WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:01:43.2960000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.797424852848053

00:00:00.000 - 00:00:03.390 Offered by the smile or Liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.797424852848053

 $00:00:03.390 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.290$ cancer program and we want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797424852848053

 $00:00:07.290 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.470$ Go in the next three months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797424852848053

 $00{:}00{:}10.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}14.180$ go through some of the aspects that

NOTE Confidence: 0.797424852848053

 $00{:}00{:}14.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17.948$ are more novel in the treatment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.797424852848053

 $00:00:17.948 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.600$ able to sell a carcinoma liver cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797424852848053

00:00:21.600 - 00:00:25.310 and we asked some of our faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797424852848053

 $00:00:25.310 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.370$ like Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.723835051059723

00:00:26.370 --> 00:00:27.960 Billingslea, another Madoff

NOTE Confidence: 0.723835051059723

 $00:00:27.960 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.080$ and Doctor Stein too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:00:30.080 \rightarrow 00:00:33.482$ Teach us the novelty in their respective

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:00:33.482 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.759$ field as you know about the cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:00:36.759 \rightarrow 00:00:39.916$ carcinoma is on the rise is becoming

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:00:39.916 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.255$ one of the most frequent and and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00{:}00{:}43.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}46.096$ little two models on our latitudes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00:00:46.096 \rightarrow 00:00:49.250$ And what is important to understand
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00{:}00{:}49{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51{.}930$ is that treatment of hepatocellular
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00:00:52.010 \rightarrow 00:00:55.209$ carcinoma is complex is a moving target.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00:00:55.210 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.886$ And then it takes a village.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00{:}00{:}57.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01{.}394$ Here you see some of the our colleagues
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00:01:01.394 \rightarrow 00:01:04.805$ working with us to go through the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00:01:04.805 \rightarrow 00:01:07.250$ different possible approaches to this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00{:}01{:}07{.}336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}10{.}504$ patient an I have to tell you that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00:01:10.504 \rightarrow 00:01:13.108$ this picture are in defect with.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- 00:01:13.108 00:01:14.916 There are many other.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00:01:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.620$ Colleagues said they work with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00{:}01{:}17.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.780$ us with this patient,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- 00:01:19.780 --> 00:01:22.750 but today today we have the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00:01:22.750 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.373$ pleasure of having Doctor Kevin
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:01:25.373 \dashrightarrow 00:01:28.083$ Billingslea carrying as a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00{:}01{:}28.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31.660$ pedigree in terms of these studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

00:01:31.660 --> 00:01:34.846 they went to Stanford and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

00:01:34.846 --> 00:01:38.337 John Hopkins enjoy in the surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:01:38.337 \rightarrow 00:01:41.477$ residency at the Oregon Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:01:41.480 \rightarrow 00:01:45.665$ And after a fellowship at NCI an MSA KCC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:01:45.670 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.322$ he joined the faculty at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

00:01:48.322 --> 00:01:50.669 University of Washington Ann and

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

00:01:50.669 --> 00:01:53.129 became Chief of Surgical Oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

00:01:53.130 --> 00:01:56.385 Focusing of effort to be Jerry surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:01:56.390 \rightarrow 00:01:59.645$ an anchoring Carissa for almost 16 year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00{:}01{:}59.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}01.790$ and then we were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:02:01.790 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.693$ Fortunate enough to be able to recruit

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00{:}02{:}05{.}693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}10{.}325$ him as a Chief medical officer of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

00:02:10.325 --> 00:02:14.478 Smiler Hospital Ann You Cancer Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:02:14.480 \rightarrow 00:02:18.674$ And he came at the beginning of the year,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00{:}02{:}18.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.464$ and was instrumental in all our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00{:}02{:}21{.}464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23{.}320$ transformation that we went
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00:02:23.406 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.686$ through because of the covid.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00:02:25.690 \rightarrow 00:02:28.630$ And but in a way that made
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00:02:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.290$ as reminding of our patients,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00:02:31.290 \rightarrow 00:02:34.559$ so that nobody will be left behind.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- 00:02:34.560 --> 00:02:35.799 That. In addition,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00:02:35.799 \rightarrow 00:02:40.170$ if I have to have had a personal note,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00{:}02{:}40{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}43{.}448$ is a really pleasant colleague. It's it's.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00:02:43.448 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.766$ It's just a joy working with them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- 00:02:46.770 --> 00:02:49.480 And you always participate to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00:02:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.892$ our two more boards with the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00:02:52.892 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.968$ extremely helpful insight.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00:02:54.968 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.980$ And so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008
- $00:02:56.980 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.850$ Without further
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809668362140656

- 00:02:57.850 --> 00:03:00.030 ado, I'll I'll, I'll leave
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809668362140656
- 00:03:00.030 --> 00:03:02.200 the stage to Doctor Billingslea.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706543207168579
- 00:03:06.300 --> 00:03:06.880 Coming.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819882392883301
- 00:03:10.360 00:03:15.729 Oreo, thank you very much for that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819882392883301
- $00{:}03{:}15.729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}18.030$ really gracious introduction.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819882392883301
- $00:03:18.030 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.526$ Let me just make sure that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819226145744324
- 00:03:22.550 00:03:25.118 Everyone seeing my slides.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323
- 00:03:30.130 00:03:35.840 Has that look under folks? Yep, OK.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323
- $00:03:35.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.404$ Well, you know, thank you again.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323
- $00{:}03{:}38{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}41{.}110$ It's been an absolute absolute pleasure
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323
- $00{:}03{:}41.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44.235$ being here at yell for the past year and I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323
- $00:03:44.315 \rightarrow 00:03:47.582$ am delighted to be here in a leadership role,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323
- $00:03:47.590 \rightarrow 00:03:50.270$ but I will say one of the highlights
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323
- $00:03:50.270 \rightarrow 00:03:52.140$ of my clinical life,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323
- $00:03:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.408$ which is very important to me,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323
- $00:03:54.410 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.576$ has been the opportunity to participate

 $00{:}03{:}56{.}576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}58{.}580$ in this liver tumor program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:03:58.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.226$ You know, under Mario's leadership and with

