients are actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:49:02.762 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.127$ dying from their Merkel cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:49:04.130 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.100$ When we get to the more extremes of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667 00:49:07.100 --> 00:49:08.560 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:49:08.560 --> 00:49:11.976 Your patients beginning at age 65 to 74,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:49:11.976 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.836$ but particularly those about 75.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:49:13.840 --> 00:49:15.646 Many of those patients, and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:49:15.650 --> 00:49:17.494 we're talking about patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:49:17.494 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.877$ who are immunosuppressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:49:18.880 --> 00:49:21.379 They're actually not dying from Merkel cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:49:21.380 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.540$ so it just makes us pause and say,

00:49:24.540 --> 00:49:25.780 you know, we just need to be very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667 00:49:25.780 --> 00:49:26.608 very careful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00{:}49{:}26.608 \to 00{:}49{:}28.678$ particularly on these retrospective data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:49:28.680 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.220$ How we're looking at it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667 00:49:30.220 --> 00:49:30.932 So again, NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:49:30.932 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.424$ because we had our own cohort where

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:49:33.424 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.481$ we could look at recurrence disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:49:36.481 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.686$ specific survival and overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667 00:49:40.690 --> 00:49:41.162 We said, NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:49:41.162 --> 00:49:41.398 well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:49:41.398 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.629$ let's take a look at that in our own

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:49:43.629 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.693$ cohort and what things that we saw that

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00{:}49{:}45.757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}47.847$ were associated with overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667 00:49:47.850 --> 00:49:48.410 Again, NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:49:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.210$ what you would expect age

 $00:49:51.210 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.010$ female sex was protective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00{:}49{:}54.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}56.230$ If you are immuno compromised again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:49:56.230 --> 00:49:58.967 you know it makes sense that your

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:49:58.967 --> 00:50:01.498 mortality would be affected by that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:50:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.844$ It more advanced disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:50:02.844 --> 00:50:04.860 If you've had in transit disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:50:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.615$ but usually if it's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:50:06.615 --> 00:50:08.019 related to the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:50:08.020 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.260$ One of the things that your overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:50:10.260 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.864$ and then your your disease disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:50:12.864 --> 00:50:15.056 specific survival really should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88616591166666700:50:15.060 --> 00:50:15.904 Sorry guys,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:50:15.904 --> 00:50:17.592 should really actually match

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:50:17.592 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.960$ up and hear the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:50:19.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.768$ Specific survival and those

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:50:21.768 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.576$ factors for overall survival

 $00:50:23.576 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.670$ are actually quite discordant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:50:25.670 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.728$ The things when we look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:50:27.728 --> 00:50:28.757 disease specific survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:50:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.024$ the thing that stood out the most was

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:50:31.024 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.887$ actually patients who were active smokers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:50:32.890 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.515$ With the hazard ratio up to 14,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:50:35.520 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.884$ which actually hadn't been

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:50:36.884 --> 00:50:37.566 previously described,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00{:}50{:}37.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}39.782$ but subsequently there's been one or two

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:50:39.782 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.158$ papers which have also echoed this finding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667 00:50:42.160 --> 00:50:43.216 But really, NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:50:43.216 --> 00:50:45.328 some discrepancy and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:50:45.330 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.780$ our our institutional cohort is limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:50:48.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.530$ because we don't have thousands of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:50:50.530 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.522$ we only have hundreds.

00:50:52.522 --> 00:50:53.518 But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:50:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.817$ it really made us almost add an

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:50:56.817 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.609$ editorial to the paper itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:50:59.610 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.166$ which then was published in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:51:02.166 --> 00:51:03.870 Annals of Surgical Oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00{:}51{:}03.870 \longrightarrow 00{:}51{:}05.580$ So the overall conclusions are

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:51:05.580 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.290$ the surgical and the medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

00:51:07.351 --> 00:51:09.039 management continue to evolve

NOTE Confidence: 0.886165911666667

 $00:51:09.039 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.305$ with the utilization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809158702857143

00:51:10.310 --> 00:51:12.034 particularly of immune therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809158702857143

 $00{:}51{:}12.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}14.634$ For our paper. What we found was

NOTE Confidence: 0.809158702857143

 $00:51:14.634 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.264$ that there was little consistency

NOTE Confidence: 0.809158702857143

 $00:51:16.264 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.852$ between factors associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.809158702857143

 $00:51:17.852 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.847$ overall and disease specific survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.809158702857143

