WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:00:50.2400000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.717$ Of hosting today and we are incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:00:03.717 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.308$ thrilled and delighted that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:00:06.308 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.026$ were able to induce Doctor Gigi

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00{:}00{:}09.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}11.848$ Lissonota to be our speaker today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00{:}00{:}11.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}14.300$ Doctor Lozano graduated from Pan

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

00:00:14.300 --> 00:00:16.260 American University in Texas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00{:}00{:}16.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}19.200$ did some work at Oakridge Laboratories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

00:00:19.200 --> 00:00:21.650 got her PhD at Rutgers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

00:00:21.650 --> 00:00:24.100 and then went to Princeton,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:00:24.100 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.020$ where she was a postdoc with Arnie Levine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

00:00:28.020 --> 00:00:29.490 Immediately following that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:00:29.490 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.430$ she was recruited to MD Anderson,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:00:32.430 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.118$ and in her long tenure there she has done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:00:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.540$ Path breaking work on P53.

 $00:00:40.540 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.095$ She's recognized for enormous contributions

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00{:}00{:}43.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}45.850$ that include the recognition that P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00{:}00{:}45.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}48.748$ 53 works as a transcriptional activator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

00:00:48.750 --> 00:00:51.996 Many, many findings regarding the ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:00:51.996 \dashrightarrow 00:00:56.619$ that MDM two and MDM three regulate P 53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:00:56.620 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.200$ Extensive use of transgenic models

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:00:59.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.264$ to understand these mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00{:}01{:}01.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}03.910$ Better definition of specific P53

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00{:}01{:}03.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}07.145$ loss and gain of function mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

00:01:07.145 --> 00:01:10.151 and and their effects on P53's

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:01:10.151 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.514$ biology as well as studies of

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:01:13.514 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.866$ the P53 transcriptional program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:01:15.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.250$ She's a member of the National Academy

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:01:18.250 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.432$ of Sciences and the National Academy

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:01:20.432 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.616$ of Medicine and at MD Anderson.

 $00:01:22.620 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.105$ She is now chair of the Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00{:}01{:}25.105 --> 00{:}01{:}26.879$ of Genetics and Hubert L.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

00:01:26.880 --> 00:01:28.042 Olive Stringer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

00:01:28.042 --> 00:01:30.366 distinguished chair in oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00{:}01{:}30.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}32.278$ In the division of Basic Science

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

00:01:32.278 --> 00:01:33.550 Research at MD Anderson,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:01:33.550 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.043$ so I don't want to talk for a long

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:01:36.043 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.785$ time because I'm really eager

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:01:37.785 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.230$ to hear what you have to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

00:01:40.230 --> 00:01:42.590 So thank you very much for joining us

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00{:}01{:}42.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}45.320$ and I will just say to the audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:01:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.714$ You can use the chat function to

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:01:47.714 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.450$ enter your questions or we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

00:01:49.450 --> 00:01:51.394 I believe we can unmute people

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:01:51.394 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.579$ at the end if we need to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72860694

 $00:01:53.580 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.170$ So with that do welcome.

00:01:56.070 --> 00:01:58.758 Thank you, thank you very much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8811495

 $00:01:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.935$ Barbara. For that introduction I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8811495

 $00:02:00.935 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.690$ hopefully now I'm sharing my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67953175

 $00:02:07.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.210$ Can you see it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00{:}02{:}10.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}12.268$ Weekend OK super Alright so

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

00:02:12.268 --> 00:02:13.766 anyway thank you Barbara.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

00:02:13.766 --> 00:02:16.554 It's it's fun to always visit a place

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:02:16.554 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.238$ and now during covid we're doing these

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:02:19.238 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.740$ virtual seminars but hopefully at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00{:}02{:}21.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}24.703$ end I'll be able to address some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:02:24.703 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.865$ the questions that people might have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00{:}02{:}26.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.853$ What I thought I would do today is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00{:}02{:}29.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31.995$ described some of the models that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:02:31.995 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.083$ I think have to find some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:02:35.083 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.018$ basic real basic understanding of

 $00:02:37.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.290$ the P53 tumor suppressor pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00{:}02{:}39.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41.796$ So I'll get started with my disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

00:02:41.800 --> 00:02:44.626 I am on the Scientific Advisory

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:02:44.626 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.510$ Board for PMV Pharma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:02:46.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.580$ So the P 53 pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:02:48.580 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.356$ This is my myopic view of the pathway

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:02:51.356 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.550$ and it really highlights.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:02:53.550 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.034$ I think some of the critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

00:02:56.034 --> 00:02:57.690 features of the pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:02:57.690 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.511$ First and foremost people decree is present

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00{:}03{:}00.511 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}03.478$ in very low levels in normal cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:03:03.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.550$ but any kind of abnormality

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:03:05.550 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.206$ that the South senses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

00:03:07.210 --> 00:03:08.674 hypoxia, DNA damage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

00:03:08.674 --> 00:03:10.626 inappropriate activation of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00{:}03{:}10.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}12.485$ on cogene will stabilize that

 $00:03:12.485 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.760$ P53 protein and then P53 in turn

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:03:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.979$ functions as a transcription factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:03:16.980 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.880$ To activate hundreds of genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:03:18.880 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.585$ and I'll show you some of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

00:03:21.585 --> 00:03:23.775 experiments in in a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:03:23.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.426$ but some of the genes that P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

00:03:26.430 --> 00:03:29.823 53 is known to activate is P21 for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:03:29.830 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.854$ which is a inhibitor of the South cycle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:03:32.860 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.835$ It also induces senescence program

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

00:03:34.835 --> 00:03:37.768 P53 can activate a slew of gene sick,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00{:}03{:}37.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40.786$ initiate a pop ptosis and it also activates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

00:03:40.790 --> 00:03:43.445 Genes are involved in changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00{:}03{:}43.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}46.640$ the metabolic functions of a cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:03:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.286$ Now when P 53 is activated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:03:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.377$ if the cell is allowed to survive

 $00:03:52.377 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.259$ and proceed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:03:53.260 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.900$ P53 has to activate this protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:03:55.900 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.220$ called MDM 2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:03:57.220 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.620$ which is an E3 ubiquitin ligase

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:03:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.814$ that targets P53 for degradation

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00{:}04{:}01.814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}04{:}389$ and basically removes the Peachtree

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:04:04.389 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.070$ levels back down to normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:04:07.070 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.530$ So if you just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:04:08.530 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.329$ Think about what people think we can do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

00:04:11.330 --> 00:04:13.994 We can do so much in getting arrested,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

00:04:14.000 --> 00:04:15.436 phallic it, killed itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:04:15.436 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.027$ and it can also induce its own

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00{:}04{:}18.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}20.575$ inhibitor to allow the cell to survive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:04:20.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.452$ And even though there's so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:04:22.452 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.529$ work in the pizza tree killed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:04:24.530 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.952$ we still don't understand all of the

 $00{:}04{:}26.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29.238$ cues that determine which of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00{:}04{:}29.238 \to 00{:}04{:}31.208$ pathways Peabody Creek and activate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

00:04:31.210 --> 00:04:33.536 But because it has all these functions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:04:33.536 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.528$ it is a critical tumor suppressor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:04:35.530 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.858$ and it is the most doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:04:37.858 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.410$ mutated gene human cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:04:39.410 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.274$ So what I showed here is what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:04:42.274 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.559$ field called the Manhattan Plot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:04:44.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.325$ and it was developed by Magali Olivia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:04:47.330 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.906$ So across this axis are 125 genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:04:49.906 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.258$ that are commonly mutated and cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00{:}04{:}52.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54.988$ and then across this axis here are

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

00:04:55.062 --> 00:04:57.227 36 different types of cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:04:57.230 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.995$ and there's some features that stand out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:05:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.920$ But this is the one I want to

 $00:05:02.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.148$ highlight here across the board.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00{:}05{:}05.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.526$ These are mutations in the P53

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

00:05:07.526 --> 00:05:08.318 tumor suppressor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:05:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.540$ So almost all cancers mutate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:05:10.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.467$ 53 but P 53 pathway is inactivated by

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00{:}05{:}15.467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17.970$ multiple mechanisms and I show here

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:05:17.970 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.525$ in some of the different cancers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:05:20.607 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.349$ how they inactivate piece of debris.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:05:23.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.613$ So high grade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

00:05:24.613 --> 00:05:25.876 serious ovarian carcinomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00{:}05{:}25.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}28.834$ mutations in P53 are the most common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:05:28.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.262$ However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83147156

 $00:05:29.262 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.638$ in liposarcomas it is upregulation of MDM 2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

00:05:32.640 --> 00:05:37.280 the P fifty 383 if it could be like a San.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:05:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.472$ About 100% of these liposarcomas inactivate

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:05:40.472 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.900$ the pathway by overexpressing MD.

 $00{:}05{:}42.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}45.190$ In the new Asia glioblastoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:05:45.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.938$ big Sweet come interested in glioblastomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:05:47.940 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.139$ Recently, the P 53 gene is altered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:05:51.140 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.455$ deleted in approximately 1/3 of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00{:}05{:}53.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}56.640$ glioblastom as in the MDM to an Indian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:05:56.640 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.620$ For genes are upregulated in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:05:58.620 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.814$ about it order and this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:06:01.814 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.506$ mutually exclusive relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:06:03.510 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.800$ So if P53 is mutant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00{:}06{:}05.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10.469$ MDM 2 doesn't have to be upregulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00{:}06{:}10.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12.614$ And the other thing I want to point

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:06:12.614 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.010$ out in glioblastomas is that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00{:}06{:}15.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}17.243$ about half of these tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00{:}06{:}17.243 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}19.128$ have neither mutations and piece

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:06:19.128 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.657$ of D3 or upregulation of the MDM.