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:01.226 \rightarrow 00:04:04.071$ the contribution of many other people, this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:04.071 \rightarrow 00:04:07.437$ Program really represents that what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00{:}04{:}07{.}437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}11{.}891$ are aiming to develop in all of our of

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00{:}04{:}11.891 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}15.319$ our disease sites and disease centers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.360$ which is a collaborative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

00:04:17.360 --> 00:04:19.910 multidisciplinary team that meets regularly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00{:}04{:}19{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22{.}326$ plans treatment prospectively collects

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:22.326 \rightarrow 00:04:25.950$ data and continuously examines what we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:26.032 \rightarrow 00:04:28.888$ doing to improve and optimize treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

00:04:28.888 --> 00:04:32.229 for patients and moving the field forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00{:}04{:}32{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34{.}631$ So it's it's it really is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00{:}04{:}34{.}631 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36{.}460$ a pleasure and an honor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00{:}04{:}36{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39{.}575$ So I'm a surgical oncologist by background,

00:04:39.580 --> 00:04:41.089 in clinical practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00{:}04{:}41.089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}44.107$ and is Martin shared I've spent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:44.110 \dashrightarrow 00:04:49.429$ The majority of my time in the past over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.943$ Close to 20 years focusing on both

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00{:}04{:}51{.}943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54{.}360$ liver cancer and pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00{:}04{:}54{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57{.}237$ but primarily liver cancer in liver surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00{:}04{:}57{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}59{.}907$ and I think the management of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:59.907 \dashrightarrow 00:05:02.273$ group of patients with a paddle

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00{:}05{:}02{.}273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}04{.}559$ cellular carcinoma in some ways is

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

00:05:04.559 --> 00:05:07.295 the most complicated set of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00{:}05{:}07{.}295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10{.}392$ I'm involved with because not only is

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:05:10.392 \rightarrow 00:05:12.036$ the surgery technically complicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:05:12.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.910$ will touch on that a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00{:}05{:}14{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17{.}196$ but the decision-making about who to

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:05:17.196 \rightarrow 00:05:19.510$ operate window operate who transplants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:05:19.510 \rightarrow 00:05:22.348$ And who to treat with alternative?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323
- 00:05:22.350 --> 00:05:23.296 You know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323
- $00{:}05{:}23.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.188$ oblativa or arterial the rapies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323
- 00:05:25.188 --> 00:05:27.080 is also extremely complicated,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323
- $00:05:27.080 \rightarrow 00:05:30.856$ and we'll talk more as we go along.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323
- $00:05:30.860 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.171$ But it it very much involves complex
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323
- $00:05:34.171 \rightarrow 00:05:35.590$ multidisciplinary decision making.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- 00:05:39.170 00:05:41.600 You know, so was Mario
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:05:41.600 \dashrightarrow 00:05:43.544$ indicated in the introduction?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:05:43.550 \rightarrow 00:05:45.955$ Apparel Center carcinoma remains one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- 00:05:45.955 --> 00:05:48.926 of our most daunting global public
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:05:48.926 \rightarrow 00:05:51.824$ health challenges in the cancer arena.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:05:51.830 \dashrightarrow 00:05:55.876$ And if you look at this graphic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:05:55.880 \rightarrow 00:06:01.480$ The incidence of the disease is going up.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- 00:06:01.480 --> 00:06:03.544 Only in North American,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:06:03.544 \dashrightarrow 00:06:06.124$ but basically around the world.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.742$ And like many diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}06{:}07{.}742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10{.}891$ there is a greater burden of disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

00:06:10.891 --> 00:06:13.487 in under represented minorities

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:13.487 \rightarrow 00:06:15.434$ and vulnerable populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.800$ Although you know the overall incidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:18.800 \dashrightarrow 00:06:23.497$ is creeping up in almost across the board.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:23.500 \rightarrow 00:06:27.240$ You know this is to a large degree due to

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:27.333 \rightarrow 00:06:31.435$ the the underlying burden of viral hepatitis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}06{:}31{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32{.}838$ principally hepatitis C.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:32.838 \rightarrow 00:06:35.634$ Here in North America and Europe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

00:06:35.640 -> 00:06:37.980 hepatitis B more in Asia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

00:06:37.980 --> 00:06:38.804 But really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:38.804 \rightarrow 00:06:40.864$ the looming crisis on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:40.864 \rightarrow 00:06:43.787$ horizon is the explosion of non

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}06{:}43.787 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}45.919$ alcoholic fatty liver disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.255$ or so-called knaflich from a

 $00:06:48.255 \rightarrow 00:06:50.590$ variety of sources and etiologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:50.590 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.859$ But Nah fled is on track to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}06{:}53.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56.255$ Be the largest and most

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:56.255 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.171$ significant indication for liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:58.171 \dashrightarrow 00:07:00.160$ transplant in the coming years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.652$ and it is probably going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:02.652 \rightarrow 00:07:04.390$ the most prominent underlying

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:04.390 \rightarrow 00:07:07.360$ contributor to a parasailer carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

00:07:07.360 --> 00:07:10.140 particularly as our antiviral

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:10.140 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.530$ therapies improve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

00:07:11.530 --> 00:07:14.858 So my focus today is going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}07{:}14.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}17.769$ on surgical therapy and you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}07{:}17.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}20.440$ Where do we stand in surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}07{:}20{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}23{.}009$ treatment for for HTC in 2021?

