WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:08:30.7520000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:01.272$ I'm Elena Ratner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:00:01.272 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.968$ I'm the division director.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:00:02.970 --> 00:00:04.710 She went to college, EPL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:00:04.710 --> 00:00:09.255 and we're so thrilled to be with you tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:00:09.260 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.998$ I'm joined by the most excellent providers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:00:13.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.395$ which I'm so proud to have his

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:00:16.395 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.409$ colleagues that the Mitchell Clark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:00:19.410 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.668$ who is Joanne ecologist who is

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}00{:}22.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.853$ practicing currently at Water Ford at

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:00:25.853 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.619$ Lawrence Memorial and join the Dario

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}00{:}28.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32.532$ who is the director and who runs

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:00:32.532 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.427$ multiple programs within our practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:00:35.430 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.145$ Most notably so that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:00:38.145 --> 00:00:39.774 early cancer detection.

 $00{:}00{:}39.780 --> 00{:}00{:}41.852$ Call discovery to cure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:00:41.852 --> 00:00:43.995 And then ******* intimacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:00:43.995 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.750$ and menopause program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:00:45.750 --> 00:00:48.675 which is a survivorship program

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:00:48.675 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.417$ that we offer for patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:00:51.417 --> 00:00:54.246 cancer that has to do specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:00:54.246 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.616$ with menopause and with *******.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:00:57.620 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.356$ So we are so excited to be with

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:00.356 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.909$ you today to share with you

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}01{:}02.909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.134$ the updates and Joanna Kalaji.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:05.140 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.204$ So much has changed in the field of

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}01{:}08.204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}10.688$ Joanna Kalaji over the past decade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:01:10.690 --> 00:01:12.988 You know we no longer treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:12.988 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.040$ the cancer is the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:15.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.032$ You know we no longer treat the women

 $00:01:18.032 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.865$ the same way just because they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}01{:}20.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23.759$ a certain kind of kind of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:23.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.172$ We truly now offer a personalized

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:26.172 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.378$ approach every woman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:27.380 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.620$ Tomorrow get studied and understood

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.680$ an we truly offer a targeted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:32.680 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.644$ personalized approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:01:33.644 --> 00:01:37.302 Not only cancer, but to every patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}01{:}37.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.961$ We believe whole heartedly

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:01:38.961 --> 00:01:40.620 in preventative medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.008$ Joanna will talk today about the role

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:44.008 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.936$ of genetics and the role of Previvor ship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:47.940 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.244$ You know, we have struggled over generations

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:51.244 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.770$ for to find cure for bearing cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.186$ Few of ovarian cancer is very complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:58.190 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.106$ There's a lot of chemotherapy resistance.

 $00{:}02{:}01.110 --> 00{:}02{:}04.038$ There's a lot of reasons why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:04.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.537$ Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:04.537 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.022$ these cancers recur and hands

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:07.022 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.016$ with concentrated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:08.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.234$ Work for generations on early detection

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:10.234 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.920$ and so much work and research is

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:02:12.920 --> 00:02:14.815 being done in early detection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.221$ but the future of ovarian cancer is

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:02:17.221 --> 00:02:19.360 probably not even early detection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:02:19.360 --> 00:02:20.262 The future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:20.262 \dashrightarrow 00:02:23.419$ maybe with the present is just prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:23.420 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.031$ Finding the women who are at higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}02{:}26.031 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}28.439$ risk for these cancers and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:28.439 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.857$ preventing cancers in them in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:30.857 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.245$ 1st place so that early detection

 $00:02:33.245 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.038$ or cancer cure is not even an

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}02{:}36.038 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}02{:}38.702$ entity that this cancers can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:38.702 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.018$ prevented before they even happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:41.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.895$ So that's the Clark today

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:42.895 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.770$ will share with us updates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:44.770 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.841$ enjoy mycology again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:45.841 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.626$ Kind of concentrating with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:02:47.626 --> 00:02:49.227 kind of personalized approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}02{:}49.227 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51.687$ that we offer very much within

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:51.687 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.583$ Lawrence Memorial and within here

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}02{:}53.583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55.268$ within the Yale Health System.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:55.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.401$ And then join,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:56.401 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.040$ I will talk to you about Providership

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:02:59.117 --> 00:03:02.013 and how important it is to find these

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:03:02.013 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.298$ women record high risk for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:03:04.298 --> 00:03:06.518 cancers and how important is to

 $00:03:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.020$ really have comprehensive approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:03:08.020 --> 00:03:08.770 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:03:08.770 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.922$ it is so important to remember that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:03:11.922 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.067$ genetic mutations do not just affect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:03:14.070 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.326$ The ovary or the breast that all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:03:16.326 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.940$ them are intertwined and this is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:03:18.940 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.925$ just something that affects women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:03:20.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.855$ This is something that affects

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:03:22.855 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.161$ women and man for generations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}03{:}25.161 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27.317$ it is so important that we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:03:27.317 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.609$ offering this kind of a care to

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:03:29.609 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.214$ our patients and their families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}03{:}31.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31.964$ So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}03{:}31.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}34.568$ thank you and welcome and we're so

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:03:34.568 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.050$ thrilled to be with you on this Webex.

 $00:03:37.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.770$ But really even more so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83869994

 $00:03:38.770 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.876$ We're so thrilled to be part

NOTE Confidence: 0.83869994

00:03:40.876 --> 00:03:43.178 of your community and to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.83869994

 $00:03:43.178 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.818$ providing Joanna Kalaji care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83869994

00:03:44.820 --> 00:03:47.070 At Lawrence Memorial Dr Clark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83869994

 $00:03:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.420$ Yes thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212605

 $00:03:48.420 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.227$ so much. I'm just gonna switch over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212605

00:03:51.227 --> 00:03:54.270 and share my screen with everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}03{:}56.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59.735$ All race. Alright, so thank you so

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:03:59.735 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.317$ much Doctor Ratner for the excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}04{:}01.317 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}02.605$ introduction and thanks every one

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:02.605 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.558$ for joining us to night on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:04.558 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.018$ sort of rainy Wednesday evening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:06.020 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.730$ For those who, I haven't had

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:07.730 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.190$ a chance to meet you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}04{:}09.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}10.906$ My name is Doctor Mitchell Clark.

 $00:04:10.910 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.458$ I'm within the division of dynamic

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:12.458 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.528$ at Yale and my clinical practice is

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:14.528 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.490$ focused here at Lawrence and Memorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:16.490 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.400$ and at the Water for Care Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}04{:}18.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}20.074$ And we're going to start to night

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:04:20.074 --> 00:04:21.837 session by talking about updates and

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:04:21.837 --> 00:04:23.625 ovarian cancer which Doctor Ratner has

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:23.625 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.598$ alluded to is very exciting right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:04:25.600 --> 00:04:27.040 I don't have any disclosures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.308$ either financial or use of any off

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:29.308 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.940$ label medication in this talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:30.940 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.907$ So just some objectives for what I

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}04{:}32.907 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}35.013$ hope to cover in a quick discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:35.013 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.240$ on these highlights is talk a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.454$ bit how the proposed origins ovarian

 $00:04:39.454 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.854$ cancer have changed and how this impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}04{:}41.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43.459$ early prevention and early diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}04{:}43.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.868$ Review some of the data on opportunistic

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:45.868 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.606$ salpingectomy and then risk reducing

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:04:47.606 --> 00:04:49.538 surgery for women who are identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}04{:}49.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}51.097$ it being a particularly high

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:04:51.097 --> 00:04:53.085 risk and then in those women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:53.090 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.337$ do go on to develop a cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:55.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.620$ How we've increased our

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:56.620 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.900$ use of new management,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}04{:}57.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}59.196$ chemotherapy and special interval

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}04{:}59.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00.816$ surgical techniques for these women

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:00.816 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.767$ to help manage their advanced disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}05{:}02.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}05.185$ And then probably most exciting of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:05.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.260$ is to discuss some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:05:07.260 --> 00:05:08.640 emerging data on maintenance,

 $00{:}05{:}08.640 --> 00{:}05{:}08.965 \ \mathrm{treatment},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:08.965 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.240$ and so how we can extend the

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:05:11.240 --> 00:05:13.390 survival of our survivors of ovarian

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:13.390 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.532$ cancer for years down the road.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:15.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.574$ So my practice is primarily focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:17.574 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.019$ here in the water for Care Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:05:20.020 --> 00:05:21.332 Like I mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:21.332 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.300$ we feel full range of gynecological

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:23.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.509$ malignancy's as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:24.510 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.562$ If complex joint surgical care as

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:26.562 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.573$ well as patients who are either

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}05{:}28.573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}30.853$ thought to have or known to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}05{:}30.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.020$ hereditary cancer syndrome which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}05{:}33.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34.530$ Joanna will expand a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:34.530 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.610$ bit on later on this evening.

00:05:36.610 --> 00:05:40.210 This data is and is not new for many of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}05{:}40.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42.450$ Most of you are aware that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:42.450 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.176$ the second most common gynecological

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}05{:}44.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46.745$ malignancy that we see in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:05:46.750 --> 00:05:48.946 but it certainly accounts for far

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:48.946 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.646$ more death and unfortunately in

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:50.646 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.284$ 2020 / 21,000 women were diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:52.284 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.210$ with this disease and 13,000 of

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:05:54.267 --> 00:05:55.897 them succumb to their illness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:55.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.516$ and we've alluded to the problem for decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}05{:}58.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00.599$ Has been that most of these women

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:06:00.599 --> 00:06:02.439 presented a very advanced stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:06:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.561$ and as we would expect from with

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:06:04.561 --> 00:06:06.700 all cancers or survival outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:06:06.700 --> 00:06:08.380 Certainly decrease as stage increases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}06{:}08.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10.746$ but we know now through advances in

 $00:06:10.746 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.472$ molecular testing and things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:06:12.472 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.428$ will talk about further on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:06:14.430 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.915$ These are not just all the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:06:16.915 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.302$ ovarian cancer and the site is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:06:19.302 \dashrightarrow 00:06:21.820$ busy and there's no quiz at the end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:06:21.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.130$ but just to highlight the real

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:06:24.130 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.670$ heterogeneous grouping of histologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:06:25.737 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.495$ subtypes and how important it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:06:27.495 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.680$ that we expand our knowledge of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}06{:}29.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31.576$ each of these relate to diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}06{:}31.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}34.300$ into treatment and to survivorship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:06:34.300 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.288$ This is a picture taken out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}06{:}36.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}38.733$ a book that you know many of us

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:06:38.733 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.253$ have probably seen in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:06:40.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.071$ training, highlighting the survivorship

 $00:06:42.071 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.333$ and lifetime experience of women with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:06:44.333 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.607$ ovarian cancer that really shows a sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:06:46.607 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.377$ of antiquated experience that many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:06:48.377 --> 00:06:50.099 us have been dealing with for years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}06{:}50.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51.640$ which is survival measured in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:06:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.580$ many months and not many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:06:53.580 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.199$ And I hope we can circle back to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:06:56.199 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.460$ figure at the end of the talk and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}06{:}58.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00.461$ show how these advances in ovarian

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:07:00.461 --> 00:07:03.058 cancer have really LED us to believe

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}07{:}03.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.489$ that we're going to pull this graph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}07{:}05.490 \to 00{:}07{:}07.826$ From side to side and really expand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:07.826 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.929$ Survivor ship time and disease free time

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:09.929 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.220$ that our patients are able to experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:12.220 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.444$ So what came first?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:13.444 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.974$ The chicken or the egg?

 $00:07:14.980 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.725$ And you know where did this cancer come from?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:17.730 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.908$ Some of you may be aware of the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:19.908 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.167$ that is emerging to suggest that maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:22.167 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.545$ the most common types of ovarian cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}07{:}24.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.904$ actually started in the tube for years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:26.910 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.919$ We thought that it was this obligatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:28.919 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.118$ rupture on the surface of the ring

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:31.118 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.713$ that was causing these mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.869$ and cancer would go on to develop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.820$ But now there's some really exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}07{:}36.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.920$ Research and and special pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.020$ techniques were identifying these lesions

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:41.079 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.212$ at a very early stage or what is called

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:43.212 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.559$ the stick lesion or the precursor lesion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:45.560 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.235$ and through this work and

 $00:07:47.235 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.575$ some very exciting science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}07{:}48.580 \to 00{:}07{:}50.932$ we actually think that the most likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}07{:}50.932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52.990$ mechanism is that these these cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:52.990 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.300$ probably start in the tube and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.010$ migrate into the peritoneal cavity

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:57.010 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.665$ and spread in the in the way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:07:59.665 --> 00:08:01.675 we know ovarian cancer to behave,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:01.680 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.848$ and so that brings up the opportunity of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}08{:}04.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07.160$ salping ectomy for our women who are average.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:07.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.068$ Age, risk and what is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}08{:}09.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09.704$ opportunistic salping ectomy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:09.710 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.066$ When are these opportunities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:11.066 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.100$ If you're telling me the cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:08:13.163 --> 00:08:14.498 is starting in the tube,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936 00:08:14.500 --> 00:08:14.741 well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:14.741 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.428$ let's take them out so this can

 $00:08:16.428 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.964$ be at times when women are having

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}08{:}18.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20.560$ hysterectomy for benign indications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:20.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.468$ Women who are undergoing cesarean section,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.742$ desire surgical sterilization or

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:08:23.742 --> 00:08:25.332 perhaps women who are searching,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:25.340 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.620$ searching for surgical sterilization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:26.620 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.580$ Outside of pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:08:27.580 --> 00:08:28.222 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:28.222 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.090$ I hear a lot of providers said to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:08:31.090 --> 00:08:31.726 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:31.726 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.952$ I've been doing one technique for years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}08{:}33.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}36.389$ I do the Pomeroy or the modified

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:08:36.389 --> 00:08:38.049 Pomeroy very happy with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}08{:}38.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}38.929$ I'm very comfortable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:38.929 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.720$ Is the data strong and what is the benefit?

00:08:41.720 --> 00:08:44.107 And this just highlights some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}08{:}44.107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46.006$ exciting research that's come out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:46.006 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.644$ some of the cancer registry showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:47.644 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.585$ a 35% risk reduction for death from

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:49.585 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.402$ ovarian cancer in women who underwent

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:51.402 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.346$ an opportunistic self inject to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:53.350 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.485$ I hear a lot of people say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:08:55.490 --> 00:08:57.625 Also, you know, I'm a little worried.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:57.630 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.160$ At the time of C-section.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.685$ Those tubes are really engorged

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}09{:}00.685 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}09{:}02.530$ or I'm worried about blood loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:09:02.530 --> 00:09:03.469 but Jessica McAlpine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}09{:}03.469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}05.982$ who was a fellow here at Yale a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:09:05.982 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.746$ number of years ago and have gone

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:09:07.746 --> 00:09:09.819 on to Vancouver and done amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:09:09.819 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.699$ research and work out there.