00:06:21.657 --> 00:06:24.030 Two and MDM four inhibitors of P53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00{:}06{:}24.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}26.032$ And so since I really believe that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:06:26.032 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.753$ the P 53 pathway has to be undermined

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:06:28.753 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.150$ in the development of all cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:06:31.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.926$ I think that there's a big hole here

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:06:33.926 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.386$ that we have to understand in more detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:06:37.390 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.497$ So today's start is really going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

00:06:39.497 --> 00:06:41.280 concentrate on just a few proteins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00{:}06{:}41.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}43.366$ and I'm not going to have time

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:06:43.366 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.758$ to show you a whole lot of data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:06:45.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.736$ but I thought I would use this slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00{:}06{:}48.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51.227$ to highlight some of the important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00{:}06{:}51.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}53.576$ Functions that I of these proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:06:53.576 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.310$ that I will discuss with you today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:06:56.310 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.438$ So MDM two is an inhibitor of P53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00{:}06{:}59.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}01.786$ It's an E3 ubiquit in ligase and

 $00:07:01.786 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.350$ target speakers for degradation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:07:03.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.130$ MDM Four also inhibits P 53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

00:07:07.130 --> 00:07:09.888 It doesn't have any E3 ligase function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:07:09.890 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.110$ but it actually facilitates and makes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:07:12.110 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.010$ MDM two or better yet riveting ligase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:07:15.010 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.100$ although it also has independent

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:07:17.100 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.735$ functions of MDM two and can

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:07:19.735 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.549$ actually bind and inhibit the pizza

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:07:22.549 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.550$ guy free transactivation domain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00{:}07{:}24.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27.546$ This relationship does MDM 2 New Four

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:07:27.546 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.280$ also conform hetero dimer and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00{:}07{:}30.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33.028$ header dimer is critical in embryo

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

00:07:33.028 --> 00:07:35.440 development to inhibit P 53 and then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:07:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.700$ as I indicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:07:36.700 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.220$ and will discuss in some detail,

 $00:07:39.220 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.626$ P53 can activate the Indian two

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00{:}07{:}41.626 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!\!>}\ 00{:}07{:}44.173$ promoter so it can up regulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

00:07:44.173 --> 00:07:46.759 MDM two in inhibited so levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

00:07:46.760 --> 00:07:48.432 Another important concept that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

00:07:48.432 --> 00:07:50.104 I'll mention very briefly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:07:50.110 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.952$ maybe at the end is that MDM

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:07:52.952 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.070$ two can also inhibit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

00:07:55.070 --> 00:07:57.779 A mutant P53 protein and that's because

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00{:}07{:}57.779 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}59.677$ these mutant proteins have mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:07:59.677 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.029$ in the DNA binding domain but retain

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00{:}08{:}02.029 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04.589$ a transcriptional activation domain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

00:08:04.590 --> 00:08:08.270 But the important point that I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00{:}08{:}08.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.587$ make here is even though this mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

00:08:11.587 --> 00:08:15.228 can be targeted by MD M2 and MD M4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:08:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.230$ It is mutant in so can no longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:08:18.230 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.610$ feed back it up.

 $00:08:19.610 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.898$ Regulate MDM two so with time in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:08:21.898 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.333$ in vivo models we find that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00{:}08{:}24.333 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}26.629$ people period this can become stabilized

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

00:08:26.629 --> 00:08:28.809 'cause there's insufficient MDM two

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:08:28.809 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.348$ to down modulate the protein levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:08:31.348 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.500$ and in a few minutes you'll see how

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:08:34.585 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.110$ important it down modulation is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:08:37.110 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.374$ So the outline of my talk today is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00{:}08{:}39.374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41.820$ I'm going to talk about some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:08:41.820 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.833$ models that showed us how exquisitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:08:43.833 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.500$ sensitive P 53 is to inhibition via video 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00{:}08{:}48.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51.230$ To tell you what the molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:08:51.230 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.561$ responses to people three activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7966159

 $00:08:53.561 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.543$ in vivo and last but not least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

00:08:56.550 --> 00:08:59.694 I'm going to describe some of the new

 $00:08:59.694 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.551$ cancer models that were working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00{:}09{:}02.551 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}05.036$ that expressed mutant P53 proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:09:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.994$ So let's first talk about the MDM

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:09:07.994 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.400$ proteins and their innovation P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:09:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.848$ 53. So along time ago and now we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

00:09:14.850 --> 00:09:17.412 Attempted to make an Indian to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

00:09:17.412 --> 00:09:19.708 Mouse and it's just not possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00{:}09{:}19.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}22.319$ and the reason is not possible is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00{:}09{:}22.401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.320$ because an MDM two null embryo just

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

00:09:25.320 --> 00:09:27.765 prior to implantation is APOP totic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00{:}09{:}27.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}29.740$ This embryo stained with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:09:29.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.658$ tunnel essay in every salad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:09:31.660 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.630$ This embryo is is dead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:09:34.630 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.521$ And at the time we knew that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:09:37.521 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.470$ MDM two interacted with P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:09:39.470 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.627$ 53, but we really didn't know how

 $00:09:42.627 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.765$ important interaction was and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:09:44.765 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.877$ we did was test the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:09:46.877 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.608$ of P53 in this little embryo by

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

00:09:49.608 --> 00:09:52.136 crossing 2P53 miles and we completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:09:52.136 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.864$ rescue this phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:09:53.864 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.744$ These Meister born and are

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:09:56.744 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.058$ perfectly normal except now because

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00{:}09{:}59.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}01.860$ they lack P 53 they have it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:10:01.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.476$ So with with this experiment indicates

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00{:}10{:}04.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08.072$ is that what MDM two is doing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:10:08.072 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.277$ these embryos is upregulating P53,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:10:10.280 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.490$ which is preventing the normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00{:}10{:}12.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}14.258$ development of these embryos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:10:14.260 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.480$ MDM fours are related MDM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:10:16.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.138$ two protein that aren't Johansson discovered.

 $00:10:19.140 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.868$ And since MDM two has such a unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00{:}10{:}22.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.469$ relationship with P53 we decided that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:10:25.469 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.449$ we would make the MDM for knockout

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:10:28.449 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.539$ but weren't sure what to expect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:10:31.540 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.532$ But in MD for knockout is also embryo

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

00:10:34.532 --> 00:10:37.316 lethal a few days after the Indian

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:10:37.316 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.232$ to know but again that phenotype is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00{:}10{:}40.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}43.305$ rescued by deletion of P53 and we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00{:}10{:}43.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}47.470$ also made mice that have no MDM to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

00:10:47.470 --> 00:10:50.988 Indian for Nokia 53 and these mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00{:}10{:}50.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54.206$ The viable they have Pizza 3 two or

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:10:54.206 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.207$ phenotypes because they lack P 53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

00:10:56.210 --> 00:10:57.698 So at least physiologically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:10:57.698 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.814$ in the mouse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

00:10:58.820 --> 00:11:01.532 the two most important functions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:11:01.532 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.598$ these empty in proteins is to keep

00:11:04.598 --> 00:11:06.950 P 53 levels low during homeostasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806 00:11:06.950 --> 00:11:07.283 OK,

00:11:07.283 --> 00:11:09.614 so we have these MDM two heterozygous

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:11:09.614 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.289$ in these MDM for headers I customized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

00:11:12.290 --> 00:11:14.330 They have only one allele of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:11:14.330 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.210$ each of these two genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:11:16.210 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.985$ In these mice are perfectly

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

00:11:17.985 --> 00:11:19.405 normal and running around,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

 $00:11:19.410 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.546$ but as I indicated in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.79513806

00:11:21.546 --> 00:11:22.970 in my introductory slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00{:}11{:}25.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28.726$ P 53 is a DNA damage response protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00{:}11{:}28.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}31.215$ and so we wondered if there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00{:}11{:}31.215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}33.149$ any phenotypes in these mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:11:33.150 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.618$ If we irradiated them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

00:11:34.618 --> 00:11:37.564 if we damaged their DNA, and sure enough,

 $00:11:37.564 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.404$ we saw a beautiful phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

00:11:39.410 --> 00:11:41.979 So the MDM two in the MDM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:11:41.980 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.283$ two Ambien for headers agasse mice are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:11:44.283 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.770$ sensitive to low dose ionizing radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:11:46.770 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.086$ So in this experiment what we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

00:11:49.086 --> 00:11:51.373 done is irradiated mice with six

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

00:11:51.373 --> 00:11:53.389 Gray and the black line above.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:11:53.390 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.770$ Here is a normal mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:11:55.770 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.556$ That for 50 days just ignores.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:11:59.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.708$ Six grade radiation, but the headers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:12:01.710 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.548$ I guess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:12:02.548 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.456$ Mice MDM four in Red and MDM two and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:12:06.456 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.088$ blue are dead by three weeks of age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

00:12:10.090 --> 00:12:10.475 Importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

00:12:10.475 --> 00:12:13.555 if we now move P53 from this system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:12:13.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.490$ we completely rescue these phenotypes.

 $00:12:15.490 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.420$ So this is the rescue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:12:17.420 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.736$ The Indium MDM, two heterozygous mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:12:19.740 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.398$ And here's the rescue of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00{:}12{:}22.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24.170$ Indian for headers ecospace.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:12:24.170 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.484$ So even though.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:12:25.484 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.550$ The MDM two and MDM for heterozygotes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:12:28.635 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.275$ mice have sufficient levels of the Zambian

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:12:32.275 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.909$ proteins to maintain homeostasis with damage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:12:35.910 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.970$ There's just not enough of these proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:12:39.970 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.017$ to return degree back to normal levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:12:44.020 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.533$ The next experiment that I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:12:46.533 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.944$ tell you about is the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:12:48.944 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.620$ of this feedback loop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:12:50.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.172$ So as I indicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:12:52.172 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.500$ MDM two is regulated by P53.

 $00:12:54.500 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.525$ There are two peaks decree

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

00:12:56.525 --> 00:12:59.370 binding sites in the P2 promoter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:12:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.312$ There are people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:13:00.312 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.940$ three dependent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:13:00.940 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.045$ so people free byansi sequences

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:13:03.045 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.729$ and activates MDM 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:13:04.730 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.187$ And So what we decided to do is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

00:13:07.187 --> 00:13:09.771 ask how important was this feedback

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

00:13:09.771 --> 00:13:12.001 loop in regulating P53 levers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916 00:13:12.010 --> 00:13:12.300 OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00{:}13{:}12.300 \to 00{:}13{:}14.620$ so we made point mutations and I show

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

00:13:14.620 --> 00:13:16.572 here the different point mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00{:}13{:}16.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18.960$ because we didn't want to disrupt

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:13:19.025 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.110$ the architecture of the promoter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:13:21.110 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.438$ We just wanted to disrupt MD PhD degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:13:24.438 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.597$ binding to the end game to promote it.

 $00:13:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.253$ So these experiments in the bottom bar

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:13:30.253 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.822$ chip data that basically show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

00:13:32.822 --> 00:13:35.540 P53 cannot find this mutant promoter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:13:35.540 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.180$ which we call P2P2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:13:37.180 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.640$ and this is different promoter that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:13:39.715 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.067$ shows our assays are working in P53 combined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:13:43.070 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.742$ The pull up remote.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

00:13:44.742 --> 00:13:45.160 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00{:}13{:}45.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47.560$ so we made these point mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:13:47.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.108$ and we were surprised that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00{:}13{:}50.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51.840$ most was perfectly normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00{:}13{:}51.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.870$ We really thought that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00{:}13{:}53.870 --> 00{:}13{:}56.416$ feedback loop is going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

00:13:56.416 --> 00:13:58.586 critical for regulation of P53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:13:58.590 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.990$ The mice are fine, but again,

 $00:14:00.990 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.990$ as in the previous case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:14:02.990 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.990$ their exquisitely sensitive to radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00{:}14{:}04.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}08.686$ so this is the same experiment that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:14:08.686 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.593$ showed you before we rated it the we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:14:12.593 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.579$ irradiated the mice with six grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:14:15.580 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.532$ Normal mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

00:14:17.532 --> 00:14:20.460 MDM two heterozygotes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

00:14:20.460 --> 00:14:22.310 Don't care about this dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:14:22.310 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.710$ but you can see that the P2P2 homozygous

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

00:14:25.710 --> 00:14:28.770 mice that no longer have this feedback

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00{:}14{:}28.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31.830$ loop are dead for the most part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:14:31.830 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.080$ So what is the phenotype?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:14:34.080 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.004$ These animals are actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:14:36.004 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.409$ dying because of the complete

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:14:38.409 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.380$ ablation of the ball mirror,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00{:}14{:}40.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43.104$ so here's a heterozygous irradiated mice

00:14:43.104 --> 00:14:47.339 and you can see that at 12 days you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00{:}14{:}47.339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}50.280$ some disruption of bone marrow function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

00:14:50.280 --> 00:14:51.992 but it's still viable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:14:51.992 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.560$ whereas in the mice that lack

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:14:54.647 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.129$ the feedback loop,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:14:56.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.930$ there's a complete ablation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7945916

 $00:14:57.930 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.280$ of Humana Pelisses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:14:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.500$ and this is again a P53 dependent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:15:02.500 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.734$ Process so if we take out

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:15:05.734 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.330$ just one illegal appeal 53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00{:}15{:}08.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10.784$ We completely rescued this phenotype so

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:15:10.784 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.847$ we can rescue this phenotype with complete

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

00:15:13.847 --> 00:15:17.004 deletion of P53 or header zygosity 5053.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:15:17.010 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.202$ So with these experiments are beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:15:20.202 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.238$ to tell us is that there's this.

