WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:05:52.2130000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:04.998 OK, welcome to the second episode of

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:00:05.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.840$ our yearly after my lecture series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:00:10.840 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.616$ Today we are going to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

00:00:15.616 --> 00:00:17.608 our cheaper international.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:00:17.610 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.630$ An ideology, namely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

00:00:21.630 --> 00:00:28.410 Doctor Madoff, David Madoff, David Madoff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00{:}00{:}28.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}31.315$ Did his Bachelor degree and Emory University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:00:31.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.848$ and then the MD and University speech book

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00{:}00{:}34.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37.980$ from there went to SUNY for the residency?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:00:37.980 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.525$ It was Othello, and then a faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

00:00:41.525 --> 00:00:43.697 member at MD Anderson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

00:00:43.700 --> 00:00:47.046 From MDN, Nasoni moved to we Cornell

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

00:00:47.046 --> 00:00:49.420 Presbyterian in New York City,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:00:49.420 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.278$ where he became the section chief

 $00:00:53.278 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.850$ of Interventional Radiology there

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:00:55.951 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.119$ and join him in July 2019 is now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:00:59.120 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.545$ Professional radiology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:01:00.545 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.970$ medical oncology section,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00{:}01{:}01{:}01{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}04{.}195$ chief of interventional radiology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:01:04.195 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.523$ vice chair for visas in the Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:01:07.523 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.050$ or radiology and biomedical imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:01:11.050 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.506$ David is a great aspect in liver tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:01:13.506 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.440$ treatment without correctional treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:01:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.368$ and if you look at his CV and

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:01:18.368 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.710$ his publication, he has been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:01:21.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.180$ One of the forces behind the

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00{:}01{:}26.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}28.415$ great developments that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:01:28.420 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.015$ I've been brought by interventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:01:31.015 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.730$ radiology in the treatment of liver cancer,

 $00:01:34.730 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.118$ and he in particular is very interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00{:}01{:}38.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}43.207$ in all the method to which we can increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00{:}01{:}43.207 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}46.320$ liver regeneration before and after

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:01:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.220$ surgery on Echologics surgery to deliver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:01:50.220 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.580$ David.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:01:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.492$ Is one of the members of our team

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:01:56.492 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.150$ are as as highlighted in this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:02:01.150 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.430$ The treatment of Reperta

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00{:}02{:}03.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05.710$ circus numbers very complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:02:05.710 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.570$ We have Transformers, action ablation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00{:}02{:}08.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}10.544$ radiation, systemic therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:02:10.544 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.150$ We need to mind also the liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:02:15.268 \dashrightarrow 00:02:19.508$ disease that is present in all if not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00{:}02{:}19.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.118$ Most if not all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:02:21.118 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.726$ the patient liver cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:02:22.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.355$ and so it really takes a village

 $00:02:25.355 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.183$ to be able to manage and treat this

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00{:}02{:}28.183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30.589$ patient and it is very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:02:30.589 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.829$ concept that this patient should

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:02:32.829 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.224$ be treated in referral centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:02:35.230 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.446$ Yeah, you can see some of our members.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:02:38.450 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.285$ Actually there should be 2 times than

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:02:41.285 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.690$ the pictures that are shown here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

00:02:43.690 --> 00:02:49.519 but I don't want to take more time to our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:02:49.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.663$ Lecture tonight then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

00:02:50.663 --> 00:02:53.330 so I'll stop here and I like

NOTE Confidence: 0.71013916

 $00:02:53.415 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.920$ the baby in the beginning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00{:}03{:}02.040 --> 00{:}03{:}06.360$ Jay Silva I assume you can see my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:03:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.900$ Yep. OK, so thanks Mario for

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00{:}03{:}09.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11.836$ that really nice introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:03:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.400$ What we're going to talk about today is

 $00:03:15.400 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.609$ local regional therapies for liver tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

00:03:18.610 --> 00:03:22.426 A 2021 update. What I wanted to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

00:03:22.426 --> 00:03:25.875 is really go through a whirlwind tour

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

00:03:25.875 --> 00:03:30.428 of what IR or IO can actually offer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:03:30.430 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.502$ And not necessarily bore a lot of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:03:33.502 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.605$ audience with a lot of really hardcore data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:03:36.610 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.178$ which I definitely have, but I think to

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:03:39.178 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.007$ make it more interesting and palatable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

00:03:42.010 --> 00:03:44.509 I think that we're going to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:03:44.509 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.408$ a lot of cases and make this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

00:03:47.410 --> 00:03:50.250 I think, quite interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:03:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.690$ So these are my disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

00:03:52.690 --> 00:03:55.120 Some of which I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:03:55.120 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.566$ be speaking about today. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:03:57.566 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.870$ As you all know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00{:}04{:}00.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}02.998$ Interventional radiology is involved

00:04:02.998 --> 00:04:06.246 in numerous types of tumors, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:04:06.246 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.762$ We treat both primary liver cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:04:09.762 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.565$ and metastatic liver cancer for

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:04:12.565 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.403$ the purpose of this talk today

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

00:04:15.403 --> 00:04:18.428 to focus primarily on each CC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:04:18.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.075$ with the understanding that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:04:21.075 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.458$ lot of the local local regional

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

00:04:24.458 --> 00:04:27.598 the rapies that we can offer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:04:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.672$ Actually can be translated

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:04:30.672 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.744$ into other disease types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00{:}04{:}33.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36.190$ So when talking about the goals of the rapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:04:36.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.626$ there are really 3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00{:}04{:}37.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}40.295$ There is what you would call curative

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

00:04:40.295 --> 00:04:43.354 therapy and that could be, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:04:43.354 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.665$ transplantation resection and

00:04:44.665 --> 00:04:46.850 ablation for early stage disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

00:04:46.850 --> 00:04:49.618 We also I guess you briefly mentioned my

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:04:49.618 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.630$ area of interest in liver regeneration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

00:04:52.630 --> 00:04:55.108 We can convert patients who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

00:04:55.108 --> 00:04:56.347 unresectable to resectable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:04:56.350 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.646$ and that can typically be done by portal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

00:04:59.650 --> 00:05:01.302 vein, embolization, radiation, lobectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:05:01.302 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.954$ and transarterial emblow therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:05:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.020$ which we'll get into later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:05:05.020 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.540$ And for those patients that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:05:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.570$ of intermediate and advanced age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:05:09.570 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.454$ we really can offer what's called palliation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

00:05:12.460 --> 00:05:14.148 Which is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:05:14.148 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.836$ can be transarterial embolization

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:05:15.836 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.390$ and or ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:05:17.390 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.721$ So all of the different types of

 $00:05:19.721 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.719$ therapies that we offer really

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888888

00:05:21.719 --> 00:05:24.049 depends on patients tumor Histology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:05:24.050 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.402$ the number and location of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:05:26.402 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.970$ tumors within the liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:05:27.970 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.930$ the extent of the underlying

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:05:29.930 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.890$ liver disease and of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:05:31.890 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.715$ the presence or absence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

00:05:34.715 --> 00:05:35.845 extrahepatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:05:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.178$ Now what I want to do first was

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00{:}05{:}38.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40.950$ getting to the whole idea of defining

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:05:40.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.065$ the different types of procedures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:05:43.070 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.958$ It turns out that a lot of practitioners,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00{:}05{:}45.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}48.417$ yet a lot of the time you get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00{:}05{:}48.417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.528$ lot of the types of procedures

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:05:50.528 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.540$ that we do kind of misinterpreted,

 $00:05:53.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.706$ meaning that the terminology is often

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:05:55.706 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.789$ used entertained interchangeably,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:05:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.102$ and when you go to tumor boards or you

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

00:06:00.102 --> 00:06:02.929 listen to or you read your image Ng,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

00:06:02.930 --> 00:06:06.360 even your image, even your image Ng.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889 00:06:06.360 --> 00:06:08.400 Reports. NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:06:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.300$ Taste, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:06:09.300 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.400$ is sometimes used in the place of

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00{:}06{:}11.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}13.448$ taec or transarterial embolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889 00:06:13.450 --> 00:06:14.164 you know. NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:06:14.164 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.949$ See, taste is sometimes used

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:06:15.949 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.148$ for debt ace and vice versa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:06:18.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.280$ When we talk about microwave ablation

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:06:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.479$ as well as you'll hear later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:06:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.532$ it's always called RFA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:06:24.532 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.097$ even if it's not really.

 $00:06:27.100 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.640$ Medium embolization is sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00{:}06{:}28.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30.565$ referred to as radiation therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00{:}06{:}30.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32.880$ and in addition you know when

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:06:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.420$ I'm reading these reports.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00{:}06{:}34.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36.891$ There's often times where will do an

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:06:36.891 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.650$ embolization where we're discussing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889 00:06:38.650 --> 00:06:39.422 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

00:06:39.422 --> 00:06:40.580 an ablation cavity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75794688888889

 $00:06:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.686$ and this really isn't the case

NOTE Confidence: 0.757946888888889

 $00:06:42.686 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.090$ and the reason why

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00{:}06{:}44.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47.151$ I'm saying this and the reason why it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:06:47.151 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.049$ so important is that it really has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00{:}06{:}50.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52.129$ major implications for patient care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

00:06:52.130 --> 00:06:54.050 Medical record keeping and billing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:06:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.490$ so I see a lot of times where a patient may.

00:06:58.490 --> 00:07:01.496 Get a treatment for an image in finding and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00{:}07{:}01.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.446$ in fact it may actually not be necessary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:07:04.446 \dashrightarrow 00:07:07.788$ and I'll get into an example of that later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

00:07:07.790 --> 00:07:11.122 Of course, before we can even talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:07:11.122 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.465$ about treatment, I want to stress the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:07:14.465 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.373$ importance of percutaneous biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:07:16.380 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.795$ OK now, interventional radiologists often

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:07:18.795 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.047$ think of this as kind of a mundane procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:07:23.050 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.190$ It's kind of basic and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

00:07:26.190 --> 00:07:28.200 In my opinion, Proteinous biopsy is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00{:}07{:}28.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}30.378$ probably one of the most important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:07:30.378 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.706$ and impactful procedures that we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00{:}07{:}32.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35.599$ This is a case that I want to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:07:35.599 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.766$ which is a 64 year old female with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:07:38.766 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.092$ squamous cell cancer of the tongue

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:07:41.092 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.886$ base who has a pet CT positive avid

 $00:07:43.886 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.414$ lesion in the left lobe of the liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:07:46.414 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.203$ and of course because of the location

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:07:49.203 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.803$ because of the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:07:50.810 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.698$ it's very important to get a diagnosis now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00{:}07{:}53.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}56.318$ We would all agree that this lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:07:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.558$ It's probably very difficult to biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

00:07:58.560 --> 00:08:00.768 OK, it's at the very edge of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00{:}08{:}00.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.896$ liver is literally right under

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

00:08:02.896 --> 00:08:04.900 the pericardium and diaphragm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00{:}08{:}04.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07.138$ and there really is no correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:08:07.138 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.257$ with imaging findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

00:08:08.260 --> 00:08:09.298 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00{:}08{:}09.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12.217$ we we were able to do the biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

00:08:12.217 --> 00:08:13.849 as you see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:08:13.850 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.207$ and this is actually the bottom of the heart.

00:08:17.210 --> 00:08:17.548 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:08:17.548 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.252$ and in fact the patient did not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:08:20.252 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.264$ squamous cell carcinoma but actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

00:08:22.264 --> 00:08:24.664 had low grade B cell lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:08:24.670 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.660$ which completely changed the patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:08:26.660 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.093$ Management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:08:27.093 \dashrightarrow 00:08:31.540$ So the reason why I bring this up is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:08:31.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.310$ These procedures that you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:08:33.310 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.434$ here can be very, very difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:08:35.434 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.194$ OK from a technical perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00{:}08{:}37.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39.880$ but I think that it would be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:08:39.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.818$ important for the referring physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:08:41.818 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.623$ to actually reach out to one of us in IR

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:08:45.623 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.174$ to see if it's actually feasible or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

00:08:48.174 --> 00:08:49.590 And in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00{:}08{:}49.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}52.978$ I think it really helped the patients.