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

00:07:23.010 --> 00:07:23.455 Well,

 $00{:}07{:}23.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.570$ I think there are many things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

00:07:26.570 --> 00:07:30.233 we can be proud of and be excited

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:30.233 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.349$ about and are causes for optimism. NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}07{:}33{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35{.}948$ Surgical treatment for HCC as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:35.948 \dashrightarrow 00:07:38.635$ as other liver tumors have really

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:38.635 \dashrightarrow 00:07:41.245$ developed in the past 2020 years. NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:41.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.466$ In a in a number of ways we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}07{:}43.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.372$ seen a renaissance related to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}07{:}46.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}48.648$ introduction of minimally invasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}07{:}48.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}50.910$ techniques for liver surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

00:07:50.910 --> 00:07:54.123 I'm going to talk about both laparoscopic

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}07{:}54.123 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}56.648$ and robotic approaches in some detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}07{:}56.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}59.122$ The way we action surgically come

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}07{:}59{.}122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}01{.}370$ through the liver and divide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}08{:}01{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03{.}082$ deliver surgically has changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

00:08:03.082 --> 00:08:03.938 You know,

 $00:08:03.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.792$ we used to use a lot of crush plant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:07.800 \rightarrow 00:08:10.272$ Now we almost do everything with

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:10.272 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.883$ energy sources and and more refined

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:12.883 \rightarrow 00:08:15.523$ techniques such as acusa or herby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}08{:}15{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18{.}060$ Our understanding of the anesthesia

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:18.060 \rightarrow 00:08:20.084$ anesthesiology anesthetic component of

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:20.084 \rightarrow 00:08:22.348$ this patient management is improved

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:22.348 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.463$ and we've minimized look blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:24.463 \rightarrow 00:08:26.678$ loss through the use of low CVP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}08{:}26.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}28.162$ Anaesthesia taken together

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:28.162 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.632$ these developments as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

00:08:30.632 --> 00:08:32.290 improved surgical training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}08{:}32.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}34.650$ expertise of lowered overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:34.650 \rightarrow 00:08:37.600$ surgical mortality into the range

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.924$ of 1 to 3% after liver resection.

- 00:08:40.924 --> 00:08:42.260 Experience centers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:08:42.260 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.736$ Unfortunately,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:08:42.736 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.068$ due to the nature of the disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:08:46.070 \rightarrow 00:08:48.450$ relatively few patients who present
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00{:}08{:}48{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}50{.}830$ with HTC are surgical candidates,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00{:}08{:}50{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54{.}078$ and this is due to the severity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:08:54.078 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.043$ underlying liver disease of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- 00:08:56.043 --> 00:08:57.967 any variety of etiologies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:08:57.970 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.920$ viral hepatitis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:08:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.245$ as well as the extent of disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:09:02.250 \rightarrow 00:09:04.150$ Sometimes patients have smaller
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:09:04.150 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.575$ early stage disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:09:05.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.225$ but it is not uncommon for us to see
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:09:09.225 \rightarrow 00:09:12.369$ extensive and infiltrating disease with.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00{:}09{:}12.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}14.695$ Portal vein invasion and other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:09:14.695 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.662$ sites of disease in the liberal

- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00{:}09{:}17.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}19.866$ or extra padick disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:09:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.874$ So this combination of things often limits.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:09:23.880 \rightarrow 00:09:26.520$ The surgical candidacy of a variety
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:09:26.520 \rightarrow 00:09:29.540$ of of many of the patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:09:29.540 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.808$ So in my talk this evening,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:09:31.810 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.048$ I'm going to really highlight a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:09:34.048 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.736$ couple of a number of key areas
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504
- $00:09:36.736 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.228$ of our current status.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- $00{:}09{:}38.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}40.792$ We're going to spend some time talking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- $00:09:40.792 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.115$ about the fact that this really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- 00:09:43.115 00:09:45.419 is a dual challenge for surgeons,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- $00:09:45.420 \dashrightarrow 00:09:47.700$ but also for every specialty who's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- $00:09:47.700 \dashrightarrow 00:09:50.672$ involved in the care of this group of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- $00{:}09{:}50{.}672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}53{.}213$ patients because we have to treat the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- $00{:}09{:}53{.}213$ --> $00{:}09{:}55{.}577$ underlying liver disease and the cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:09:55.577 \rightarrow 00:09:58.420$ were not just on cologist, we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:09:58.420 --> 00:09:59.665 Hepatology on cologist dealing

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:09:59.665 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.520$ with both disease entities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:10:01.520 --> 00:10:04.922 You know, very few of our patients are nuts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:04.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.830$ Erotics in the western world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.720$ only about 5% of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:08.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.736$ In Asia, about 40% of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:10:10.736 --> 00:10:12.890 with HCC or non cirrhotic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}10{:}12{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}15{.}585$ so it's a different kind of clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:15.585 \rightarrow 00:10:18.198$ picture in other parts of the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:18.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.906$ But for what we deal with

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:20.906 \rightarrow 00:10:22.710$ many patients or cirrhotics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}10{:}22.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.464$ We will spend a brief bit of time talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}10{:}25{.}464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27{.}660$ about reception versus transplant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:27.660 \rightarrow 00:10:30.708$ I'm not a transplant surgeon and I'm not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:30.710 \rightarrow 00:10:34.139$ I won't claim a mantle of expertise in this,

 $00:10:34.140 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.318$ but I think any discussion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:36.318 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.639$ surgery in this disease has to

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:38.639 \rightarrow 00:10:40.235$ at least mention transplant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:40.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.838$ Given its great importance in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:42.838 \rightarrow 00:10:45.549$ management of this group of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:45.550 \rightarrow 00:10:48.169$ I'm going to spend a fair bit of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:48.169 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.571$ talking about the process of patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}10{:}50{.}571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}52{.}611$ selection for reception because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}10{:}52.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55.039$ is truly a complex art and science

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:55.039 \rightarrow 00:10:57.995$ that requires the input of a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:57.995 \rightarrow 00:11:00.450$ people and will discuss that some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}11{:}00{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}02{.}440$ Talk about these technical developments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:11:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.420$ primarily the role evolving role

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}11{:}04{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}06{.}004$ of minimally invasive techniques.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}11{:}06{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07{.}995$ Talk some about techniques for

00:11:07.995 --> 00:11:08.789 patient optimization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:11:08.790 --> 00:11:11.499 and then I'm going to spend a bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}11{:}11{.}499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}14{.}740$ time talking about a few key special

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:11:14.740 --> 00:11:16.648 clinical populations who actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}11{:}16.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}19.112$ are good surgical candidates and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:11:19.112 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.428$ need to be keeping an eye out for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:11:22.428 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.020$ As we look at patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:11:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.228$ liver disease in HCC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:11:27.230 \rightarrow 00:11:30.415$ it is important to understand how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}11{:}30{.}415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}33{.}451$ as clinicians think about the severity