 $00:15:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.070$ There is this important relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:15:26.070 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.900$ between MDM two and P53,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:15:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.715$ and then there's an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:15:29.715 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.081$ balance that has to be maintained

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

00:15:32.081 --> 00:15:34.466 for survival after DNA damage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:15:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.786$ The last experiment that I'll show

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:15:36.786 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.293$ you here is our attempts to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

00:15:40.293 --> 00:15:42.177 understand which pathway downstream

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00{:}15{:}42.177 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}45.668$ of P53 is important for this phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

00:15:45.670 --> 00:15:49.072 So I've already told you that heterozygosity

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00{:}15{:}49.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}51.340$ Peach tree rescues the phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:15:51.340 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.460$ We also generated mice with deletion of P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:15:54.460 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.085$ 21, which is the cell cycle inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:15:57.085 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.310$ and that had no effect on the phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:16:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.260$ Those mice are also very

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:16:02.260 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.430$ sensitive to radiation,

 $00:16:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.770$ and then we also deleted Puma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:16:05.770 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.110$ which is one of the APOP

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:16:08.110 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.670$ totic targets of P53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:16:09.670 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.590$ And here you can see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00{:}16{:}11.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}13.458$ there was a complete rescue

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00{:}16{:}13.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}15.518$ of this hematopoietic defects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:16:15.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.722$ So in this scenario it appears

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:16:17.722 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.799$ that it is the APOP totic function

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00{:}16{:}20.799 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}23.344$ opekta degree that is killing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:16:23.350 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.618$ These hematopoietic stem cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00{:}16{:}26.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29.861$ So those are just a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

00:16:29.861 --> 00:16:31.872 the numerous experiments being

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00{:}16{:}31.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34.532$ done to evaluate the relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:16:34.532 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.660$ between MDM24 and P53,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:16:36.660 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.666$ and it's just an exquisite relationship.

 $00:16:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.074$ You need sufficient MDM 2 for survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917 00:16:45.080 --> 00:16:47.040 And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

00:16:47.040 --> 00:16:49.544 And if you have too much and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:16:49.544 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.531$ too MDM for deletion of 53 deletion

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00{:}16{:}52.531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}55.433$ of downstream effectors of P53 can

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

00:16:55.433 --> 00:16:57.629 rescue those lethal phenotypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:16:57.630 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.952$ Now come. Because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:16:59.952 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.596$ MDM two is so lethal early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

00:17:04.600 --> 00:17:06.067 During embryo Genesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

00:17:06.067 --> 00:17:09.001 one of the experiments we wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00{:}17{:}09.001 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}12.232$ to do is really ask about an adult

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:17:12.232 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.032$ mouse and how important is MDM two in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:17:16.032 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.602$ different tissues at different times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:17:18.610 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.218$ and we've used,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:17:20.218 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.362$ we've generated this conditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00{:}17{:}22.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}25.828$ allele of MDM two and this is using

 $00:17:25.828 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.167$ The LOX P system so these two lacks

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:17:29.167 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.119$ besides encompass two of the accents

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:17:32.119 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.350$ that code for the major finding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:17:35.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.240$ Region 2P53 so this conditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00{:}17{:}37.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39.841$ Leo then allows us to delete MDM

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:17:39.841 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.401$ two in any tissue that we want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:17:42.410 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.175$ And we've generated number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

00:17:44.175 --> 00:17:45.940 tissues and experiments that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00{:}17{:}45.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}48.996$ But what I want to show you is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

00:17:48.996 --> 00:17:51.263 what happens when you globally

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

00:17:51.263 --> 00:17:54.560 remove MDM two in the whole months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:17:54.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.390$ So as the title says,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00{:}17{:}56.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58.567$ Indian too lost in the adult is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

00:17:58.567 --> 00:18:00.780 results in a lethal phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:18:00.780 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.985$ So what we've done here is we've

 $00:18:02.985 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.212$ used a Cree transgene that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00{:}18{:}05.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}07.217$ tamoxifen inducible so this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:18:07.217 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.296$ this is a mouse that has one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:18:10.296 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.126$ the conditional alleles and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:18:12.126 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.956$ has the other legalism missing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:18:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.324$ so it's single recombination event is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:18:16.324 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.807$ going to create an M2 normals or not sell in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:18:19.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.650$ All we do is inject tamoxifen and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00{:}18{:}22.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.376$ we look at what happens to these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:18:25.380 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.734$ Phenotypes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00{:}18{:}25.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}28.566$ and I think you can see from this

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:18:28.566 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.326$ graph here on the right that within 5

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

00:18:31.326 --> 00:18:34.070 days of treating the mice with tamoxifen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00:18:34.070 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.813$ they're all dead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917 00:18:35.813 --> 00:18:36.394 So, NOTE Confidence: 0.79331917

 $00{:}18{:}36.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}40.914$ so losing MDM two and it's a

 $00:18:40.914 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.638$ Peachtree dependent process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:18:43.640 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.320$ Causes this enormous physiological response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

00:18:49.320 --> 00:18:52.792 These are some of the pathologies we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:18:52.792 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.919$ see in these mice the hippocampus has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:18:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.992$ Less number of cells, the retina is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:18:59.992 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.044$ comprised of multiple beautiful layers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:19:02.044 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.164$ and you can see that it all of these cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:19:06.164 \dashrightarrow 00:19:09.069$ that it's it's a decreased cell numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:19:09.070 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.905$ There's some differences in in the liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:19:11.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.935$ and it's actually if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:19:13.935 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.150$ measure liver function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

00:19:15.150 --> 00:19:16.770 Liver function is compromised

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00{:}19{:}16.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}19.200$ when you have deletions of MDM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:19:19.200 \dashrightarrow 00:19:21.630$ Two kidney has all these protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:19:21.630 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.250$ casts and dilated tubules,

 $00:19:23.250 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.121$ and then in the spleen we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

00:19:27.121 --> 00:19:29.560 complete absence of white.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:19:29.560 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.404$ And as I indicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:19:31.404 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.170$ these phenotypes are all P53 dependent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:19:34.170 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.232$ So it just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

00:19:35.232 --> 00:19:37.344 I mean, I think the important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:19:37.344 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.885$ aspect of this slide is that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:19:39.885 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.391$ some of the previous slide is it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00{:}19{:}42.391 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}44.195$ highlights some of the pathologies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:19:44.195 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.088$ that we might see when we use MDM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:19:47.088 \dashrightarrow 00:19:50.784$ Two inhibitors in the clinic to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:19:50.790 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.622$ To inhibit the tumors that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

00:19:53.622 --> 00:19:56.300 high levels of empathy into it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:19:56.300 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.060$ And the hematopoietic defense

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:19:58.060 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.260$ is actually observed in humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:20:00.260 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.508$ It is treated with MDM two inhibitors.

00:20:03.510 --> 00:20:03.854 Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:20:03.854 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.950$ But now I want to use this model system

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

00:20:07.029 --> 00:20:09.465 to understand what piece of degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:20:09.465 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.579$ is doing in these different tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00{:}20{:}12.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}14.974$ In you know one reason for doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:20:14.974 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.777$ this is we would like to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

00:20:17.777 --> 00:20:19.897 able to reactivate P53 somehow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:20:19.900 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.210$ and it's kind of hard people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:20:22.210 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.905$ A lot of people are trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:20:24.905 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.829$ to reactivate people to agree,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:20:26.830 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.063$ but what we were hoping is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:20:29.063 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.501$ we might be able to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:20:31.501 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.369$ downstream pathways to P53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00{:}20{:}33.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35.850$ There would be better targets

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:20:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.338$ for reactivation tours.

 $00:20:37.340 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.916$ So let me show you what we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00{:}20{:}40.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}43.608$ So again we used our MDM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00{:}20{:}43.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.750$ two conditional mouse and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

00:20:45.750 --> 00:20:48.989 deleted MDM two in the adult mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

00:20:48.990 --> 00:20:52.166 But we did this acutely and we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

00:20:52.166 --> 00:20:55.375 chose a 24 time our time point to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00{:}20{:}55.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}58.102$ ask what P53 targets are regulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:20:58.102 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.348$ in different issues that lead to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00{:}21{:}01.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}05.319$ these pathologies in the adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00{:}21{:}05.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}05.669 \ \mathrm{OK},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00{:}21{:}05.669 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}21{:}08.112$ so this is now all the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:21:08.112 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.029$ issues that we initially looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

00:21:10.029 --> 00:21:12.682 at and what I'm showing you here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:21:12.756 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.868$ is the percent recombination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

00:21:14.870 --> 00:21:17.258 So once we treat with tamoxifen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:21:17.260 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.578$ we induce recombination of the locus.