 $00:08:52.980 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.390$ No diagnosis and therefore prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:08:56.390 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.179$ So just to talk about HCC a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:09:00.180 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.628$ we're all aware of the Barcelona

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

00:09:02.628 --> 00:09:04.810 Clinic liver Cancer Staging system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

00:09:04.810 --> 00:09:05.923 As you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:09:05.923 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.520$ we see patients all the range from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

00:09:08.599 --> 00:09:11.413 very early stage or stage zero to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

00:09:11.413 --> 00:09:14.596 terminal stage or stage D and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

00:09:14.596 --> 00:09:16.961 treatments obviously fit into where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:09:16.961 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.550$ patients fall on this staging system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:09:19.550 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.535$ So typically patients that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

00:09:21.535 --> 00:09:24.044 very early stage or early stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:09:24.044 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.856$ have very limited disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:09:25.860 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.312$ are often considered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:09:27.312 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.732$ Or ablation resection or transplant

00:09:29.732 --> 00:09:32.746 and depending on where they fall into this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:09:32.750 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.174$ that will determine the outcome of what kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:09:36.174 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.108$ of procedures that you would actually do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:09:39.110 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.420$ Intermediate stage is what we typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:09:41.420 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.199$ do for local for regional therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:09:44.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.469$ or maybe chemoembolization

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:09:45.469 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.738$ or radio embolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

00:09:46.740 --> 00:09:49.812 which will get into and then systemic therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00{:}09{:}49.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}52.677$ and basic supportive care are released.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00{:}09{:}52.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}55.452$ It reserved for the more advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00{:}09{:}55.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}58.667$ age is the reason why I put the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:09:58.670 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.558$ Question mark for survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:10:00.558 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.289$ Is that we go through the gamut of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:10:04.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.130$ Procedures that we can offer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8533817

 $00:10:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.970$ and then at the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

00:10:08.049 --> 00:10:11.316 of the talk, we're actually going to fill in

 $00:10:11.316 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.009$ where the survival actually is at this time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00{:}10{:}14.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}15.735$ So there are multiple treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00{:}10{:}15.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}17.970$ options for Liberty Mears for surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:10:17.970 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.690$ We obviously have transplant or

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00{:}10{:}19.690 \longrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22.222$ hepat ectomy and we if the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:10:22.222 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.087$ has two small liver remnants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:10:24.090 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.673$ we can optimize the future liver remnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00{:}10{:}26.673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29.486$ or FLR with a PV or something else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:10:29.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.345$ Portal animalization we can talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:10:31.345 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.995$ about a blade of techniques which are

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:10:33.995 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.173$ divided into thermal and non thermal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:10:36.180 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.208$ And for those that are thermal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00{:}10{:}38.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40.706$ we can look at those that are heat

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

00:10:40.706 --> 00:10:43.299 based in those that are cold based.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:10:43.300 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.701$ And then there's a whole host of

 $00:10:45.701 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.369$ transarterial therapies we can offer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:10:47.370 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.046$ including chemo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:10:48.046 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.722$ infusion embolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:10:48.722 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.060$ chemoembolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:10:49.060 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.030$ as well as radio embolization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

00:10:52.030 --> 00:10:55.120 So just to bring up local

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:10:55.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.665$ oblated therapies first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:10:56.670 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.394$ The goal here is to percutaneously eradicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:11:00.394 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.549$ all viable malignant cells while sparing

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:11:03.549 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.986$ as much normal liver tissue as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

00:11:06.990 --> 00:11:09.570 We can treat tumors with

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:11:09.570 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.634$ unfavorable locations or patterns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

00:11:11.640 --> 00:11:14.220 patterns of distribution for resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00{:}11{:}14.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}17.178$ or patients that have multiple comorbidities

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

00:11:17.178 --> 00:11:19.890 that probably cannot tolerate resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00{:}11{:}19.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}22.600$ but maybe have resectable disease.

 $00:11:22.600 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.820$ It's these are most often used

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

00:11:24.820 --> 00:11:27.230 in patients that have what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:11:27.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.938$ consider low volume disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:11:28.940 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.226$ It can be used for debulking

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:11:31.226 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.290$ an nowadays in recent years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00{:}11{:}33.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}36.008$ I guess we can also use it to incite

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

00:11:36.008 --> 00:11:38.438 antigen stimulation for immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00{:}11{:}38.440 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}11{:}40.415$ and these are typically done

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00{:}11{:}40.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41.995$ as outpatient and repeatable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:11:42.000 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.141$ So the way we can do tumor treatment with

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00{:}11{:}45.141 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47.939$ local oblated the rapies is by cooking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00{:}11{:}47.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}50.316$ and that's what we would consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00{:}11{:}50.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}51.108$ radiofrequency ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:11:51.110 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.762$ We can boil them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

00:11:52.762 --> 00:11:54.827 Which would be microwave ablation?

 $00:11:54.830 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.162$ We can freeze them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:11:56.162 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.160$ which can obviously be cryo ablation

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:11:58.220 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.038$ or we can electrocute them and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:12:00.038 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.687$ that would be called irreversible

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:12:01.687 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.931$ electroporation and will go into a

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:12:03.931 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.068$ little bit more detail in a second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:12:08.070 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.574$ So this is a case of radiofrequency ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:12:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.868$ This is a 61 year old female with

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:12:14.868 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.161$ colorectal cancer and an isolated

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:12:17.161 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.476$ metastatic tumor in segment 6.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:12:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.560$ Here we see a 2.2 centimeter tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:12:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.750$ Here there is an axle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:12:24.750 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.390$ the avid lesion in segment six,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:12:27.390 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.018$ we place the needle proteins ablation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:12:30.020 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.079$ and here is one year. Follow up OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:12:35.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.210$ Each major frequency ablation works is

00:12:37.210 --> 00:12:39.095 that you have oscillating electrical

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00{:}12{:}39.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}41.130$ currents via electrodes to tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:12:41.130 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.015$ which results in a resistive

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

00:12:43.015 --> 00:12:44.523 heating and tissue hyperthermia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

00:12:44.530 --> 00:12:46.415 The tissue nearest the electrode

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

00:12:46.415 --> 00:12:47.923 is heated most effectively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:12:47.930 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.254$ and the side of toxicity of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:12:50.254 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.470$ depends on the tissue impedance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:12:52.470 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.689$ Now one of the reasons why I

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:12:54.689 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.293$ bring this up in the setting of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:12:57.293 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.651$ colorectal cancer is with the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00{:}12{:}59.729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}02.297$ therapy that I'm going to discuss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00{:}13{:}02.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}03.608$ Radiofrequency ablation is

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:13:03.608 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.788$ becoming less and less performed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

00:13:05.790 --> 00:13:07.089 And in fact,

00:13:07.089 --> 00:13:09.254 I haven't performed a radiofrequency

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:13:09.254 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.747$ ablation since my days at MD Anderson,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:13:11.750 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.270$ now more than 10 years ago in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:13:14.270 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.110$ terms of microwave ablation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:13:16.110 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.378$ this is a patient 60 year old

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00{:}13{:}18.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}20.253$ female with HPV induced cirrhosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00{:}13{:}20.253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23.130$ and a 2 centimeter HCC in segment

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:13:23.130 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.638$ seven who was awaiting transplant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00:13:25.640 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.016$ Here we see the lesion here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

00:13:28.020 --> 00:13:30.414 which was simply done with microwave

NOTE Confidence: 0.81874716

 $00{:}13{:}30.414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33.179$ ablation and a 2.7 year follow up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:13:33.180 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.604$ There's no residual tumor so the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:13:35.604 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.220$ way microwave ablation works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00{:}13{:}37.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}39.794$ Is that you can propagate microwave

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

00:13:39.794 --> 00:13:41.980 energy via an electromagnetic field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:13:41.980 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.712$ and this induces tissue

00:13:43.712 --> 00:13:45.444 hyperthermia via dielectric height,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00{:}13{:}45.450 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}13{:}48.348$ historist hysteresis with that also means

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:13:48.348 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.091$ is basically you dehydrogenated the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

00:13:51.091 --> 00:13:53.667 and actually cause it to rapidly shrink.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:13:53.670 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.523$ This actually is done in a way where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:13:56.523 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.906$ get higher ablation efficiency because

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

00:13:58.906 --> 00:14:01.888 you can actually get higher tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:14:01.969 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.783$ temperatures and therefore you can get

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:14:04.783 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.432$ larger ablation zones with shorter times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

00:14:07.432 --> 00:14:09.748 And with this kind of treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:14:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.460$ it readily penetrates through long

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00{:}14{:}11.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}13.865$ or chart issue where RFA is limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00{:}14{:}13.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}16.091$ and it's not influenced by heat sink

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

00:14:16.091 --> 00:14:17.808 effects in the same way RFA is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:14:17.810 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.176$ What that means is that if you

 $00:14:20.176 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.746$ have a tumor that's sitting on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00{:}14{:}22.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25.427$ portal vein or some kind of vessel

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:14:25.427 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.108$ that can draw heat away from it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

00:14:28.110 --> 00:14:30.210 With microwave ablation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:14:30.210 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.010$ it's actually less common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:14:33.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.466$ And this is just a study that just

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:14:35.466 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.524$ shows that there similar overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00{:}14{:}37.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39.809$ and recurrence free survival when

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00{:}14{:}39.809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42.368$ you compare microwave ablation RFA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

00:14:42.370 --> 00:14:44.812 There was no difference in local

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:14:44.812 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.626$ tumor progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:14:45.630 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.665$ The technical effectiveness as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00{:}14{:}47.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49.700$ as the major complication rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:14:49.700 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.400$ So now that we know that RFA is all it

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:14:53.506 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.018$ can be used as a first line therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:14:57.020 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.308$ So can microwave ablation.

00:14:59.308 --> 00:15:01.596 In terms of cryoablation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:15:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.799$ the goal here is to achieve temperatures

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:15:04.799 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.619$ less than 190 degrees Celsius.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

00:15:07.620 --> 00:15:10.620 It results in direct cellular injury,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

00:15:10.620 --> 00:15:11.836 vascular injury,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:15:11.836 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.268$ and even immunological injury.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

00:15:14.270 --> 00:15:16.862 What's interesting here is that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00{:}15{:}16.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}19.110$ can place multiple simultaneous probes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

00:15:19.110 --> 00:15:21.774 You actually get in a natural

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:15:21.774 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.950$ anesthetic effect from the ice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:15:23.950 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.670$ and as you can see from this example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:15:28.670 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.818$ Here we see the ice ball,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:15:30.820 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.256$ which is easily seen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:15:32.256 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.410$ so you can actually sculpt the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:15:34.479 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.549$ lesion that you want to treat.

 $00:15:36.550 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.672$ And because of this you actually can

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:15:39.672 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.429$ get very predictable and reproducible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:15:42.430 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.950$ The treatments in terms the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:15:45.950 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.470$ disadvantages because there's so many.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00{:}15{:}49.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}51.920$ Needle probes are there that are used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

00:15:51.920 --> 00:15:54.020 You can have longer procedure times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00{:}15{:}54.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}55.800$ There's a theoretical bleeding risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

00:15:55.800 --> 00:15:58.219 and you can actually crack the liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00{:}15{:}58.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}59.970$ and patients could actually result

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

00:15:59.970 --> 00:16:01.020 in cryo shock,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:16:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.820$ which is a form of tumor lysis syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:16:03.820 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.292$ Now this is 1 case that I got

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:16:06.292 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.370$ from a paper from 2007,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:16:08.370 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.344$ and the reason why I'm showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:16:10.344 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.824$ this like that is that I've never

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:16:12.824 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.014$ used cryo ablation in the liver,

 $00:16:15.020 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.068$ so I just want to show you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:16:18.068 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.518$ the example that we can do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:16:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.566$ It is being used in other

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:16:22.566 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.510$ institutions or be it rarely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:16:24.510 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.312$ And then the last one is

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

00:16:27.312 --> 00:16:28.246 irreversible electroporation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:16:28.250 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.814$ so irreversible electroporation is

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00{:}16{:}29.814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}32.738$ a way that you can alter membrane

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:16:32.738 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.778$ ionic potentials and therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:16:34.778 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.308$ induce irreversible disruption

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00{:}16{:}36.308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38.989$ of the cell membrane integrity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:16:38.990 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.516$ The thought here is that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00{:}16{:}41.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}44.120$ is a non thermal ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:16:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.330$ However there are studies now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

00:16:47.330 --> 00:16:48.810 They have been published,

 $00:16:48.810 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.660$ which actually does show a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00{:}16{:}50.660 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}16{:}52.660$ mild thermal component to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00{:}16{:}52.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}55.540$ So here we see a patient with cirrhosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:16:55.540 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.332$ and had a very challenging tumor where

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00:16:58.332 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.512$ you see it right here in segment 4B

NOTE Confidence: 0.80502

 $00{:}17{:}01.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}04.088$ and it's sitting right on the bile

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00{:}17{:}04.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08.034$ duct and right near the portal vein so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

00:17:08.040 --> 00:17:10.362 So we thought that thermal ablation

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00:17:10.362 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.150$ with heat may be very difficult and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

00:17:13.150 --> 00:17:16.273 may result in some kind of injury to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00{:}17{:}16.273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.618$ the bile duct or the portal vein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

00:17:18.620 --> 00:17:20.888 So here we see the electroporation

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

00:17:20.888 --> 00:17:21.644 needles placed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00:17:21.650 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.114$ Now these need to be very symmetrical

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00:17:24.114 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.282$ with so that you get very good electrical

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00:17:27.282 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.116$ potentials across and here we see the

 $00:17:30.116 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.629$ tumor well treated and then at seven

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00{:}17{:}32.629 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35.258$ months follow-up there's no tumor at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00:17:35.260 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.046$ and that's a great result that's exactly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00:17:38.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.820$ What we wanted to happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