 $00:09:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.898$ Show that in her series there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:09:13.898 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.186$ no increase, blood transfusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:09:15.186 --> 00:09:15.514 hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:09:15.514 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.482$ length of admission was the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:09:17.482 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.920$ and no re emission rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:09:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.595$ Being higher for those who

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:09:20.595 --> 00:09:22.270 underwent this procedure and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:09:22.328 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.616$ only added to 10 to 15 minutes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:09:24.616 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.459$ additional surgical time, so you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:09:26.459 --> 00:09:28.337 that's our average age risk women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:09:28.340 \dashrightarrow 00:09:31.166$ but I've Joanne is going to talk about later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:09:31.170 \dashrightarrow 00:09:33.618$ What do we do for patients who are known

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}09{:}33.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}36.895$ to harbor a mutation in one of these genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:09:36.895 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.028$ that significantly increases their risk?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:09:39.030 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.960$ And we've traditionally been doing

 $00:09:40.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.890$ risk reducing bilateral salpingo for

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:09:42.949 --> 00:09:44.971 ectomy when women have made that

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:09:44.971 --> 00:09:46.760 decision after information is shared,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:09:46.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.600$ decision making with their provider.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:09:48.600 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.574$ But there are complications related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:09:50.574 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.009$ quality of life from surgical menopause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}09{:}53.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}54.482$ These are important decisions

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:09:54.482 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.586$ that women make,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}09{:}55.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}57.615$ balancing their quality of life

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:09:57.615 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.199$ against the risk of cancer and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}10{:}00.200 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}10{:}02.258$ Two separate research groups have now

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:10:02.258 --> 00:10:04.368 collaborated to explore the idea of well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:04.370 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.296$ if this is in the tube,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:06.300 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.547$ why not just take out the two?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:08.550 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.790$ And so I want to caution you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:10.790 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.037$ This is still an ongoing clinical trial,

 $00:10:13.040 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.876$ but something I wanted to highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}10{:}14.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}16.100$ as emerging evidence that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:16.156 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.856$ hopefully coming down the pipeline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:10:17.860 --> 00:10:19.036 The Tubo whiffed study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:19.036 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.549$ which is a combination of a an American

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:21.549 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.619$ and European initiative that looks at

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:10:23.619 --> 00:10:25.931 doing just the tube and delaying new

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}10{:}25.931 \to 00{:}10{:}28.156$ for ectomy for delaying that time again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:10:28.156 --> 00:10:30.154 We don't have the data yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:10:30.160 --> 00:10:30.694 For this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:30.694 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.296$ and we're hoping to see what

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:32.296 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.460$ emerges from this trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}10{:}33.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34.966$ but just to highlight the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:34.966 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.585$ that we're really are focused on

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:36.585 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.985$ trying to prevent this ovarian

 $00:10:37.985 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.509$ cancer before it even happens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:39.510 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.710$ and finding ways that we can do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:41.710 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.943$ that balance of quality of life and

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:43.943 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.782$ individual choices for women when they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:45.782 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.370$ trying to decide what to do for themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:10:48.370 --> 00:10:48.674 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:48.674 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.106$ a number of women will go on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:10:51.106 --> 00:10:52.999 develop an advanced ovarian cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}10{:}53.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55.358$ and I'm sure like many of you and your

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}10{:}55.358 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}10{:}57.495$ guy knock rotation as resident you

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:10:57.495 --> 00:10:59.726 were involved in these long complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:59.726 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.218$ operations with lots of disease and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:02.218 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.013$ are really complex surgical debulking

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:04.013 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.818$ because for decades our knowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:05.818 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.897$ was that you know a big operation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}11{:}07.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}09.868$ Removing all the visible cancer as

 $00:11:09.868 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.198$ possible gives the best outcome to women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}11{:}12.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}14.594$ but I'm sure you also saw a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:11:14.594 --> 00:11:16.838 patients experience more bitteti summer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:11:16.840 --> 00:11:17.182 Fortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:17.182 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.550$ mortality and high complication

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:18.550 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.576$ rates for those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:19.580 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.440$ Who are universally treated with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}11{:}21.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}23.044$ same approach so universally taken

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:23.044 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.594$ to the OR without consideration

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:24.594 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.834$ of individual life factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:25.840 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.304$ And so there have been a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:11:28.304 --> 00:11:30.228 trials exploring the use of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}11{:}30.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}31.468$ we give some chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:31.470 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.348$ First, let's shrink this cancer down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:33.350 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.303$ Let's try to have an operation that

 $00:11:35.303 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.420$ maybe is a little less radical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}11{:}37.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39.244$ but can still provide the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:39.244 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.860$ Uncle logic outcome for women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:40.860 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.743$ And this is just one of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:42.743 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.697$ trials that I wanted to highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:44.697 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.497$ which is the chorus trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}11{:}46.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48.105$ These folks randomized advanced age

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:11:48.105 --> 00:11:49.710 ovarian cancer to undergo either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:49.710 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.845$ Upfront surgery followed by chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:51.845 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.453$ or some chemotherapy first followed by

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}11{:}54.453 \to 00{:}11{:}56.503$ surgery followed by more chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:11:56.503 --> 00:11:58.143 and therewithal show essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:58.207 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.174$ the same thing that both arms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}12{:}00.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01.894$ this study showed equal survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:12:01.894 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.079$ both overall and progression free

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}12{:}04.079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.738$ survival and we've now gone on to

00:12:06.738 --> 00:12:08.664 further analyze and try to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:12:08.731 --> 00:12:10.526 for each individual woman who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:12:10.526 --> 00:12:12.780 best served by a primary surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}12{:}12.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15.030$ who's best served by neoadjuvant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:15.030 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.218$ chemotherapy and really trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:12:17.218 --> 00:12:19.132 make sure that we're picking the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:12:19.132 --> 00:12:21.278 right thing for the right patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.680$ And not treating this as just one lump

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:23.680 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.364$ category of disease and with that has come

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:26.364 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.799$ the adoption of minimally invasive surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:28.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.826$ For ovarian cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:12:29.826 --> 00:12:31.467 you know, ten years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:12:31.467 --> 00:12:33.381 this is something we would really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:33.381 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.988$ have not even considered or thought about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:35.990 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.104$ But as we're treating more and more

00:12:38.104 --> 00:12:39.750 women with neoadjuvant chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:39.750 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.682$ we're seeing more and more women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:12:41.682 --> 00:12:43.850 may be good candidates to undergo

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}12{:}43.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}45.558$ a minimally invasive approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:45.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.296$ So what is the role of robotic surgery?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:12:48.300 --> 00:12:49.323 Minimally invasive surgery?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:49.323 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.904$ In this disease, you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:50.904 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.843$ For women who are not able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}12{:}52.843 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54.568$ undergo that primary debulking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:12:54.568 --> 00:12:56.420 surgery did individualy factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:12:56.420 --> 00:12:58.166 there may be an opportunity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}12{:}58.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00.494$ offer them a surgery with all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:00.494 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.324$ benefits of laparoscopy while still

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:02.324 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.490$ maintaining the same oncologic outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:04.490 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.938$ And so we're very excited to see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:06.938 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.780$ development of randomized control trials

 $00:13:08.780 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.510$ that are going to answer this question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:11.510 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.455$ These are ongoing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:12.455 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.061$ but until then we continue to look for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:15.061 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.095$ patients who are excellent candidates who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:17.095 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.187$ may be able to benefit from a minimally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:13:20.187 --> 00:13:21.799 invasive approach when carefully.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:13:21.800 --> 00:13:23.900 Select it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}23.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24.988$ One additional exciting advance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:24.988 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.000$ in the way we do these interval

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.615$ surgeries is the incorporation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}28.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}31.094$ what is called heated or hyperthermic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:31.094 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.230$ intraperitoneal chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}32.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}34.666$ For those of you who've taken care

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}34.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}36.516$ of patients with appendix feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:36.516 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.724$ cancer with some of the other

00:13:38.724 --> 00:13:40.900 GI cancers you may be aware of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:40.900 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.640$ This technique for those diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}42.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}44.305$ but we've seen randomized evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:44.305 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.680$ to show that in women who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:13:46.680 --> 00:13:47.964 carefully selected and offered

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:47.964 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.270$ this as part of their treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.130$ they actually experienced an improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:52.130 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.390$ in their survival as well again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}54.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}56.165$ All about making the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:13:56.165 --> 00:13:57.940 selection for the right patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}57.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59.715$ taking into account all those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:59.715 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.780$ individual life factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:14:00.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.182$ This is not a one or one solution for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:14:04.182 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.286$ every woman type of disease anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:14:07.290 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.846$ And just to finish off of what I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:14:09.846 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.571$ is probably some of the more exciting

 $00:14:12.571 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.024$ evidence and will sort of lupus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}14{:}15.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17.034$ into joann's discussion very nicely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:14:17.034 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.233$ is really the role of maintenance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:14:19.233 --> 00:14:21.219 therapy and how our understanding of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:14:21.219 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.965$ the biology of the tumor is critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:14:23.965 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.533$ in assessing individualized treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:14:25.540 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.000$ Patients complete their chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:14:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.095$ We know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:14:28.100 --> 00:14:28.478 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:14:28.478 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.124$ most of our patients with advanced stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:14:31.124 --> 00:14:32.980 disease will experience a recurrence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:14:32.980 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.395$ at some point in their cancer journey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}14{:}35.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}37.952$ So how can we extend that time between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:14:37.952 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.910$ recurrences while maintaining excellent?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:14:39.910 --> 00:14:40.927 Quality of life.

00:14:40.927 --> 00:14:43.808 I'm not going to get too much into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:14:43.808 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.400$ the field of molecular biology here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:14:46.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.794$ but there are some very exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:14:48.794 --> 00:14:51.290 studies showing that almost half of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:14:51.290 --> 00:14:53.355 ovarian cancers are deficient in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:14:53.355 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.619$ mechanisms that allow for DNA repair

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:14:55.619 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.242$ and without taking us all back to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:14:58.242 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.770$ undergraduate molecular biology course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:14:59.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.154$ Really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:15:00.154 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.074$ To just summarize that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}15{:}02.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}03.610$ really exploiting the inherent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:15:03.676 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.541$ problems with these cancer cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:15:05.541 --> 00:15:07.406 and turning them off themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}15{:}07.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09.365$ and so through some very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:15:09.365 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.538$ exciting preclinical and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:15:10.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.315$ Clinical trials we seen that

 $00:15:12.315 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.735$ these class of medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:13.740 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.748$ called PARP inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:15:14.748 --> 00:15:17.540 which are an oral drug taken for two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:17.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.440$ to three years after chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:19.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.095$ I've had excellent results in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:21.095 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.419$ allowing patients to experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:15:22.419 --> 00:15:24.070 a prolonged survival solo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:24.070 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.008$ one which was just published a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}15{:}26.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}28.148$ couple of years ago with probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}15{:}28.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30.476$ the most exciting of these trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.395$ These were women exclusively with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}15{:}32.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}34.695$ the bracket one and bracket two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:15:34.695 --> 00:15:36.579 mutation either in their tumor or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:36.579 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.658$ in their all of their country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:38.660 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.928$ or all their cells, or both.

00:15:40.930 --> 00:15:43.362 The germ line and when given for two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:43.362 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.999$ years after they completed their treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:46.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.648$ they had significantly longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:47.648 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.708$ progression free survival than those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:49.708 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.787$ women who went on to take placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:51.790 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.430$ And this is hot off the press from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:54.430 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.219$ the Fgo meeting about 10 days ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:15:57.220 --> 00:15:59.362 Really thrilling results to see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}15{:}59.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}01.560$ five year updates of this trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.779$ and these are just numbers we never

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:03.779 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.411$ dreamed of seeing an ovarian cancer with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:16:06.411 --> 00:16:08.436 patients were randomized to elaborate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.920$ having a median progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.400$ free survival of almost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:16:11.400 --> 00:16:13.050 Five years and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:13.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.360$ if we just think about the women,

 $00:16:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.680$ we've carefully over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:16.680 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.000$ years with ovarian cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:18.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.655$ to imagine telling someone after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:19.655 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.687$ their initial treatment to expect a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:21.687 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.277$ five year progression free survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:16:23.280 --> 00:16:24.600 Let's just, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:24.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.930$ really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}16{:}24.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26.565$ thrilling stuff that we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:16:26.565 --> 00:16:28.560 have never imagined in the past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}16{:}28.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}30.474$ There's still a role for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:30.474 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.147$ types of medications and women

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:16:32.147 --> 00:16:33.837 who are not bracket carriers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:33.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.954$ Which is why we are very diligent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:35.954 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.730$ about testing tumors and understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:37.730 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.342$ the unique characteristics of

 $00:16:39.342 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.410$ every woman's of varying cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:41.410 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.578$ Earth data to support the use of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:43.578 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.966$ drugs in all comers with ovarian cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:45.970 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.425$ but the strength of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}16{:}47.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}48.880$ recommendations in the data is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:48.932 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.798$ certainly less for those women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:50.798 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.398$ don't have these inherent mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:16:52.398 --> 00:16:54.174 or changes in their tumor DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:54.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.476$ So we take the time to really explore

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:16:56.476 --> 00:16:58.950 that so we can offer women as much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}16{:}58.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}01.157$ education and data so they can make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:01.157 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.299$ a decision about how they want to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:03.300 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.234$ how they want to manage their care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:07.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.305$ This circle back to that figure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:17:09.305 --> 00:17:11.388 we talked about in the beginning and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:11.388 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.474$ to just highlight some of the things

 $00:17:13.474 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.412$ we've talked about tonight and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:15.412 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.502$ we're trying to pull this graph from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:17.502 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.750$ side to side and extend the survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:19.750 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.580$ We hope through perhaps one day

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:21.580 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.460$ being able to do self inject

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:23.460 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.532$ to me or to continue doing BS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:25.540 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.370$ So now we're able to prevent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:17:27.370 --> 00:17:28.590 ovarian cancer from developing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:28.590 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.543$ were able to improve the treatment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:30.543 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.474$ women when they are diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:32.474 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.205$ their disease. Anthru PARP inhibition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}17{:}34.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36.620$ We hope to extend that survival for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:17:36.687 --> 00:17:38.675 women for many many years to come.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:38.680 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.913$ And so there's still a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:17:40.913 --> 00:17:42.130 exciting work going on,