00:21:20.580 --> 00:21:23.177 And you can see that the pancreas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:21:23.180 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.668$ the heart being tested,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:21:24.668 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.900$ had the highest level of recombination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

00:21:26.900 --> 00:21:27.269 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

00:21:27.269 --> 00:21:31.000 I will point out that we only have one MDM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:21:31.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.742$ two allele.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:21:31.742 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.968$ The other allele is an allele,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

00:21:33.970 --> 00:21:35.758 so single recombination event

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:21:35.758 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.546$ will activate P 53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:21:37.550 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.030$ And then on this axis we chose to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

00:21:40.030 --> 00:21:42.419 look at P53 activation by measuring

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:21:42.419 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.466$ the expression of P 21 which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00{:}21{:}45.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}47.606$ encodes a cell cycle inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:21:47.610 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.319$ So you can see in this experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:21:50.319 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.480$ at the kidney,

 $00:21:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.550$ the pancreas in the intestine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00{:}21{:}53.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55.620$ where the tissues that expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

00:21:55.687 --> 00:21:57.287 the highest levels of P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339 00:21:57.290 --> 00:21:57.898 21. NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

00:21:57.898 --> 00:22:01.546 And we were thinking the highest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:22:01.546 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.000$ levels of of P53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:22:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.317$ So we've we've looked at these mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:22:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.630$ so in 24 hours we see no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00{:}22{:}08.630 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}22{:}11.609$ So let me back up just for one second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:22:11.610 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.596$ I hope I can do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:22:13.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.180$ so that issues that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166339

 $00:22:15.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.760$ decided to look at where

NOTE Confidence: 0.84411275

 $00:22:16.830 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.432$ the kidney, the pancreas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84411275

 $00:22:18.432 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.587$ the intestine in the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84411275

 $00:22:20.590 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.876$ And the ovary in the ovary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84411275

00:22:22.880 --> 00:22:25.652 Just because P 53 is mutant in

 $00:22:25.652 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.469$ ovarian carcinoma is at 95%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:22:29.560 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.230$ 95% frequency and so we wondered

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:22:32.230 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.008$ if we might be able to to begin to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:22:37.008 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.324$ understand that mutation frequency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:22:39.330 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.354$ So for the heart for the ovary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:22:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.888$ we saw absolutely no phenotypes after

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:22:45.888 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.220$ 24 hours post deletion of MDM 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:22:49.220 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.184$ In the intestine we saw a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00{:}22{:}52.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53.666$ fascinating phenotype which

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:22:53.666 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.640$ is descript dropout phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:22:56.640 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.666$ In yellow here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:22:57.666 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.866$ I've outlined the ***** of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00{:}22{:}59.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.757$ intestine and one of the phenotypes is

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00{:}23{:}02.757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06.035$ the complete absence of of the crypt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:23:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.356$ Sydney in the lab is quantified

 $00:23:08.356 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.698$ the number of **** in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

00:23:10.698 --> 00:23:12.693 different animals and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:23:12.693 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.298$ see the mice that have no MDM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:23:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.586$ 2 have about little more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:23:17.586 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.332$ little but half of the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:23:20.332 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.020$ ***** is in normal control mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:23:24.020 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.150$ The kidney also had some phenotypes

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:23:26.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.714$ at 24 hours and it had twice

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

00:23:28.714 --> 00:23:30.499 the number of protein casts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:23:30.500 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.300$ so you can see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:23:32.300 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.540$ So this is an early phenotype in the kidney.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:23:35.540 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.012$ Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:23:36.012 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.316$ this is the normal kidney control experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:23:39.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.648$ And then the pancreas had to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

00:23:42.648 --> 00:23:44.345 fascinating phenotype which will

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:23:44.345 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.110$ delve into a little bit more deeply.

 $00:23:47.110 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.166$ But we saw in the pancreas is this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

00:23:52.170 --> 00:23:53.922 Acinar to ductal metaplasia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:23:53.922 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.220$ so here's a normal pancreas in the top,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

00:23:57.220 --> 00:24:00.146 and here's what the pancreas looks like

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00{:}24{:}00.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}03.958$ in the in the animals that have no MDM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

00:24:03.960 --> 00:24:06.907 2 Here we've stained with keratin 19,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:24:06.910 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.214$ which is a marker for a ductal cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:24:11.220 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.926$ And here we've measured the Metaplastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:24:13.926 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.161$ area and we also see an immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00{:}24{:}17.161 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}19.849$ in filtration in these in these mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00{:}24{:}19.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}23.314$ So within 24 hours we saw this huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:24:23.314 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.657$ plasticity in the pancreas from you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00{:}24{:}26.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}29.960$ this acinar to ductal metaplasia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00{:}24{:}29.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}30.357 \ \mathrm{OK},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:24:30.357 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.342$ so we've taken these five

 $00:24:32.342 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.930$ tissues an we've done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:24:33.930 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.709$ We've looked for expression of P53 targets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:24:36.710 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.770$ so on the left here I show you all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:24:39.859 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.219$ the genes that were regulated in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00{:}24{:}43.219 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}46.240$ different issues in our RNA seek data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:24:46.240 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.879$ The dark region is the region that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

00:24:48.879 --> 00:24:51.400 is upregulated in the lighter color,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:24:51.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.028$ shows the regions that were downregulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:24:54.028 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.840$ in each of these five tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:24:56.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.536$ On the right here I show the percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:24:59.536 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.840$ of these these dysregulated genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:25:01.840 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.420$ that are actually P53 targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:25:04.420 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.670$ They have a pizza degree binding

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:25:06.670 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.655$ site and we used data from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:25:09.655 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.000$ literature to identify these tools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:25:12.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.680$ With P53 binding sites.

 $00:25:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.360$ So in the intestine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:25:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.202$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:25:16.202 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.572$ I think that number is 69% of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:25:19.572 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.098$ channels had pizza pre binding sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:25:22.100 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.020$ So the the most.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:25:24.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.420$ Most of the genes dysregulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:25:26.420 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.405$ it in the system by deletion

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:25:29.405 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.390$ of MDM 2RP53 targets the ****.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:25:32.390 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.096$ ** the other hand had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

00:25:35.096 --> 00:25:36.449 huge physiological response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:25:36.450 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.156$ 600 for jeans that were dysregulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00{:}25{:}39.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}42.010$ but only 16% repeated different targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00{:}25{:}42.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}45.010$ So what we're capturing here at

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

00:25:45.010 --> 00:25:47.913 24 hours is not just you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

00:25:47.913 --> 00:25:50.429 activation of P53 and P3 targets,

 $00:25:50.430 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.785$ But the downstream responds to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:25:53.785 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.798$ that P53 activation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:25:55.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.383$ So this is now compilation of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:25:58.383 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.343$ those five different tissues to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:26:00.343 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.059$ examine the overlap in P53 target genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:26:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.084$ And as you can see from this figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:26:06.084 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.130$ on the left there were only 7 jewels

NOTE Confidence: 0.86477065

 $00:26:10.130 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.258$ that were commonly regulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00{:}26{:}12.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}15.564$ By MDM 2 lost that repeat 53 targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:26:15.570 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.107$ So for example here in the pink is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00{:}26{:}19.107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}22.655$ have 206 genes, 135 of the P53 targets

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:26:22.655 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.780$ are specific to the pancreas and seven

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00{:}26{:}25.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}29.007$ were shared with the other four tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

00:26:29.010 --> 00:26:32.490 So these seven jeans are MDM two cycling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:26:32.490 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.970$ G1 MDM two as we as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:26:35.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.195$ the very beginning is regulated

 $00:26:38.195 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.189$ by P53 and we expect it well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

00:26:41.190 --> 00:26:44.670 We didn't expect them to be able to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

00:26:44.670 --> 00:26:48.492 but it's not a surprising result because

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:26:48.492 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.789$ the promoter is intact in MDM 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:26:51.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.446$ Three of these genes segment you one GST,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

00:26:55.450 --> 00:26:58.570 one piece art, one or cell cycle regulators

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:26:58.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.838$ to these jeans are transcription factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:27:01.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.039$ and this gene EDA 2R herself directions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:27:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.638$ So these are the six Peachtree

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:27:07.638 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.070$ targets that have a common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:27:10.070 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.297$ They represent the common signature of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:27:13.297 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.470$ upregulated genes in in these three tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00{:}27{:}16.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}19.314$ We wanted to validate the signature

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:27:19.314 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.199$ to make sure that they were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

00:27:22.200 --> 00:27:24.340 Truly, a P53 targets physiologically.

 $00:27:24.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.060$ And So what we did is we did our our DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00{:}27{:}28.060 \to 00{:}27{:}30.760$ damage ionizing radiation experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:27:30.760 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.895$ We treated the whole animal

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:27:32.895 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.176$ with ionizing radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:27:34.180 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.032$ and here's the data for two of the jeans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:27:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.650$ and we've done it for all

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

00:27:40.650 --> 00:27:43.170 of seven cycling G1 E DA2R.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:27:43.170 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.310$ Here's the wild type levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00{:}27{:}45.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}47.450$ of expression of these genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:27:47.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.590$ If we irradiate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:27:48.590 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.260$ you can see that these genes are upregulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:27:52.260 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.143$ In in both cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:27:55.143 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.230$ and if we irradiate a P53 null,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00{:}27{:}58.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}01.317$ you see you see no up regulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:28:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.248$ So these are P3 target genes that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:28:04.248 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.383$ being upregulated following punishing

 $00{:}28{:}06.383 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09.930$ radiation. So these experiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00{:}28{:}09.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.830$ Highlight this incredible repertoire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:28:12.830 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.730$ Transcriptional targets that P53

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:28:15.730 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.979$ physiologically regulates the vivo

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00{:}28{:}17.979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20.513$ and I think it also suggests that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

00:28:20.513 --> 00:28:22.676 maybe these specific targets can

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:28:22.676 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.256$ be used to understand in vivo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:28:25.260 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.688$ If you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00{:}28{:}26.688 \rightarrow 00{:}28{:}30.020$ If you can reactivate piece of D3

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:28:30.127 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.439$ or convert mutant and wild type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:28:33.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.142$ these might be great markers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:28:37.142 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.070$ look at for activation 53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

00:28:40.070 --> 00:28:40.551 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00{:}28{:}40.551 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43.918$ I want to now just briefly discuss

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

00:28:43.918 --> 00:28:47.090 this this encrypted hypothesis.

00:28:47.090 --> 00:28:49.398 Acinar ductal hyperplasia that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:28:49.398 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.380$ we see within 24 hours in the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:28:53.380 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.916$ In the pancreas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:28:54.916 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.500$ So one of the other experiments that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

00:28:58.600 --> 00:29:02.232 Sidney Moyer in my lab did is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:29:02.232 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.560$ obtained these mice MST one missed one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

00:29:05.560 --> 00:29:06.736 Cree are OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:29:06.736 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.088$ so missed one is an SNR

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00{:}29{:}09.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}11.400$ specific transcription factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80729765

 $00:29:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.809$ An upstream of the missed one promoter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:29:16.910 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.730$ There is a criar transgene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:29:18.730 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.320$ which means that you can express create

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:29:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.770$ only in the *** and ourselves with the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:29:24.770 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.553$ Pancreas and so Sydney worked out the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:29:28.553 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.688$ tamoxifen conditions that gave you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00{:}29{:}31.688 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}29{:}34.838$ a similar percent recombination as

 $00:29:34.838 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.598$ our previous experiments with MDM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00{:}29{:}37.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}40.996$ Two position in the entire pancreas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

00:29:41.000 --> 00:29:44.474 OK, so similar percentage of recombination

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:29:44.474 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.820$ and similar activation of P3 targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:29:47.820 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.356$ So here we use two of our targets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

00:29:52.360 --> 00:29:54.528 EADE, DA2RG, STT, SC1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

00:29:54.528 --> 00:29:57.780 To measure people to the activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00{:}29{:}57.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}00.955$ and you can see in both my sweet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:30:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.970$ MDM two deletion happens in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00{:}30{:}02.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}05.038$ home pancreas or mice where it

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00{:}30{:}05.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}07.030$ only happens in the acinar cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:30:07.030 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.715$ You have similar activation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

00:30:08.715 --> 00:30:10.400 these three of these targets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:30:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.360$ so we felt we could do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:30:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.920$ We could actually compare deletion

 $00{:}30{:}15.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}18.450$ of MDM two in the whole pancreas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:30:18.450 \dashrightarrow 00:30:20.982$ The deletion of MDM two jestoni

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:30:20.982 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.826$ Essen ourselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:30:21.830 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.430$ And we have absolutely no phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:30:25.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.568$ So these pancreas look completely normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

00:30:28.570 --> 00:30:32.238 Here we're measuring just we're looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:30:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.288$ I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

00:30:33.288 --> 00:30:37.480 I ageny sections in here in the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00{:}30{:}37.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}39.576$ We're measuring the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:30:39.576 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.196$ component and these these pancreas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:30:42.200 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.260$ These pancreatic perfectly normal. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:30:46.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.487$ The take home message here is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:30:49.490 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.700$ This esnard ductal hyperplasia that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

00:30:51.700 --> 00:30:55.830 we see is a P53 specific hyperplasia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:30:55.830 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.920$ But it's it's arising from signals

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:30:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.630$ outside of the acinar cells.