 $00:51:19.847 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.029$ for Merkel cell carcinoma patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809158702857143

 $00:51:22.030 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.250$ And, as I mentioned,

00:51:23.250 --> 00:51:24.470 competing risk for mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809158702857143

 $00:51:24.470 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.142$ particularly these older or

NOTE Confidence: 0.809158702857143

 $00:51:26.142 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.814$ immunosuppressed Merkel cell patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.809158702857143

00:51:27.814 --> 00:51:30.549 makes the use of overall survival 8

NOTE Confidence: 0.809158702857143

 $00:51:30.549 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.389$ poor surrogate for the apeutic outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809158702857143

 $00:51:32.390 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.350$ particularly these retrospective analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809158702857143

 $00:51:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.073$ And there are hundreds of papers that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.809158702857143

 $00{:}51{:}38.073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}40.227$ think that this statement applies to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809158702857143

00:51:40.230 --> 00:51:41.175 So moving forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809158702857143

 $00:51:41.175 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.779$ I think that as a Community it would

NOTE Confidence: 0.809158702857143

 $00:51:43.779 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.747$ for these rare diseases we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.809158702857143

00:51:45.747 --> 00:51:48.043 need to get more work together

NOTE Confidence: 0.809158702857143

 $00{:}51{:}48.043 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}49.699$ for more prospective data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

00:51:52.590 --> 00:51:55.054 And then just finally and then I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:51:55.054 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.564$ pause for questions I just wanted to

 $00:51:57.564 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.640$ just briefly highlight just one segue

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:51:59.706 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.268$ into some of the translational research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

00:52:02.270 --> 00:52:05.175 Again, that's been aided with

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:52:05.175 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.499$ the skin cancer score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

00:52:07.500 --> 00:52:11.486 So again, we have we talk about a similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00{:}52{:}11.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}13.576$ disease with two separate ideologies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

00:52:13.580 --> 00:52:15.568 almost similar to some of what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:52:15.568 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.658$ see now with HPV related tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00{:}52{:}17.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}18.746$ You can have squamous cell tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:52:18.746 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.700$ of the head and neck,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:52:19.700 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.252$ summer virally, associated summer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:52:21.252 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.192$ non virally associated in UV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00{:}52{:}23.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.396$ So a lot of work that's been done in

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:52:25.396 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.835$ other fields and now seeing that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:52:27.835 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.276$ response to immune therapy really allows you

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:52:30.276 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.887$ to look at things scientifically in a very,

 $00:52:32.887 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.456$ very interesting way where you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00{:}52{:}35.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}37.518$ viral associated non viral associated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:52:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.112$ And see what are the resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

00:52:40.112 --> 00:52:42.829 patterns that can develop in both and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:52:42.830 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.246$ We had looked at this again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

00:52:44.250 --> 00:52:46.490 You know, again, immune therapy being used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

00:52:46.490 --> 00:52:48.569 But again when we're looking at resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:52:48.570 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.908$ there's work that's been headed by Jeffy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

00:52:50.910 --> 00:52:52.090 Shizuka and Jessica Way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:52:52.090 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.270$ and now Alex Frey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

00:52:53.270 --> 00:52:55.769 who's a surgical resident in Jeff's lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

00:52:55.770 --> 00:52:57.618 is really looking at novel ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00{:}52{:}57.618 \longrightarrow 00{:}52{:}59.749$ that we can take fresh tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538 00:52:59.750 --> 00:53:00.181 Again, NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

00:53:00.181 --> 00:53:02.767 building upon our strengths in our

 $00:53:02.767 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.829$ Melanoma program with the score and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:53:05.829 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.949$ looking at these different conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:53:07.949 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.567$ and then getting really great data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:53:10.570 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.785$ even unlimited samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:53:11.785 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.190$ and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:53:12.190 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.236$ And this is work that that Jeff has

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:53:15.236 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.958$ has been doing with myself and others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:53:16.960 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.960$ and really pushing forward again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

00:53:18.960 --> 00:53:21.040 But all of our data is too preliminary

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:53:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.380$ to kind of show in a setting like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00{:}53{:}23.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}25.837$ but I'd like to say that may be a year

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00{:}53{:}25.837 \to 00{:}53{:}28.578$ or two from now that we may have new

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:53:28.578 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.784$ targets or new ways that we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

00:53:30.784 --> 00:53:34.330 look at things ex vivo to make better

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:53:34.330 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.680$ targeted treatment for these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538 00:53:37.680 --> 00:53:38.340 So again,