00:17:39.820 --> 00:17:41.842 In terms of ablation versus surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00:17:41.842 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.800$ in these early stage patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00:17:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.229$ we can see that there's survival and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00{:}17{:}46.229 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}48.125$ recurrence recurrence free survival and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00:17:48.125 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.110$ overall survival are not statistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00{:}17{:}50.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}52.129$ significant in terms of all comers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00{:}17{:}52.130 --> 00{:}17{:}53.578$ However, when looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00:17:53.578 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.388$ those tumors that are central,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00{:}17{:}55.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}57.918$ that means that if a patient was to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00:17:57.918 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.818$ have a reception of a central tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00:18:00.820 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.130$ it would be a very large and

 $00{:}18{:}03.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}04.586$ difficult reception that rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00:18:04.586 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.606$ that ablation actually is favored,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

00:18:06.610 --> 00:18:07.762 and in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00:18:07.762 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.900$ when you look at the major complication rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00:18:10.900 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.435$ The ablation group is statistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.84893936

 $00{:}18{:}13.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}15.970$ significantly better than the resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90414697

 $00:18:18.230 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.970$ And then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

 $00:18:22.740 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.267$ Free in terms of overall and disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

00:18:25.270 --> 00:18:27.586 free survival, but it also looks

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

 $00:18:27.586 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.978$ at the overall quality of Life OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

 $00{:}18{:}29.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}32.262$ So what they found is that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

 $00:18:32.262 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.079$ really no difference in overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

 $00{:}18{:}34.079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}36.009$ and disease free survival when

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

 $00{:}18{:}36.009 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}37.939$ comparing both ablation and surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

 $00:18:37.940 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.238$ However, there was a significant difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

00:18:40.238 --> 00:18:43.119 in the quality of life scores such that

00:18:43.119 --> 00:18:45.550 the surgery does get a little better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

 $00:18:45.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.438$ but never to the level of the ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

 $00:18:48.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.590$ So when we look at a lot of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

 $00:18:51.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.688$ interventional procedures that we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

00:18:53.690 --> 00:18:55.345 We're always looking at quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

 $00:18:55.345 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.415$ of life initiatives and then of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

00:18:57.415 --> 00:18:59.205 course in these particular patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

 $00:18:59.210 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.940$ again with low volume disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

 $00{:}19{:}00.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02.896$ we're always looking to treat these

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

 $00:19:02.896 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.080$ patients as a bridge to transplant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

 $00{:}19{:}05.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07.504$ So this just is a few studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

 $00:19:07.504 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.738$ just shows how the results of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

 $00{:}19{:}09.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11.688$ keeping patients on the transplant

NOTE Confidence: 0.88377416

 $00{:}19{:}11.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}13.696$ list so they don't drop out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:19:16.000 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.840$ So in terms of transarterial therapies.

 $00:19:19.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.186$ The rationale is that most liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

00:19:22.186 --> 00:19:23.750 tumors receive blood supply

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

00:19:23.815 --> 00:19:25.639 largely from hepatic artery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:19:25.640 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.112$ that these liver tumors are often

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

00:19:28.112 --> 00:19:29.306 hypervascular, especially HCC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00{:}19{:}29.306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}32.022$ and that this new metastases are less

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00{:}19{:}32.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34.736$ common than in other epithelial neoplasms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:19:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.060$ This has been initiated over 40 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00{:}19{:}38.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}40.292$ Some of the techniques we still

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:19:40.292 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.228$ use today when I talk to patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00{:}19{:}43.228 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}19{:}45.503$ about some of these therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:19:45.510 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.598$ I do tell them that some of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

00:19:48.598 --> 00:19:51.387 therapy has been done for decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

00:19:51.390 --> 00:19:53.598 However, it still is not the same therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:19:53.598 \dashrightarrow 00:19:55.816$ and I'll get into that a little later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:19:55.820 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.522$ So the goal here is to selectively

 $00:19:58.522 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.180$ and locally deliver these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:00.180 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.456$ Intra arterial therapeutics to the tumor bed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

00:20:03.456 --> 00:20:05.559 thereby effectively targeting the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:05.560 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.800$ sparing the surrounding hepatic parenchyma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:07.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.040$ and minimizing complications in toxicities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:10.040 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.280$ But again, as we discussed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:12.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.515$ we really need to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:14.515 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.303$ what the term tases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:16.310 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.216$ because again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:17.216 \longrightarrow 00:20:20:387$ there's a lot of difficulty within the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:20.387 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.507$ literature and within just our own tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:23.507 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.750$ boards and reporting structures that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00{:}20{:}25.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28.844$ that seem to use these terms interchangeably.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:28.850 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.090$ Now, all utilized selective catheterization

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:31.090 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.755$ of the paddock artery branches, but.

 $00:20:33.755 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.030$ Once that's done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:35.030 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.155$ the procedures are actually very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

00:20:37.160 --> 00:20:39.000 very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:39.000 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.210$ So what is bland embolization?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:41.210 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.530$ Bland embolization is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:42.530 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.850$ embolization without chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:43.850 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.060$ only using the embolic agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:46.060 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.562$ The goal here is to completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00{:}20{:}48.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}50.910$ occlude the tumor feeding vessels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:50.910 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.990$ which then can cause ischaemia and process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:53.990 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.710$ Now, because of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:55.710 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.860$ the paint the procedures can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:20:57.860 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.319$ be sometimes quite painful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:21:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.872$ So because of the way that we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:21:02.872 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.270$ it with very small particles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

00:21:05.270 --> 00:21:08.318 that actually leads to this kind of pain,

00:21:08.320 --> 00:21:10.606 it may have very different effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:21:10.606 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.749$ on the vasculature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

00:21:11.750 --> 00:21:14.417 There's been a lot of extensive research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:21:14.420 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.586$ but the precise effect on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

00:21:16.586 --> 00:21:18.610 tumor cells largely remain unknown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

00:21:18.610 --> 00:21:21.658 and because this is done through a scheme,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:21:21.660 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.037$ yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

00:21:22.037 --> 00:21:23.922 be hypoxic events may actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

00:21:23.922 --> 00:21:25.850 cause activation of several genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:21:25.850 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.993$ including veg F,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:21:26.993 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.279$ which can then lead to compens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:21:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.686$ atory, angiogenesis, and tumor growth so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00{:}21{:}31.690 --> 00{:}21{:}32.551$ Like I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:21:32.551 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.560$ the most common techniques that are used

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:21:34.615 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.463$ are these very very small particles

 $00:21:36.463 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.570$ which get very distal into the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:21:38.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.762$ They don't actually make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:21:39.762 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.252$ it to the capillary level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:21:41.260 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.750$ which may be an issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:21:42.750 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.400$ but the goal was always really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:21:44.400 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.688$ to get to near stasis or stasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

00:21:46.688 --> 00:21:48.926 while preserving flow to the larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:21:48.926 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.920$ arterial branches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00{:}21{:}49.920 --> 00{:}21{:}52.521$ This is just a case of a 61 year

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:21:52.521 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.106$ old female with HCV cirrhosis who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

00:21:55.106 --> 00:21:57.316 had a 4.8 centimeter HCC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

00:21:57.320 --> 00:21:59.567 The goal here was to embolize her

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:21:59.567 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.501$ to get her as a bridge to transplant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:22:02.501 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.265$ because she was very close to being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:22:05.265 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.629$ over the five centimeters that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00{:}22{:}07.629 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}09.972$ keep her within the Milan criteria.

 $00{:}22{:}09.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}12.711$ We did this very quickly and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:22:12.711 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.709$ were able to treat this tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:22:14.710 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.970$ As you can see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:22:17.970 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.646$ Totally included and then one month

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:22:20.646 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.202$ later totally necrotic and now is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:22:23.202 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.240$ at 4 centimeters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:22:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.520$ so she ultimately underwent a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

00:22:26.520 --> 00:22:28.800 transplant that few months after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:22:28.878 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.130$ the embolization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:22:30.130 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.790$ This is a patient that in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:22:32.790 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.550$ different location,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

 $00:22:33.550 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.100$ probably would have gotten oblated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

00:22:36.100 --> 00:22:39.208 So here we see a very small

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366912

00:22:39.208 --> 00:22:40.540 lesion in segment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:22:40.643 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.270$ 8. That's a solitaire E lesion that's

 $00:22:43.270 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.440$ very close to a budding the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00{:}22{:}46.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}49.936$ So I thought that this would be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

00:22:49.936 --> 00:22:52.480 very challenging lesion to a blade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:22:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.630$ and I opted for embolization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

00:22:54.630 --> 00:22:56.846 We see the Hypervascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00{:}22{:}56.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}59.616$ territory within the artery here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:22:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.438$ At the end of the procedure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:23:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.950$ there's no more tumor blush,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00{:}23{:}02.950 \to 00{:}23{:}05.064$ and now on the post embolization MRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:23:05.070 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.340$ no contrast enhancement is seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00{:}23{:}07.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}09.706$ And this is another case where this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00{:}23{:}09.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}12.415$ was a patient that was going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

00:23:12.415 --> 00:23:14.445 have a combined bland embolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00{:}23{:}14.450 \to 00{:}23{:}16.688$ followed by portal embolization in order

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:23:16.688 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.929$ to increase hypertrophy prior to resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:23:18.930 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.174$ So here we see the tumor

 $00:23:21.174 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.670$ we have replaced right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00{:}23{:}22.670 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}24.540$ He patic artery from the smam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:23:24.540 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.415$ The patient was embolized with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:23:26.415 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.915$ 100 Micron microspheres and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

00:23:27.915 --> 00:23:29.779 then a tolling aquatic tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:23:29.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.482$ But in this case we actually saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:23:32.482 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.066$ significant regeneration to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:23:34.066 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.866$ point where the patient never

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00{:}23{:}35.866 \to 00{:}23{:}37.760$ actually needed to get there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:23:37.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.875$ Pve and and ultimately underwent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:23:39.875 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.144$ a successful resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:23:41.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.486$ and this is just some data that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:23:44.486 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.938$ wanted to to show where we see some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

00:23:47.940 --> 00:23:50.060 you know, 33% median survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:23:50.060 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.598$ 21 months, you know three years.

 $00:23:52.600 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.862$ We also see some patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00{:}23{:}54.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}56.947$ have very good response rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

00:23:56.947 --> 00:23:58.959 and reasonable survival rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:23:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.504$ And then when you have post

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

00:24:01.504 --> 00:24:02.776 op recurrence meeting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:24:02.780 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.820$ survival can be as high as 46 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

00:24:07.820 --> 00:24:10.988 So what is conventional taste or see taste?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:24:10.990 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.000$ So conventional taste is an infusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00{:}24{:}13.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}14.960$ of a mixture of chemother apeutic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:24:14.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.700$ agents with iodized oil followed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00{:}24{:}17.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}19.892$ by embolization with microparticles

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:24:19.965 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.285$ and what the oil does act as in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00{:}24{:}22.285 \longrightarrow 00{:}24{:}24.366$ emulsion that functions as a vector.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:24:24.366 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.236$ To carry these cytotoxic toxic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:24:26.236 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.220$ agents to the panic sinusoids

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:24:28.220 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.150$ where drugs gradually are released

 $00:24:30.150 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.978$ from this unstable mixture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:24:31.980 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.560$ So like I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:24:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.930$ these procedures have been done now

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:24:36.016 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.809$ for like for more than four decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:24:38.810 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.925$ But when I consent or consult patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:24:41.930 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.073$ For these procedures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:24:43.073 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.359$ I tell him that it's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

00:24:45.360 --> 00:24:46.154 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:24:46.154 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.948$ the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00{:}24{:}46.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}50.103$ We don't do it the same way as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:24:50.103 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.455$ we did in 1977,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:24:51.460 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.360$ and based on the global

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00{:}24{:}53.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54.880$ utilization of this technique,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00{:}24{:}54.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}56.320$ the Society of Interventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:24:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.919$ Radiology has called in the first line

 $00:24:58.919 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.804$ therapy for inoperable HCC patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:25:00.804 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.890$ with well preserved liver function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:25:02.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.592$ So unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:25:03.592 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.347$ at this time conventional taste

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:25:05.347 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.460$ is kind of non standardized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

00:25:07.460 --> 00:25:10.796 The trend over the years has gone from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

00:25:10.796 --> 00:25:13.717 whole liver to low bar to selective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:25:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.762$ And also from occlusive to not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00{:}25{:}16.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}18.283$ necessarily occlusive again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:25:18.290 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.256$ the hypoxic insult may lead to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00{:}25{:}22.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}24.900$ actually stimulation of growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:25:25.017 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.377$ factors that may lead to tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

00:25:28.380 --> 00:25:30.068 To more tumor growth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:25:30.068 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.334$ there's various chemotherapeutic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:25:31.334 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.540$ regimens and actually in 2019

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:25:33.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.292$ in the Journal cardiovascular

00:25:35.292 --> 00:25:36.168 Interventional Radiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00{:}25{:}36.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}38.996$ there was a global survey which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:25:38.996 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.334$ basically showed that there's lots

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:25:41.334 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.966$ of different ways you can do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00{:}25{:}43.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}47.036$ But the most common embolic agents that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:25:47.036 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.457$ we use these days are again our LOPI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

00:25:50.460 --> 00:25:52.630 Dolores Idol, PVA gel foam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:25:52.630 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.348$ and some others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:25:55.350 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.542$ So this is one of the two landmark

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:25:59.542 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.390$ randomized control trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

00:26:01.390 --> 00:26:05.180 first showing the benefit of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:26:05.180 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.440$ Embolization it's interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00{:}26{:}06.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}08.540$ that the procedure was performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:26:08.540 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.824$ for 25 years before we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00:26:10.824 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.549$ were able to show benefit.