 $00:31:01.630 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.487$ So to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00{:}31{:}03.487 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06.582$ this is a fascinating experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:31:06.582 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.507$ because no one's ever noted that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:31:10.510 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.906$ That the environment can can affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:31:13.906 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.170$ the pizza delivery response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:31:16.170 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.790$ and so we'll be delving into understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:31:20.790 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.898$ this phenotype a little bit better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:31:24.900 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.862$ Pancreas is is one of the tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:31:28.862 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.180$ with 7075% mutations in P53 an and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:31:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.066$ it always has this very compromised

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00{:}31{:}35.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}38.738$ stromal component and so maybe by

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:31:38.738 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.319$ understanding what P 53 is doing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00{:}31{:}43.319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}45.305$ physiologically important Organism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:31:45.310 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.377$ we might be able to impact our

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:31:49.377 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.929$ understanding of Peter mutations in

00:31:52.929 --> 00:31:56.360 pancreatic cancer. OK, so the let out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00{:}31{:}56.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}58.944$ OK so I'm just going to check my

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:31:58.944 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.880$ Clock to see how much time I'm doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

00:32:01.880 --> 00:32:02.542 Well, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:32:02.542 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.190$ so I've shown you a lot of data

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00{:}32{:}05.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}07.817$ where we deleted MDM two and an

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

00:32:07.817 --> 00:32:10.611 I didn't show you data for MDM 4

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

00:32:10.611 --> 00:32:12.928 but you you see these people three

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00{:}32{:}12.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}13.975$ dependent physiological phenotypes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:32:13.975 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.020$ and that's all fine and good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:32:16.020 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.360$ It showed us how important this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:32:18.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.820$ relationship is between these proteins but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:32:20.820 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.346$ What happens in human cancers is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:32:23.346 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.383$ you've got high expressions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:32:25.383 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.343$ MDM two and this is just yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00{:}32{:}27.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}29.294$ mean Valentina Vega in the lab

 $00:32:29.294 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.597$ a number of years ago looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

00:32:31.597 --> 00:32:33.774 Indian 2 levels in head and neck

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:32:33.843 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.038$ squamous carcinomas and these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

00:32:36.038 --> 00:32:38.233 some of her beautiful pictures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:32:38.240 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.179$ So here's MDM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:32:39.179 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.860$ two expressed a very highly in a small

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:32:41.860 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.779$ region of this squamous cell carcinoma here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:32:44.780 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.705$ 6 expressed almost across the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:32:46.705 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.071$ entire tissue and then here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:32:49.071 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.926$ an interesting example of MDM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:32:50.930 \dashrightarrow 00:32:53.228$ To be expressed in the cytoplasm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:32:53.230 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.355$ not the nucleus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00{:}32{:}54.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}56.230$ So we really don't understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:32:56.230 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.589$ what it's doing in the cytoplasm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:32:58.590 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.033$ but not in all three of these experiments, P.

 $00:33:02.033 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.948$ 53 is 1 type OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00{:}33{:}03.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06.866$ so I think with this experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735238

 $00:33:06.866 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.810$ in many others that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:33:08.909 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.180$ people have done. Again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:33:13.180 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.636$ Again, show that what MDM two is doing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00{:}33{:}16.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}19.967$ these tissues is inhibiting P53 activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:33:19.970 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.466$ Now the I also don't want to leave

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:33:23.466 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.876$ you with the notion that MDM too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00{:}33{:}26.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30.760$ The P53 is the only MDM to target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:33:30.760 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.708$ Physiologically is the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00{:}33{:}32.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35.630$ relevant target because of the cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:33:35.717 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.037$ lethal phenotypes that we see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:33:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.603$ but in several experiments that my lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

00:33:41.603 --> 00:33:44.830 and Carol previous labs have done is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:33:44.830 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.700$ we've tried to overexpress MDM two in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00{:}33{:}47.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}50.613$ normal cells to understand what it's

00:33:50.613 --> 00:33:53.817 actually doing in with regards to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00{:}33{:}53.817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55.979$ transformation and tumor evolution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:33:55.980 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.788$ So here's what happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:33:57.788 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.501$ So this is a normal control and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:34:01.501 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.665$ left these are mouse cells express a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

00:34:04.665 --> 00:34:07.790 normal number of mouse chroma zones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:34:07.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.042$ and when we overexpressed MDM two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:34:11.042 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.180$ we see this incredibly abnormal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:34:14.180 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.655$ Chromosome instability we can quantify

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00{:}34{:}16.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}19.558$ the numbers of fusions here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:34:19.558 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.987$ we have a huge number of fusions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:34:21.990 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.645$ We also have a lot of fragments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

00:34:26.650 --> 00:34:29.140 So in data from multiple labs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00{:}34{:}29.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}31.954$ if you over express MDM two in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:34:31.954 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.538$ normal cell the cell just dies.

 $00:34:34.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.210$ It can continue to grow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00{:}34{:}37.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}39.496$ So and you know some experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:34:39.496 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.118$ that are ongoing in the lab is OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:34:42.120 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.503$ trying to understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:34:43.503 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.964$ OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:34:43.964 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.730$ so if we can't overexpress MDM

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:34:46.819 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.549$ two in a normal cell?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:34:48.550 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.105$ Why do tumors have very high levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

00:34:51.105 --> 00:34:54.068 of ambient 2IN in one idea that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

00:34:54.068 --> 00:34:56.765 working with is that there are some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00{:}34{:}56.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}59.261$ other rotation in those tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:34:59.261 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.636$ allows those tumors to survive with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:35:01.636 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.110$ high levels of MDM two and so if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

00:35:05.110 --> 00:35:08.190 could understand what else MDM two is doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:35:08.190 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.474$ we might be able to obtain a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

00:35:12.480 --> 00:35:16.188 A window of vulnerability to try to get the

 $00:35:16.188 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.857$ MDM two overexpressing cells to implode.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:35:19.860 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.878$ But the screens that we're doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:35:22.878 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.890$ currently are are ongoing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:35:24.890 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.768$ OK then for the last few minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:35:28.768 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.758$ of my lecture I want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

00:35:31.760 --> 00:35:35.918 Move over to our understanding of P53

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:35:35.918 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.270$ mutations in breast cancer models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

00:35:39.270 --> 00:35:42.357 Didn't tell you earlier, but pizza degree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:35:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.880$ Why did tell you the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:35:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.210$ limitations for the most common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:35:47.210 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.946$ but really it speak into three

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00{:}35{:}49.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52.672$ missense mutations that are is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

00:35:52.672 --> 00:35:55.144 most common type of genetic lesion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:35:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.119$ and so my lab and that of Tyler left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:35:59.120 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.326$ Tyler Jacks is lab have made germline

 $00:36:02.326 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.042$ mutations in P53IN animal models and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00{:}36{:}05.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08.380$ we show that these mice are tumor pro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:36:08.380 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.250$ But more importantly in contrast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

00:36:11.250 --> 00:36:12.408 Green or mice?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:36:12.408 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.110$ These mice have a high metastatic capability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:36:15.110 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.750$ so this here is our data from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:36:17.750 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.888$ 172 mutation corresponds to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

00:36:19.888 --> 00:36:21.289 origin 175 mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00{:}36{:}21.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}23.775$ which is one of the hot spot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

00:36:23.775 --> 00:36:25.530 mutations in human cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:36:25.530 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.232$ And here you can see a metastasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:36:28.232 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.390$ to the liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:36:29.390 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.085$ and here stained with the P53 antibody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:36:32.090 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.020$ a metastasis to the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:36:35.020 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.953$ And this is in contrast to mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:36:37.953 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.869$ that have deletions of 353,

 $00:36:39.870 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.705$ so this really was the first example

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00{:}36{:}42.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}44.384$ that suggested that expressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437264

 $00:36:44.384 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.736$ a mutant P53 was much more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

00:36:48.880 --> 00:36:50.872 Much more aggressive than not having

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:36:50.872 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.259$ people to create and and in the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:36:53.260 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.444$ We call this a gain of function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

00:36:55.450 --> 00:36:57.962 Mutant P 53 is doing something in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:36:57.962 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.500$ cells to make them highly metastatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00{:}37{:}00.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03.636$ So these are germline mice and what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:37:03.636 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.966$ wanted to do is to generate semantic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:37:06.966 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.018$ models because the these germline models

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:37:10.018 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.623$ represent Lee from Many syndrome which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:37:13.623 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.480$ an inheritance of people to mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:37:16.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.324$ But that's a rare syndrome and we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:37:20.324 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.894$ wanted to understand this metastatic

 $00:37:22.894 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.237$ phenotype in a system where the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00{:}37{:}26.237 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}29.336$ specific cell type has a Peach limitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:37:29.336 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.400$ and surrounding normal environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00{:}37{:}31.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}33.680$ To feel yourself to catch neutral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:37:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.612$ goes to T cells are all wild type

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:37:36.612 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.470$ for P53 so that mouse did not exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:37:39.470 \dashrightarrow 00:37:41.682$ Tyler Jacks made a beautiful mouse that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:37:41.682 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.336$ has been used extensively in the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:37:44.336 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.802$ that basically is heterozygous for P53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:37:46.810 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.826$ So the entire mouse is missing 1P53 allele

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00{:}37{:}49.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}52.367$ and Natalie all can be converted to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

00:37:52.367 --> 00:37:55.258 mutant P53 in a tissue specific fashion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00{:}37{:}55.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}58.837$ So we didn't think that that was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

00:37:58.840 --> 00:38:01.138 Adequate enough to study the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:38:01.140 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.060$ stroma tumor immune interactions because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:38:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.370$ of heterozygosity of the P53 locus.

 $00:38:05.370 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.234$ So let me tell you a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:38:08.234 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.567$ about how we generate these mice and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

00:38:11.567 --> 00:38:14.450 what our breast tumor phenotype is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:38:14.450 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.738$ OK, so here's how we generated these alleles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:38:17.740 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.715$ So we call these WM allele for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:38:20.715 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.078$ wild type to mute P 53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:38:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.432$ So I'll show you in a minute this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00{:}38{:}25.432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}28.234$ is a wild type allele normally and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00{:}38{:}28.234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30.943$ it's wild type because we earned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00{:}38{:}30.943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}33.423$ started seeding a sequence upstream

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00{:}38{:}33.423 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}36.643$ of the point mutation and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:38:36.643 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.698$ this example is the Argentine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:38:38.700 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.344$ once it imitation which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:38:40.344 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.577$ we generated previously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:38:41.580 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.342$ So what you have is a wild type P50.