00:17:42.130 --> 00:17:44.490 and I hope we can go back to you next

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:17:44.561 --> 00:17:47.067 year with an update that just shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}17{:}47.067 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.040$ that we're measuring the survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:49.040 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.930$ And many many many many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:50.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.290$ So with that I thank you so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:53.290 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.268$ for listening and will hand things

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:17:55.268 --> 00:17:56.890 over to Joanna. Any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:56.890 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.780$ Right now we can take them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}17{:}58.780 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}18{:}00.380$ Otherwise we're going to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:18:00.380 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.980$ a great Q&A session at

NOTE Confidence: 0.856386

 $00:18:02.047 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.539$ the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81783515

 $00:18:07.460 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.476$ I don't think we have any questions to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81783515

 $00:18:09.476 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.190$ Joanna. Maybe you want to lead us in sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:18:13.190 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.534$ You're my slides here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:18:14.534 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.188$ Thank you so much, Doctor Clark for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:18:17.188 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.550$ opportunity and for inviting me to join

 $00{:}18{:}19.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21.584$ you guys to night and Doctor Ratner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}18{:}21.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}23.606$ Thank you for the nice opening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:18:23.610 --> 00:18:25.584 Welcome, and so I will certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:18:25.584 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.309$ try to keep my talk to 15 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:18:28.310 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.026$ But if there are any questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:18:30.026 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.010$ at all during the presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:18:32.010 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.430$ there is a Q&A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}18{:}34.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}36.425$ Section at the bottom of your screen

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:18:36.425 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.288$ and you can type in a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:18:38.288 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.170$ and we will get to it either

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:18:40.170 --> 00:18:42.145 during the presentation or after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}18{:}42.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}44.190$ So I wanted to talk a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}18{:}44.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}45.778$ more about genetics and Joanne

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:18:45.778 --> 00:18:47.800 Oncology and my name is Joanna.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:18:47.800 --> 00:18:49.280 I am a physician assistant

 $00:18:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.464$ trained at Quinnipiac University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}18{:}50.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}52.090$ I graduated in 2008 and I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:18:52.090 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.874$ been part of the practice at

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:18:53.874 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.806$ Yale for about three years now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:18:55.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.295$ so I'm thrilled to share

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:18:57.295 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.483$ some information with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}18{:}58.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01.320$ I have no disclosures to night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:19:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.064$ So we're learning more and more about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:19:04.064 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.629$ genetics of gynaecologic cancers and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:19:06.630 --> 00:19:07.362 Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:19:07.362 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.192$ for the for probably about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:19:09.192 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.170$ 20 to 25 years now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}19{:}11.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}13.065$ We've known about the bracket

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}19{:}13.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}14.960$ one and bracket two genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:19:14.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.910$ but we're finding out about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:19:16.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.771$ additional genes that are implicated

00:19:18.771 --> 00:19:21.026 in gynaecologic cancers, pal B2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}19{:}21.026 \to 00{:}19{:}23.294$ brip one, the RAD 51 jeans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:19:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.820$ and some other ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:19:24.820 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.100$ So these mostly lead to increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:19:27.174 --> 00:19:28.608 risk of breast, ovarian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:19:28.608 --> 00:19:30.498 fallopian tube, and peritoneal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:19:30.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.470$ as well as possibly pancreatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:19:32.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.760$ And prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}19{:}33.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}35.910$ And in bracket two specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}19{:}35.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}37.466$ A risk of Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}19{:}37.466 \to 00{:}19{:}40.340$ You may know about the Lynch syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:19:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.518$ genes or the DNA mismatch repair genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:19:43.518 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.539$ that can lead to multiple cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:19:46.540 --> 00:19:48.913 We often think about the GI cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:19:48.913 --> 00:19:51.320 like bowel or colorectal cancers,

 $00:19:51.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.480$ but also endometrial Arian cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:19:54.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.418$ Some of the less common genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:19:56.418 --> 00:19:58.373 syndromes that we learn about our

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:19:58.373 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.179$ leaf from many syndrome or TP5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:00.180 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.190$ The three mutations Cowden syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:20:02.190 --> 00:20:04.200 or PTN and Pronunciator syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.818$ which is the STK 11 or LKB one jeans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:07.820 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.448$ So these can have multiple different

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}20{:}10.448 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}20{:}13.734$ types of cancer and even benign tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:13.734 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.129$ that affect women with put Seager syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}20{:}17.130 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}18.930$ When we think about the inherited

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:20:18.930 --> 00:20:20.690 breast and ovarian cancer syndromes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:20.690 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.243$ we think about about 15 to 20% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:20:23.243 --> 00:20:24.658 ovarian cancers being caused by

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}20{:}24.658 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}20{:}26.945$ a BRCA or or similar mutation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}20{:}26.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}29.117$ about the same for breast cancer.

 $00:20:29.120 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.938$ One of the differences is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}20{:}30.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}32.916$ breast cancer also can have more

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:20:32.916 --> 00:20:34.686 familial clustering where you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:20:34.686 --> 00:20:36.416 see these families with multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:36.416 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.537$ cases of breast cancer but may not

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:20:38.537 --> 00:20:40.116 have a specific gene identified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:40.116 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.420$ and we can also see that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:42.420 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.696$ ovarian cancer as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:43.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.445$ we do see women who have a couple different

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:46.445 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.520$ family members with ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}20{:}48.520 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}20{:}50.920$ But no gene identified yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:50.920 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.573$ So there's probably still more down the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}20{:}53.573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}57.050$ road that we will learn about with the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:57.050 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.620$ The risks this is probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:58.620 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.876$ a little outdated now.

00:20:59.880 --> 00:21:01.450 Now this is from 2018,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:21:01.450 --> 00:21:03.020 but we are, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:21:03.020 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.308$ learning more each year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:21:04.308 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.944$ The risk with BRCA one and BRCA two

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:21:06.944 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.840$ in regards to ovarian cancers is

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:21:08.840 --> 00:21:11.200 about 40 to 50% risk but lots of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:21:11.200 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.120$ different numbers that we see for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324842

00:21:13.188 --> 00:21:15.144 Braca one an
far bracket two a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324842

00:21:15.144 --> 00:21:17.366 little bit lower risk and also a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324842

 $00:21:17.366 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.340$ little bit later in life than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324842

 $00{:}21{:}19.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21.230$ Bracco one risk for ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00{:}21{:}25.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28.188$ I'm actually going to skip this slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00:21:28.188 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.778$ so it's a really important to test

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00:21:30.778 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.875$ for test for the BRCA mutations in are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

00:21:33.875 --> 00:21:35.993 any woman with an ovarian cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00:21:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.160$ especially high grade serous ovarian cancer.

 $00:21:38.160 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.666$ But really now the recommendation is any

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00{:}21{:}40.666 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}21{:}42.961$ ovarian cancer type should have genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00:21:42.961 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.283$ counseling and offer genetic testing not

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00:21:45.283 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.670$ only because it can help the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00{:}21{:}47.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}49.680$ in regards to knowing their prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

00:21:49.680 --> 00:21:50.760 Knowing their decisions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00:21:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.385$ for example as Doctor Clark mentioned the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00{:}21{:}53.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55.455$ Carpet hitters and also being able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00:21:55.455 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.620$ put the patient into long term remission

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00:21:57.620 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.135$ and at that point we sometimes now are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00{:}22{:}00.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02.001$ preventing other cancers that we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00{:}22{:}02.001 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}03.854$ have ovarian cancer survivors who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00:22:03.854 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.058$ doing well from their disease and are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

00:22:06.058 --> 00:22:07.924 now having a risk reducing mastectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00:22:07.924 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.709$ to prevent breast cancer as well.

00:22:09.710 --> 00:22:10.362 Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00{:}22{:}10.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}12.318$ it's important for the relatives to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00:22:12.318 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.803$ know as well so that if there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00:22:14.803 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.624$ mutation in the patient's DNA then

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00:22:16.624 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.616$ they can have cascade testing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00:22:18.616 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.462$ the rest of the family members and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

00:22:20.462 --> 00:22:22.341 who can also have risk reducing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00:22:22.341 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.568$ or prevention strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00{:}22{:}26.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28.636$ So again, the most common thing that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

00:22:28.636 --> 00:22:31.267 like to talk about is hereditary breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00{:}22{:}31.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32.938$ ovarian and pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00:22:32.938 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.606$ Specifically the BRCA one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00:22:34.606 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.689$ and two genetic mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00:22:36.690 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.056$ More common than we used to think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00:22:39.060 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.760$ so depending on the source,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00:22:40.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.630$ we're now learning that there

 $00:22:42.630 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.858$ is a bracket mutation present in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

00:22:44.858 --> 00:22:46.860 about 1 in 200 people in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00:22:46.860 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.560$ and in the Ashkenazi Jewish

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

00:22:48.560 --> 00:22:50.659 population about one in 20 people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

00:22:50.659 --> 00:22:52.663 may carry a mutation in bracket

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00:22:52.663 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.620$ one or bracket two, so really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00:22:54.620 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.710$ really not as rare as we used to think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

00:22:57.710 --> 00:22:59.226 Recommendation again from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

00:22:59.226 --> 00:23:01.500 Cancer Network and this Society of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00{:}23{:}01.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}03.550$ Joanne Oncology is for universal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00{:}23{:}03.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}05.138$ genetic counseling and testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00:23:05.138 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.199$ for anyone with ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00{:}23{:}07.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}08.575$ Another important thing to remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00:23:08.575 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.449$ is that they are not the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00:23:10.450 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.249$ so Braca one is not treated or

 $00:23:12.249 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.925$ or prevention is not the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00:23:13.925 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.330$ as the bracket two patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00:23:15.330 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.498$ So we have to take that into consideration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:23:19.650 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.110$ Lynch syndrome is the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:23:21.110 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.274$ There are different genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:23:22.274 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.020$ and they're not all the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:23:24.020 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.728$ so we need to make sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

00:23:25.728 --> 00:23:27.257 we're not treating each Lynch

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00{:}23{:}27.257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}28.376$ syndrome patient equally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

00:23:28.380 --> 00:23:32.088 And I'll show you a nice sample of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00{:}23{:}32.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}33.542$ On the next slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:23:33.542 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.994$ genetic testing is recommended

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00{:}23{:}34.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37.015$ for women with endometrial cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:23:37.015 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.055$ or colorectal cancer as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00{:}23{:}39.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}40.715$ men and remote colorectal cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

00:23:40.715 --> 00:23:42.796 who have evidence of what's called

00:23:42.796 --> 00:23:43.888 microsatellite instability or

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:23:43.888 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.072$ a loss of DNA mismatch repair

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:23:46.136 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.960$ proteins on immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:23:47.960 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.048$ So this is where now every colon cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:23:51.050 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.378$ an every endometrial cancer is getting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:23:53.380 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.310$ This special testing the microsatellite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:23:55.310 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.644$ testing the IHC an if it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00{:}23{:}57.644 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}59.950$ identified that they have an issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:23:59.950 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.446$ They will then go for genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:24:02.446 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.904$ testing and counseling to see if

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:24:04.904 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.058$ they carry a germ line lynched.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00{:}24{:}07.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10.620$ Premutation so the the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00{:}24{:}10.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}12.160$ Comprehensive Cancer Network has

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:24:12.160 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.038$ really nice tables and guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:24:14.038 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.132$ for the prevention of cancer and

00:24:16.132 --> 00:24:18.641 the risks of cancer in both the BRCA

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

00:24:18.641 --> 00:24:20.246 mutations and the other mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:24:20.246 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.334$ as well for HBO See syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:24:22.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.090$ but also for Lynch syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:24:24.090 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.533$ So I'm just giving you one example

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:24:26.533 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.580$ of the MLH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:24:27.580 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.036$ one which is the one of the more

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:24:30.036 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.260$ common Lynch syndrome genes and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

00:24:32.260 --> 00:24:34.558 gives you a specific cancer risks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

00:24:34.560 --> 00:24:36.982 or at least ranges of risk compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00{:}24{:}36.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}38.792$ to general population for each

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:24:38.792 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.208$ of the associated cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:24:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.298$ And what's really important to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

00:24:42.298 --> 00:24:45.156 is that MLH one has a higher risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:24:45.156 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.244$ of many cancers than for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:24:47.250 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.936$ PMS two.

00:24:47.936 --> 00:24:49.994 So it's not just Lynch syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:24:49.994 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.829$ is 1 size fits all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8550364

 $00{:}24{:}54.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}56.426$ So what are the guidelines for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8550364

00:24:56.426 --> 00:24:58.181 identifying who should be screened

NOTE Confidence: 0.8550364

00:24:58.181 --> 00:24:59.897 for genetic cancer syndromes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8550364

00:24:59.900 --> 00:25:02.406 Well, I'm just going to go over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8550364

 $00:25:02.406 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.268$ briefly in the next 10 minutes or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8550364

 $00:25:05.270 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.698$ The guidelines from ACOG,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8550364

00:25:06.698 --> 00:25:07.769 the National Comprehensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8550364

00:25:07.769 --> 00:25:09.564 Cancer Network, the USPS TF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8550364

 $00{:}25{:}09.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11.354$ and Society of Duane Oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

00:25:14.160 --> 00:25:17.016 In a committee opinion piece from 2011,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:25:17.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.330$ there were just very general guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:25:19.330 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.338$ that women should have family

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:25:21.338 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.328$ history evaluation as a screening

 $00:25:23.328 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.876$ tool should be reviewed and updated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:25:25.876 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.660$ regularly and when appropriate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:25:27.660 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.670$ further evaluation should be considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:25:29.670 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.160$ and referral to genetics as needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:25:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.614$ That was updated with a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:25:34.614 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.250$ more information in 2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:25:36.250 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.194$ So this is kind of talking about you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

00:25:39.194 --> 00:25:41.967 know every patient in your practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00{:}25{:}41.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}44.676$ In general, Obi Wan should have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

00:25:44.676 --> 00:25:46.480 a hereditary risk assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00{:}25{:}46.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48.622$ Taking a good family history and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:25:48.622 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.503$ if there's suggested that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:25:50.503 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.831$ an increased risk of a hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00{:}25{:}52.831 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54.859$ syndrome referring to a specialist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

00:25:54.860 --> 00:25:57.908 or if you have the expertise in genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00{:}25{:}57.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00.196$ then or some one in your practice.