00:53:38.340 --> 00:53:40.320 thank you everyone for your time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924644303461538

 $00:53:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.710$ and I'll pause for questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857187081666667

 $00:53:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.720$ Well, thank you Kelly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857187081666667

 $00:53:48.720 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.600$ Very interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857187081666667

 $00:53:49.600 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.640$ I'll start with a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857187081666667

 $00:53:50.640 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.008$ While people are gathering

NOTE Confidence: 0.857187081666667

 $00:53:53.008 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.784$ their own questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857187081666667

00:53:54.790 --> 00:53:56.470 Is there any prospect for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.857187081666667

00:53:56.470 --> 00:53:58.053 vaccine against Merkel cell virus?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857187081666667

 $00:53:58.053 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.458$ I know it's a relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.857187081666667

00:53:59.458 --> 00:54:00.897 rare disease which is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.857187081666667

 $00:54:00.897 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.989$ to make that difficult,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857187081666667

 $00:54:01.990 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.354$ but with new technology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857187081666667

 $00:54:03.354 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.718$ maybe not so crazy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905029176

 $00:54:06.190 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.030$ So it's a great question.

 $00:54:08.030 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.730$ So for the polyoma virus itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905029176

00:54:10.730 --> 00:54:11.334 they're probably.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905029176

00:54:11.334 --> 00:54:13.750 It doesn't make sense to make a virus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905029176

 $00:54:13.750 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.430$ but you know it sounds like

NOTE Confidence: 0.905029176

00:54:15.430 --> 00:54:16.923 you've been listening into Jeff

NOTE Confidence: 0.905029176

 $00:54:16.923 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.207$ and his research meetings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905029176

00:54:18.210 --> 00:54:20.303 You know with some of the new

NOTE Confidence: 0.905029176

00:54:20.303 --> 00:54:21.924 technologies that we've seen with

NOTE Confidence: 0.905029176

 $00{:}54{:}21.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}23.579$ COVID vaccination and so forth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905029176

 $00:54:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.953$ there may be a role for development

NOTE Confidence: 0.905029176

 $00:54:25.953 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.094$ because there are T cell antigens

NOTE Confidence: 0.905029176

 $00:54:28.094 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.206$ with this similar to something like

NOTE Confidence: 0.905029176

 $00:54:30.206 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.906$ HPV where there may be ways that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.905029176

 $00:54:32.906 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.231$ can do combination of a vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905029176

 $00:54:35.231 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.913$ Approach almost similar to wait Akiko

NOTE Confidence: 0.905029176

 $00:54:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.978$ Iwasawa's doing with the cervical cancer.

 $00:54:39.980 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.520$ So I think that that would be a great field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905029176

 $00{:}54{:}42.520 \longrightarrow 00{:}54{:}45.442$ And if there's any experts that want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.905029176

 $00:54:45.442 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.958$ work with Jeff and I just give me an email.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856779182

 $00:54:49.230 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.840$ OK, great so there are some questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.856779182

 $00:54:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:52.062$ in the chat. Do you want to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856779182

00:54:52.062 --> 00:54:53.045 Can you read them or do you

NOTE Confidence: 0.856779182

 $00:54:53.045 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.400$ want me to read them? Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

00:54:56.400 --> 00:54:58.720 Insights into the differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

00:54:58.720 --> 00:55:01.040 biology in the younger patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

 $00:55:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.944$ So the patients I I worry about patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

00:55:04.944 --> 00:55:08.318 particularly who are less than 60 years old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

 $00:55:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.740$ and if those patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

 $00:55:09.740 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.460$ are less than 40 years old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

 $00:55:11.460 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.984$ you almost want to double check

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

 $00:55:12.984 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.890$ that you have the right diagnosis.

 $00:55:14.890 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.602$ So as you're seeing from part of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

 $00:55:17.602 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.078$ analysis that we looked at between

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

 $00:55:20.078 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.806$ mortality and overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

00:55:21.810 --> 00:55:22.492 Again, anecdotally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

 $00:55:22.492 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.538$ I think that the disease is

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

 $00:55:24.538 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.279$ more is more aggressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

 $00:55:26.280 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.680$ I think we may see more metastatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

 $00:55:28.680 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.619$ You don't have to re look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

 $00{:}55{:}30.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}33.324$ Look at that specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

 $00:55:33.324 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.192$ but there's there's certain thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

 $00{:}55{:}35.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}37.220$ that's driving that in a different way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

 $00:55:37.220 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.858$ To have that earlier and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

 $00:55:38.860 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.215$ these younger patients that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

 $00{:}55{:}40.215 \to 00{:}55{:}41.299$ seeing them in interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923242232

 $00:55:41.300 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.698$ they're not immunocompromised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.652937554

 $00:55:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.400$ Just Clark has a question,

 $00:55:46.400 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.540$ is there a specific tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.652937554

 $00:55:48.540 \longrightarrow 00:55:50.680$ or reservoir for the virus?