 $00:38:45.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.438$ Three years of pollination site and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00{:}38{:}47.438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}49.611$ then in a cream immediate fashion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

00:38:49.611 --> 00:38:52.451 you can remove the wild type C DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:38:52.521 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.976$ and basically reconstruct the locus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

00:38:54.980 --> 00:38:57.948 The arm and Cindy to Locust that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:38:57.948 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.749$ had studied forever in the germ line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:39:00.750 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.646$ With these mice and because it took a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

00:39:03.646 --> 00:39:06.529 very long time to make these animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00{:}39{:}06.530 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}39{:}09.085$ we actually decided to make a second

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

00:39:09.085 --> 00:39:11.055 hotspot mutation and that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:39:11.055 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.070$ Argentine 2.5 to double mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:39:13.070 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.455$ which corresponds to the 248

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:39:15.455 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.409$ hotspot mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

00:39:16.410 --> 00:39:18.530 Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:39:18.530 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.658$ So this is just showing me wild type

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:39:20.658 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.630$ initially and then we committed fashion.

 $00:39:22.630 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.134$ You make them into protein and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00{:}39{:}25.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}27.677$ make it in akhri dependente manner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:39:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.403$ So this just shows you how normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:39:30.403 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.570$ those mice are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:39:31.570 \dashrightarrow 00:39:34.608$ So here we're comparing wild type 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:39:34.608 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.407$ heterozygous mice with the 172 or the 2.5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:39:37.410 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.355$ We stabilized the mutant protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:39:39.355 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.300$ in response to DNA damage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:39:41.300 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.037$ And when we look at the activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:39:44.037 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.128$ of the three targets be 21 in Puma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:39{:}47.130 \dashrightarrow 00:39{:}51.108$ they were activated to similar levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:39:51.110 \dashrightarrow 00:39:54.365$ We looked at the ability of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

00:39:54.370 --> 00:39:56.757 Of DNA damage to induce labor ptosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:39:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.240$ No difference between these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:39:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.460$ two alleles in wild type mice.

 $00:40:00.460 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.220$ And again for the ability to rest the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:40:03.220 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.860$ cycle in mouse embryo fibroblasts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:40:05.860 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.030$ there's no difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:40:07.030 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.980$ so these mutant alleles really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

 $00:40:08.980 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.839$ represent these condition alleles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

00:40:10.840 --> 00:40:12.910 That column you Tilly's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372316

00:40:12.910 --> 00:40:14.566 represent wild type allele.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:40:14.570 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.790$ They can become a moot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00{:}40{:}17.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}20.184$ And this is the last experiment I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:40:20.184 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.828$ show you about the the actual alleles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:40:22.830 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.840$ What we've done is what we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:40:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.022$ done here is compared the 172

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:40:27.022 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.947$ heterozygous mice at 245 headers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

00:40:28.950 --> 00:40:31.590 I guess mice and wild type mice to

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:40:31.590 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.976$ each other over more than two years

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:40:33.976 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.510$ to look at the tumor phenotypes.

 $00:40:36.510 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.890$ Mice is the age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:40:37.890 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.615$ Just like people will sporadically

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00{:}40{:}39.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}42.198$ get tumors, but what you can see is

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:40:42.198 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.878$ that there's absolutely no statistical

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00{:}40{:}43.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}46.228$ difference between the three alleles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:40:46.230 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.160$ So for all practical purposes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:40:48.160 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.794$ This new allele that we generated

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00{:}40{:}50.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}53.590$ expresses a wild type P53 protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:40:53.590 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.198$ OK, so let me tell you bout two experiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00{:}40{:}58.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}01.974$ One is our semantic breast semantic

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:41:01.974 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.463$ model and what we did is we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:41:06.463 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.310$ use the R172H and the 245 alleles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00{:}41{:}10.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}13.526$ We injected Adna virus Cree into the duct

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:41:13.526 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.930$ of the mammary gland and so and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:41:16.930 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.513$ In addition, we use this TV tomato

 $00:41:19.513 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.319$ allele which is also create dependent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00{:}41{:}22.320 \to 00{:}41{:}25.057$ So when we inject a deno Korea not

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

00:41:25.057 --> 00:41:28.108 show you a picture in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

00:41:28.110 --> 00:41:31.308 We basically make a mutant P

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:41:31.308 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.139$ 53 and we label the cell red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:41:35.140 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.988$ So here is the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:41:37.990 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.852$ Control experiments to show that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:41:40.852 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.693$ do get recombination when we inject

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00{:}41{:}43.693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}46.231$ the cream expressing a deno virus here

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:41:46.231 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.267$ on the left is a low titer injection

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:41:49.267 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.368$ and you can see the red cells here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:41:52.368 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.988$ 1 to 5% of the ductal cells become infected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:41:56.990 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.320$ And then here on the right you can see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:42:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.770$ A high titer virus was used was

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:42:02.770 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.290$ injected into this gland in about 50 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:42:05.290 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.720$ 70% of the ductal cells are checked.

 $00:42:08.720 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.772$ I also want to note that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:42:11.772 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.497$ mice are 50% balzi.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:42:13.497 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.416$ Normally the P53 field is used to

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

00:42:16.416 --> 00:42:20.908 see 57 black, 6 string to study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00{:}42{:}20.910 \longrightarrow 00{:}42{:}22.854$ Peabody create tumor phenotypes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:42:22.854 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.770$ but that strain is resistant to

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:42:25.846 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.196$ breast cancers for some reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:42:28.200 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.996$ and the beltsy component brings in

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00{:}42{:}30.996 \rightarrow 00{:}42{:}33.550$ more sensitivity to breast cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:42:33.550 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.318$ and we really don't know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:42:37.318 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.830$ genetic reasons for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:42:39.830 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.236$ OK, so here is the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:42:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.040$ Let me go through it in detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:42:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.602$ So this is the 172 mutation expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:42:47.602 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.259$ only in a few mammary glands.

 $00:42:50.260 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.188$ Memory cells, low titer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:42:52.188 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.598$ We didn't see any tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:42:54.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.592$ High titer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:42:55.592 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.568$ we actually didn't see any tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:42:58.570 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.050$ This one tumor showed up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:43:01.050 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.440$ Post that to your end time

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:43:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.700$ point of the experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

00:43:06.700 --> 00:43:09.283 Because we weren't sure we were going

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00{:}43{:}09.283 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}12.037$ to get any tumors by just making

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

00:43:12.037 --> 00:43:14.930 people scream Mutant in a few cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:43:14.930 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.110$ We also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:43:17.110 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.270$ Irradiated with the sub lethal

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:43:19.270 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.566$ dose of radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:43:20.570 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.587$ This is not lethal to the mouse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:43:23.590 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.558$ but it does cause damage and if the

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

00:43:26.558 --> 00:43:30.005 damage is in the right or wrong genes

00:43:30.005 --> 00:43:32.660 that contributes to tumor phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:43:32.660 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.980$ So with a low titer we begin to see tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:43:36.980 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.004$ We sell one at a higher titer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:43:40.010 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.082$ We now solve 4 tumors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:43:43.082 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.618$ one tumor metastasized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

00:43:44.620 --> 00:43:47.455 The 2.5 allele was a much stronger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

00:43:47.460 --> 00:43:49.480 had a much stronger tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:43:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.100$ phenotype with low titer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

00:43:51.100 --> 00:43:54.799 We saw four tumors and one of them was

NOTE Confidence: 0.749259

 $00:43:54.799 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.578$ meta static with the high tier tighter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:43:57.580 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.820$ We saw nine tumors, so this is about

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00{:}44{:}00.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}04.058$ 75% and more than half were meta static.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

00:44:04.060 --> 00:44:07.300 So let me kind of summarize all the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00{:}44{:}07.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}10.140$ that we've done with these animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:44:10.140 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.564$ So first let's just look at

 $00:44:12.564 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.875$ the tumor incidence. The R 172.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

00:44:14.875 --> 00:44:17.430 Each allele all by itself in in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:44:17.430 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.316$ In these experiments, we only use

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00{:}44{:}20.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}23.699$ one copy because we didn't want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:44:23.700 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.388$ We didn't want to do LOH and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:44:26.388 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.900$ we didn't want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00{:}44{:}27.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}30.273$ We just wanted to figure out what

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:44:30.273 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.271$ would happen with the minimal

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:44:32.271 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.630$ number of alterations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:44:33.630 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.212$ So if you compare the ones need two hitters

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00{:}44{:}37.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}40.129$ Vegas to the 2.5 low and high titer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00{:}44{:}40.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}43.559$ there's a huge number of tumors in the 248.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

00:44:43.560 --> 00:44:46.616 Nice if we irradiated the ones only two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:44:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.900$ we got increased tumor incidence and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:44:48.900 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.355$ then this is the experiment where

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:44:51.355 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.935$ we did mutate the other allele.

00:44:53.940 --> 00:44:56.598 So existing law supporters I got

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:44:56.598 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.840$ city and we can see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:44:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.584$ Increased tumor phenotype with the high dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:45:01.590 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.854$ And this is a comparison on in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:45:03.854 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.167$ middle panel of the metastatic phenotype,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:45:06.170 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.185$ and again the 245 documentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:45:08.185 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.797$ was the most metastatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:45:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.088$ And then we looked at lots of header

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00{:}45{:}14.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17.629$ zygosity so the 245 mutation had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

00:45:17.630 --> 00:45:19.880 Variations in terms of LOH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:45:19.880 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.967$ About 50% of the mice showed LOH

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00{:}45{:}22.967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25.734$ and then others retained some or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00{:}45{:}25.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}27.974$ all of the P3 alleles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00{:}45{:}27.980 \rightarrow 00{:}45{:}30.680$ The irradiated are once again 28.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:45:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.316$ Showed 100%. LOH so to us.

00:45:35.316 --> 00:45:37.950 While we don't understand why we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:45:38.055 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.568$ see 100% outrage with this allele,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

00:45:40.568 --> 00:45:41.879 would it says?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:45:41.880 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.386$ Is it that wild type allele is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:45:44.386 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.602$ very strong at inhibiting tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.7843864

 $00:45:46.602 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.770$ in this winsome need to background?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:45:52.030 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.697$ These are the breast tumor subtypes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00{:}45{:}54.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}58.053$ we saw the irradiated once in need two

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00{:}45{:}58.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}00.790$ we saw mostly triple negative breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:46:00.790 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.875$ There are 172 with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:46:02.875 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.960$ that lacks wild type P53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:46:04.960 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.104$ We sell mostly luminal B and then here

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:46:09.104 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.558$ with the 2.5 mutation we saw all three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00{:}46{:}13.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}16.815$ Molecular subtypes to me this is fascinating

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

00:46:16.815 --> 00:46:19.500 experiment because we've made one mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:46:19.500 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.840$ we made a P53 mutation and yet here in this

 $00:46:23.941 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.180$ sample with the 2:45 we see off the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00{:}46{:}28.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}31.484$ molecular subtypes evolving and so one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:46:31.484 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.592$ the experiments that we're doing now is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

00:46:34.592 --> 00:46:37.780 trying to understand with this 245 mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:46:37.780 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.065$ what are the triggers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:46:40.065 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.436$ these different subtypes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:46:41.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.408$ Triple negative breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:46:43.408 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.868$ is very hard to treat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

00:46:45.870 --> 00:46:47.139 But for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:46:47.139 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.677$ here to enrich tumors you can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00{:}46{:}49.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}52.634$ you can treat with her two antibodies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:46:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.765$ so we're trying to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:46:54.765 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.465$ basically the tumor evolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:46:56.465 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.355$ that initiates with this one, P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:46:59.355 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.740$ 53 missense mutation.