 $00:26:12.550 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.866$ This was a study that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375181

 $00{:}26{:}14.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}16.410$ performed from the Barcelona

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:26:16.486 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.630$ Cancer Center and it showed largely that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:26:19.630 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.635$ was working in a select group of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

00:26:22.640 --> 00:26:25.736 112 out of 903 that had well encapsulated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:26:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.246$ smaller sized tumors in patients with good

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:26:28.246 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.788$ liver function and good performance status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:26:30.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.814$ So that was one way that we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:26:33.814 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.467$ able to justify the use of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:26:36.470 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.459$ Conventional taste and this was another study

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:26:39.459 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.349$ that was performed in an Asian population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:26:42.350 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.870$ mostly with HPV that also had

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:26:44.870 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.970$ taste on demand. I'm sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:26:46.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.490$ Taste monthly or every two months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:26:49.490 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.418$ so they had a scheduled time where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:26:52.418 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.738$ had it and as you can see there is a

 $00:26:56.738 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.265$ statistically significant difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00{:}26{:}58.265 \to 00{:}27{:}01.115$ in taste versus supportive care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

00:27:01.120 --> 00:27:03.050 So how does this work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

00:27:03.050 --> 00:27:04.304 Well, basically aside,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00{:}27{:}04.304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}07.995$ all Orlopp Idol is a poppy seed oil and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

00:27:07.995 --> 00:27:11.181 it acts as a drug carrier that seeks out

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:27:11.181 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.592$ the tumors and also acts as an embolic agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:27:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.240$ And we know that we can do this with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00{:}27{:}17.306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}19.681$ understanding that there's very complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00{:}27{:}19.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22.526$ sinusoidal anatomy and such that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:27:22.526 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.340$ hepatic artery and the portal vein are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:27:25.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.806$ actually connected in this time besides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00{:}27{:}27.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}30.735$ And the reason why that's important is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:27:30.735 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.276$ that you can get in paddock arterial

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:27:33.276 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.508$ and portal venous occlusion such that

00:27:35.508 --> 00:27:38.358 the oil ends up in the tumors crosses

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00{:}27{:}38.358 \to 00{:}27{:}40.836$ from the artery into the portal vein

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:27:40.836 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.293$ and then kind of sits there and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:27:43.293 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.879$ you block up with particles because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:27:45.879 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.351$ forward flow is the arterial pressure

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00{:}27{:}48.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50.957$ is still pushing the oil across into

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00{:}27{:}50.957 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}53.786$ the portal vein so you block it up

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:27:53.786 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.860$ with particles to stop that flow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00{:}27{:}55.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58.464$ This is just a case of a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:27:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.474$ 53 year old man with multifocal

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00{:}28{:}00.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}02.687$ HCC with chronic HCV and elevated

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:28:02.687 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.707$ AFP who has multifocal disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00{:}28{:}04.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}07.419$ This large tumor in segments in savings

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:28:07.419 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.849$ for taking some eight and four a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:28:09.850 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.778$ Here we see it on the CAT scan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:28:12.780 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.950$ Here's the tumor.

00:28:13.950 --> 00:28:17.066 The Lipiodol has iodine in it so you

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

00:28:17.066 --> 00:28:19.802 actually can see it on an X Ray and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:28:19.886 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.006$ here you can see after the procedure is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:28:23.006 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.250$ over where the lipiodol is staining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:28:25.250 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.679$ The reason why I brought up in the beginning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

00:28:28.680 --> 00:28:31.606 About the fact that it's really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:28:31.606 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.302$ to know what that this is lipiddol

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:28:34.302 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.613$ is that I had a report recently of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

00:28:37.613 --> 00:28:40.378 patient that I treated with this that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00{:}28{:}40.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43.548$ kind of called the Lipiodol Council

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

00:28:43.548 --> 00:28:45.660 vacations of uncertain etiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

00:28:45.660 --> 00:28:48.033 A whole plethora of why you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

00:28:48.033 --> 00:28:49.660 what what's going on here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:28:49.660 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.316$ But when we simply just apply it all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:28:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.548$ so like I said,

 $00:28:53.548 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.776$ there's arterial portal communication

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00{:}28{:}54.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56.648$ is very important to understand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:28:56.650 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.266$ and the more you get into the portal

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

00:28:59.266 --> 00:29:01.640 vein that apply at all in motion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:29:01.640 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.305$ the better results you actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:29:03.305 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.970$ get is born out here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:29:04.970 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.733$ Now we do see in our tumor boards that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:29:07.733 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.966$ sometimes patients do have some portal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:29:09.970 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.918$ vein, bland thrombus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:29:10.918 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.559$ and that may be a reason why their

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:29:13.559 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.725$ outcomes for at least their tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

00:29:15.730 --> 00:29:18.064 Is really well because you're actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:29:18.064 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.460$ doing an arterial importal embolization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:29:20.460 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.700$ So I want to show a few cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:29:22.700 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.272$ This is a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84367865

 $00:29:24.272 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.630$ You see the tumor up here

 $00:29:26.727 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.020$ in segment 8. And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00{:}29{:}30.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}32.108$ Again, we see the tumor here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

00:29:32.110 --> 00:29:34.084 You see, the lipiodol staining the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

00:29:34.084 --> 00:29:36.630 tumor on the single flora scopic image,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:29:36.630 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.838$ and you can actually see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:29:38.838 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.018$ portal vein here next to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:29:41.018 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.896$ tumor as well as down here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:29:42.900 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.923$ So at the end of the at

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:29:44.923 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.069$ the end of the procedure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:29:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.994$ we can see that there is a complete filling

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:29:50.994 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.847$ of two of tumor with dilipbhai at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:29:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.928$ And then this is the before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00{:}29{:}57.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}00.926$ And then this is one month after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:30:00.930 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.026$ We see a basically a whole.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

00:30:04.030 --> 00:30:04.932 And ultimately,

00:30:04.932 --> 00:30:07.187 the patient had complete necrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:30:07.190 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.440$ an now at nine months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

00:30:09.440 --> 00:30:12.640 Follow-up has no residual disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:30:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.544$ So one of the things that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:30:14.544 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.107$ think is really important and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:30:16.107 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.494$ we can discuss this in all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:30:18.494 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.299$ different types of embolization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:30:20.300 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.112$ But because of applied all being

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:30:22.112 \dashrightarrow 00:30:24.464$ radio paque we can see I'm using this

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

00:30:24.464 --> 00:30:26.630 opportunity to talk about advanced imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00{:}30{:}26.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}28.744$ so it's very important to get high

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

00:30:28.744 --> 00:30:30.290 quality imaging during procedures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00{:}30{:}30{:}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}32.456$ which I believe is critical to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:30:32.456 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.900$ optimize tumor targeting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

00:30:33.967 --> 00:30:36.151 this can be done with the 3D

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:30:36.151 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.948$ angiography combing or cone beam CT,

 $00{:}30{:}37.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}40.099$ which is some of what I showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00{:}30{:}40.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}41.712$ or combining a multidetector

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

00:30:41.712 --> 00:30:43.557 CT angiography system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:30:43.560 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.199$ In your interventional suite and we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:30:46.199 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.499$ that from studies as early as 2007,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:30:48.500 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.524$ of which I was involved in one from

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:30:51.524 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.487$ MD Anderson that you do see a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00{:}30{:}54.487 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}56.790$ of information that is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00{:}30{:}56.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}58.278$ Over standard digital subtraction

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:30:58.278 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.766$ angiography and back then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

00:30:59.770 --> 00:31:02.754 when we had very poor cone beam CT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:31:02.760 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.904$ it showed that we were able to impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:31:05.904 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.190$ the procedure in 19% of the cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:31:08.190 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.110$ There's also now new software that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:31:10.110 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.459$ helps precisely identify tumor feeders,

 $00:31:12.460 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.692$ so we're not just relying on

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:31:14.692 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.808$ standard DSA alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:31:15.810 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.167$ And then we also with the pie at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:31:19.170 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.162$ which isn't the same as with

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:31:21.162 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.270$ the other kinds of therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:31:23.270 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.622$ We can actually use this to immediately

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:31:25.622 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.860$ look at post procedure imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00:31:27.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.981$ To show the benefit of the of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00{:}31{:}29.981 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32.126$ the tumor targeting as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

00:31:32.126 --> 00:31:33.686 having confirmation that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.81704414

 $00{:}31{:}33.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}35.290$ effectively treated the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223492

00:31:37.440 --> 00:31:40.860 So here you see a tumor in. I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223492

 $00:31:40.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.900$ once you fast here, let me go back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00:31:46.940 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.566$ So in this case we have two HCC's in

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00:31:51.566 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.150$ segments for a in segment 7 and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00{:}31{:}55.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}59.278$ I want to show is a tumor sitting.

 $00:31:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.540$ Here. And here OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00{:}32{:}00.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}03.361$ And when you do the angio it's very

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00:32:03.361 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.935$ unclear where these tumor feeders are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00:32:05.940 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.116$ So you have one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00:32:07.116 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.586$ It's definitely in the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00:32:08.590 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.070$ but you have one here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00:32:10.070 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.540$ which is unclear if it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00:32:11.540 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.716$ coming from the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00{:}32{:}12.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}15.220$ so you can so you can do a cone beam

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00{:}32{:}15.298 \to 00{:}32{:}18.026$ CT from the left and see no tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00{:}32{:}18.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}20.173$ vascularity and then you do it from

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00:32:20.173 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.455$ the right and we can see a tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00:32:22.455 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.225$ there and then the tumor there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00:32:24.230 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.290$ And now we know that we're in the right lobe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00:32:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.456$ So after the procedure is over,

 $00:32:30.460 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.672$ we can see on a plane image

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00{:}32{:}32.672 --> 00{:}32{:}34.790$ or a flora scopic image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

00:32:34.790 --> 00:32:36.998 One tumor treated here one tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00:32:36.998 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.478$ treated here an on cone beam CT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00:32:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.490$ You can see tumor here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00:32:42.490 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.039$ In tumor here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00:32:43.039 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.137$ So now we know that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394745

 $00:32:44.137 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.269$ was effectively treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568363

 $00{:}32{:}47.780 \longrightarrow 00{:}32{:}49.976$ So this is a case that I did just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568363

 $00:32:49.976 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.214$ last week and I thought it would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568363

 $00:32:52.214 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.783$ be interesting to show 74 year old

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568363

 $00:32:54.783 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.403$ patient with alcoholic cirrhosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568363

00:32:56.403 --> 00:32:58.784 5.8 centimeter HCC in segment 7.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568363

 $00:32:58.784 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.500$ This is the CT scan right here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568363

 $00:33:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.548$ This is a previous treatment area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568363

 $00:33:04.550 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.038$ We did the angiogram.

 $00:33:06.038 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.270$ We see the tumor up here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:33:10.760 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.594$ We then see I'm selective image here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

00:33:12.600 -> 00:33:14.895 So when we do the cone beam CT thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

00:33:14.895 --> 00:33:17.067 that we may have had the whole thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:33:17.070 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.350$ we're missing half the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:33:20.350 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.718$ OK, so we treated the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

00:33:24.720 --> 00:33:26.785 And we see a basically a Half

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00{:}33{:}26.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}28.790$ Moon where half of it's missing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00{:}33{:}28.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}32.734$ So we even got into the other branch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:33:32.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.340$ C. Feeling of the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:33:35.340 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.156$ And at the end we can see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00{:}33{:}38.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}40.357$ the tumor is completely treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00{:}33{:}40.357 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}43.626$ and then on final cone beam CT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:33:43.630 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.384$ There is complete embolization with lipiodol

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:33:46.384 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.088$ so you can see this is very important.