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}11{:}33{.}451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}36{.}091$ of their underlying liver disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:11:36.091 --> 00:11:39.511 because that is going to be one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:11:39.511 --> 00:11:41.288 key drivers of our decision-making.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:11:41.288 --> 00:11:42.086 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}11{:}42.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}44.480$ the classic clinical system is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:11:44.548 \rightarrow 00:11:46.556$ so-called Child Pugh classification

- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- $00:11:46.556 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.560$ of cirrhosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- $00:11:47.560 \rightarrow 00:11:50.794$ This was this is a very clinically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- $00:11:50.794 \rightarrow 00:11:53.140$ driven system that relies on.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- 00:11:53.140 --> 00:11:55.028 3 lab tests, bilirubin,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- 00:11:55.028 --> 00:11:55.500 albumin,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- $00:11:55.500 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.850$ prothrombin time as well as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- $00{:}11{:}57.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}59.260$ two clinical assessments.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- 00:11:59.260 --> 00:12:01.726 The presence of in severity of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- $00{:}12{:}01.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03.901$ as cites and Vatican several opathy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- $00:12:03.901 \rightarrow 00:12:06.625$ the child Pugh system was originally
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- 00:12:06.625 --> 00:12:08.656 developed to predict mortality
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- $00{:}12{:}08.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}11.040$ for portosystemic shunt surgery,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- $00{:}12{:}11.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}14.320$ but it has evolved over years to predict
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- $00:12:14.320 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.690$ mortality after other interventions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- $00:12:16.690 \rightarrow 00:12:19.090$ including liver resection, patients are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:19.090 \rightarrow 00:12:22.810$ assigned one up to one to three points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:22.810 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.340$ For each of these criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:25.340 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.945$ Adding up to a scale in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:28.945 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.109$ classified Childs AB or C.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:31.110 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.130$ In more recent years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:33.130 \rightarrow 00:12:35.655$ particularly relating the transplant arena,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}12{:}35{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38{.}582$ we've adopted the MELD classification so

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}12{:}38{.}582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42{.}238$ called model for in stage liver disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:12:42.240 --> 00:12:45.276 The MELD score is less subjective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.680$ it is there's no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}12{:}47.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49.480$ Wrote clinical assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.678$ It relies on 3 lab values,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:12:52.680 --> 00:12:53.198 creatinine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:53.198 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.752$ bilirubin and INR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}12{:}54{.}752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}58{.}406$ Anna calculation and is used and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:58.406 \rightarrow 00:13:01.166$ used predominantly as a risk predictor

- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- 00:13:01.166 --> 00:13:04.857 in a mortality predictor for patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- $00{:}13{:}04.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07.077$ awaiting liver transplantation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- $00{:}13{:}07{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}11{.}244$ Both of these indices.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- 00:13:11.244 --> 00:13:17.490 Provide somewhat different but very accurate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- $00:13:17.490 \rightarrow 00:13:19.810$ Guides for predicting complications
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022
- $00{:}13{:}19{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23{.}290$ and mortality for liver surgery in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- $00:13:23.377 \rightarrow 00:13:25.447$ the setting of sarot SIS.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- 00:13:25.450 --> 00:13:27.916 So if you compare them again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- 00:13:27.920 --> 00:13:31.959 as I indicated this, this is actually.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- $00{:}13{:}31{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}34{.}630$ Prediction outcomes based on these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- $00:13:34.630 \rightarrow 00:13:37.300$ classifications after replacement of a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- $00{:}13{:}37{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}40{.}090$ tips device that transjugular prophetic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- $00{:}13{:}40.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42.800$ portal systemic shunt for ascites,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- $00{:}13{:}42.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}46.972$ both for patients stratified for child Pugh
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- $00{:}13{:}46{.}972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}52{.}006$ score as well as meld above or less than 18.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00{:}13{:}52.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}55.914$ And you can see that you know there

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00{:}13{:}55{.}914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59{.}060$ these are different cut offs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:13:59.060 \rightarrow 00:14:01.892$ but they're both quite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00{:}14{:}01.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04.016$ Predictive of outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:04.020 \rightarrow 00:14:05.944$ You actually compare them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00{:}14{:}05{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}08{.}349$ At least this group did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:08.350 \rightarrow 00:14:11.717$ and there you know they're very similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00{:}14{:}11.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}14.606$ The area under the receiver operator

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:14.606 \rightarrow 00:14:16.530$ Curve is essentially equivalent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:16.530 \rightarrow 00:14:19.410$ so although one is more clinical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:19.410 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.296$ one is more strictly lab based.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:22.300 \rightarrow 00:14:26.764$ They're both very useful and I find them

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:26.764 \rightarrow 00:14:29.696$ somewhat overlapping ways to assess

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:29.696 \dashrightarrow 00:14:32.556$ risk and underlying liver function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00{:}14{:}32{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}35{.}716$ So let's turn for a minute

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:35.716 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.294$ to liver transplant,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- $00{:}14{:}37{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39{.}680$ because this discussion would not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- $00:14:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.060$ be complete without mentioning the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- $00:14:42.135 \rightarrow 00:14:44.439$ profound importance of evaluating
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- $00:14:44.439 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.319$ patients for liver transplant candidacy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- $00{:}14{:}47{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}50{.}694$ And having that in the background in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- $00{:}14{:}50.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53.946$ and preparing them in moving them
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- $00:14:53.946 \rightarrow 00:14:56.796$ towards transplant and effective way,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- $00:14:56.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.348$ if they are candidates.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- $00:14:59.348 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.870$ So the the I think the key.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- $00{:}15{:}03.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06.740$ Data from the literature is the so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- $00:15:06.740 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.538$ called Milan study that established the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- $00{:}15{:}09{.}538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}13{.}003$ Milan criteria which was published in 1996.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- $00{:}15{:}13.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15.305$ This really established transplant as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- $00{:}15{:}15{.}305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18{.}173$ the gold standard for patients with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022
- 00:15:18.173 --> 00:15:20.197 significant underlying liver disease
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00{:}15{:}20.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.727$ related to cirrhosis and limited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