 $00:26:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.906$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00{:}26{:}00.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}03.377$ a practice nurse can give that counseling

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:26:03.377 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.721$ and make an educated decision about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

00:26:05.721 --> 00:26:07.641 genetic testing for the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:26:07.706 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.716$ or the appropriate family member.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85847867

00:26:11.940 --> 00:26:13.970 We are now doing more multigene testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85847867

 $00:26:13.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.178$ so this is panel testing as opposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85847867

 $00{:}26{:}16.178 \longrightarrow 00{:}26{:}18.607$ just the Braca one and bracket two test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85847867

 $00:26:18.610 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.060$ It's much more affordable than

NOTE Confidence: 0.85847867

 $00:26:20.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.423$ it used to be and the tests are

NOTE Confidence: 0.85847867

 $00:26:22.423 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.115$ better than they used to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85847867

 $00:26:24.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.824$ so we also recommend that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.85847867

 $00{:}26{:}25.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27.307$ who had genetic testing before

NOTE Confidence: 0.85847867

 $00:26:27.307 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.777$ about 2012 be offered updated

NOTE Confidence: 0.85847867

 $00:26:28.777 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.844$ panel testing to make sure that we

 $00:26:30.844 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.239$ didn't miss anything back then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

 $00:26:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.586$ Another guideline talks about the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

00:26:36.586 --> 00:26:38.968 of pre test and post test counseling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

 $00:26:38.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.259$ so I know these are busy slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

00:26:41.260 --> 00:26:43.290 but talking about you know what it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

 $00:26:43.290 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.169$ means to have genetic testing what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

 $00:26:45.169 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.800$ the result might be and what it might

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

 $00:26:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.978$ mean for their family for themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

 $00:26:49.978 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.147$ for their medical insurance and life

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

 $00:26:52.147 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.301$ insurance and how to communicate those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

 $00{:}26{:}54.301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.210$ results to the patient and counsel

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

00:26:56.210 --> 00:26:58.260 them after the test results are back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00:27:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.626$ If there is a clinically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00{:}27{:}03.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}05.102$ mutation, patients should really be

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00:27:05.102 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.890$ encouraged to share the results with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00:27:06.945 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.460$ their family members and recommend

 $00:27:08.460 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.490$ that the family members also be tested

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00{:}27{:}10.490 \longrightarrow 00{:}27{:}12.338$ and that can be really hard to manage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00:27:12.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.788$ The question is, am I then as the provider

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00:27:14.788 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.267$ responsible for the family members?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00:27:16.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.755$ And that's sometimes where a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00{:}27{:}17.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}19.240$ genetic counselor can really help

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00:27:19.291 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.486$ you by drafting a letter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00:27:20.490 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.450$ the patient can give the letter to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00:27:22.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.222$ their family members and they can

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00:27:24.222 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.968$ help share the information for how

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00:27:25.968 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.786$ everybody in the family can get tested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00:27:30.260 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.180$ The USPS TF recommendations were

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00{:}27{:}32.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}34.910$ updated about a year and a half ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00:27:34.910 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.046$ and this was in 2019 and one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

00:27:37.046 --> 00:27:39.019 the biggest changes to this was

00:27:39.019 --> 00:27:40.729 when collecting a family history

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00{:}27{:}40.729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43.040$ to also collect information about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00:27:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.940$ any Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00:27:44.940 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.173$ So really, that should be one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

00:27:47.173 --> 00:27:49.044 your questions on the screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00:27:49.044 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.310$ for family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00:27:50.310 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.166$ Do you have any family history of cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

00:27:53.170 --> 00:27:55.677 What types of cancer, what relatives? And?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00{:}27{:}55.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58.533$ Is there any Jewish ancestry in your family?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00:27:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.736$ So that's one of the biggest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00:28:00.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.620$ Updates in the guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00:28:03.620 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.790$ Again, the is talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00:28:05.790 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.658$ integrating services,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

00:28:06.660 --> 00:28:08.022 individualizing your questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00:28:08.022 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.746$ and plan for genetic testing for

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00:28:10.746 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.882$ your patients to optimize the

 $00:28:12.882 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.892$ benefit and minimize the harm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849491

 $00:28:17.250 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.650$ So that the USPS TF report was published

NOTE Confidence: 0.849491

 $00:28:20.650 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.068$ in JAMA about a year and a half ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849491

00:28:24.070 --> 00:28:25.974 Again, talking about identifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.849491

 $00:28:25.974 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.354$ appropriate candidates for genetic testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.849491

 $00:28:28.354 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.879$ and then what type of tests to order.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849491

 $00:28:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.955$ So there's lots of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.849491

 $00:28:32.955 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.475$ steps in the process about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.849491

 $00:28:35.475 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.300$ are the harms of asking?

NOTE Confidence: 0.849491

 $00:28:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.706$ What are the harms of testing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.849491

 $00:28:39.710 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.715$ What are the harms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.849491

 $00:28:41.715 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.319$ intervention after we test?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

00:28:46.540 --> 00:28:49.036 I do see a question in the Q&A

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00:28:49.036 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.457$ that will try to get to shortly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00:28:51.460 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.776$ So the USPSTF recommendation does give

 $00:28:53.776 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.199$ a B recommendation that primary care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00:28:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.764$ Clinicians, including Obi Wans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

00:28:57.764 --> 00:29:00.110 assess women with a personal or

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

00:29:00.177 --> 00:29:02.126 family history of breast, ovarian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00:29:02.126 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.710$ tubal or peritoneal cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00:29:03.710 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.188$ who have any ancestry associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00{:}29{:}06.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}08.669$ BRCA mutations with an appropriate risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00:29:08.669 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.315$ tool and they do give some options

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

00:29:11.315 --> 00:29:14.039 for different tools to use and if

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00:29:14.039 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.970$ there's a positive result on the tool,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00:29:16.970 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.850$ they should receive genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00{:}29{:}18.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}20.866$ counseling and potentially genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00:29:20.866 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.998$ testing if they're interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00:29:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.248$ They do not recommend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00:29:26.250 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.464$ Counseling for women whose ancestry is

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00:29:28.464 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.179$ not associated with a potential mutation.

00:29:31.180 --> 00:29:33.235 So we're not sending everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00:29:33.235 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.290$ every woman for genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374

 $00:29:38.420 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.244$ One of the examples is a seven

NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374

00:29:41.244 --> 00:29:42.860 question family history screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374

 $00:29:42.860 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.075$ others included Ontario

NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374

00:29:44.075 --> 00:29:45.290 Family History Assessment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374

00:29:45.290 --> 00:29:46.502 Manchester Scoring System,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374

00:29:46.502 --> 00:29:48.118 the referral screening tool,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374

00:29:48.120 --> 00:29:49.732 a pedigree assessment tool

NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374

 $00:29:49.732 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.747$ and the tire acoustic model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374

 $00:29:51.750 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.194$ which is good for breast cancer risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374

 $00:29:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.700$ So these are just short screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374

 $00{:}29{:}56.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}58.614$ models that can be used in your

NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374

 $00:29:58.614 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.054$ practice for regular annual visits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00:30:02.750 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.420$ Shifting gears to the SGO,

 $00:30:04.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.824$ SGO again recommends genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00:30:05.824 \dashrightarrow 00:30:07.930$ counseling and testing for women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00:30:07.987 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.989$ are at risk of having a hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00{:}30{:}09.989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}11.769$ breast and ovarian cancer syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00:30:11.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.102$ They are recommending more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

00:30:13.102 --> 00:30:14.767 and more now to again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00:30:14.770 \dashrightarrow 00:30:16.780$ have some body help you with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00:30:16.780 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.901$ or as a primary provider to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00{:}30{:}18.901 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20.695$ the expertise and I'll show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00:30:20.695 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.606$ some ways that we can gain that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

00:30:22.669 --> 00:30:24.397 expertise to provide genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00:30:24.397 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.125$ counseling for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00:30:26.130 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.650$ It is always important to have experts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00:30:28.650 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.810$ available after tests to help you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00:30:30.810 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.175$ interpret the tests and recommend

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00:30:33.175 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.594$ the treatment plan.

 $00:30:34.600 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.990$ Important to have an interdisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00:30:35.990 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.880$ team and I'll show you who the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00:30:37.880 \dashrightarrow 00:30:39.242$ team members might be for somebody

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00:30:39.242 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.887$ who has a hereditary cancer risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

 $00:30:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.266$ This talks about their women's personal

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

00:30:47.266 --> 00:30:49.606 cancer history and the family cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

 $00:30:49.606 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.976$ history and who should be offered

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

 $00{:}30{:}51.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}53.549$ genetic counseling and testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

 $00:30:53.550 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.574$ So there's anybody with a high grade ovarian

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

00:30:56.574 --> 00:30:59.360 cancer of breast cancer early in life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

 $00{:}30{:}59.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}02.400$ pre menopausal women who have a limit that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

 $00:31:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.157$ family history or family history is unknown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

 $00{:}31{:}05.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07.488$ Women who have a pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

 $00:31:07.490 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.420$ family history or prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

 $00:31:09.420 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.355$ Women who have a triple

 $00:31:11.355 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.516$ negative breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

00:31:12.520 --> 00:31:14.836 Women who have breast cancer with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

00:31:14.836 --> 00:31:16.390 Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384

 $00:31:18.510 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.904$ And then there may also be situations

NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384

 $00:31:20.904 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.019$ where you'd want to refer for

NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384

 $00{:}31{:}23.019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24.729$ genetic counseling even if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384

 $00:31:24.729 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.680$ don't know the family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384

 $00:31:26.680 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.455$ So for example, if someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384

 $00{:}31{:}28.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}30.230$ has very few female relatives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384

00:31:30.230 --> 00:31:31.915 many relatives had hysterectomy at

NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384

00:31:31.915 --> 00:31:34.130 a young age for unknown reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384

 $00:31:34.130 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.475$ or there's adoption in the lineages and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384

 $00:31:36.475 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.457$ you may not know also for Lynch syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384

 $00{:}31{:}39.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41.100$ Again, mutations anyone with an

NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384

 $00:31:41.100 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.412$ endometrial or colorectal cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384

 $00{:}31{:}42.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}44.329$ has the mismatch repair genes

 $00:31:44.329 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.484$ or microsatellite instability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384

 $00{:}31{:}45.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}47.480$ Or again, depending on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384

 $00:31:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.072$ family history of colorectal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384

00:31:49.080 --> 00:31:51.258 Are gynecological cancers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:31:54.350 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.250$ If you don't have access

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:31:56.250 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.150$ to the NCC and guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:31:58.150 --> 00:32:01.190 I would certainly encourage you to sign up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:32:01.190 --> 00:32:03.850 It's a free membership for NCC N.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:03.850 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.797$ To access the guidelines for prevention and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:06.797 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.550$ cancer risk reduction an A couple years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:09.550 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.830$ Now, this was changed from breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:32:11.830 --> 00:32:14.110 and ovarian cancer, now to breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:14.110 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.010$ ovarian and pancreatic cancer syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:16.010 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.242$ so pancreatic cancer is becoming more

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:18.242 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.219$ recognized as part of this cancer history

 $00:32:21.219 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.584$ syndrome syndrome and pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:32:23.590 --> 00:32:25.844 Is now an indication for genetic counseling

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:25.844 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.423$ and testing as well as any first degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:28.423 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.480$ relative of a pancreatic cancer patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.775$ Should have genetic testing if

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:32.775 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.740$ that person is no longer living.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:35.740 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.595$ So, NCCN recommends that pretest

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:37.595 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.010$ counseling be done prior to testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:40.010 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.860$ Consideration of the most appropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:41.860 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.580$ test to order and then post test

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}32{:}44.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}46.986$ counseling when the results are disclosed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:46.990 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.231$ So then anybody who again has that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:50.231 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.605$ experience and expertise in cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:52.605 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.776$ genetics should be involved at each stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:32:55.780 --> 00:32:57.826 And so there's some good algorithms

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:57.826 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.978$ to help with how we do this,

 $00:32:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.228$ how we help the family and what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}33{:}03.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}05.907$ outcomes might mean for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}33{:}05.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08.045$ The NCCN also has some really nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:08.045 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.082$ tables about what the cancer risks

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:10.082 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.194$ are and what the recommendations are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:12.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.179$ so this is just one page of the guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:15.180 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.455$ but there are actually 3 pages that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}33{:}17.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}19.786$ include each of the different mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:19.786 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.936$ that your patient might carry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:33:21.940 --> 00:33:22.870 And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:22.870 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.730$ they're not all treated the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:24.730 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.702$ So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}33{:}25.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}27.970$ we've seen patients in our office who

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:33:28.034 --> 00:33:30.127 understood or heard that that he or

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:30.127 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.265$ she carries a mutation in the bracket

00:33:32.265 --> 00:33:34.340 gene and they come to us saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:34.340 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.200$ I have the Angelina Jolie gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:36.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.480$ and then we find out that on their

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:33:38.480 --> 00:33:40.540 genetic testing they actually carry brip,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:40.540 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.898$ one which has a much lower risk and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:33:42.898 --> 00:33:44.880 managed very differently than brocco,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.430$ so it's important for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:46.430 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.614$ We like to see the patients genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}33{:}48.614 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}33{:}50.195$ testing results with our very

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:50.195 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.225$ own eyes before we start to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:52.225 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.470$ management recommendations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:53.470 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.990$ So just to kind of move on here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:55.990 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.250$ this is what's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}33{:}57.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}58.510$ important for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:58.510 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.090$ It is a long process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:34:00.090 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.288$ We need to 1st identify the risk,

 $00:34:02.290 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.205$ give some counseling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}34{:}03.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05.340$ identify who the best person in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:34:05.400 --> 00:34:07.326 family would be for genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:34:07.330 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.590$ Have that person tested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}34{:}08.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}10.165$ Do the post test counseling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:34:10.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.740$ So what does it mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:34:11.740 --> 00:34:14.260 If it's a positive or negative test result,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:34:14.260 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.835$ offer testing for the rest

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:34:15.835 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.095$ of the family members.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:34:17.100 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.508$ That's called cascade testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}34{:}18.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}20.268$ Provide emotional support and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:34:20.268 --> 00:34:22.136 really is part of the entire process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}34{:}22.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}24.660$ not just a single step in the process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}34{:}24.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}26.592$ We have to emotionally support our

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:34:26.592 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.200$ patients with this complex disc.