 $00:33:50.090 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.421$ And I just wanted to show that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:33:52.421 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.692$ also imaging software that we can use

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:33:54.692 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.779$ to track these tumors very nicely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

00:33:56.780 --> 00:33:58.908 I don't want to get all details,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:33:58.910 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.846$ but you can see that we have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:34:00.846 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.635$ road map simply goes straight to

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:34:02.635 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.926$ the tumor and that I think results

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:34:04.926 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.104$ in a much more effective therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:34:07.110 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.169$ And we published on this back in a 2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:34:11.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.660$ When we look at treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00{:}34{:}14.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}16.056$ with chemoembolization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00{:}34{:}16.060 \longrightarrow 00{:}34{:}19.244$ We can see that you get much better

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:34:19.244 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.744$ local tumor progression and overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:34:21.744 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.966$ survival when combing CT is used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:34:24.970 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.896$ And then we look at adverse events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:34:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.252$ We always tell patients that this

 $00:34:30.252 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.490$ is a liver directed therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

00:34:32.490 --> 00:34:32.865 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

00:34:32.865 --> 00:34:35.490 their study that came out of Johns

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

00:34:35.490 --> 00:34:38.083 Hopkins back in 2008 showed that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:34:38.083 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.528$ can get systemic effects from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:34:40.528 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.936$ chemoembolization or conventional tastes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:34:42.940 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.284$ and this is what led to the institution

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:34:46.284 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.207$ or development of drug eluting be tastes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:34:49.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.690$ So the idea here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:34:50.690 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.910$ Is that the chemotherapy is then

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:34:52.987 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.519$ loaded into beads and added to

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00{:}34{:}55.519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58.006$ water soluble contrast and can act

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00{:}34{:}58.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00.540$ as a vector for drug delivery and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00{:}35{:}00.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}02.806$ embolic agent to block arterial

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:35:02.806 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.310$ blood flow or supply to the tumor?

 $00:35:06.310 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.548$ As we discussed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:35:08.550 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.385$ The actually just you're aware

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:35:10.385 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.220$ that Luppino has some limitations

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:35:12.286 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.236$ where their attention is variable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:35:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.620$ and it could wash out quite rapidly

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:35:16.620 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.159$ if we don't use those particles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

00:35:19.160 --> 00:35:21.440 And then, like I just showed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:35:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.708$ there could be some systemic toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:35:23.710 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.405$ So with drug alluding beads you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:35:26.405 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.718$ get predictable retention and this can

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

00:35:28.718 --> 00:35:30.902 lead to overall less systemic toxicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:35:30.910 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.090$ and this is just a case that I did awhile

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:35:34.169 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.347$ back showing a force .6 centimeter tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:35:37.350 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.059$ which we did with drug eluting beads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:35:40.060 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.344$ However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:35:40.344 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.616$ you can't see at the end of the

00:35:42.616 --> 00:35:44.498 procedure that the tumor was treated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

00:35:44.500 --> 00:35:46.252 because there is no iodine in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:35:46.252 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.061$ these particles and then this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:35:48.061 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.526$ what it looks like afterwards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:35:49.530 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.595$ So this is just a couple of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:35:51.600 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.060$ I have a couple of studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:35:54.060 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.040$ which show the benefit of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

00:35:56.040 --> 00:35:57.320 Of drug eluting beads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:35:57.320 \dashrightarrow 00:36:00.399$ I don't want to get into all the details,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:36:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.075$ but just show that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:36:02.075 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.080$ are promising results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:36:03.080 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.264$ but in my personal opinion I am much

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00{:}36{:}05.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}07.327$ more a fan of using conventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00{:}36{:}07.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}09.499$ rather than dip tastes and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:36:09.568 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.704$ this is just a recent study

 $00:36:11.704 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.766$ published in radiology which is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00{:}36{:}13.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}15.356$ prospective single arm study which

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:36:15.356 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.544$ also shows the benefit of idarubicin

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:36:17.544 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.878$ alluding beads for the treatment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

00:36:19.878 --> 00:36:21.688 patients with unreflectively CC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:36:21.690 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.760$ So there's been a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79313654

 $00:36:23.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.140$ interest in recent years

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:36:25.218 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.769$ in radio embolization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

00:36:26.770 --> 00:36:29.308 Here we have an implanted radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:36:29.308 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.404$ source that's directly sent to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:36:31.404 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.414$ the tumors via the attic artery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:36:33.420 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.766$ Use Yttrium 90 as the source,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:36:35.770 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.619$ which is a beta emitter which penetrates

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00{:}36{:}38.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}40.850$ only 2.5 millimeters in the tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:36:40.850 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.805$ There are glass and resin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00{:}36{:}42.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}43.587$ microspheres available.

 $00:36:43.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.320$ These are there spheres or Sir spheres,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00{:}36{:}46.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}49.434$ but I just wanted to make sure you're all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:36:49.434 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.439$ aware that these are not interchangeable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

00:36:52.440 --> 00:36:54.824 They're very different products

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:36:54.824 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.804$ and they have very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:36:57.810 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.120$ Characteristics the main idea, I guess,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:37:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.612$ is that the glass beads are have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00{:}37{:}02.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}05.129$ a much smaller number of spheres,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:37:05.130 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.818$ so each year themselves is much hotter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:37:07.820 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.670$ So if you want to treat a much larger area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00{:}37{:}11.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}13.788$ you may need to use something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:37:13.788 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.110$ which is much more embolic than

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:37:16.110 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.594$ than than these very small ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

00:37:18.600 --> 00:37:19.370 But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:37:19.370 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.065$ these have much less activity per sphere,

00:37:22.070 --> 00:37:25.526 but here you get a much more minimal embolic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:37:25.530 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.590$ which is much more like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:37:27.590 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.826$ real radiation therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:37:28.830 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.955$ Whereas the Sir spheres are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:37:31.955 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.830$ more like embolization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:37:33.830 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.071$ So this is just a case of a 92

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:37:36.071 \dashrightarrow 00:37:38.348$ year old female with multifocal HCC

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:37:38.348 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.950$ who actually had a tumor rupture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00{:}37{:}40.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}43.782$ Um, here in in segment 8 with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:37:43.782 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.149$ satellite tumor as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:37:45.150 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.550$ and as we know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

00:37:46.550 --> 00:37:48.300 when patients have tumor rupture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:37:48.300 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.400$ they have a very dismal prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

00:37:50.400 --> 00:37:51.492 So like I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:37:51.492 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.399$ this is a 92 year old female an because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:37:54.399 \dashrightarrow 00:37:57.185$ I did this with with the rasphere for

00:37:57.266 --> 00:37:59.849 example it was a micro embolic Ann.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00{:}37{:}59.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}01.950$ I treated her as an outpatient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:38:01.950 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.050$ OK, so here is the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:38:04.050 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.800$ We do a mapping study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:38:05.800 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.550$ so this is the difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:38:07.550 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.300$ When you do the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:38:09.300 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.350$ kinds of chemoembolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:38:10.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.278$ you basically take the product off the shelf.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

00:38:13.280 --> 00:38:15.470 At the time of the procedure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

00:38:15.470 --> 00:38:15.768 however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:38:15.768 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.364$ with 190,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:38:16.364 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.925$ you really have to map out the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00{:}38{:}18.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}21.816$ to make sure that you're not getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:38:21.816 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.453$ nontarget embolization to other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:38:23.453 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.685$ areas which include extra product sites,

00:38:25.690 --> 00:38:27.880 and you also have to calculate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00{:}38{:}27.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}29.340$ a lunch on fraction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:38:29.340 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.965$ which is how much of the material

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:38:31.965 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.150$ gets to the lungs because there exist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:38:35.150 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.102$ you heard earlier there are very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

00:38:38.110 --> 00:38:40.168 Small communication between artery and veins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:38:40.170 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.257$ and therefore if you give too high a dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

00:38:43.260 --> 00:38:45.306 you can actually get pulmonary fibrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00{:}38{:}45.310 \longrightarrow 00{:}38{:}47.396$ So in this patient we were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:38:47.396 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.502$ to see that the radiation went

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00{:}38{:}49.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}52.169$ exactly to where we wanted to tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:38:52.170 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.914$ Here we see the patient for years later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:38:54.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.146$ so this patient lived to 98 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:38:57.146 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.620$ old and this again is the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:38:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.041$ I treated a 92 year old patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:39:02.041 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.837$ with an outpatient therapy.

 $00:39:03.840 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.906$ I kind of thought was pretty amazed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:39:06.910 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.304$ These are the toxicities that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

00:39:09.304 --> 00:39:12.327 occur by doing very good cone beam CT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:39:12.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.678$ This actually highlights the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00{:}39{:}13.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}16.193$ reason for it that we can reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:39:16.193 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.773$ the toxicities by doing all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:39:18.773 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.063$ advanced imaging techniques.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:39:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.000$ This was just the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

00:39:22.000 --> 00:39:24.984 I want to show it with the utilization

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00{:}39{:}24.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}27.712$ of cone beam CT where on cone beam

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00{:}39{:}27.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}30.879$ CT we see in this patient a retro

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

00:39:30.879 --> 00:39:33.603 portal artery that on mapping study

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352394

 $00:39:33.610 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.355$ we can see some technetium 99 M.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:39:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.496$ Maa actually getting into the duodenum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:39:39.500 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.028$ So if you were not care if you

 $00:39:42.028 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.498$ were if you were not careful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:39:44.500 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.774$ you would actually send radioactivity down

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:39:46.774 \dashrightarrow 00:39:49.499$ there and that would result in an ulcer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:39:49.500 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.908$ So what I want to also highlight here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:39:52.910 \dashrightarrow 00:39:55.997$ Is that? Now a recent article came

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:39:55.997 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.770$ out talking about recommendations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:39:58.770 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.252$ A standardized recommend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

00:40:00.252 --> 00:40:02.728 Nations 4Y-90, in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:40:02.728 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.704$ resin microspheres and what they say

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:40:05.704 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.387$ is that if you do not use cone beam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:40:09.390 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.288$ CT or advanced imaging techniques that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:40:12.288 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.248$ that companies or vendors that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

00:40:15.248 --> 00:40:17.623 supporting clinical trials won't actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:40:17.623 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.165$ want them as part of one of their sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

00:40:21.170 --> 00:40:24.682 OK, this just shows some data from Europe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:40:24.682 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.848$ on those patients that are all within

 $00:40:27.848 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.189$ all across all the PC else stages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00{:}40{:}31.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}33.190$ I'm in this particular study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:40:33.190 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.040$ You can see the median overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:40:36.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.610$ Survival's in a PCL CAB&C.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

00:40:38.610 --> 00:40:40.182 Residents, although common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00{:}40{:}40.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}43.678$ are fatigue, nausea, vomiting and fever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:40:43.678 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.043$ There's the GI ulcers are very uncommon

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:40:48.043 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.110$ and as well as grade three biliary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:40:52.110 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.590$ Issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:40:53.590 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.649$ And you know one of the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:40:56.649 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.540$ prolific users of Y-90.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

00:40:58.540 --> 00:41:00.790 This is North data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00{:}41{:}00.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}01.690$ Northwestern University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:41:01.690 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.384$ where they looked at their first

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

00:41:04.384 --> 00:41:07.540 1000 patients over a 15 year period,

 $00:41:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.240$ and you can see all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

00:41:10.240 --> 00:41:11.590 classification systems, child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

00:41:11.590 --> 00:41:12.490 child, Pugh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

00:41:12.490 --> 00:41:14.740 AB&C where you see BCLCAB&C,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:41:14.740 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.945$ and they've even treated patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:41:16.945 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.140$ with PC LCD and then with those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:41:20.140 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.840$ patients that had child Pugh A&B.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:41:22.840 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.428$ These are the overall survival rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:41:26.430 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.824$ And you can see they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:41:28.824 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.420$ very low adverse events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00{:}41{:}30.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}32.365$ So based on their experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:41:32.365 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.810$ with 1000 patients over 15 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:41:34.810 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.895$ they use radio embolization as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

00:41:36.895 --> 00:41:38.563 their primary treatment option

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:41:38.563 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.399$ and then this just shows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:41:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.764$ And this is something that I

 $00:41:42.764 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.816$ think we basically treat probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:41:44.816 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.174$ less than I think we should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

00:41:47.180 --> 00:41:49.394 We start tumor board is patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:41:49.394 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.970$ that have portal vein tumor thrombus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

00:41:51.970 --> 00:41:54.448 This just shows the overall benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:41:54.448 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.889$ of those patients that with Y-90.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:41:56.890 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.735$ And I also believe conventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:41:58.735 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.580$ tastes do a good job,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:42:00.580 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.905$ at least getting into the tumor vasculature

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00{:}42{:}03.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}07.018$ of these portal vein tumor thrombus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00{:}42{:}07.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}08.732$ They're having some advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00{:}42{:}08.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10.444$ radio with embolization concepts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:42:10.450 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.170$ When we first started

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

00:42:12.170 --> 00:42:13.460 doing radio embolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:42:13.460 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.600$ patients got whole liver infusions.