 $00:47:01.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.030$ We've also wanted to so the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:47:05.030 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.048$ I just showed you says that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:47:08.048 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.048$ the 248 mutation is much more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:47:11.050 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.690$ Dramatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:47:11.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.250$ Then the 175 mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:47:14.250 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.338$ So what I showed here is a comparison

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

00:47:17.338 --> 00:47:19.929 of ovarian lung and breast tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:47:19.929 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.996$ from people and just looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:47:22.996 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.642$ kind of mutation that they have and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:47:25.642 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.220$ you can see in people that the 248

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:47:29.220 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.740$ mutation is has the worst outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:47:32.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.314$ We couldn't do these data just

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00{:}47{:}35.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}37.922$ for breast because the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:47:37.922 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.346$ samples out there was not enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:47:40.346 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.540$ to give us significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:47:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.929$ OK,

 $00:47:42.929 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.652$ so the one of the last experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00{:}47{:}45.652 --> 00{:}47{:}49.489$ I'll show you here is just trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:47:49.489 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.469$ understand tumor evolution because

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:47:51.553 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.277$ we made a semantic model that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:47:54.277 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.551$ develop different kinds of breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:47:56.551 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.806$ cancers that were highly metastatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:47:58.810 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.378$ And so I'm really interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00{:}48{:}01.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}04.591$ understanding the task sees in an in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00{:}48{:}04.591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}06.495$ vivo physiologically relevant system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:48:06.500 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.826$ So we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:48:07.826 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.920$ Here is we took the 2:45 mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:48:11.032 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.140$ animals and we took.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:48:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.108$ UH-22 memory tumors from these mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:48:15.108 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.224$ we sequenced them in three different

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00{:}48{:}17.224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}19.069$ regions trying to understand a

00:48:19.069 --> 00:48:21.299 little bit about the heterogeneity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:48:21.300 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.525$ and then we sequenced three

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:48:23.525 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.380$ metastases from each of these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

00:48:26.380 --> 00:48:26.811 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:48:26.811 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.397$ and we sequence them to an

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

00:48:29.397 --> 00:48:30.690 incredible debt depth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:48:30.690 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.338$ So what we have here then is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:48:34.338 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.295$ comparison of the primary to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00{:}48{:}37.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}41.498$ metastases and if we just look at mouse #4,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:48:41.500 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.056$ there is some overlap in these these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00{:}48{:}45.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}48.355$ this overlap is considered an early

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00{:}48{:}48.355 \to 00{:}48{:}52.254$ gene signature and then you see this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

00:48:52.260 --> 00:48:54.440 Slate sequences that come up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:48:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.745$ which is how,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:48:55.745 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.050$ which is what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:48:57.050 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.486$ where the metastases is now evolving

 $00:48:59.486 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.699$ when it gets into its metastatic site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:49:02.700 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.424$ which in this case was the lung.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:49:06.430 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.062$ And so we can compare the early

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:49:09.062 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.740$ mutations of all three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:49:10.740 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.092$ The task season you see early

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:49:13.092 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.660$ mutations in both experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:49:14.660 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.862$ so these are the mutations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:49:16.862 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.230$ were acquired in the primary and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:49:19.230 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.714$ metastatic lesion at the same time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:49:21.720 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.457$ But when you look at late mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

00:49:24.460 --> 00:49:27.330 here's all three mutations for mouse #4

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:49:27.330 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.179$ there's only one late mutation common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00{:}49{:}30.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}32.800$ So with these sequencing data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:49:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.120$ indicate is that these matasa

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:49:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.039$ fees left the tumor very early

 $00:49:38.039 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.574$ during the metastatic process and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7825957

 $00:49:40.574 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.602$ then seated and had

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00{:}49{:}42.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}46.722$ additional changes. So this was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:49:46.722 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.712$ first suggestion that maybe metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:49:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.632$ Breast cancer metastasis driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:49:51.632 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.749$ by a new P53 is an early event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:49:55.750 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.035$ So to summarize, this model

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:49:58.035 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.351$ just briefly, we can make.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

00:50:00.351 --> 00:50:04.303 P 3 point mutation in just a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:50:04.303 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.717$ cells that become a tumor that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00{:}50{:}07.720 --> 00{:}50{:}09.502 \ \mathrm{Interessee \ migrate},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:50:09.502 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.957$ proliferate and develop these metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00{:}50{:}13.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}16.312$ Where we now have I called it a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

00:50:16.312 --> 00:50:18.389 little factory but we just have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

00:50:18.389 --> 00:50:20.164 these mice now developing tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:50:20.170 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.459$ We can isolate the circulating tumor cells,

 $00:50:22.460 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.788$ so we're trying to do is understand that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00{:}50{:}25.788 \to 00{:}50{:}28.620$ the changes that occur for these cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:50:28.620 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.658$ to be able to survive in the blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

00:50:31.660 --> 00:50:34.837 To home into an organ and then to develop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:50:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.472$ OK, if I have a few minutes which

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:50:37.472 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.780$ I have just a few minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:50:39.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.104$ I'm going to tell you about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:50:42.104 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.608$ other model that we made because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00{:}50{:}44.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}46.762$ weren't sure that making a people

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:50:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.311$ communication in just a few cells was

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00{:}50{:}49.311 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}51.782$ going to give us a tumor phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:50:51.782 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.900$ So here we use K14 create,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00{:}50{:}53.900 \to 00{:}50{:}56.364$ which expresses then a mutant P53 in all

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:50:56.364 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.549$ of the epithelial cells of the mammary gland,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:50:59.550 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.308$ and this is a model that develops.

 $00:51:02.310 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.582$ Triple negative breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

00:51:03.582 --> 00:51:05.693 cancer 100% of the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:51:05.693 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.956$ So again this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:51:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.061$ This suggests that you know South normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:51:10.061 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.877$ cell tumor cell interactions are altering

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00{:}51{:}12.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}16.146$ the kinds of tumors that come up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:51:16.150 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.102$ And in this scenario we also had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

00:51:19.102 --> 00:51:22.720 cast 9 allele that is Creed dependent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00{:}51{:}22.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}26.131$ so K14 CRV and allows us to make a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

00:51:26.131 --> 00:51:29.270 mutant people degree in just a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00{:}51{:}29.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}32.083$ in the epithelium of the mammary

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:51:32.083 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.380$ gland and to express castanon so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:51:35.380 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.615$ can use CRISPR technologies to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:51:38.615 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.819$ begin to address vulnerabilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

00:51:40.820 --> 00:51:41.323 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:51:41.323 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.341$ so this vulnerability that we examine

 $00:51:44.341 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.228$ this in this model was whether these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00{:}51{:}48.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}52.389$ tumors were addicted to having immune to P53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794 00:51:52.390 --> 00:51:52.905 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:51:52.905 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.510$ so here is the use that adnot

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00{:}51{:}56.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}58.700$ associated virus that expresses

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00{:}51{:}58.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}02.382$ a guide RNA that will delete P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794 00:52:02.390 --> 00:52:02.951 53. NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:52:02.951 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.317$ So the tumor burden before treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

00:52:06.317 --> 00:52:11.325 with a V in the control and the mute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

00:52:11.330 --> 00:52:12.634 Depleted tumors with similar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:52:12.634 \dashrightarrow 00:52:16.010$ but you can see here in in the Purple line

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:52:16.010 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.447$ that those tumors that had depletion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00{:}52{:}18.447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}20.813$ you piece of degree survived much longer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:52:20.820 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.220$ This is just a picture of the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:52:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.236$ phenotypes that control you can see that.

 $00:52:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.136$ It's very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

00:52:28.136 --> 00:52:29.928 It's obviously a tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

00:52:29.930 --> 00:52:32.618 These mice die very quickly post

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

00:52:32.618 --> 00:52:34.410 identification of the tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:52:34.410 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.258$ and then you can see here with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00{:}52{:}38.258 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}52{:}40.853$ depletion immunity theory this this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:52:40.853 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.937$ this gland is looking more normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:52:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.304$ OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:52:44.304 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.580$ this is a whole bunch of data for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:52:47.676 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.588$ individual mice that this is a tumor volume.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:52:51.590 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.720$ The controls and green here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:52:53.720 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.840$ Once we identify the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:52:55.840 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.360$ they just keep growing the experimental

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:52:58.360 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.005$ cohort here in purple are animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:53:01.005 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.240$ that have recombined have basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:53:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.827$ deleted that mute people free protein

 $00:53:05.827 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.167$ in these mice live much longer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00{:}53{:}08.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}11.138$ On the right is a tumor volume,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:53:11.140 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.458$ so you can see that depletion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00{:}53{:}14.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}17.269$ P53 affects the tumor volume.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00{:}53{:}17.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}19.730$ Greatly and then I'll just point

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:53:19.730 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.210$ out these two samples in Orange,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:53:22.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.320$ which appeared not to respond

NOTE Confidence: 0.7901794

 $00:53:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.430$ to depletion of Mutant P

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:53:26.515 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.810$ 53, and when we look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:53:28.810 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.870$ these two samples in detail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00{:}53{:}30.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}34.158$ they did not express a stable mutant P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:53:34.160 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.154$ 53, and so we think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:53:37.154 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.260$ these two tumors are actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:53:40.260 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.306$ Since the P 53 isn't stable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

00:53:42.310 --> 00:53:44.058 the evolution that's occurring

 $00:53:44.058 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.100$ in these tumors is due to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

00:53:47.100 --> 00:53:49.116 absence of the P53 protein and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

00:53:49.116 --> 00:53:51.528 not to a gain of function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:53:51.530 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.861$ OK, so I'm going to stop there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:53:53.861 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.535$ and just I thrown a lot of data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

00:53:56.535 --> 00:53:59.265 at you so I wanted to summarize

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00{:}53{:}59.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}02.439$ briefly the first set of experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

00:54:02.439 --> 00:54:04.296 really captured the exquisite

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

00:54:04.296 --> 00:54:06.286 sentence sensitivity of the MDM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

00:54:06.290 --> 00:54:08.290 two protein and P53 activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00{:}54{:}08.290 --> 00{:}54{:}11.578$ We were able to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:54:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.255$ Identify this the molecular response

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00{:}54{:}13.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}15.394$ to P53 activation in vivo identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00{:}54{:}15.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}17.848$ numerous targets that are tissue specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:54:17.850 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.700$ What are they all doing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