00:15:22.730 --> 00:15:25.450 HCC, Milan criteria include patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:15:25.450 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.540$ who have one tumor that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:15:28.540 \rightarrow 00:15:31.636$ Equal or lesser than 5 centimeters

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:15:31.636 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.668$ in diameter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:15:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.250$ or three or fewer tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:15:35.250 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.825$ all equal to or lesser

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:15:37.825 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.885$ less than 3 centimeters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00{:}15{:}39{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}42{.}866$ Patients who fit within that criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:15:42.866 \rightarrow 00:15:45.570$ enjoy excellent post transplant survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:15:45.570 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.150$ ranging around 70 to 75%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:15:51.030 \rightarrow 00:15:53.221$ So even though the focus of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:15:53.221 \rightarrow 00:15:55.880$ topic is is reception specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:15:55.880 \rightarrow 00:15:58.094$ it is important to understand that

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}15{:}58.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}00.043$ transplant in many ways remains

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:00.043 \rightarrow 00:16:02.048$ the optimal treatment for patients

- NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349
- $00:16:02.048 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.360$ with limited HCC and cirrhosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349
- $00:16:04.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.976$ For all these reasons,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349
- $00:16:05.976 \rightarrow 00:16:07.996$ patients have an excellent survival.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349
- 00:16:08.000 --> 00:16:10.045 Of course, there's nothing like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349
- $00:16:10.045 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.090$ a transplant to treat their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349
- $00:16:12.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.168$ underlying liver failure and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349
- $00:16:14.170 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.058$ One of the shining lights here is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349
- $00:16:18.058 \rightarrow 00:16:21.781$ living donation does hold future promise
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349
- $00{:}16{:}21.781 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.437$ for increasing organ availability.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349
- $00:16:24.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.051$ Challenges of course.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349
- 00:16:26.051 --> 00:16:28.736 Our organ availability is limited.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349
- $00{:}16{:}28.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}31.735$ Transplant post transplant care with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349
- $00:16:31.735 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.730$ person intensive fault and support.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349
- $00{:}16{:}34{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}36{.}578$ And we do have.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349
- $00{:}16{:}36{.}578$ --> $00{:}16{:}39{.}350$ We have learned that extended criteria
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:39.436 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.718$ are possible and may allow selective

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:42.718 \rightarrow 00:16:45.587$ increase in eligibility for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:45.587 \rightarrow 00:16:49.127$ who downstage During the course of NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:49.127 \rightarrow 00:16:53.280$ aggressive pre transplant therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}16{:}53.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}55.849$ Before we switch to the kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:55.849 \rightarrow 00:16:57.970$ evaluation of patients for reception,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}16{:}57{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00{.}343$ I think one of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}17{:}00{.}343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}02{.}802$ is unique about HTC that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}17{:}02.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}04.614$ really important to understand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}17{:}04.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}07.212$ and I spend a lot of time talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:17:07.212 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.700$ to my residence about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}17{:}09.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}12.402$ is that these tumors love to invade

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}17{:}12{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14{.}809$ the portal venous system and that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:17:14.810 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.120$ You can see that radiographically

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:17:17.120 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.044$ as expensive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:17:18.050 \rightarrow 00:17:21.284$ bulky thrombus is probably in acute thrombus.

 $00{:}17{:}21{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}24{.}538$ This is a more chronic thrombus and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:17:24.540 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.010$ The thrombus maybe tumor itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}17{:}27.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31.426$ or a mixture to Marie plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:17:31.430 \rightarrow 00:17:34.494$ It is in some ways the cynic Winona,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}17{:}34{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35{.}516$ this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}17{:}35{.}516$ --> $00{:}17{:}38{.}564$ Acute thrombosis may cause abrupt liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:17:38.564 \rightarrow 00:17:40.583$ decompensation more chronic thrombosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}17{:}40.583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}43.379$ like this patient often results in

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:17:43.379 \rightarrow 00:17:46.268$ liver atrophy in the affected lobar

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

00:17:46.268 --> 00:17:48.623 segment with compens atory hypertrophy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:17:48.630 \rightarrow 00:17:51.402$ and you're seeing that in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}17{:}51{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54{.}437$ left liver in this patient who

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:17:54.437 \rightarrow 00:17:57.689$ has a right portal vein thrombus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}17{:}57.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00.330$ This is clearly a marker of

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

00:18:00.330 --> 00:18:01.650 high risk disease.

00:18:01.650 --> 00:18:03.850 Often these patients you need

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}18{:}03{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}06{.}050$ to look for lung lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:18:06.050 \rightarrow 00:18:09.570$ That's going to be the next sighted disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:18:09.570 \rightarrow 00:18:12.330$ but in some ways we as surgeons can

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:18:12.330 \rightarrow 00:18:14.745$ exploit this atrophy and hypertrophy

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}18{:}14.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}17.490$ for reception in selected candidates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00{:}18{:}20{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25{.}200$ So as we start to think about how do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:18:25.315 \rightarrow 00:18:29.730$ choose patience for potential reception?

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

00:18:29.730 --> 00:18:32.593 We need to talk about the Barcelona

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

00:18:32.593 --> 00:18:35.020 Clinic liver Cancer staging system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00{:}18{:}35{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}39{.}116$ The BCLC, as we often call it, there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:18:39.116 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.637$ a variety of of other staging systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

00:18:42.640 --> 00:18:45.984 But I think most of us who do

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:18:45.984 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.858$ this work on a weekly basis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

00:18:48.860 --> 00:18:51.080 relying on the Barcelona system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:18:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.999$ kind of as our principle go to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515
- $00:18:53.999 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.960$ in the decision-making process.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515
- $00:18:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.292$ It's not perfect,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515
- 00:18:57.292 --> 00:19:00.400 but I think it's clinically very useful,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515
- $00:19:00.400 \rightarrow 00:19:03.508$ so stage A patients or patients with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515
- $00{:}19{:}03.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}05.951$ early HCC, relatively small lesions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515
- $00:19:05.951 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.536$ or a few single lesions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515
- $00:19:08.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.180$ And these A1 patients are.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515
- $00:19:10.180 \rightarrow 00:19:13.114$ This is really the group we want to operate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515
- $00:19:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.098$ No portal hypertension.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515
- 00:19:14.098 --> 00:19:16.614 Normal billirubin, essentially no sarot sis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515
- $00:19:16.614 \rightarrow 00:19:19.410$ As we go up and stage,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515
- $00:19:19.410 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.224$ the patients tend to get some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515
- $00:19:22.224 \rightarrow 00:19:24.100$ degree of liver disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515
- $00{:}19{:}24{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26{.}435$ They may have portal hypertension
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515
- $00:19:26.435 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.270$ or may they maybe have mild.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