00:42:15.600 --> 00:42:16.017 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00{:}42{:}16.017 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}17.685$ over time with patients getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

00:42:17.685 --> 00:42:19.770 going into liver failure and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

00:42:19.832 --> 00:42:21.608 having really severe fatigue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:42:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.606$ it's turned into a originally low bar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

00:42:24.610 --> 00:42:25.394 Infusions OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:42:25.394 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.746$ which you so from here to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:42:27.746 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.760$ here and then overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00{:}42{:}29.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}33.008$ The idea was that we can may be get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:42:33.008 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.272$ segmental infusions and then ultimately

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00{:}42{:}35.272 \longrightarrow 00{:}42{:}38.026$ get the infusion directly into the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:42:38.030 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.458$ Into the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:42:39.458 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.314$ provided that there is a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:42:42.314 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.467$ or maybe two feeding arteries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:42:45.470 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.270$ So here we talk about radio radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

00:42:48.270 --> 00:42:48.888 lobectomy OK,

 $00:42:48.888 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.360$ and this is a way that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00{:}42{:}51.433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}53.445$ hypertrophied the liver prior

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:42:53.445 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.960$ to resection while still keeping

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:42:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.469$ control of the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:42:57.470 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.950$ So the idea here is that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:42:59.950 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.713$ generate future liver remnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

00:43:01.713 --> 00:43:03.865 hypertrophie that permit resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:43:03.870 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.670$ allowing for a biological test of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

00:43:06.670 --> 00:43:09.470 And as you may have seen from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:43:09.470 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.670$ the previous patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00{:}43{:}10.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}13.112$ the previous slide we can permit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:43:13.112 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.212$ patients with portal vein tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365445

 $00:43:15.212 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.977$ thrombus to maybe be converted to even.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337787

00:43:17.980 --> 00:43:19.688 Being resectable the pathophysiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337787

00:43:19.688 --> 00:43:22.715 of this is that you are getting

00:43:22.715 --> 00:43:25.025 some scarring and fibrosis of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337787

 $00{:}43{:}25.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}27.819$ liver in the side that was treated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337787

 $00:43:27.820 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.208$ and therefore you're getting compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337787

 $00:43:30.208 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.740$ Tori hypertrophy of the untreated low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337787

 $00:43:32.740 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.560$ That being said, the treatment changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337787

 $00:43:35.560 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.269$ are comparable to portal vein EMBO.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337787

 $00:43:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.158$ I'll beat it. Invite at a slightly lower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337787

 $00:43:41.160 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.170$ slower way, but it does have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337787

 $00:43:43.170 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.130$ the benefit of tumor control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337787

00:43:45.130 --> 00:43:46.358 which portal embolization doesn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337787

 $00:43:46.358 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.200$ and then we can talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337787

00:43:48.252 --> 00:43:49.460 radiation segment ectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337787

 $00:43:49.460 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.326$ which is directly getting which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337787

00:43:51.326 --> 00:43:53.789 supposed to be a curative treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337787

 $00:43:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.858$ similar to ablation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337787

 $00:43:54.858 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.350$ where you treat up to two panic

 $00:43:57.426 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.206$ segments with a low bardo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337787

 $00{:}43{:}59.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}01.418$ So you're basically taking this large

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337787

00:44:01.418 --> 00:44:04.259 dose that you do for the whole lobe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337787

00:44:04.260 --> 00:44:07.908 and putting it into one or two segments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337787

00:44:07.910 --> 00:44:10.680 OK, you get much higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:44:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.135$ Active activity to each of those areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

00:44:15.135 --> 00:44:17.568 and in some cases it's been adopted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

00:44:17.568 --> 00:44:19.468 as first line transarterial therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:44:19.470 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.158$ So the idea here that is that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

00:44:22.158 --> 00:44:24.129 really needs to. In my opinion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:44:24.129 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.460$ it really needs to be more validated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:44:26.460 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.421$ but you can see from this case that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00{:}44{:}29.421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}32.120$ placing the catheter right up to the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00{:}44{:}32.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}34.292$ The tumor here is completely hot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:44:34.292 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.022$ and then after six weeks later you

00:44:37.022 --> 00:44:39.434 see complete necrosis of the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

00:44:39.440 --> 00:44:41.967 So I also wanted to focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

00:44:41.967 --> 00:44:43.050 portal vein embolization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:44:43.050 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.216$ This is a transvenous therapy supportively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:44:45.220 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.900$ and embolization is is that it's a way

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

00:44:47.900 --> 00:44:50.285 of redirecting portal blood flow to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:44:50.285 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.807$ future liver remnant and by doing so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

00:44:52.807 --> 00:44:55.237 could initiate hypertrophy of the non

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:44:55.237 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.486$ embolize segments and by doing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:44:57.486 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.922$ can reduce perioperative complications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00{:}44{:}58.922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}01.406$ such that we can increase the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:45:01.406 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.116$ of potential surgical candidates who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:45:03.179 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.399$ have what we call marginal anticipated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:45:05.399 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.879$ future liver remnant volumes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00{:}45{:}06.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}09.456$ We can also achieve looks like similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:45:09.460 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.312$ Survival rates surgical

00:45:10.312 --> 00:45:11.448 patients not requiring PV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:45:11.450 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.582$ Now Kevin Billingslea spoke

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:45:12.582 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.997$ about this a month ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

00:45:14.000 --> 00:45:16.840 so I didn't want to focus too much on it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:45:16.840 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.441$ But this is just a case of a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:45:19.441 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.268$ with HTC 10 centimeter solitaire E mass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:45:22.270 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.974$ But you can see here we perform volumetry

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:45:24.974 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.000$ in patients that have cirrhosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:45:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.820$ but normal underlying liver function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:45:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.732$ We need about 40% of the remaining liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

00:45:31.732 --> 00:45:34.280 after surgery, so this patient had 33%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:45:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.848$ I don't want to get into all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00{:}45{:}36.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}39.379$ like how you measure it exactly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:45:39.380 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.928$ It's kind of beyond the scope here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

00:45:41.930 --> 00:45:43.745 but basically this patient did

 $00:45:43.745 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.197$ not have sufficient liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00{:}45{:}45.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}46.660$ A sufficient anticipated future

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:45:46.660 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.390$ liver remnant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:45:47.390 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.210$ So this patient was considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:45:49.210 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.030$ a candidate for right Pve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:45:51.030 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.598$ We do write PVE,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:45:52.598 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.774$ we do Pve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

00:45:53.780 --> 00:45:55.405 Cricket Aneus Lee where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00{:}45{:}55.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}57.030$ puncture into the right portal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:45:57.095 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.779$ vein and ipsilateral approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00{:}45{:}58.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}01.034$ We infuse particles and coils and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00{:}46{:}01.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}03.212$ can see that there's complete diversion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:46:03.212 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.280$ of flow from the right into the left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:46:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.422$ We do the volumes and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

00:46:08.422 --> 00:46:09.850 patient increased their size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:46:09.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.546$ their liver and 18% so this patient was

 $00:46:12.546 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.837$ considered a candidate for right hip.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:46:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.339$ Protect me and this is how the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:46:17.339 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.410$ liberal looks intra,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:46:18.410 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.670$ procedurally or interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:46:19.670 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.770$ intraoperatively where you see a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:46:21.770 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.910$ very atrophic right lobe and a very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

00:46:23.910 --> 00:46:28.179 Hypertrophic very pinkish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00{:}46{:}28.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}30.862$ Left lobe so this patient underwent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:46:30.862 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.230$ a very uneventful hospital course

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:46:33.230 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.240$ after surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:46:34.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.030$ but developed recurrence at five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:46:37.030 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.382$ but then underwent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00{:}46{:}39.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}40.950$ successful transplantation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509198

 $00:46:40.950 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.539$ A little bit of data on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:46:44.610 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.570$ This is the only prospective

00:46:46.570 --> 00:46:48.951 clinical trial looking at PVE and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:46:48.951 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.039$ in the setting of injured liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:46:51.040 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.866$ It's the only clinical trial that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:46:53.866 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.059$ will be done because there's those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:46:57.060 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.748$ Surgeons and interventional radiologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:46:58.748 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.677$ who believe that it's unethical to submit

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:47:01.677 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.693$ to subjective patient to a procedure

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:47:03.693 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.679$ that they think or I should say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00{:}47{:}05.680 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}47{:}08.352$ not subject to patients to a procedure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:47:08.352 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.700$ those surgeons and radiologists think work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00{:}47{:}10.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}13.010$ And then if a patient dies because

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:47:13.010 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.009$ they went into liver failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:47:15.010 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.810$ that will be a problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

00:47:16.810 --> 00:47:19.834 So this just shows how using

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:47:19.834 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.346$ Portal vein embolization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:47:21.350 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.610$ Actually improves patients

 $00:47:22.610 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.450$ postoperative course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00{:}47{:}23.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}26.996$ This was a study that we did at MD

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:47:26.996 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.905$ Anderson where we see that all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:47:29.905 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.496$ the deaths occur in those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:47:32.496 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.576$ that did not have Pve and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:47:35.576 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.204$ in terms of survival outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:47:38.204 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.296$ We have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00{:}47{:}39.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}41.743$ you can see that there are pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:47:41.743 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.945$ similar those patients to those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:47:43.945 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.479$ that have deviated to those that didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:47:46.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.490$ Now what we need to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:47:48.490 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.548$ is that those patients that did

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:47:50.548 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.486$ not I should say that received

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00{:}47{:}52.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}54.020$ portal vein embolization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:47:54.020 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.452$ Those patients typically would

 $00:47:55.452 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.015$ not have been a certain would not

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:47:58.015 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.755$ have been a surgical candidate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:47:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.192$ would have probably undergone

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:48:01.192 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.266$ a transarterial therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:48:02.270 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.784$ and the numbers show that those

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

00:48:04.784 --> 00:48:06.916 patients would probably have a 20

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:48:06.916 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.572$ to 30% three year overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

00:48:08.572 --> 00:48:10.890 So just by doing the Pve and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00{:}48{:}10.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}12.640$ getting the patient to surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:48:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.789$ the patient had a much better outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00{:}48{:}14.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}16.533$ So when we look at the staging

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

00:48:16.533 --> 00:48:18.670 system which I discussed in the very

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:48:18.670 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.330$ beginning and by understanding all

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:48:20.330 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.362$ the different procedures that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:48:22.362 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.302$ offer at all the different stages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

 $00:48:24.302 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.114$ we now see that those patients

 $00:48:26.114 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.997$ that have very early or early

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872134

00:48:27.997 --> 00:48:29.527 stage disease can result in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:48:33.280 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.660$ Major stage be can have a greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:48:35.660 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.500$ than 2.5 year survival and then those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:48:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.349$ Of course that have advanced in terminal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:48:41.349 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.350$ stages obviously don't do as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:48:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.588$ but this just shows a recent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

00:48:45.590 --> 00:48:48.574 This is from a recent Journal of Hepatology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:48:48.574 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.479$ Clinical Practice guidelines from Easel

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:48:50.479 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.041$ that there is really the newest thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

00:48:53.050 --> 00:48:55.738 So I just wanted to pretty much finish

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:48:55.738 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.020$ up by some things that we're doing now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:48:59.020 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.964$ Some new therapeutic approaches and I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:49:01.964 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.969$ discuss immunotherapy and interventional on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:49:03.970 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.718$ And how it interacts with interventional

 $00:49:06.718 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.660$ oncology so we all know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00{:}49{:}09.660 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}49{:}11.712$ immunotherapy plays an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:49:11.712 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.620$ role in malignant tumor treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:49:14.620 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.792$ In particular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:49:15.792 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.136$ Immune checkpoint inhibitors have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00{:}49{:}18.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}21.008$ promising clinical applications and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:49:21.008 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.273$ also understand that monotherapy only

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:49:23.273 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.279$ benefits a small portion of the patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:49:26.280 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.400$ So for that reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:49:28.400 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.520$ combination of different immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:49:30.520 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.165$ checkpoint inhibitors with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

00:49:32.165 --> 00:49:34.197 different mechanism of action.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:49:34.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.817$ Have been utilized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:49:35.817 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.356$ However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:49:36.356 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.051$ despite this there's been a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:49:39.051 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.298$ increase in the incidence of immune

 $00:49:42.298 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.398$ related severe adverse events,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:49:44.400 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.264$ so in some cases a lot of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:49:48.264 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.456$ may not be really amenable to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:49:52.460 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.276$ We know that our interventional oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:49:56.276 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.389$ therapies do elicit systemic immune response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

00:50:00.390 --> 00:50:00.709 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:50:00.709 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.261$ these responses may be too weak to prevent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

00:50:03.261 --> 00:50:05.489 local recurrence in distant metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:50:05.490 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.314$ and it's really unclear how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00{:}50{:}07.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}09.058$ can regulate the immune system

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

00:50:09.058 --> 00:50:10.946 through these different mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:50:10.950 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.605$ and this is a you know from a paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00{:}50{:}13.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}16.410$ from current oncology reports in 2020,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8070893

 $00:50:16.410 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.230$ which shows a very complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:50:20.460 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.169$ I used paradigm in how we know therapy can

 $00:50:23.169 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.279$ be used in the setting of chemoembolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00{:}50{:}26.280 \to 00{:}50{:}27.606$ radio embolization, inflation etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

00:50:27.606 --> 00:50:30.258 So there is an opportunity for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