NOTE Confidence: 0.652937554

 $00:55:50.680 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.390$ Among many people who have it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935801606

 $00:55:53.050 \longrightarrow 00:55:57.790$ It's just on the skin. It's good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935801606

00:55:57.790 --> 00:55:59.666 There's some plot, and there may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.935801606

 $00:55:59.666 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.308$ some reservoir also in the GI tract,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935801606

 $00:56:01.310 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.068$ but usually it's a conventional skin flora.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817917780526316

 $00:56:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.896$ Brenda emails asked overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817917780526316

 $00{:}56{:}07.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}10.224$ Is there a difference in the proportion

NOTE Confidence: 0.817917780526316

 $00:56:10.224 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.808$ of cases at a UV versus virus associated?

NOTE Confidence: 0.809017882

 $00:56:14.390 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.880$ So from our own cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809017882

 $00:56:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.995$ unfortunately we're not able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.809017882

 $00:56:17.995 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.110$ answer that question we're creating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809017882

 $00:56:20.110 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.154$ hopefully a TMI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809017882

00:56:21.154 --> 00:56:23.242 We're trying to get that together

 $00:56:23.250 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.950$ because there wasn't a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.809017882

 $00{:}56{:}24.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}26.650$ in how patients were treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809017882

00:56:26.650 --> 00:56:28.434 They historically at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809017882

 $00:56:28.434 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.664$ The immunohistochemistry stain for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.809017882

00:56:30.664 --> 00:56:33.248 polyoma virus in Merkel cells wasn't done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809017882

00:56:33.250 --> 00:56:34.798 but we're very close to having

NOTE Confidence: 0.809017882

 $00:56:34.798 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.606$ all of that put together to

NOTE Confidence: 0.809017882

00:56:36.606 --> 00:56:38.406 start looking at that clinically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809017882

00:56:38.410 --> 00:56:39.770 And like I said retrospectively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809017882

 $00:56:39.770 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.246$ we're hoping to be able to look at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.679238274285714

 $00{:}56{:}42.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}45.320$ And or HIV patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.679238274285714

 $00:56:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.108$ increase risk. Of this tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696586504

00:56:47.140 --> 00:56:48.980 not if they're well controlled,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696586504

 $00{:}56{:}48.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}52.890$ so more are CML patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696586504

 $00:56:52.890 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.145$ I worry about those, and then probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.696586504

 $00:56:56.145 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.356$ just general Immunosenescence is

 $00:56:58.356 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.620$ probably important post transplant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696586504

 $00:57:01.620 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.804$ We don't see their their higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.696586504

 $00:57:03.804 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.890$ risk for Merkel, but you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696586504

00:57:05.890 --> 00:57:07.540 Again, it's a rare tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696586504

 $00:57:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.892$ so we don't see this as much as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.696586504

00:57:11.892 --> 00:57:13.768 see horrible, poorly differentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.696586504

 $00:57:13.768 \longrightarrow 00:57:15.220$ cutaneous squamous cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696586504

00:57:15.220 --> 00:57:16.408 You know, that's usually what we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696586504

 $00{:}57{:}16.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}17.878$ We're worrying and battling

NOTE Confidence: 0.696586504

 $00:57:17.878 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.346$ more with these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696586504

 $00:57:19.350 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.750$ but we do see it more often

NOTE Confidence: 0.696586504

 $00:57:20.750 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.800$ in the transplant patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77060529

 $00:57:22.070 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.500$ Perfect. Are there other questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653770025

 $00:57:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.208$ If not, I'll thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.726215027272727

 $00:57:29.220 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.708$ you very much. You're very interesting

 $00{:}57{:}30.708 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}57{:}32.120$ talk actually to both speakers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.726215027272727

 $00:57:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.638$ and we've learned a lot about

NOTE Confidence: 0.726215027272727

 $00{:}57{:}33.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}34.790$ neuroendocrine tumors today. Thank you.