00:19:29.270 --> 00:19:31.414 Disease according to Child

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

00:19:31.414 --> 00:19:32.486 Pugh classifications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:19:32.490 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.249$ as we get into stage B2 mercies

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:19:36.249 \rightarrow 00:19:37.860$ or larger tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

00:19:37.860 --> 00:19:40.635 but this stage B classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

00:19:40.635 --> 00:19:43.410 includes a variety of severity

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:19:43.502 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.917$ in the liver disease stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00{:}19{:}45{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}49{.}136$ sees advanced cancer and state Steve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:19:49.140 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.899$ This is, of course in stage disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:19:56.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.620$ So. Is HPB surgeons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:19:59.620 \rightarrow 00:20:02.840$ We are trying to extract as much

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:02.934 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.366$ information as we can to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00{:}20{:}06.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08.654$ safe decisions about reception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:08.660 \rightarrow 00:20:12.164$ And there is a real kind of diversity

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:12.164 \rightarrow 00:20:14.674$ of approaches between the Western

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:14.674 \rightarrow 00:20:17.764$ western surgeons in the Asians.

00:20:17.770 --> 00:20:20.120 Surgeons in China and Japan

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.470$ often rely on in design,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00{:}20{:}22{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26{.}054$ and green retention is a kind of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:26.054 \rightarrow 00:20:28.620$ biologic measure of liver function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:28.620 \rightarrow 00:20:31.364$ Signing in green clearance seems to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00{:}20{:}31{.}364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}34{.}170$ less useful in our Western patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:34.170 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.310$ although she centers use it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:36.310 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.342$ it seems to be more effective in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00{:}20{:}39{.}342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}43{.}159$ group of patients with hep B related disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:43.160 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.310$ So there's a disparity in the usage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:46.310 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.488$ We in the western world tend

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00{:}20{:}48.488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51.239$ to use a cluster of of indices

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00{:}20{:}51{.}239 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}53{.}259$ to help us make decisions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00{:}20{:}53.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54.940$ including serum bilirubin and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:54.940 \rightarrow 00:20:56.620$ presence or absence of clinically

 $00:20:56.674 \rightarrow 00:20:58.279$ significant portal hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

00:20:58.280 --> 00:20:59.820 Well, what's clinically significant?

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

00:20:59.820 --> 00:21:00.590 Portal hypertension?

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:21:00.590 \rightarrow 00:21:03.678$ Well, we look at a number of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00{:}21{:}03.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}06.382$ You look at the presence or absence

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:21:06.382 \rightarrow 00:21:07.540$ of splenomegaly thrombocytopenia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:21:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.871$ and we can get a an actual

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:21:09.871 \rightarrow 00:21:11.416$ quantitative measure using wedge

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

00:21:11.416 --> 00:21:13.328 product vein pressure gradients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00{:}21{:}13{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}17{.}128$ and I'll talk about that more in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00{:}21{:}17{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}20.666$ And in some cases we turned to actual

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

00:21:20.666 --> 00:21:22.978 histopathologic analysis using liver biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:21:25.430 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.438$ Um, you know this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:21:27.438 --> 00:21:29.948 In designing green clearance is

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:21:29.948 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.238$ one of the reasons we don't this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:21:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.470$ This graphic illustrates the challenge

- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- $00:21:35.470 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.610$ with it in a western population,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- $00{:}21{:}38.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42.078$ there is a broad distribution of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- $00:21:42.080 \rightarrow 00:21:44.168$ Severity scores with overlapping
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- $00:21:44.168 \rightarrow 00:21:46.256$ in designing green clearance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- $00:21:46.260 \rightarrow 00:21:50.544$ So it it's it's less useful clinically.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- $00{:}21{:}50{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}54{.}339$ One of the things that we do use a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- $00:21:54.339 \dashrightarrow 00:21:58.305$ lot is simple CT or MRI assessment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- $00:21:58.310 \rightarrow 00:22:01.172$ Patients like this with bulky big
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- $00{:}22{:}01{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}04{.}130$ clearcut verisys in a big spleen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- $00:22:04.130 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.555$ We know we're unlikely to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- 00:22:06.555 00:22:08.010 be surgical candidates.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- 00:22:08.010 --> 00:22:11.385 Another example of significant upper
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- $00{:}22{:}11{.}385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}13{.}410$ abdominal variceal development.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- $00{:}22{:}13.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}16.416$ So what are my favorite measures?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- $00:22:16.420 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.927$ In another reason that we really rely
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:22:19.927 \rightarrow 00:22:22.496$ on a multidisciplinary assessment is

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}22{:}22{.}496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}25{.}933$ the use of Patrick Kane wedge pressure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:22:25.940 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.545$ This is a procedure that the interventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:22:29.545 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.949$ radiologists are quite expert at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:22:31.950 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.954$ It involves catheter placed

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:22:33.954 --> 00:22:35.958 through the vena cava,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:22:35.960 --> 00:22:38.040 usually through transjugular approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}22{:}38{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41{.}160$ and the catheter is directed out

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:22:41.238 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.508$ through the paddock vein wedge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}22{:}43.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}46.598$ And a reading is taken and it's expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}22{:}46.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}50.230$ as a gradient in reference to the SVC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:22:50.230 --> 00:22:52.786 Anything greater than 10 millimeters of

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:22:52.786 \rightarrow 00:22:55.804$ Mercury represents what we would consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:22:55.804 --> 00:22:58.300 clinically significant portal hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}22{:}58{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}01{.}892$ The data for that is really from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:01.892 \rightarrow 00:23:05.229$ classic paper from the Barcelona group,