 $00:34:28.200 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.280$ Asian making referrer as

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:34:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.360$ appropriate for breast surveillance

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:34:32.360 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.350$ on gynecological surveillance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:34:34.350 --> 00:34:36.482 pancreatic surveillance skin exams,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:34:36.482 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.614$ all the different referrals

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:34:38.614 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.500$ that are necessary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:34:40.500 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.655$ Support them in fertility decisions

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:34:43.655 --> 00:34:47.899 about their children if they are young.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}34{:}47.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}50.540$ And then provide the cancer surveillance

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:34:50.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.849$ and cancer prevention if we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:34:52.850 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.139$ So it is a lot of work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:34:55.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.700$ There are a lot of questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}34{:}56.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}57.740$ that we can ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

00:34:57.807 --> 00:35:00.101 ourselves. Do I do I have time to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

00:35:00.101 --> 00:35:01.950 collect a full family pedigree?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:01.950 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.015$ Do I remember how to do that?

 $00:35:04.020 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.924$ Does the patient know their family history

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00{:}35{:}05.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}08.811$ or do we need to go back and gather more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:08.811 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.530$ information from other family members?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

00:35:10.530 --> 00:35:13.490 Do I? How do I know what test to order?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

00:35:13.490 --> 00:35:14.970 Does my patient, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:14.970 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.035$ have the emotional readiness for the testing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:17.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.119$ Will they be OK with the result?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.478$ Do they want to be tested or do they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:21.478 \dashrightarrow 00:35:23.845$ want not want to know their genetics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:23.850 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.058$ Should I recommend or?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00{:}35{:}25.058 \mathrel{\text{--}}{>} 00{:}35{:}27.527$ If my patient asks about things like 23

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

00:35:27.527 --> 00:35:29.613 andme or the direct to consumer testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

00:35:29.620 --> 00:35:32.322 do I know how to help him

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:32.322 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.499$ or her interpret that test?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:34.500 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.119$ Who is the right person to be test tested?

 $00:35:37.120 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.866$ Am I ordering the right test?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

00:35:38.870 --> 00:35:40.900 Am I ordering from the right company?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:40.900 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.360$ What is the test mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.106$ You know this is not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

00:35:44.106 --> 00:35:45.270 positive or negative anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

00:35:45.270 --> 00:35:46.430 It's now likely pathogenic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

00:35:46.430 --> 00:35:47.920 pathogenic, likely benign or benign,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00{:}35{:}47.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}49.720$ and then in the middle there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:49.775 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.135$ this variant where we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:51.135 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.830$ there's a change in the gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:52.830 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.422$ but we don't know if it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

00:35:55.422 --> 00:35:56.718 necessarily cancer causing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:56.720 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.056$ How do we proceed with the family members?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:59.060 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.316$ Do I have to follow up all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:36:01.316 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.174$ family members testing and how well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00{:}36{:}03.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05.490$ does it affect my patient as far

 $00:36:05.490 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.525$ as insurability and general health?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00{:}36{:}07.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}09.645$ So there's a lot of things that we may

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

00:36:09.645 --> 00:36:11.846 not know how to help our patient with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:36:11.850 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.537$ and we need to know where to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:36:13.537 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.899$ refer them to if needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:36:14.900 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.559$ This is what I was talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:36:16.559 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.859$ with a different test results

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:36:17.859 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.204$ that can occur on testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:36:21.930 \dashrightarrow 00:36:24.518$ And if you've ever come into, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00{:}36{:}24.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}27.165$ finding a test result or coming into a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00{:}36{:}27.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}29.538$ conundrum where you didn't know the answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:36:29.540 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.424$ You're not alone, and there's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:36:31.424 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.113$ a series of articles from some of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:36:34.113 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.493$ Yale genetic counseling colleagues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

00:36:35.500 --> 00:36:36.824 Karina Byerly, who's one

00:36:36.824 --> 00:36:38.148 of our genetic counselors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

00:36:38.150 --> 00:36:38.812 Danielle Bonadies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

00:36:38.812 --> 00:36:40.798 Danielle Campfield was her maiden name,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:36:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.117$ who is one of our genetic counselors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

00:36:43.117 --> 00:36:45.396 who's no longer at Yale but has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

00:36:45.396 --> 00:36:47.226 done some great work and talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

00:36:47.298 --> 00:36:49.398 about the errors that can happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:36:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.060$ The wrong test is ordered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00{:}36{:}51.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52.710$ The test result is misinterpreted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:36:52.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.500$ or there's not enough patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:36:54.500 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.380$ Follow up so it happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:36:56.380 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.319$ It's not common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00{:}36{:}57.319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}59.197$ It's not uncommon for the test

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:36:59.197 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.269$ to be interpreted incorrectly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:37:01.270 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.414$ and that's where our genetic counseling

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00{:}37{:}04.414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}06.830$ colleagues can really help us.

 $00:37:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.580$ It certainly takes a village

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:37:08.580 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.980$ to support these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:37:09.980 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.858$ so whether your patient has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:37:11.858 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.180$ mutation or has a family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

00:37:14.180 --> 00:37:16.630 First of all, she's probably seen cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:37:16.630 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.046$ and she's probably experienced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:37:18.046 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.170$ a loved one who's been diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:37:20.235 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.527$ and treated for cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:37:21.530 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.630$ and there's trauma involved in that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:37:23.630 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.038$ The patient probably has a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

00:37:26.038 --> 00:37:28.179 questions and concerns about her family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:37:28.180 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.880$ her children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:37:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.980$ what it means for her health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00{:}37{:}30.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}33.653$ and so it takes a lot of people to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

00:37:33.653 --> 00:37:35.956 really help support this patient in

 $00:37:35.956 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.680$ making sure she gets the best care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00:37:40.820 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.160$ A smile has some great resources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00:37:43.160 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.500$ The cancer genetics and Prevention program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00:37:45.500 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.840$ which is available also by telemedicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00:37:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.332$ These days there's a lot of resources

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00:37:50.332 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.908$ from ACOG that are available online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00:37:52.910 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.661$ Jackson laboratory up at UConn has some

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

00:37:55.661 --> 00:37:58.027 modules that I'll show you shortly

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00{:}37{:}58.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}00.631$ SGO has some information and there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00:38:00.705 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.045$ a fee if you're really interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00{:}38{:}03.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}05.522$ A certificate program that's online in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00:38:05.522 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.949$ clinical genetics and genomics and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

00:38:07.949 --> 00:38:10.455 program is actually for physicians or nurses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00:38:10.460 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.196$ or. PSA PRNS who are interested in learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

00:38:13.196 --> 00:38:15.930 more about genetics and want to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00{:}38{:}15.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}18.805$ help advance their care, and it's all.

 $00:38:18.805 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.955$ It's a one year online program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00{:}38{:}21.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}24.000$ The Jackson Laboratory genetics modules

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

00:38:24.000 --> 00:38:26.406 are great because they're 15 minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

00:38:26.406 --> 00:38:28.854 case based and they do give you CME

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00{:}38{:}28.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30.750$ credits so those are available at

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

00:38:30.750 --> 00:38:33.135 Jackson Lab which is part of UConn and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00:38:33.135 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.572$ there are 11 cases in that in those

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

00:38:35.572 --> 00:38:37.810 modules and the Society of Joanna

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00{:}38{:}37.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}40.438$ Kalaji also has a genetics tool kit

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00{:}38{:}40.438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}42.592$ that has seven case based modules

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00:38:42.600 \dashrightarrow 00:38:44.658$ that's available for providers on line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

 $00{:}38{:}46.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}48.445$ Yale's cancer Genetics and Prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

 $00{:}38{:}48.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}50.594$ program is at the Saint Rayfield

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

00:38:50.594 --> 00:38:52.604 campus in New Haven. It's Co.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

 $00:38:52.604 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.626$ Directed by Doctor Hofstetter and Doctor

00:38:54.626 --> 00:38:57.159 Your who managed Breast and GI Oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

 $00{:}38{:}57.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}59.158$ Doctor Bale is the genetic scientific

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

 $00:38:59.158 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.311$ director and there are many great

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

 $00{:}39{:}01.311 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}02.823$ genetic counselors available to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

 $00:39:02.823 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.839$ answer any questions that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

 $00:39:04.840 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.754$ And of course, Dr Ratner and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

 $00:39:06.754 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.029$ myself are there to support women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

00:39:09.030 --> 00:39:11.870 And Doctor Ferrell helps with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

 $00{:}39{:}11.870 \longrightarrow 00{:}39{:}13.574$ pancreatic cancer surveillance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

 $00:39:13.580 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.740$ Genetics can be referd through

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

 $00{:}39{:}15.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}18.310$ epic or by calling 201 DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363

 $00:39:20.560 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.282$ And with that I will stop and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363

 $00:39:22.282 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.858$ take questions or we can move

NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363

 $00{:}39{:}23.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}25.163$ on to the next presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363

00:39:25.170 --> 00:39:26.450 Please feel free to contact

NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363

 $00:39:26.450 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.730$ us anytime you can email.

 $00:39:27.730 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.086$ Call me and we're happy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363

 $00{:}39{:}29.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}30.568$ help see patients or help with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363

00:39:30.568 --> 00:39:31.888 answering any questions or getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363

 $00:39:31.888 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.360$ you to the right people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363

 $00:39:33.360 \dashrightarrow 00:39:35.439$ So thank you so much I will hand it

NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363

00:39:35.439 --> 00:39:37.420 back over to Doctor Clark and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363

 $00:39:37.420 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.248$ can take questions if we need to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

00:39:40.540 --> 00:39:42.406 Thanks Joanna. I think you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00:39:42.410 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.218$ I think this is a great question by Kim.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00:39:45.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.418$ Thanks Kim for for sharing your personal

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00:39:47.418 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.330$ experience with the meeting and maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

00:39:49.330 --> 00:39:51.148 I'll just read out Kim's comments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

00:39:51.150 --> 00:39:52.535 slash question and maybe you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00:39:52.535 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.703$ can give us a little bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00:39:54.703 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.139$ guidance from your perspective.

00:39:56.140 --> 00:39:58.443 Joanna so Kim writes just to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00:39:58.443 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.848$ that it was recommended to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00{:}40{:}00.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}02.530$ When I received a breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00:40:02.530 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.344$ diagnosis in prior to genetic testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

00:40:04.344 --> 00:40:06.563 to go ahead and secure life insurance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00:40:06.570 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.070$ if a mutation is identified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00:40:08.070 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.720$ it can make it difficult and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00:40:09.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.390$ or impossible to get coverage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

00:40:11.390 --> 00:40:14.630 I thought this was a great idea and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00:40:14.630 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.389$ share that with my patients now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00:40:17.390 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.198$ So what's your experience or or is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00:40:20.198 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.869$ a question that you get from patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00:40:22.869 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.330$ who come through the Genics program

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

00:40:25.330 --> 00:40:27.969 that you oversee June? We absolutely do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

00:40:27.970 --> 00:40:30.994 and so if you haven't learned about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00:40:31.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.465$ there's something called the genetic

 $00:40:33.465 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.930$ Information Nondiscrimination Act or Gina

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00{:}40{:}36.000 \to 00{:}40{:}38.835$ and Gina prevents people with a genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00{:}40{:}38.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}40.810$ predisposition to cancer from being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00:40:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.228$ Denied a job or health insurance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00:40:43.230 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.450$ Unfortunately Gina does not protect people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

00:40:45.450 --> 00:40:48.080 from being denied life insurance coverage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00:40:48.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.980$ so life insurance certainly is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00:40:49.980 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.500$ something to consider before

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00{:}40{:}51.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}53.328$ people undergo genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00:40:53.330 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.235$ If that's important to you and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00{:}40{:}56.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}58.316$ genetic counselors are pretty good

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00:40:58.316 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.608$ at talking about that as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

00:41:00.610 --> 00:41:03.424 But for health insurance and for employment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00:41:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.532$ there is some legal protection from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00:41:06.532 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.080$ discrimination for people who have.

00:41:09.080 --> 00:41:10.528 A predisposition to cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:41:10.530 --> 00:41:13.194 re thank you. Alright, so we'll just move

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:41:13.194 --> 00:41:16.337 on to our final session for the evening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:41:16.340 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.517$ We're going to switch gears a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:41:18.517 --> 00:41:20.733 bit away from ovarian cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}41{:}20.733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}23.163$ genetics and how that relates to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}41{:}23.163 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}25.070$ gynecological cancers and talk a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:41:25.070 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.870$ little bit about cervical cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}41{:}26.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}28.278$ both prevention and detection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:41:28.278 --> 00:41:31.229 I think we have a great group tonight

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}41{:}31.229 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}33.154$ that ranges from gynecologist to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}41{:}33.154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}35.939$ those who do a lot more primary care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:41:35.940 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.164$ so I thought this would be a relevant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:41:39.170 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.676$ Discussion to talk a little bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:41:41.676 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.309$ the updates from the SCCP will talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:41:44.309 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.541$ a little bit about HPV vaccination

00:41:46.613 --> 00:41:48.995 and understanding the role of HPV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:41:49.000 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.549$ and so I'm just going to switch

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:41:52.549 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.347$ over my slides here. OK. Great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:41:57.347 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.509$ And it's the same me talking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:42:00.510 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.576$ We're just going to shuffle over

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:42:03.576 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.620$ to physical cancer prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744 00:42:05.620 --> 00:42:07.850 And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:42:07.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.586$ So we're going to review a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:42:09.586 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.214$ bit The Who global strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:42:11.214 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.866$ to eliminate cervical cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}42{:}12.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}15.068$ which has been recently put forward and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:42:15.068 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.269$ discussed heavily at the recent fgo meeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:42:17.270 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.774$ As this is a priority for The Who,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:42:19.780 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.471$ but then loop that back to how does that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:42:22.471 --> 00:42:24.810 affect us here at home in Connecticut?