00:50:30.258 --> 00:50:31.966 potential synergy with these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:50:31.966 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.966$ checkpoint inhibitors with some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:50:33.966 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.909$ the therapies that we can offer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:50:35.910 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.598$ And this just shows how taste and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

00:50:38.598 --> 00:50:41.029 ablation and even breakey therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00{:}50{:}41.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}43.165$ really can result in both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:50:43.165 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.873$ immunostimulation an immune suppression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:50:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.346$ I don't want to get into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00.50.47.346 \longrightarrow 00.50.49.570$ all the details of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:50:49.570 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.156$ but basically it's something where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:50:52.156 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.308$ can utilize the intervention oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:50:54.308 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.462$ therapies in order to really delve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

00:50:56.462 --> 00:50:59.569 into how we can treat patients much

 $00:50:59.569 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.529$ more effectively with immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:51:01.530 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.296$ And then this just shows that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:51:04.296 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.890$ there are numerous ongoing studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:51:06.890 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.316$ Looking at the combination of immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:51:10.316 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.024$ therapy with locoregional therapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:51:13.024 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.030$ this is a study I just wanted to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

00:51:16.121 --> 00:51:19.465 one that just got activated two days ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

00:51:19.470 --> 00:51:21.078 At Yale Cancer Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00{:}51{:}21.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}23.490$ which probably need to discuss soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:51:23.490 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.274$ If Mario allows me to at our upcoming

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

00:51:26.274 --> 00:51:29.118 one of our upcoming tumor boards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:51:29.120 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.927$ which is the Merkley 012 clinical trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

00:51:31.930 --> 00:51:34.744 which is basically taste is the backbone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

00:51:34.750 --> 00:51:36.760 with or without, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:51:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.142$ therapy,

 $00:51:37.142 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.198$ which in this case is is pen bro

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00{:}51{:}40.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}42.297$ plus at multi kinase inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:51:42.297 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.626$ which is live at and if so each

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

00:51:45.626 --> 00:51:48.419 patient will get taste and then they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:51:48.419 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.900$ may or may not be randomized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:51:50.900 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.550$ Those they get the systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

00:51:52.550 --> 00:51:54.200 therapy and those that don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:51:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.840$ but we can go into that another time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

00:51:56.840 --> 00:51:57.526 And Lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

00:51:57.526 --> 00:51:59.584 I just wanted to show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00{:}51{:}59.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}01.989$ we do treat other patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:52:01.990 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.326$ I just have a couple of cases to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:52:04.326 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.107$ show that this is a patient with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:52:07.107 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.807$ colorectal cancer that followed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:52:08.810 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.884$ They failed multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00{:}52{:}09.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}11.316$ chemotherapeutic Regimen's who was,

 $00:52:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.652$ as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:52:12.652 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.650$ has in numerable tumors with with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

00:52:14.721 --> 00:52:16.796 colorectal cancer did have normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

00:52:16.796 --> 00:52:18.871 underlying liver function and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

00:52:18.941 --> 00:52:20.825 treated this patient with white 90

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:52:20.825 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.658$ and you can see that there's a clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:52:23.658 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.405$ impact on the tumor response and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

00:52:26.405 --> 00:52:29.267 don't want to go into all the surf locks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00.52:29.270 \longrightarrow 00.52:30.774$ data and all that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:52:30.774 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.654$ but just be aware that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:52:32.660 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.556$ We can do it for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:52:34.560 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.413$ This is a patient that was referred to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00{:}52{:}37.420 --> 00{:}52{:}37.736 \ \mathrm{Well},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:52:37.736 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.264$ it was a Cornell from a radiation oncologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

00:52:40.270 --> 00:52:42.489 Actually with chair who had breast cancer,

 $00:52:42.490 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.821$ liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:52:42.821 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.152$ Mets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

00:52:43.152 --> 00:52:45.469 And as you can see it's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00{:}52{:}45.469 \to 00{:}52{:}47.258$ really overtaking the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:52:47.260 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.897$ I was asked to see if we can really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00{:}52{:}49.897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}52.451$ do anything for this patient and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:52:52.451 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.586$ did why 90 the page and then this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:52:55.586 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.992$ was a situation where the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:52:58.000 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.464$ was able to see even though she

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:53:00.464 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.650$ did succumb not within a year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00{:}53{:}02.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}04.757$ she was able to see her son's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:53:04.757 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.018$ wedding and also spend their her

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:53:07.018 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.738$ last Thanksgiving with family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:53:08.740 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.456$ So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:53:09.456 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.320$ this is where the palliative nature comes in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:53:12.320 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.394$ And then Lastly,

00:53:13.394 --> 00:53:15.900 this is a patient with pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:53:15.900 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.800$ who we were able to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:53:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.275$ Treat with conventional tastes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:53:19.275 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.130$ a term that I call like just like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:53:22.130 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.882$ radiation segmentectomy something I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

00:53:23.882 --> 00:53:26.050 call now chemoembolization segmentectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:53:26.050 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.408$ where we can actually you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:53:28.410 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.577$ in pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00:53:29.577 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.300$ we know these patients have very hypo

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00{:}53{:}32.366 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}53{:}34.934$ vascular tumors in a very dismal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445389

 $00{:}53{:}34.934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}36.646$ plastic fibrotic tumor structure

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:53:36.717 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.413$ where if we can treat the entire segment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:53:39.420 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.766$ the tumors cannot live, so that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

00:53:41.766 --> 00:53:44.130 something that we're also looking at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:53:44.130 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.775$ so I wanted to make it clear that you

 $00:53:47.775 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.369$ know HCC is not the only thing we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00{:}53{:}51.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}53.596$ If you do have patients that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:53:53.596 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.764$ other types of tumors, we're actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:53:55.764 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.123$ able to really treat those as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:53:58.130 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.775$ and we're happy to speak to you about them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

00:54:01.780 --> 00:54:04.426 So in conclusion, I hope I demonstrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:54:04.426 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.691$ their local regional therapies do play

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:54:06.691 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.162$ an important role in the management of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00{:}54{:}09.231 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}11.817$ both primary and metastatic liver cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

00:54:11.820 --> 00:54:14.130 They often provide benefit for survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

00:54:14.130 --> 00:54:15.360 local tumor control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:54:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.820$ and improve quality of life compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:54:17.820 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.258$ to and in some cases, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:54:20.258 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.053$ compared to resection and compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:54:22.053 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.780$ to some systemic therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:54:23.780 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.710$ it may result in cure.

 $00:54:25.710 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.928$ In some patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

00:54:26.928 --> 00:54:29.770 such as those that have solitaire E

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

00:54:29.848 --> 00:54:32.188 small HCC's and can enable patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:54:32.188 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.830$ to be bridge or down stage 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:54:34.830 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.500$ Transplant or surgery?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:54:37.500 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.679$ There's various sublative

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:54:38.679 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.858$ entrance arterial therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:54:39.860 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.830$ and they have very different

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:54:41.830 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.406$ mechanism of of actions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00{:}54{:}43.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}45.774$ but I think when looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:54:45.774 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.350$ these kinds of the rapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:54:47.350 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.275$ it's important to really understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00{:}54{:}49.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52.065$ the real true nuances of the therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:54:52.065 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.055$ that you're either performing or

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:54:54.055 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.856$ requesting is a refer so that you

00:54:56.856 --> 00:54:58.781 really understand what we're talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

00:54:58.781 --> 00:55:01.612 about and how to read reports when

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:55:01.612 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.846$ they come out saying ablation

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:55:03.846 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.910$ or a key mobilization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:55:05.910 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.374$ I've also hope to have shown advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:55:08.374 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.270$ that both advanced imaging an

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:55:10.270 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.190$ catheter based technology has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:55:12.190 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.670$ very helpful in treatment decisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:55:14.670 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.386$ By providing intraprocedural guidance

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:55:16.386 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.531$ and the apeutic confirmation that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:55:18.531 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.029$ were able to effectively treat the tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00{:}55{:}21.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}23.179$ and we're also now on the precipice

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:55:23.179 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.302$ of looking at combination therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

00:55:25.302 --> 00:55:26.997 which appear promising,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:55:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.786$ such as those found in immuno oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918545

 $00:55:29.790 \dashrightarrow 00:55:32.966$ So with that I think I'll stop here.

 $00:55:35.820 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.446$ Think I was on time, but you know I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.83673346

 $00{:}55{:}38.446 \to 00{:}55{:}40.760$ sure we have some time for questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87695014

 $00:55:42.060 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.128$ Thank you very

NOTE Confidence: 0.87695014

00:55:43.130 --> 00:55:47.062 much, Sir David. For anybody has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87695014

 $00{:}55{:}47.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}49.612$ question and type type it please in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87695014

 $00:55:49.612 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.929$ the chat and then we will respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87695014

 $00:55:51.930 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.576$ In the end I mean by what

NOTE Confidence: 0.87695014

 $00:55:54.576 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.690$ people may be thinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87695014

 $00:55:56.690 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.154$ I want to thank you for the these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87695014

 $00:56:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.619$ Are this great review of all the possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75699204

 $00:56:05.740 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.350$ Approach is there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75699204

 $00{:}56{:}08.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}09.826$ Interventional radiology can can

NOTE Confidence: 0.75699204

00:56:09.826 --> 00:56:12.040 provide for the treatment of primary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75699204

 $00:56:12.040 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.126$ secondary liver tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75699204

00:56:13.126 --> 00:56:16.435 I mean from my own point of view, I,

00:56:16.435 --> 00:56:19.115 I think you really showed the complexity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.75699204

 $00:56:19.115 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.997$ the dictation making that is behind this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75699204

00:56:22.000 --> 00:56:25.312 It's you know, as you said at the beginning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75699204

 $00:56:25.320 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.910$ we think in a very simplified way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75699204

 $00:56:27.910 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.095$ Yeah, let's sub later if aid is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75699204

 $00:56:31.100 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.438$ But in reality, you really do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75699204

 $00:56:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.618$ Send the patient to centers that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.75699204

00:56:38.618 --> 00:56:41.048 all these possibilities, Anan abilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75699204

 $00{:}56{:}41.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}43.718$ and they can really tailor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75699204

 $00:56:43.720 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.730$ The treatment of the patient to the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73697114

 $00:56:49.160 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.588$ To amend personalized treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.73697114

 $00:56:50.588 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.110$ to the patient, and. Great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7393944

 $00:56:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.158$ So we have a question from even a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7393944

 $00:56:58.158 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.990$ rukundo great informative presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7393944

00:57:00.990 --> 00:57:02.938 My questions and terminology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7393944

 $00:57:02.938 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.886$ embolus therapy versus embolization.

 $00:57:04.890 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.838$ Is there any difference?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

 $00{:}57{:}08.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}10.960$ Well, that's actually a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

00:57:10.960 --> 00:57:13.050 interesting question because I like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

 $00:57:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:57:15.538$ to always call things emblow therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

 $00:57:15.540 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.625$ Now clearly there's really not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

00:57:17.625 --> 00:57:19.293 a major difference between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

00:57:19.300 --> 00:57:21.790 Well, I guess the term embolization

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

 $00:57:21.790 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.880$ implies that similar to like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

 $00{:}57{:}23.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}25.965$ what a pulmonary embolism uses

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

00:57:25.965 --> 00:57:28.050 that you're taking a catheter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

 $00{:}57{:}28.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}30.570$ and your introgenic Lee moving one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

 $00:57:30.570 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.469$ particle or or structure to another area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

 $00:57:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.815$ Now that could be with one particle, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

 $00:57:36.815 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.790$ But that doesn't have to be with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

 $00:57:39.790 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.555$ The whole thing, so I guess emblow

 $00:57:42.555 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.400$ therapy is actually defined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

 $00:57:44.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.065$ Should be defined as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

 $00:57:47.065 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.197$ treatment of patients with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

 $00:57:49.200 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.420$ You know, using these transcatheter

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

 $00:57:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.308$ transarterial methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

00:57:52.310 --> 00:57:54.860 but I guess embolization doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

 $00:57:54.860 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.410$ necessarily have to be the rapeutic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

 $00:57:57.410 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.318$ So that's actually a great I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

 $00:57:59.318 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.250$ think about that all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

00:58:01.250 --> 00:58:04.130 And you know when we talk about in reports,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

 $00{:}58{:}04.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}05.730$ for example, embolization of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

00:58:05.730 --> 00:58:08.169 would you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

00:58:08.170 --> 00:58:10.036 And I think that's the actual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381277

 $00:58:10.040 \longrightarrow 00:58:11.288$ That's the actual difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42469427

00:58:14.410 --> 00:58:19.150 I come. Baby, do you have?