 $00{:}23{:}05{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07{.}740$ Jordi Bruin colleagues that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}23{:}07{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}10.680$ published in many years ago now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.893$ but they looked at the outcome of

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:13.893 \rightarrow 00:23:16.620$ of patients after liver surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}23{:}16.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18.960$ And compared their preoperative

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:23:18.960 --> 00:23:21.300 paddock vein pressure gradient

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:23:21.300 --> 00:23:23.330 patients who underwent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:23.330 \rightarrow 00:23:27.600$ went to surgery with a paddock vein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}23{:}27.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30.738$ pressure less than 10 generally did

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:30.738 \rightarrow 00:23:34.310$ very well without any decompensation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:34.310 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.982$ There were a couple who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:23:37.982 --> 00:23:40.430 higher but most did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}23{:}40{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}43{.}923$ Did quite well with his lower group

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}23{:}43{.}923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}46{.}390$ patients who decompensated almost all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:46.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.185$ Virtually all have these higher

00:23:49.185 --> 00:23:51.980 preoperative FedEx thing wage pressures

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:52.067 \rightarrow 00:23:54.881$ so that measurement is a is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:54.881 \rightarrow 00:23:57.349$ a critical piece of information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:57.350 \rightarrow 00:23:58.778$ For for us surgeons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}23{:}58{.}778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00{.}920$ as we think about taking these

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}24{:}00{.}996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}03{.}166$ patients in the operating room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:03.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.930$ The other thing that can be done at

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:05.930 \rightarrow 00:24:09.133$ the same time as phatic pain wedge

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:09.133 \rightarrow 00:24:12.200$ pressures is this transjugular liver biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:12.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.469$ It's minimally invasive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:24:13.469 --> 00:24:16.007 A biopsy instrument can be guided

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}24{:}16.007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}18.650$ into the liver parenchyma through it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:24:18.650 --> 00:24:21.320 A transjugular approach in a small

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.397$ tissue sample taken that can help us

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:24.397 \rightarrow 00:24:27.246$ grade the degree of fibrosis or cirrhosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:27.250 \rightarrow 00:24:29.830$ which will also help with decisions.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- $00:24:29.830 \rightarrow 00:24:33.550$ So overall, as we think about our approach.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- 00:24:33.550 --> 00:24:35.330 Surgically are the treatment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- $00:24:35.330 \rightarrow 00:24:37.555$ really is driven by stage?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- 00:24:37.560 --> 00:24:40.026 Know this early stage group of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- $00{:}24{:}40.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}42.250$ patients we consider both for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- $00{:}24{:}42.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}44.250$ liver transplant in reception.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- 00:24:44.250 --> 00:24:46.730 Portal pressures are key here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- 00:24:46.730 --> 00:24:49.210 Patients have normal porting pressures.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- 00:24:49.210 --> 00:24:51.690 Early stage disease can be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- $00:24:51.690 \rightarrow 00:24:53.178$ treated with reception.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- $00:24:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.156$ Increased pressures need to be evaluated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057
- $00{:}24{:}56{.}156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}58{.}140$ for transplantation or ablation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286
- $00{:}25{:}02{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}04{.}600$ So to pull it all together,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286
- $00{:}25{:}04.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}07.256$ the people that I'm looking for his potential
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286
- $00{:}25{:}07{.}256$ --> $00{:}25{:}09{.}480$ candidates are patients without cirrhosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

00:25:09.480 --> 00:25:12.140 Inhuma major hep
at Ectomy can be performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00{:}25{:}12{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}14.744$ without morbidity and I will even operate

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00{:}25{:}14.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}16.844$ on patients with significant with large

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00:25:16.911 \rightarrow 00:25:19.227$ tumors in this group without cirrhosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00{:}25{:}19{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21{.}838$ These are folks who will tolerate a major

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

00:25:21.838 --> 00:25:23.835 headache ectomy patients with compensated

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00{:}25{:}23.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}25.980$ cirrhosis are much more difficult,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00:25:25.980 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.850$ the decision-making is more difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00{:}25{:}27.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}30.562$ These are this is a group you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00{:}25{:}30{.}562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32{.}898$ to collect all this information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

00:25:32.900 --> 00:25:33.833 In the operative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00{:}25{:}33{.}833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35{.}077$ planning is more difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00:25:35.080 \rightarrow 00:25:36.635$ They generally will not tolerate

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00{:}25{:}36.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}38.190$ a major help detect me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00:25:38.190 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.742$ You have to be looking at a segmented

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00:25:40.742 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.847$ happy talk to me or an ablation.

00:25:42.850 --> 00:25:45.379 I'm not going to spend a lot of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00{:}25{:}45{.}379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}47{.}519$ talking about ablation in this talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00:25:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.764$ but it's another important

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00:25:48.764 \rightarrow 00:25:50.008$ tool in our armamentarium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

 $00:25:52.280 \rightarrow 00:25:54.870$ So. And our multidisciplinary formats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

00:25:54.870 -> 00:25:57.257 We're looking at all of these things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

00:25:57.260 --> 00:25:59.654 severity of liver disease through child Pugh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

00:25:59.660 --> 00:26:00.980 Mail portal, hypertension, Histology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

 $00:26:00.980 \rightarrow 00:26:03.420$ Then we assess the extent of disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

 $00:26:03.420 \rightarrow 00:26:05.466$ size of lesions number, vaster invasions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

 $00{:}26{:}05{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}07{.}606$ as well as all these other factors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

 $00:26:07.606 \rightarrow 00:26:09.918$ we think about surgically comorbidities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

 $00{:}26{:}09{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}11{.}630$ Do they have heart disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

 $00{:}26{:}11.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}13.340$ lung disease, or kidney disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

 $00:26:13.340 \rightarrow 00:26:16.409$ as well as the size of the liver remnant?