00:42:24.810 --> 00:42:26.130 In the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}42{:}26.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}27.780$ will talk quite extensively about

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:42:27.780 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.986$ the data on HPV vaccination as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:42:29.986 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.149$ as the indications and how that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:42:32.149 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.931$ evolved overtime and then learn to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:42:33.931 --> 00:42:36.318 apply technique for how we can improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:42:36.318 --> 00:42:38.249 our vaccination rates here at home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:42:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.634$ Specifically related to what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}42{:}39.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}42.340$ We're kind of coining the catch up cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:42:42.340 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.596$ and then we'll segue into a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}42{:}44.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}47.060$ of a discussion on the updated 2019

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:42:47.060 --> 00:42:49.683 AFC CP guidelines and how the newly

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:42:49.683 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.843$ developed app that can be downloaded

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:42:51.843 --> 00:42:55.210 from the Android or iTunes Store.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:42:55.210 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.401$ It can really help you guide your

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:42:57.401 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.608$ decision making and provide women the

 $00:42:59.608 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.216$ most individualized risk assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}43{:}01.216 \to 00{:}43{:}03.977$ when trying to decide how to manage

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}43{:}03.977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}05.847$ abnormal cytology or biopsy results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:43:05.850 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.004$ I don't have any financial disclosures

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:43:08.004 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.620$ were not talking about anything off label,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:43:10.620 --> 00:43:12.993 but I do want to just acknowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:43:12.993 --> 00:43:15.348 and thank Merck for providing some

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:43:15.348 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.458$ of the infographics and informatics

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:43:17.458 --> 00:43:20.325 on HPV data as it relates to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:43:20.325 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.620$ cervical and head and neck cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:43:22.620 --> 00:43:26.085 We're going to talk extensively about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:43:26.090 --> 00:43:26.792 So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:43:26.792 --> 00:43:28.898 I think this is all very

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:43:28.898 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.600$ familiar information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:43:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.610$ but worthwhile to remember that cervical

 $00:43:31.610 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.810$ cancer is really a global burden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}43{:}33.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}35.718$ It's the fourth most common cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:43:35.718 --> 00:43:37.670 of cancer in women worldwide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:43:37.670 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.765$ and in 2018,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:43:38.765 --> 00:43:41.802 / 1/2 A million women were diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:43:41.802 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.042$ this disease and over 300,000 of women died.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:43:45.050 --> 00:43:47.005 Almost all cervical cancers are

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:43:47.005 --> 00:43:48.960 related to an HPV infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}43{:}48.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}51.550$ and what we see here on the top is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:43:51.629 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.274$ figure that highlights the incidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:43:54.274 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.390$ of cervical cancer worldwide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:43:56.390 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.736$ where we see a disproportionate burden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:43:58.740 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.690$ African countries as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:44:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.250$ certain South American countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:44:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.205$ And then when we juxtapose

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:44:04.205 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.160$ that against the bottom image,

 $00:44:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.724$ showing the implementation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:44:07.724 --> 00:44:09.679 publicly funded HPV vaccine programs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:44:09.680 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.972$ we really see how HPV vaccination

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:44:11.972 --> 00:44:13.500 and prevention through primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}44{:}13.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}15.898$ prevention methods have been effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:44:15.900 --> 00:44:17.425 And it's just unfortunately not

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:44:17.425 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.640$ available in the region of the world

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:44:19.640 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.220$ where this disease is prevalent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:44:21.220 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.284$ as it is here, the devil would show

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:44:23.284 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.384$ is really taking a multi prong public

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}44{:}25.384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}27.740$ health approach to try to reduce the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:44:27.740 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.670$ burden of physical cancer worldwide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:44:29.670 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.861$ And the best way to do that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:44:31.861 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.800$ is through prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

00:44:32.800 --> 00:44:33.742 Primary prevention through

 $00:44:33.742 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.370$ vaccination efforts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}44{:}34.370 --> 00{:}44{:}36.706$ and I'm not going to all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:44:36.706 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.748$ details of their of their plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:44:38.750 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.286$ But what I do want to highlight is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:44:41.286 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.727$ that you know as much as we talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}44{:}43.727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}46.060$ about this as a global problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:44:46.060 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.832$ We really have an opportunity for

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}44{:}48.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}51.132$ improvement closer to home and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:44:51.132 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.722$ this is the CDC data on vaccination

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

00:44:53.722 --> 00:44:56.390 rates as of 2018 for HPV vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}44{:}56.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}59.360$ and we see that for girls age 13 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}44{:}59.360 --> 00{:}45{:}03.386$ 17 and boys age 13 to 17 are rates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:03.386 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.879$ vaccination were approximately 50%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.400$ That's pretty low when we compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.937$ that to other vaccines given in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:10.937 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.489$ the same age category.

00:45:12.490 --> 00:45:14.560 So we think Mcninja cockle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:14.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.680$ which has a 90 to 95% vaccination uptake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:17.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.000$ Great,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:18.000 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.920$ there really is an opportunity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}45{:}19.920 \longrightarrow 00{:}45{:}21.572$ improve here and I hope at the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:21.572 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.037$ of this will have highlighted some

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

00:45:23.037 --> 00:45:24.759 techniques in ways that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:24.759 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.760$ improve that in your own practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.168$ Just want to go through sort of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}45{:}30.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}32.762$ impact of HPV and again I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

00:45:32.762 --> 00:45:34.942 thank Mark for providing some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:34.942 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.012$ this information or these graphics

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:37.012 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.940$ which really depict the burden

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}45{:}38.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}41.320$ that HPV has on the lower genital

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.507$ tract and head and neck disease.

00:45:43.510 --> 00:45:46.310 They are over 14 million new HPV infections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

00:45:46.310 --> 00:45:48.572 nearly an almost half of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:48.572 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.859$ are in people aged 15 to 24.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}45{:}50.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}53.310$ These HPV infections will go on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}45{:}53.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}55.060$ account for **** genital diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

00:45:55.060 --> 00:45:56.110 including cervical, vulvar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:56.110 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.150$ and vaginal cancer, but also a high number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:59.150 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.776$ **** cancers as well that will

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:00.776 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.250$ affect both men and women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.993$ and I think that will should really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:03.993 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.039$ be a theme throughout is really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:06.039 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.170$ impact that is also happening on men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

00:46:08.170 --> 00:46:09.925 especially for our audience who

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:09.925 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.039$ may have a practice where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:12.039 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.479$ see both men and women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.300$ But if their efficacy in

 $00:46:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.756$ this vaccine and how?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}46{:}16.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}19.512$ What is the data show in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

00:46:19.512 --> 00:46:21.490 the prevention of these cancers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:21.490 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.639$ And we see that in people aged

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:23.639 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.218$ 16 to 23 in multiple studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:26.220 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.398$ those cancers that were HPV related.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

00:46:28.400 --> 00:46:28.778 Specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}46{:}28.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}30.668$ there were significant efficacy in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}46{:}30.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}32.920$ reducing the burden of HPV related

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:32.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.960$ malignancies as well in student awards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}46{:}34.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}36.640$ which are significant burden on

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

00:46:36.640 --> 00:46:39.049 both our patients but also in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}46{:}39.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}41.035$ health care system and millions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:41.035 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.992$ dollars expense annually on managing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:42.992 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.052$ these diseases that are really

 $00:46:45.052 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.830$ preventable through primary prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}46{:}46.830 \to 00{:}46{:}49.680$ So what are the indications according

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}46{:}49.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}53.052$ to the FDA and half of right now it

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

00:46:53.052 --> 00:46:55.182 is indicated for girls and women

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:55.182 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.625$ 9 through 45 and talk about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:57.630 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.868$ expansion to 45 for the prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:59.868 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.360$ of the following diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:47:01.360 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.230$ So this is cervical, vulvar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

00:47:03.230 --> 00:47:04.346 vaginal, **** oropharyngeal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:47:04.346 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.834$ another head and neck,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:47:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.184$ cancers related to HPV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:47:07.184 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.684$ as well as the benign but bothersome

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:47:09.684 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.561$ genital warts that are caused by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

00:47:12.561 --> 00:47:14.888 6:11 subtype as well as prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:47:14.888 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.030$ of the pre invasive disease of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:47:17.030 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.500$ the lower channel.

 $00:47:18.500 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.930$ Tract is a very similar recommendation for

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:47:22.018 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.558$ boys. However, obviously know CI Nbin vein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:47:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.630$ but otherwise I'm very similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:47:29.630 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.713$ Recommendation indication there?

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:47:30.713 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.634$ So where does the data come that helps

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:47:33.634 --> 00:47:35.662 us guide our decision making regarding

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:47:35.662 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.522$ the expansion of the vaccine towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:47:37.522 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.271$ people who are 24 to 45 and so in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}47{:}40.271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43.560$ study and this is a sort of end of study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:47:43.560 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.919$ Follow up paper looking at this age

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:47:45.919 --> 00:47:47.973 group specifically in women and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:47:47.973 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.923$ use the quadrivalent vaccine and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}47{:}49.923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}52.093$ have no reason to believe that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:47:52.093 --> 00:47:54.576 efficacy will not be as good in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:47:54.576 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.196$ nano valent vaccine Gardasil Nine.

 $00:47:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.324$ They looked at a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:47:58.324 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.740$ outcomes related to HPV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:47:59.740 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.388$ Section and here in the per protocol name.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:02.390 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.955$ Besides that, because the intention

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:03.955 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.359$ to treat is a little bit different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.016$ we look at the observed efficacy of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:09.016 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.949$ vaccine in preventing a number of different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:11.950 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.834$ Outcomes and when we look at overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:14.834 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.278$ persistent infection or CNN or other

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:48:17.278 --> 00:48:19.168 disease of lower genital tract,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:19.170 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.295$ the efficacy was about 88% a little better

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:48:22.295 --> 00:48:25.990 in those who are younger and a little less,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:25.990 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.990$ and those who are older.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909 00:48:27.990 --> 00:48:28.391 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:48:28.391 --> 00:48:32.000 the the impact CIN two or three or worse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:32.000 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.988$ Although listed as 83.

00:48:33.988 --> 00:48:35.479 Unfortunately those confident

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}48{:}35.479 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}38.540$ interval do cross one and so the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:38.540 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.819$ impact there may not be as strong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:40.820 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.801$ However you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:48:41.801 --> 00:48:43.763 I've had many patients who come

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:43.763 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.760$ into my office.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:44.760 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.517$ Not a lot of questions about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:48:46.517 --> 00:48:48.377 and they fit in that age category

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:48.377 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.374$ and we'll talk about how to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:50.374 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.204$ that on a very individualized

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:52.204 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.050$ individual risk assessment so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}48{:}54.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}56.330$ we can help women make informed

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}48{:}56.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}58.789$ decisions with the data that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:58.790 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.070$ So currently the CDC recommends

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:01.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.894$ that as of 2019,

 $00:49:02.900 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.585$ harmonization of catch up vaccination

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:49:05.585 --> 00:49:07.733 for appropriate persons through

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:07.733 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.546$ age 26 should be undertaken and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:10.546 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.895$ that group from 27 on 245 is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:13.895 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.695$ shared clinical decision making.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:15.700 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.409$ So I saw a young woman recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:49:18.409 --> 00:49:21.678 in my practice who have been in

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:49:21.678 --> 00:49:23.698 a monogamist relationship since

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:23.698 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.756$ their first ***** encounter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:49:25.756 --> 00:49:28.036 She's now 2829 years old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.105$ Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:29.105 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.170$ she.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:49:30.170 --> 00:49:32.390 Gone through into 4th and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:32.390 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.266$ reentering the dating and had

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:34.266 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.916$ questions about the efficacy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:35.916 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.021$ this and so we talked about that and

00:49:39.021 --> 00:49:40.737 through shared clinical decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}49{:}40.737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}42.743$ making she decided to proceed

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:42.743 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.267$ with getting the vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:49:44.270 --> 00:49:46.398 Now that's not to say that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:46.398 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.937$ is going to provide her the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}49{:}48.937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}51.812$ efficacy of someone at the younger age

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:49:51.812 --> 00:49:54.167 category of the FDA recommendations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:54.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.515$ but she still falls within the CDC

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:56.515 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.049$ and the FDA recommendations and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}49{:}59.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}00.394$ I would encourage you to have those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:50:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.792$ Conversations with patients on

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:50:02.792 --> 00:50:05.184 a very individualized level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}50{:}05.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}07.304$ So there are a number of women

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:50:07.304 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.658$ nationwide still could benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:50:08.658 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.206$ from updating their vaccination

 $00:50:10.206 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.583$ status through this catch up cohort

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:50:12.583 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.087$ and even more importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:50:14.090 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.907$ are a number of men and so for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:50:16.907 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.493$ of you who also take care of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:50:19.493 --> 00:50:22.278 man in your primary care practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:50:22.280 --> 00:50:24.566 I would encourage you to consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:50:24.566 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.590$ this and when speaking with them about

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:50:27.590 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.494$ their overall primary prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}50{:}29.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}31.476$ We can't go through a talk in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:50:31.476 --> 00:50:32.825 time period without discussing

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:50:32.825 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.019$ safety of vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:50:34.020 --> 00:50:35.220 It's on everybody's mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:50:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.420$ with the covid vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:50:36.420 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.628$ but just to highlight that this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:50:38.628 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.780$ very safe vaccine with very minimal

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:50:40.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.084$ side effects and low grade toxicities.

 $00:50:43.090 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.610$ So what can we do to increase vaccination

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}50{:}45.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}48.091$ rates here in Connecticut to try to prevent

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:50:48.091 --> 00:50:50.500 all of these HPV related infections?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:50:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.550$ And more importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:50:51.550 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.000$ is HPV related building that sees and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}50{:}54.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}56.138$ we want to assess the immunization

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:50:56.138 --> 00:50:58.183 status and for patients at each

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}50{:}58.183 \to 00{:}51{:}00.001$ clinical encounter and then what I

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}51{:}00.001 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}02.138$ think is probably the most important

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}51{:}02.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}04.430$ is the recommendation of the provider

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}51{:}04.489 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}06.283$ and patients very much rely on

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:51:06.283 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.497$ your opinion in your and value your

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}51{:}08.497 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}10.082$ input into their decision making

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:51:10.082 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.140$ and so by educating yourself about

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:51:12.140 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.915$ this data in these recommendations.