NOTE Confidence: 0.42469427

 $00{:}58{:}19.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}22.340$ Can you expand a little bit of

 $00:58:22.340 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.609$ the possible adverse effect of

NOTE Confidence: 0.42469427

00:58:24.610 --> 00:58:27.930 combination therapy with the. They

NOTE Confidence: 0.7514345

 $00:58:27.930 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.950$ send the email uncle immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84548587

 $00:58:32.750 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.475$ Well, basically we don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.84548587

00:58:34.475 --> 00:58:36.205 that yet, right? I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84548587

 $00.58:36.205 \longrightarrow 00.58:37.930$ that's why we're doing these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84548587

00:58:37.930 --> 00:58:40.000 That's why we're doing these studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84548587

 $00:58:40.000 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.029$ I mean basically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

00:58:43.050 --> 00:58:47.018 We also we also don't know if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00:58:47.018 \longrightarrow 00:58:51.018$ should do the if you should do the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00:58:51.020 \longrightarrow 00:58:53.708$ There are which therapy used to 1st right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00{:}58{:}53.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}56.598$ Like should you do the should you start

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00{:}58{:}56.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}59.774$ with taste and and then follow it with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00:58:59.774 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.186$ immunotherapy or should you start with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00:59:02.186 \longrightarrow 00:59:05.186$ your therapy and then do the taste right?

00:59:05.190 --> 00:59:08.228 You know each. I guess trial is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

00:59:08.228 --> 00:59:11.680 very different, right? And each.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

00:59:11.680 --> 00:59:14.725 I guess therapy has its own company,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00:59:14.730 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.380$ has its own adverse events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00:59:18.380 \longrightarrow 00:59:21.776$ The thought is that you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

00:59:21.776 --> 00:59:24.122 do the immunotherapy. You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00{:}59{:}24.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}26.690$ after the at least two weeks you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00:59:26.759 \longrightarrow 00:59:29.769$ do the therapy immunotherapy after the taste.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00{:}59{:}29.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}32.388$ That by then the tastes adverse events

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00:59:32.388 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.316$ should already be taken out of the equation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00{:}59{:}35.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}37.540$ Because in the time that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

00:59:37.540 --> 00:59:38.650 getting the immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

00:59:38.650 --> 00:59:40.130 those patients would already

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00:59:40.130 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.610$ be beyond that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00:59:41.610 \longrightarrow 00:59:43.983$ So in terms of the actual combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00:59:43.983 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.346$ is kind of hard to understand. I mean,

 $00:59:47.346 \longrightarrow 00:59:50.124$ particularly in the Merck study where.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00{:}59{:}50.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}51.950$ Pizza getting taste first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00:59:51.950 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.500$ So you know, we still don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00:59:54.500 \longrightarrow 00:59:55.090 I \text{ mean}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00:59:55.090 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.727$ we're still very early in in all of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00:59:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.788$ you know, in all of these studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $00:59:59.790 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.272$ So I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167627

 $01{:}00{:}00.272 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}02.200$ I don't have a very good answer yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5690657

 $01:00:04.210 \longrightarrow 01:00:07.205$ Switch an ATC and different

NOTE Confidence: 0.5690657

 $01:00:07.205 \longrightarrow 01:00:09.002$ histopathology dash subtypes

NOTE Confidence: 0.5690657

 $01:00:09.002 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.639$ with different molecular bases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5690657

 $01:00:11.640 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.010$ Do you predict?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5690657

 $01{:}00{:}15.010 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}17.369$ If there is a possibility that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5690657

 $01{:}00{:}17.369 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}19.655$ different subtype of ACC can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.5690657

 $01:00:19.655 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.271$ treated differently by locoregional

01:00:21.271 --> 01:00:23.609 therapies and they, I would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01:00:23.610 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.860$ to say the answer is yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01:00:25.860 \longrightarrow 01:00:28.428$ The reason why I think that is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01:00:28.428 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.932$ there's a very big difference is as

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

01:00:30.932 --> 01:00:33.648 you know in colon cancer and right

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01:00:33.648 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.216$ sided versus left sided colon cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01:00:36.216 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.636$ and actuality when there's been studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01:00:38.636 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.970$ out there that with colon cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01{:}00{:}41.038 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}43.090$ that there's when patients get why

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01:00:43.090 \longrightarrow 01:00:45.798$ 90 to deliver in patients that have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01{:}00{:}45.800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}47.910$ Different types of colon cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01:00:47.910 \longrightarrow 01:00:51.150$ They actually get different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01:00:51.150 \longrightarrow 01:00:53.140$ Response rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01{:}00{:}53.140 \longrightarrow 01{:}00{:}56.844$ So that way you would actually be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01:00:56.844 \longrightarrow 01:01:00.959$ to tailor it so I do believe that in time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01:01:00.960 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.630$ You know, as I said,

 $01:01:02.630 \dashrightarrow 01:01:04.798$ I mean right now we're only like 40

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01{:}04.798 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}06.907$ years into really treating patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01:01:06.907 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.640$ these kinds of the rapies for liver cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01:01:09.640 \longrightarrow 01:01:12.475$ So which means to me that in 100 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01{:}01{:}12.475 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}15.067$ we're going to be so far advanced that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

01:01:15.067 --> 01:01:17.657 I don't see how you wouldn't have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

01:01:17.660 --> 01:01:19.712 you know, genetics involved into a

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01:01:19.712 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.738$ personalized treatment algorithm

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

01:01:20.738 --> 01:01:22.338 for these kinds of therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01:01:22.340 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.664$ so that's what we're here to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

01:01:24.670 --> 01:01:27.008 That's why we're doing the research here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01:01:27.010 \longrightarrow 01:01:29.674$ so I think that that's a great question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

01:01:29.680 --> 01:01:30.908 and I think that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

01:01:30.908 --> 01:01:31.829 Like I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81619465

 $01:01:31.830 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.244$ we're in the we're in the infancy.

 $01:01:34.220 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.645$ So the agency is acquisitively

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:01:36.645 --> 01:01:39.075 rather sensitive. How do you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:01:39.075 --> 01:01:41.997 decide between taste and why? 90?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:01:41.997 --> 01:01:44.482 Because in certain institution actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:01:44.482 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.320$ went 90 is preferably with days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:01:47.320 --> 01:01:50.230 Can you comment? So I thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:01:50.230 --> 01:01:55.080 I had a slide and an and where it went,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:01:55.080 --> 01:01:59.190 which was a meta analysis of. And actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:01:59.190 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.045$ the slide said how to decide now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:02:01.050 \longrightarrow 01:02:02.098$ Maybe I went through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:02:02.098 \dashrightarrow 01:02:03.980$ Maybe I clicked the button too fast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:02:03.980 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.644$ but at the end of the taste.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:02:07.650 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.986$ Question I thought I had a meta analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:02:10.986 --> 01:02:14.575 lie which basically shows that there's very

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:02:14.575 --> 01:02:18.079 similar outcomes in both taste and MY90,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:02:18.080 \longrightarrow 01:02:21.685$ so you know the way that we've

 $01:02:21.685 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.349$ used to think about it is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:02:25.350 \longrightarrow 01:02:28.262$ In in, in patients that were older patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:02:28.262 \longrightarrow 01:02:31.580$ that you know we want to do it as outpatient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:02:31.580 --> 01:02:32.964 You know, back then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:02:32.964 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.348$ those were all considered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:02:34.350 --> 01:02:36.080 You know why 90 patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:02:36.080 \longrightarrow 01:02:39.852$ OK? Now we do taste all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:02:39.852 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.680$ time as outpatient as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:02:41.680 --> 01:02:44.857 So when I'm looking at it I'm looking at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:02:44.860 \longrightarrow 01:02:46.960$ I guess the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:02:46.960 \longrightarrow 01:02:50.340$ The I guess you need to look at the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:02:50.340 \longrightarrow 01:02:53.119$ In general the performance status you need

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01{:}02{:}53.119 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}56.417$ to look at is it low bar or BI lo bar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:02:56.420 \longrightarrow 01:02:59.800$ You have to look at how you're going to like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:02:59.800 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.250$ If tumors are all in different locations

 $01:03:02.250 \longrightarrow 01:03:05.206$ where you have to cherry pick each each one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:03:05.210 --> 01:03:07.234 Sometimes you opt for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:03:07.234 --> 01:03:09.258 Radioembolization or the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:03:09.258 \longrightarrow 01:03:11.460$ one so so basically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:03:11.460 \longrightarrow 01:03:15.036$ If you have if you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:03:15.036 \longrightarrow 01:03:18.490$ multiple tumors in in a lobe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:03:18.490 \longrightarrow 01:03:21.210$ And you're doing radio embolization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:03:21.210 \longrightarrow 01:03:25.594$ You either have to treat the whole lobe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:03:25.600 --> 01:03:25.931 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:03:25.931 --> 01:03:28.910 which is a very big low bar taste or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:03:28.998 \longrightarrow 01:03:32.046$ you have to do all of this difficulty

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:03:32.046 \longrightarrow 01:03:34.799$ which is changing out catheters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:03:34.800 \longrightarrow 01:03:35.616$ splitting doses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:03:35.616 --> 01:03:37.656 You know it's very complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:03:37.656 \longrightarrow 01:03:39.998$ the way the anatomy is OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:03:40.000 \longrightarrow 01:03:42.728$ so the other thing I said is that

 $01{:}03{:}42.728 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}44.601$ sometimes you have patients where

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01{:}03{:}44.601 \longrightarrow 01{:}03{:}47.745$ you don't know what you want to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:03:47.745 \longrightarrow 01:03:50.105$ and chemoembolization or the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:03:50.105 \longrightarrow 01:03:52.502$ embolization is besides why 90.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:03:52.502 \longrightarrow 01:03:56.016$ Are ones where you can get them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:03:56.020 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.396$ You can get the product off the shelf.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:03:59.400 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.753$ OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:03:59.753 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.577$ so instead of having to order it and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:04:02.577 --> 01:04:05.232 and waiting and all that kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:04:05.232 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.006$ stuff so you know there's a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:04:09.006 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.214$ different opportunities for treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:04:11.220 \longrightarrow 01:04:14.880$ Unfortunately, and this is where.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:04:14.880 --> 01:04:16.404 You know, institutional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:04:16.404 --> 01:04:18.944 I guess expertise comes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:04:18.944 \longrightarrow 01:04:21.688$ is that there is no answer.

01:04:21.690 --> 01:04:24.504 OK, there really isn't, and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:04:24.510 \longrightarrow 01:04:27.324$ I, as we've discussed in the past,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:04:27.330 \longrightarrow 01:04:29.983$ I personally believe taste is a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:04:29.983 --> 01:04:32.568 option for portal vein tumor thrombus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:04:32.570 \longrightarrow 01:04:33.560$ because you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:04:33.560 \longrightarrow 01:04:36.574$ if you look at a lot of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:04:36.574 --> 01:04:37.810 Asian publications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:04:37.810 \longrightarrow 01:04:40.120$ you can see the actual apidel

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01{:}04{:}40.120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}42.650$ sitting in the portal vein codian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:04:42.650 --> 01:04:45.205 OK, where it's very difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:04:45.205 \longrightarrow 01:04:47.760$ to see that with Y-90.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:04:47.760 --> 01:04:50.912 So I know that why 90 right now

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:04:50.912 \longrightarrow 01:04:53.580$ seems to be the hot option.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:04:53.580 \longrightarrow 01:04:55.660$ Technically I used in figuratively

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:04:55.660 --> 01:04:57.740 for portal vein tumor thrombus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:04:57.740 \longrightarrow 01:05:00.659$ but it's a very very delicate situation,

 $01:05:00.660 \longrightarrow 01:05:02.840$ I mean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:05:02.840 \longrightarrow 01:05:04.996$ I I don't really get too much

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:05:04.996 \longrightarrow 01:05:06.440$ into the expensive at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:05:06.440 \longrightarrow 01:05:08.540$ which we haven't even discussed at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756 01:05:08.540 --> 01:05:09.960 but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:05:09.960 \longrightarrow 01:05:11.922$ A lot of it is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01:05:11.922 \longrightarrow 01:05:12.576$ institutional northwestern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:05:12.580 --> 01:05:14.458 I guess you would most likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:05:14.458 --> 01:05:16.635 get away 90 even though I do

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

01:05:16.635 --> 01:05:18.630 know they do taste so you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.7461756

 $01{:}05{:}18.707 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}20.747$ it's very difficult to choose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8266201

 $01:05:21.500 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.110$ Alright, so if there are no no other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8266201

 $01:05:25.110 \longrightarrow 01:05:27.810$ questions, I think we need we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8266201

 $01:05:27.810 \longrightarrow 01:05:31.418$ We need to thank David Matter for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8266201

 $01:05:31.420 \longrightarrow 01:05:34.350$ Very nice, informative in broad

 $01{:}05{:}34.350 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}38.314$ lecture an and keep that in mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8266201

 $01:05:38.314 \longrightarrow 01:05:41.569$ when we have a patient with the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8266201

 $01{:}05{:}41.570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}43.670$ Metastatic of primary liver cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8266201

 $01\text{:}05\text{:}43.670 \dashrightarrow 01\text{:}05\text{:}46.190$ Thank you very much to all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8266201

 $01:05:46.190 \longrightarrow 01:05:48.290$ and have a good evening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994688

 $01:05:48.290 \longrightarrow 01:05:52.210$ Thanks Mary for the invitation.