00:54:19.700 --> 00:54:22.164 I think we have our work cut out

 $00:54:22.164 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.089$ for us 'cause there's no way I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:54:25.089 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.480$ delete every one of these targets

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:54:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.357$ and see how important they are in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:54:30.357 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.978$ vivo and then last but not least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:54:32.980 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.500$ I showed you the generation of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:54:35.500 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.737$ this novel mouse model that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:54:37.737 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.257$ allows us now to make a semantic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:54:40.334 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.918$ point potential 53 in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:54:41.920 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.000$ Any cell of origin that we want

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:54:45.000 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.637$ to an in the breast model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

00:54:48.640 --> 00:54:49.139 Specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:54:49.139 \longrightarrow 00:54:52.632$ we had a highly metastatic phenotype that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00{:}54{:}52.632 \to 00{:}54{:}55.839$ we're trying to understand in more detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144 00:54:55.840 --> 00:54:56.291 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:54:56.291 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.448$ so my last slide is just the

 $00:54:59.448 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.451$ numerous people in the lab that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00{:}55{:}02.451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}04.956$ have contributed to the studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00{:}55{:}04.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}08.369$ The Vinodh Pant did the feedback loop

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

00:55:08.369 --> 00:55:11.927 studies Roberto Navy MTM Tunicate Johnny

DMD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

00:55:11.930 --> 00:55:12.810 Or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:55:12.810 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.450$ God Tamera did all the studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:55:15.450 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.120$ with the radiation and with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

00:55:18.120 --> 00:55:21.590 node to look at the P3 response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:55:21.590 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.200$ Sidney generated the conditional mouse to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:55:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.568$ look at the acute activation of people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00{:}55{:}27.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}30.090$ Three targets you in terrific postdoc

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:55:30.090 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.050$ in the lab now has her own independent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:55:34.050 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.246$ position generated that conditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:55:36.246 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.772 \mod P53$ allele and Donata is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:55:39.772 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.112$ one who's studying the addiction.

00:55:42.120 --> 00:55:44.135 We're really wondering what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00{:}55{:}44.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}45.747$ mechanisms is acquire these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

00:55:45.747 --> 00:55:47.919 tumors imploding when they no

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:55:47.919 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.627$ longer have communities industry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

00:55:49.630 --> 00:55:52.742 So with that, I'll end in, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:55:52.742 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.414$ I'm glad to answer any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528144

 $00:55:56.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.820$ Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138522

 $00:55:56.820 \longrightarrow 00:55:58.011$ you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138522

00:55:58.011 --> 00:55:59.996 That was a wonderful talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138522

 $00:56:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.835$ I'm going to ask people to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138522

00:56:02.835 --> 00:56:05.179 questions in the in the chat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138522

00:56:05.180 --> 00:56:08.756 but I I wanted to ask you, sort of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138522

 $00:56:08.756 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.746$ from from the therapeutics perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138522

00:56:10.750 --> 00:56:13.246 people have been very interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138522

 $00:56:13.246 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.637$ compounds like Prima and Cody that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138522

 $00:56:15.637 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.245$ assist with re re folding of P53 with

00:56:18.317 --> 00:56:20.502 disruptive mutation and yet clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138522

 $00:56:20.502 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.380$ those have been a little bit disappointing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138522

 $00:56:25.380 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.060$ Is is much known about whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138522

00:56:29.060 --> 00:56:31.667 those refolded P 53's are better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138522

 $00:56:31.670 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.702$ worse the same as as substrates for the MDM?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

00:56:35.710 --> 00:56:37.950 Two MDM four? Yeah, so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

 $00:56:37.950 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.532$ so we've done a few studies using some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

 $00:56:41.532 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.650$ the drugs that are available, not many.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

 $00:56:46.650 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.240$ My lab is focused on the genetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

 $00{:}56{:}49.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}52.740$ because if we take out him to an MP4 we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

 $00:56:52.740 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.470$ see people three different phenotypes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

00:56:55.470 --> 00:56:57.876 but you know it's very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

 $00:56:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.388$ Genetic told us mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

 $00:56:59.388 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.690$ but the drugs are really as you indicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

 $00:57:02.690 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.695$ They're going to tell us

 $00:57:04.695 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.700$ whether they work or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

 $00{:}57{:}06.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}10.291$ So I agree with you, I don't think

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

 $00:57:10.291 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.453$ that drugs are working very well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

00:57:13.460 --> 00:57:14.600 And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

00:57:14.600 --> 00:57:16.880 I don't know enough about those

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

 $00{:}57{:}16.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}18.832$ experiments to know you know how

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

 $00:57:18.832 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.127$ often you know how often the drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

 $00:57:21.127 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.937$ with the level of activation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

 $00:57:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.908$ I think that our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

00:57:25.910 --> 00:57:28.430 Our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

 $00:57:28.430 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.398$ That that 7 gene signature that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

 $00:57:31.398 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.970$ we identified would really help in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

 $00:57:33.970 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.418$ those studies to try to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

 $00{:}57{:}36.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}39.030$ what is the pika degree response?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

 $00:57:39.030 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.498$ I also think that the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994716

00:57:42.498 --> 00:57:44.232 agree response required.

 $00:57:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.230$ Will vary in different tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84930223

 $00{:}57{:}48.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}51.371$ We just know from our MDM two studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84930223

 $00:57:51.371 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.845$ some tissues are just much more sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.84930223

 $00:57:53.845 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.690$ to increase P 53 levels versus others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84930223

00:57:56.690 --> 00:57:59.840 So I think that there's just a whole lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.84930223

 $00:57:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.072$ more work to be in to do in the clinic to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84930223

 $00:58:03.153 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.184$ be able to to understand that response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8373146

00:58:07.290 --> 00:58:10.680 Yeah, yeah, I I think having a common set of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8373146

 $00{:}58{:}10.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}12.984$ I mean I think people have just looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.8373146

 $00:58:12.984 \longrightarrow 00:58:16.308$ at P 21 over and over again and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8373146

00:58:16.308 --> 00:58:17.796 probably very inadequate, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8373146

00:58:17.796 --> 00:58:19.812 I see a couple questions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8373146

 $00:58:19.812 \longrightarrow 00:58:21.868$ we have like a minute left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8373146

 $00:58:21.870 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.447$ So first Jeff Townsend wants to know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8373146

00:58:25.450 --> 00:58:27.640 Whether or not you've considered

 $00:58:27.640 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.356$ looking at sequencing of much larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.8373146

 $00{:}58{:}30.356 \rightarrow 00{:}58{:}33.068$ cohorts of tumors and multi sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.8373146

 $00:58:33.068 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.512$ datasets to understand the temporal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8373146

00:58:35.512 --> 00:58:37.536 order of mutation appearances,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8373146

 $00:58:37.540 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.938$ that's exactly what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8160114

 $00:58:38.940 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.603$ we're doing right now I have a postdoc in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8160114

 $00:58:42.603 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.519$ a graduate student who just generated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8160114

00:58:46.520 --> 00:58:48.904 A cohort of 100. Nice 'cause we want

NOTE Confidence: 0.8160114

 $00{:}58{:}48.904 \to 00{:}58{:}50.927$ to understand the sequence of events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8160114

 $00:58:50.930 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.290$ We want to understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8160114

 $00{:}58{:}52.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}54.113$ different events that lead to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8160114

 $00{:}58{:}54.113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}55.337$ different molecular subtypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8160114

 $00:58:55.340 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.636$ So we are in the midst of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8160114

 $00{:}58{:}57.636 \to 00{:}58{:}59.750$ experiments and we're going to do RNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.8160114

00:58:59.750 --> 00:59:01.999 seek and in DNA sequencing to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.8160114

 $00:59:01.999 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.153$ both what happens if Arnie level.

 $00{:}59{:}04.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}06.239$ I think that it's critical we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.8160114

 $00{:}59{:}06.239 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}07.482$ to understand what's happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.8160114

 $00:59:07.482 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.526$ at the DNA level because I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8160114

 $00:59:09.526 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.921$ that that's what gives rise to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8160114

 $00:59:11.921 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.974$ different molecular subtypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8160114

 $00{:}59{:}12.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}15.074$ But I think it's the expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.8160114

 $00:59:15.074 \longrightarrow 00:59:17.060$ that's really going to tell us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8160114

 $00{:}59{:}17.060 \longrightarrow 00{:}59{:}19.880$ What's happening to those cells once

NOTE Confidence: 0.8160114

 $00:59:19.880 \longrightarrow 00:59:23.557$ they reach home to deliver the line so?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8160114

 $00:59:23.560 \dashrightarrow 00:59:27.264$ So we got all those are in progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

 $00{:}59{:}28.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}30.786$ Then, Karen Anderson, who's my Co.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

 $00:59:30.790 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.770$ Host for having invited you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

 $00:59:32.770 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.978$ wants to ask what your thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

00:59:34.978 --> 00:59:37.817 might be for a pro TEC directed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

 $00:59:37.817 \longrightarrow 00:59:40.686$ against MDM two try to grade that

 $00:59:40.686 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.670$ as a therapeutic strategy. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

 $00:59:42.670 \longrightarrow 00:59:44.645$ think there's two Protex we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

 $00:59:44.645 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.225$ should be thinking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

 $00:59:46.230 \longrightarrow 00:59:49.523$ One is MDM 2. Although.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

00:59:49.523 --> 00:59:52.974 Somehow I think for an MDM two

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

 $00:59:52.974 \longrightarrow 00:59:55.957$ inhibitor I think you've got to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

 $00:59:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.935$ you've got to target it

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

 $00:59:57.935 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.470$ to the to the tumor cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

01:00:00.470 --> 01:00:02.690 Better just because of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

 $01:00:02.690 \longrightarrow 01:00:04.910$ hematopoetic toxic cities that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

 $01{:}00{:}04.982 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}07.558$ been seen with the MTM 2 inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

 $01:00:07.560 \longrightarrow 01:00:09.990$ But I also think we should

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

 $01:00:09.990 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.610$ start thinking about potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

01:00:11.682 --> 01:00:13.398 doing degrading mutant P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

01:00:13.400 --> 01:00:16.417 53 Taking it out of the picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

 $01:00:16.417 \longrightarrow 01:00:19.609$ to see to see what happens.

 $01:00:19.610 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.890$ Particularly with those gain

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

01:00:21.890 --> 01:00:23.600 of function mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847016

 $01:00:23.600 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.740$ exactly exactly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8569164

 $01:00:28.210 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.520$ We are over there more questions but

NOTE Confidence: 0.8569164

 $01:00:30.520 \longrightarrow 01:00:33.657$ we are over the time so I want to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8569164

 $01{:}00{:}33.657 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}36.200$ respectful of very appreciative of of you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8569164

 $01:00:36.200 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.198$ having joined us today and if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8569164

 $01{:}00{:}38.198 \to 01{:}00{:}40.530$ any body wants to ask me a question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8569164

 $01:00:40.530 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.104$ email that they should feel free to I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8569164

 $01:00:43.104 \longrightarrow 01:00:45.190$ allowed to answer additional questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8188419

 $01:00:47.520 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.240$ Super very much.