00:51:13.920 --> 00:51:16.552 You'll be able to provide a strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:51:16.552 --> 00:51:17.680 recommendation is appropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:51:17.741 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.740$ for that patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:51:18.740 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.924$ We want to either administer within

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:51:20.924 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.404$ our own practices or refer onto a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:51:23.404 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.630$ either a pharmacy or clinic that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}51{:}25.704 \longrightarrow 00{:}51{:}28.200$ provide vaccination and to ensure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:51:28.200 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.612$ our vaccination status is updated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:51:30.612 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.838$ documented for all of our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:51:32.840 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.810$ These measures are supported by

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}51{:}34.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}37.190$ ACOG and encouraged and there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:51:37.190 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.206$ a number of opportunities that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:51:39.206 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.953$ could look at in the evolution of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}51{:}41.953 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}44.038$ their relationship with your patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:51:44.040 --> 00:51:45.920 Beginning is when they transition

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:51:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.800$ from care from the pediatricians

00:51:47.862 --> 00:51:49.630 office into gynecological care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}51{:}49.630 \longrightarrow 00{:}51{:}52.101$ and so perhaps they did not or

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:51:52.101 --> 00:51:55.029 not offered or or did not accept

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:51:55.029 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.264$ vaccination in that earlier cohort

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:51:57.264 --> 00:51:59.599 than nine nine years and up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:51:59.600 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.868$ And so this transition into care

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:52:01.868 --> 00:52:04.103 interview I am practice is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:04.103 \dashrightarrow 00:52:06.047$ opportunity to re address the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:06.047 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.208$ and and discuss that opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:52:08.208 --> 00:52:10.608 with patients when we're giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}52{:}10.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}12.809$ other vaccines brings up another

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:52:12.809 --> 00:52:14.048 opportunity to discuss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:14.050 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.246$ The increased use of HPV vaccination

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:16.246 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.814$ and we talked before a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:52:18.814 --> 00:52:20.544 about how Mcninja cockle vaccine

 $00:52:20.544 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.913$ given at a very similar age have

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:52:22.913 --> 00:52:24.518 very high rates of uptake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.928$ But we do not see that same experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:26.928 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.398$ with HPV and so by including HPV

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:29.398 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.193$ vaccination in the discussion at

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:31.264 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.496$ the same time will provide an

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:33.496 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.670$ opportunity hopefully to see increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:35.670 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.710$ rates of vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:37.710 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.398$ As people are heading off to college,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.549$ this provides another

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:52:41.549 --> 00:52:42.698 opportunity for counseling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:42.700 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.962$ either in combination with other counseling

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:52:44.962 --> 00:52:46.930 efforts regarding perhaps birth control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:46.930 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.208$ or pap smears,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:48.208 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.338$ but this is another opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:50.338 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.462$ where you may be able to provide an

00:52:53.462 --> 00:52:56.352 opportunity for man or woman to become

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:56.352 \dashrightarrow 00:52:59.495$ vaccinated prior to heading off to college.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:59.500 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.010$ And like I said before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:53:01.010 --> 00:53:02.395 the greatest predictor in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:53:02.395 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.153$ affectionate and a lot of studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:53:04.153 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.437$ is really the recommendation

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:53:05.437 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.042$ of the health care provider,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:53:07.050 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.826$ and so take that to heart and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:53:09.826 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.008$ patience really value that we're trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}53{:}12.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}14.629$ to make a decision on what to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}53{:}14.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}15.982$ I'm definitely gonna laryngologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:53:15.982 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.672$ or any anti through my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:53:17.680 --> 00:53:19.822 I want to highlight how we're really

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:53:19.822 --> 00:53:21.473 trying to expand the messaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:53:21.473 --> 00:53:23.148 around vaccination to not just

 $00:53:23.148 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.139$ be related to cervical cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:53:25.140 --> 00:53:27.516 but the word in the HPV has on

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:53:27.516 --> 00:53:30.095 the number of other head and neck

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:53:30.095 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.238$ cancers into frame.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:53:31.240 --> 00:53:31.886 Our discussions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:53:31.886 --> 00:53:34.147 more around HPV vaccination as a way

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:53:34.147 --> 00:53:36.010 to hopefully prevent a malignancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}53{:}36.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}38.224$ and less around the HPV infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}53{:}38.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}40.786$ itself and the stigma that may be associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:53:40.786 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.110$ with that for one reason or another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:53:43.110 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.918$ We see that there is a relationship between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:53:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.280$ HPV and cancers of the head and neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:53:48.280 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.928$ And we see that the prevalence of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:53:50.928 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.380 \text{ HPV}$ types is very similar to what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:53:53.380 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.599$ see in our cervical cancer patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00:53:55.600 --> 00:53:56.719 Very interesting Lee.

 $00:53:56.719 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.584$ We've seen a decline of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:53:58.584 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.310$ cervical cancer over the years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.844$ but are beginning to see an increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00:54:02.844 --> 00:54:04.654 rate of oral, pharyngeal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:04.654 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.740$ especially among men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:05.740 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.912$ and there are significantly more or

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00:54:07.912 --> 00:54:09.360 fragile cancers diagnosed annually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00:54:09.360 --> 00:54:11.155 which just brings even more

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}54{:}11.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}13.734$ importance to why we need to include

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}54{:}13.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}15.870$ that as part of our counseling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}54{:}15.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}17.904$ And when we're discussing the benefits

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00:54:17.904 --> 00:54:20.579 that we can expect from HPV vaccination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:20.580 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.556$ males are affected 5 times more than females

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:23.556 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.669$ when it comes to these types of cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:26.670 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.289$ And so it really is behoves us to discuss

 $00:54:29.289 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.889$ these benefits at every clinical encounter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}54{:}31.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}34.338$ So if you're carrying for a mother who

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:34.338 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.470$ has a male child who is perhaps of the age

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00:54:37.470 --> 00:54:40.589 that he could benefit from HPV vaccination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:40.590 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.552$ that's an important discussion that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00:54:42.552 --> 00:54:45.014 can have as the primary care provider

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:45.014 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.192$ of the mother of the grandmother,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.028$ whoever it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:48.028 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.960$ and the more that we move this

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:50.025 \longrightarrow 00:54:52.420$ conversation towards HBF and infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:52.420 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.508$ And HPV vaccination as a cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00.54.54.508 --> 00.54.55.204 prevention strategy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}54{:}55.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}58.378$ We hope to see increased rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00.54.58.378 \longrightarrow 00.54.59.434$ of vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00:54:59.440 --> 00:55:01.612 And again, I think again, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00:55:01.612 --> 00:55:03.824 I can't phrase it out any other

 $00:55:03.824 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.186$ way than just we have to try to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00.55.06.190 \longrightarrow 00.55.06.748$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00:55:06.748 --> 00:55:08.701 provide our patients with all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00:55:08.701 --> 00:55:11.113 data in all of the information on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:11.113 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.724$ potential benefits of this cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00:55:12.724 --> 00:55:14.394 prevention strategy and hope that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:14.394 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.935$ through that we can remove some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}55{:}16.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}18.470$ stigma associated with HPV vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:18.470 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.505$ And it highlights the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:20.505 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.080$ for both men and for women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.720$ So with that in mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00:55:24.720 --> 00:55:25.900 and having you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:25.900 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.442$ talked on and on about the importance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:28.442 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.258$ HPV and how it relates to cervical cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00:55:31.260 --> 00:55:34.530 I do want to talk a little bit of both.

 $00:55:34.530 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.978$ The updated ASEP management

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:35.978 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.150$ guidelines and how they are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:38.209 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.169$ well summarized in what I think is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:40.169 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.705$ a pretty easy to use app that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:42.705 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.340$ may consider incorporating into your

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:44.340 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.492$ practice and and as a way to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:46.492 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.185$ with stratify and provide patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:48.185 \longrightarrow 00:55:50.015$ with the most up-to-date information

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:50.015 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.179$ on the risk of cervical cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:52.180 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.595$ And so this app reflects the 2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}55{:}54.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}56.628$ Updated guidelines and once in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:56.628 \longrightarrow 00:55:58.880$ greatest shift is it really highlights

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:58.880 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.388$ the incorporation in the important of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}56{:}01.388 \to 00{:}56{:}03.640$ test history into calculating risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:56:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.454$ So you'll see and will provide an

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:56:06.454 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.748$ example tonight of how it looks,

 $00:56:08.750 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.494$ but it's not just about that one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}56{:}11.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}13.858$ Single smears a snapshot in time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:56:13.860 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.422$ The data is really supporting the use

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00:56:16.422 --> 00:56:18.832 of understanding a woman's history of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:56:18.832 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.316$ dysplasia in history of HPV infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:56:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.589$ In order to really understand what is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:56:24.589 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.920$ her individualized risk assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:56:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.630$ And so we've known for a number of years now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:56:30.630 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.844$ The HPV based testing strategies are

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:56:32.844 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.710$ certainly superior to cytology alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}56{:}34.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}37.248$ This is really a Sentinel paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:56:37.248 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.940$ that highlighted that showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}56{:}39.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}41.051$ that on the bottom here we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}56{:}41.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}43.539$ see HPV and HPV Co testing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:56:43.539 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.464$ the incidence of Cinc predicted.

 $00:56:45.470 \longrightarrow 00:56:48.503$ The incidence of CI and three or more above

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:56:48.503 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.774$ in the pop history is well really matters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:56:51.780 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.126$ This is a paper currently impressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:56:54.126 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.509$ highlighted at the recent FGO meeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:56:56.510 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.490$ Just highlighting the real importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:56:58.490 --> 00:57:00.074 in understanding the multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:57:00.074 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.762$ prior screening events that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:57:01.762 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.616$ woman has undergone and trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:57:03.673 --> 00:57:05.408 assess her individual life risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:57:05.410 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.234$ And that's what this app really

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}07.234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}09.330$ provides a chance to individualize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:57:09.330 --> 00:57:11.892 Some of the risk assessment so they

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}11.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}13.719$ can make appropriate decisions for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:57:13.719 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.008$ themselves and so we see here on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:57:16.008 --> 00:57:18.566 the left that a woman with three

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}18.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}21.012$ negative code tests really have a near

 $00:57:21.012 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.920$ negligible risk of a CIN 3 or above.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}23.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}26.266$ Whereas someone who is HPV positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:57:26.266 --> 00:57:28.200 or persistently HPV positive or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:57:28.200 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.725$ have had treatment for sin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:57:29.730 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.490$ Three or above lesion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}31.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}34.723$ certainly at higher risk than her well

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:57:34.723 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.759$ tested and Co test negative counterpart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}37.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}40.104$ And so this is reflected in the app

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:57:40.104 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.753$ and those who do have use dinner or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}42.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}45.040$ review the guidelines can appreciate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:57:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.698$ differences in the recommendations and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:57:47.698 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.966$ things are looking compared to before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:57:49.966 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.521$ but it's really all about risk assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:57:52.521 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.631$ as opposed to direct indications

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:57:54.631 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.959$ and recommendations on what to do

00:57:56.959 --> 00:57:58.693 next for treatment or or testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:57:58.700 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.697$ So this is just a little example of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:01.697 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.205$ the app looks so on the left hand panel

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:05.205 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.608$ we've selected perhaps a woman who.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00.58:07.610 \longrightarrow 00.58:08.978$ Is 25 to 29.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:58:08.978 --> 00:58:10.688 Although not a great example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}58{:}10.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}12.712$ 'cause we should probably be focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:58:12.712 --> 00:58:15.537 on 30 to 65 if we're looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:15.537 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.040$ the importance of HPV and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.624$ select that and then we go down to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:20.624 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.660$ indicate that we're interested in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}58{:}22.660 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}> 00{:}58{:}24.370$ management of routine screening results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:24.370 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.463$ and then we are able to select

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:26.463 \longrightarrow 00:58:28.130$ all of these different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:28.130 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.915$ All these different variables that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}58{:}29.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}32.052$ will go into helping predict risk

 $00{:}58{:}32.052 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}58{:}34.110$ and what's new and important is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}58{:}34.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}36.572$ question of whether or not patient has

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:36.572 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.790$ previous screening results that could be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:58:38.790 --> 00:58:41.190 Input it into this algorithm to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:58:41.190 --> 00:58:43.660 help us understand what to do next,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:43.660 \longrightarrow 00:58:45.156$ and so our patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00.58:45.156 \longrightarrow 00.58:45.530 \text{ Yes}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:58:45.530 --> 00:58:47.468 she has been compliant with their

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}58{:}47.468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}49.727$ screening and so we have entered

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:58:49.727 --> 00:58:51.519 her previous screening history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}58{:}51.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}54.238$ And so we go on now to include those

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:54.238 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.128$ prior test results in our recommendation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:57.130 \longrightarrow 00:58:59.000$ Based on what we've entered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:59:00.870$ If for one year follow-up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:00.870 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.578$ what's nice,

 $00:59:01.578 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.410$ and I think it's really helpful for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:04.481 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.218$ in ourselves to understand the overall risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:07.220 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.936$ is this second image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:08.936 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.630$ Which is the risk of CIN 3 or above,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:12.630 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.876$ and with that we're able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:15.876 \longrightarrow 00:59:19.249$ appropriately treat her to next steps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:59:19.250 --> 00:59:19.630 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:59:19.630 --> 00:59:20.770 just to summarize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:20.770 \longrightarrow 00:59:23.440$ I you know we've covered a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:23.440 \longrightarrow 00:59:25.904$ but I think really it's important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}59{:}25.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}27.446$ consider education and counseling

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:27.446 \longrightarrow 00:59:29.536$ on the benefits of vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:29.540 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.872$ At each clinical encounter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:59:30.872 --> 00:59:33.354 as well as to stress the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:33.354 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.820$ of a strong recommendation for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:35.820 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.272$ who are eligible really to emphasize

 $00{:}59{:}38.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}40.197$ the importance of this intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:40.197 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.554$ as a cancer prevention strategy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:59:42.554 --> 00:59:44.534 to identify someone within your

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:59:44.534 --> 00:59:46.376 practice or your Community practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:46.376 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.452$ who can really champion this effort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8540613

 $00:59:48.512 \longrightarrow 00:59:50.966$ and then routinely reassess your strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8540613

 $00:59:50.970 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.752$ And look for areas to improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8540613

 $00:59:52.752 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.278$ effectiveness and I would encourage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8540613

00:59:54.278 --> 00:59:56.486 you to consider this app as one tool

NOTE Confidence: 0.8540613

 $00:59:56.486 \longrightarrow 00:59:58.959$ that you use in helping manage physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8540613

 $00{:}59{:}58.959 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}00.387$ cancer screening your practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079374

 $01:00:02.840 \longrightarrow 01:00:06.072$ So with that you know. Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079374

 $01{:}00{:}06.072 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}08.512$ We're going to stop the share and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079374

01:00:08.512 --> 01:00:10.894 open it up for questions either

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079374

 $01{:}00{:}10.894 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}12.995$ regarding our cervical cancer talk

 $01:00:12.995 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.095$ or anything that we've covered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079374

 $01:00:15.100 \longrightarrow 01:00:16.970$ Otherwise, this evening and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079374

 $01:00:16.970 \longrightarrow 01:00:19.293$ hope we can clarify any points

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079374

 $01:00:19.293 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.218$ or or answer any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

01:00:27.170 --> 01:00:30.653 I don't see anything in the chat right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

 $01:00:30.660 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.143$ but will give it a couple more minutes again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

 $01{:}00{:}34.150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}37.022$ If you have any questions I didn't put

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

 $01:00:37.022 \longrightarrow 01:00:39.976$ my email address out there, but please

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

 $01:00:39.976 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.080$ feel free to reach out to me directly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

01:00:43.080 --> 01:00:44.792 It's my name mitchell.clark@yale.edu

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

 $01{:}00{:}44.792 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}48.290$ and I'd be happy to help answer any

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

 $01:00:48.290 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.858$ questions or help you navigate referral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

01:00:50.858 --> 01:00:53.360 process if if you're seeking tour

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

 $01{:}00{:}53.360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}55.718$ for your patient to smile Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

 $01:00:55.720 \longrightarrow 01:00:57.130$ For evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:00:59.700 \longrightarrow 01:01:01.625$ And I don't see anything else unless

01:01:01.625 --> 01:01:03.930 China you have anything to add. Or yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:03.930 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.050$ doctor Clark. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

01:01:05.050 --> 01:01:07.068 I just wanted to give a shout

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

01:01:07.068 --> 01:01:09.007 out to a couple of our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:09.010 \longrightarrow 01:01:10.738$ I recognize some of our patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:10.738 \longrightarrow 01:01:12.389$ that are on tonight as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

01:01:12.390 --> 01:01:14.470 And thank you for just being women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

01:01:14.470 --> 01:01:16.504 have been champions in our program and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:16.504 \longrightarrow 01:01:18.588$ just getting the word out there that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:18.588 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.562$ we can detect cancer earlier we can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

01:01:20.570 --> 01:01:22.850 you know, take really good care of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:22.850 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.637$ and we want you to be part of our family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:25.640 \longrightarrow 01:01:27.404$ So for the women who are out

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:27.404 \longrightarrow 01:01:28.750$ there that are patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:28.750 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.720$ thank you for joining in.

01:01:30.720 --> 01:01:32.826 For people who don't know yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:32.830 \longrightarrow 01:01:35.294$ we have something called discovery to cure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:35.300 \longrightarrow 01:01:38.356$ which is one of our programs that focuses

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:38.356 \longrightarrow 01:01:40.926$ on prevention and we do have a website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:40.930 \longrightarrow 01:01:43.738$ We have a something called the teal times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:43.740 \longrightarrow 01:01:46.356$ which is one of our newsletters that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:46.356 \longrightarrow 01:01:49.729$ go out to providers or or women and patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:49.730 \longrightarrow 01:01:51.884$ So if you have any interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01{:}01{:}51.884 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}53.600$ in getting connected with us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

01:01:53.600 --> 01:01:55.706 I'm happy to help connect you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01{:}01{:}56.960 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}59.496$ Great yeah, I agree I I you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:01:59.500 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.534$ really thank our patients for advocating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:02:01.534 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.564$ for themselves and for others in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:02:03.564 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.406$ the Community who aren't aware of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:02:05.406 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.100$ the resources that are out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:02:07.100 \longrightarrow 01:02:09.468$ And I think all of us are probably

01:02:09.468 --> 01:02:11.230 going to speak with John,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

01:02:11.230 --> 01:02:13.150 but it's really our patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:02:13.150 \longrightarrow 01:02:15.229$ motivate us and in their journey

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:02:15.229 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.413$ and their strength that is so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:02:17.413 \longrightarrow 01:02:19.466$ motivating for us to do what we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:02:19.470 \longrightarrow 01:02:21.678$ And it's such an honor to take care

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:02:21.678 \longrightarrow 01:02:23.630$ of these strong women who really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:02:23.630 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.628$ are paving the way for future

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:02:25.692 \dashrightarrow 01:02:27.640$ treatments or participation in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:02:27.640 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.970$ Trials and things like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:02:29.970 \longrightarrow 01:02:32.924$ We have one question here from someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:02:32.924 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.334$ in the audience asking about any known

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:02:36.334 \longrightarrow 01:02:38.398$ connection between vulvar cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:02:38.398 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.148$ in bracket two patients over 75.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

01:02:41.150 --> 01:02:41.618 Typically,

 $01:02:41.618 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.426$ the older women with with bolvar

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

01:02:44.426 --> 01:02:47.333 cancer is typically related to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

01:02:47.333 --> 01:02:49.233 lichenoid diseases less related

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

01:02:49.233 --> 01:02:51.409 to HPV infections and join,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:02:51.410 \longrightarrow 01:02:54.206$ and I don't think any strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:02:54.206 \longrightarrow 01:02:56.070$ Association known between predatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

01:02:56.149 --> 01:02:58.189 breast ovarian cancer genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

01:02:58.190 --> 01:03:01.126 Are the HR jeans and involve our cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377043

 $01:03:01.890 \longrightarrow 01:03:04.438$ Now there's as far as we know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377043

 $01:03:04.440 \longrightarrow 01:03:06.936$ there's no Association with vulvar or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377043

 $01{:}03{:}06.936 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}09.355$ vaginal cancers with genetics that only

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377043

 $01:03:09.355 \longrightarrow 01:03:11.749$ the only thing that may cause cervical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377043

 $01:03:11.749 \longrightarrow 01:03:14.269$ cancer is one of the rare gene mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377043

01:03:14.270 --> 01:03:16.322 But when we think about hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377043

01:03:16.322 --> 01:03:18.270 breast and ovarian cancer syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377043

01:03:18.270 --> 01:03:20.448 or Lynch syndrome were thinking ovarian,

 $01{:}03{:}20.450 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}22.270$ fallopian tube, and uterine cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01{:}03{:}24.500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}26.140$ That's great, great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:03:26.140 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.080$ Thank you for bringing it to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

01:03:29.080 --> 01:03:31.498 attention where you know we're learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:03:31.498 \longrightarrow 01:03:34.834$ more and more about these and we look

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:03:34.834 \longrightarrow 01:03:37.302$ forward to seeing expanded results from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:03:37.302 \longrightarrow 01:03:39.774$ years of experience in genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:03:39.780 \longrightarrow 01:03:44.036$ And so this may involve overtime for sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01{:}03{:}44.040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}46.164$ Another great question from one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:03:46.164 \longrightarrow 01:03:48.000$ our best practice nurses regarding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

01:03:48.000 --> 01:03:49.440 HPV vaccine and age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:03:49.440 \longrightarrow 01:03:51.612$ Any studies showing benefit to vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:03:51.612 \longrightarrow 01:03:54.118$ earlier at age 9 rather than 11?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

01:03:54.120 --> 01:03:57.104 You know I don't have the sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

01:03:57.104 --> 01:03:59.877 of original paper up in front of me,

 $01:03:59.880 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.512$ whether or not they broke those groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:04:02.512 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.277$ down like they did in the trial here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:04:05.280 \longrightarrow 01:04:07.926$ showing benefit to 26 to 35 at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:04:07.926 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.960$ the post of 35 to 45.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:04:09.960 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.800$ I think really the overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:04:11.800 \longrightarrow 01:04:14.409$ thinking is to try to get this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

01:04:14.410 --> 01:04:17.110 In its earliest possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:04:17.110 \longrightarrow 01:04:20.485$ before any exposure to HPV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:04:20.490 \longrightarrow 01:04:23.266$ And as soon as we can do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:04:23.270 \longrightarrow 01:04:26.132$ the better so that we do know that those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:04:26.132 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.756$ people who are enrolled in the trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:04:28.756 \longrightarrow 01:04:30.930$ of HPV vaccination those who were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01{:}04{:}30.930 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}32.856~\mathrm{HPV}$ naive certainly had a better

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01{:}04{:}32.856 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}34.760$ response at clearing that infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:04:34.760 \longrightarrow 01:04:38.036$ And so while I don't have any data to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

01:04:38.036 --> 01:04:41.369 think 9 birth 11 off the top of my head,

 $01:04:41.370 \longrightarrow 01:04:44.002$ I think as early as possible within

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:04:44.002 \longrightarrow 01:04:46.237$ the recommendations of the FDA and CDC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01:04:49.390 \longrightarrow 01:04:52.066$ We have another great question from

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01:04:52.066 \longrightarrow 01:04:54.996$ someone asking about the role of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01:04:54.996 \longrightarrow 01:04:57.128$ heated chemotherapy during surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01:04:57.130 \longrightarrow 01:05:00.518$ That's a great question and evolving topic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

01:05:00.520 --> 01:05:04.444 This was one of the first RCT's that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01{:}05{:}04.444 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}07.698$ presented here to night from one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01{:}05{:}07.698 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}11.235$ European groups and we are excited to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01:05:11.235 \longrightarrow 01:05:14.493$ offer this on selected patients through

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01{:}05{:}14.493 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}17.630$ Smilow who are meet good criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01:05:17.630 \longrightarrow 01:05:20.220$ will have good performance status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01:05:20.220 \longrightarrow 01:05:22.205$ And who meet certain eligibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

01:05:22.205 --> 01:05:22.999 eligibility criteria?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01:05:23.000 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.544$ Sorry bout that everyone and that

 $01:05:25.544 \longrightarrow 01:05:27.715$ is certainly something that should

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01{:}05{:}27.715 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}30.097$ be brought up with your treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01:05:30.097 \longrightarrow 01:05:32.423$ uin oncologist in order to determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01:05:32.423 \longrightarrow 01:05:34.908$ if you might be a good candidate

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

01:05:34.910 --> 01:05:36.890 for that type of approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01:05:36.890 \longrightarrow 01:05:39.098$ because there is early data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01{:}05{:}39.098 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}41.452$ signals there may be benefit for

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01:05:41.452 \longrightarrow 01:05:43.870$ those patients who who are deemed

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01{:}05{:}43.870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}46.419$ eligible for that for that technique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:05:48.560 \longrightarrow 01:05:50.215$ We have another great question

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}05{:}50.215 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}51.870$ from some one talking about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:05:51.930 \longrightarrow 01:05:53.670$ difficulty with carbon emitters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

01:05:53.670 --> 01:05:54.765 Any recommendations on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:05:54.765 \longrightarrow 01:05:55.860$ improving patient tolerance?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:05:55.860 \longrightarrow 01:05:57.320$ That's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:05:57.320 \longrightarrow 01:05:59.510$ So in terms of carbon hitters,

 $01:05:59.510 \longrightarrow 01:06:02.480$ what I tell most of my patients is those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:02.480 \longrightarrow 01:06:05.397$ first four to six weeks can be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:05.397 \longrightarrow 01:06:07.908$ challenging and it's really the fatigue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:07.910 \longrightarrow 01:06:10.479$ I find the most part that patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:10.479 \longrightarrow 01:06:12.986$ struggle with a bit of the nausea

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:12.986 \longrightarrow 01:06:14.972$ as well because of the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:15.046 \longrightarrow 01:06:17.398$ that this is an oral medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:17.400 \longrightarrow 01:06:18.426$ Most patients do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:18.426 \longrightarrow 01:06:20.820$ Seem to get through the first four

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

01:06:20.886 --> 01:06:23.220 to six weeks with either, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:23.220 \longrightarrow 01:06:24.945$ watching the anemia to ensure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:24.945 \longrightarrow 01:06:26.769$ that that fatigue is not true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

01:06:26.770 --> 01:06:27.378 I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:27.378 \longrightarrow 01:06:29.506$ for watching the moment to make sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:29.506 \longrightarrow 01:06:31.989$ that the fatigue is not anemia related,

 $01:06:31.990 \longrightarrow 01:06:34.222$ but for those who don't make it through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:34.222 \longrightarrow 01:06:36.208$ that sort of introductory first couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:36.208 \longrightarrow 01:06:38.830$ of months and still continue to struggle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:38.830 \longrightarrow 01:06:41.063$ there has been some exploration of perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:41.063 \longrightarrow 01:06:43.068$ switching from one partner to another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:43.070 \longrightarrow 01:06:44.906$ We think overall the side effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:44.906 \longrightarrow 01:06:46.980$ are somewhat of a class effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:46.980 \longrightarrow 01:06:49.248$ but there are some very small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:49.250 \longrightarrow 01:06:50.279$ Series and experiences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

01:06:50.279 --> 01:06:52.900 and perhaps going a lap criptana wrapper it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:52.900 \longrightarrow 01:06:55.438$ or vice versa if you can get that approved

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

01:06:55.438 --> 01:06:57.283 based on whatever genetic profile

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:57.283 \longrightarrow 01:06:59.539$ you're dealing with in that patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

01:06:59.540 --> 01:07:02.196 But you know for some reason or another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:07:02.200 \longrightarrow 01:07:04.461$ some patients just seem to tolerate one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:07:04.461 \longrightarrow 01:07:07.178$ better than the other, and so you know.

 $01{:}07{:}07.178 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}09.170$ I tell my patients to hold,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:07:09.170 \longrightarrow 01:07:10.830$ hold out if they can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:07:10.830 \longrightarrow 01:07:13.182$ and we try to support them through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:07:13.182 \longrightarrow 01:07:15.150$ the first four to six weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:07:15.150 \longrightarrow 01:07:16.805$ But if there's persistent grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

01:07:16.805 --> 01:07:18.129 three Grade 4 toxicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:07:18.130 \longrightarrow 01:07:19.288$ you could consider.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:07:19.288 \longrightarrow 01:07:21.218$ Perhaps switching to a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

01:07:21.218 --> 01:07:22.780 a different formulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:07:22.780 \longrightarrow 01:07:25.335$ but again that would be depending on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}07{:}25.335 \to 01{:}07{:}28.054$ approval and and what molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:07:28.054 \longrightarrow 01:07:30.639$ characteristics here you're working with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050857

 $01{:}07{:}35.890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}38.170$ The great questions from everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050857

 $01{:}07{:}38.170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}40.440$ I really appreciate the discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

01:07:43.300 --> 01:07:45.908 I think we're pretty much it for now,

 $01:07:45.910 \longrightarrow 01:07:47.828$ so again, please feel free to reach

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01{:}07{:}47.828 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}50.213$ out if you have any questions regarding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01:07:50.213 \longrightarrow 01:07:52.463$ the material we covered to night or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

01:07:52.531 --> 01:07:54.379 you have a question in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01:07:54.380 \longrightarrow 01:07:56.990$ will try to get that answer for you and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01{:}07{:}57.052 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}59.596$ really appreciate you joining us to night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

01:07:59.600 --> 01:08:00.904 We're really excited about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01:08:00.904 \longrightarrow 01:08:02.208$ how things have evolved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01:08:02.210 \longrightarrow 01:08:04.586$ I could have never imagined even you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01:08:04.586 \longrightarrow 01:08:06.883$ when I decide to be human oncologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01{:}08{:}06.883 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}09.266$ that we will be talking about these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01:08:09.266 \longrightarrow 01:08:11.306$ advances so quickly and we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01:08:11.306 \longrightarrow 01:08:14.606$ hope that we evolve things at a pace.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01:08:14.610 \longrightarrow 01:08:16.200$ That keeps women alive longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

01:08:16.200 --> 01:08:18.130 and joining back quality of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01:08:18.130 \longrightarrow 01:08:21.466$ And until we can treat this like a

 $01{:}08{:}21.466 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}23.979$ chronic disease like anything else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01{:}08{:}23.980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}25.730$ Alright, so thank you so much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01{:}08{:}25.730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}27.606$ everyone and we hope to see you soon.