 $00{:}26{:}18.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}22.324$ So. As we pull these this information

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:26:22.324 \rightarrow 00:26:24.265$ together, we wind up really getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:26:24.265 --> 00:26:26.720 what I would describe as this multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:26:26.720 --> 00:26:28.660 parameter assessment for respectability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:26:28.660 --> 00:26:31.208 and I won't walk through this all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:26:31.210 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.360$ but you can see that really it's a pretty NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:26:34.360 --> 00:26:37.030 small group who are really low risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:26:37.030 \rightarrow 00:26:40.250$ These are patients who have no portal

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00{:}26{:}40{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}43{.}196$ hypertension in minor have to talk to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00{:}26{:}43.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46.042$ It's important to understand that in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:26:46.042 --> 00:26:49.180 low risk of group group of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:26:49.180 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.310$ liver resection is very safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:26:51.310 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.878$ Liver related mortality less than 5%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:26:53.880 \rightarrow 00:26:57.714$ As soon as you get up into intermediate risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:26:57.720 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.850$ we're looking at, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:26:59.850 --> 00:27:02.412 pushing a 30% risk of decompensation

- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- 00:27:02.412 --> 00:27:04.126 close to 10% mortality.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- $00{:}27{:}04.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.682$ High risk group, greater than a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- 00:27:06.682 --> 00:27:08.818 30% risk of decompensation Anna.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- $00{:}27{:}08.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10.953$ Significant risk of surgical mortality.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- $00:27:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.949$ So all of this kind of accuracy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- 00:27:13.950 --> 00:27:17.274 Indecision making is really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- $00:27:17.274 \rightarrow 00:27:21.429$ critical for safe patient outcomes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- $00:27:21.430 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.698$ So how do we do this?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- $00{:}27{:}23.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}26.045$ Well, I probably should just use Mario
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- $00:27:26.045 \rightarrow 00:27:28.229$ slide with this surgical decision,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- $00{:}27{:}28{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31{.}254$ making it is more than just the surgeons.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- $00{:}27{:}31{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}34{.}460$ It takes a team or in his words
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- $00{:}27{:}34.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36.360$ it takes a village.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- 00:27:36.360 --> 00:27:37.172 You know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- $00{:}27{:}37{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40{.}420$ and I cannot tell you over the years,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00{:}27{:}40{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43{.}045$ how many times I say HPB surgeon

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00{:}27{:}43.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.842$ have been rained in in my surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:27:45.842 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.230$ enthusiasm by one of my colleagues NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:27:48.310 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.884$ who points out some other pieces

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:27:50.884 \rightarrow 00:27:53.410$ of the patients history or biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:27:53.410 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.435$ that would make surgery unsafe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:27:55.440 --> 00:27:56.532 And, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:27:56.532 \rightarrow 00:27:58.716$ that's where we all get together

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:27:58.716 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.128$ in our liver tumor conference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:28:01.130 --> 00:28:03.160 Hepatology IR pathology, medical oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:28:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.792$ thinking about alternative treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00{:}28{:}04.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}07.240$ are body image Ng colleagues or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:28:07.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.390$ Very helpful in pointing out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:28:10.390 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.370$ The extent of the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:28:12.370 --> 00:28:14.350 potentially non or extra paddock

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:28:14.350 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.538$ sites of disease.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- $00:28:15.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.264$ Other things that will be deal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- $00:28:18.264 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.626$ Breakers and then.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- $00{:}28{:}19.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}21.894$ All of the surgical.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- $00{:}28{:}21.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}24.724$ Teens need to be involved.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- $00{:}28{:}24.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26.618$ Transplant surgeons and surgical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- $00{:}28{:}26.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28.978$ on cologist both bring kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- $00{:}28{:}28{.}978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}29{.}881$ interdependent overlapping
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- $00:28:29.881 \rightarrow 00:28:32.089$ expertise to the table and caring
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651
- $00:28:32.089 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.990$ for this group of patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124
- 00:28:36.060 --> 00:28:38.916 So I'm going to turn for minute shift
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124
- $00:28:38.916 \rightarrow 00:28:41.989$ gears and talk about surgical technique.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124
- $00{:}28{:}41{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}44{.}005$ So these are the classic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124
- $00{:}28{:}44.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.617$ major hepat ectomy operations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124
- $00{:}28{:}45.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48.080$ You know, these are the classical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124
- $00:28:48.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.720$ operations that originally described
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:28:49.783 --> 00:28:51.658 his liver surgery was developed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:28:51.660 --> 00:28:53.952 You know this is right now

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:28:53.952 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.500$ protect me left at protect me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:28:56.500 --> 00:28:58.822 Extended right hip protect me or

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:28:58.822 --> 00:29:00.845 left lateral segment Or's left

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}29{:}00{.}845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}03{.}287$ lateral section ectomy and you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:03.287 \rightarrow 00:29:05.376$ these operations are really great

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:29:05.376 --> 00:29:07.776 for patients who are non cirrhotic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:07.780 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.959$ have larger tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:08.959 \rightarrow 00:29:12.220$ but as you can tell many of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}29{:}12{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}14{.}560$ Involve sacrifices of a major of

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:14.560 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.840$ volume of functioning liver and anyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:29:16.840 --> 00:29:19.115 any patient who has any degree of

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}29{:}19{.}115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21{.}624$ liver disease will not tolerate these

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:21.624 \rightarrow 00:29:23.739$ things with the exception perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:23.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.980$ of the left lateral section ectomy.

 $00:29:26.980 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.661$ So a key for liver surgeons is

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:29:29.661 --> 00:29:31.370 understanding hepatic segmental anatomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:31.370 \rightarrow 00:29:33.365$ This was originally described by

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}29{:}33{.}365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}35{.}360$ the French anatomist Cloud Kanade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:35.360 \rightarrow 00:29:38.146$ There are eight segments in the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:38.150 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.886$ The caudate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}29{:}38.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}41.830$ you know two and three on the left

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}29{:}41{.}913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43{.}948$ lateral segment for left medial

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}29{:}43{.}948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}46{.}930$ 567 and eight on the right side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}29{:}46{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}49{.}126$ and it's critical to understand that

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}29{:}49{.}126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}52{.}389$ we can mix and match and tailor our

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}29{:}52{.}389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}54{.}113$ operations very precisely based

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}29{:}54{.}113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}56{.}339$ on this segmental anatomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}29{:}56{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}58{.}524$ So as we think about segmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}29{:}58{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}00{.}439$ liver resection for small HCC

 $00:30:00.439 \rightarrow 00:30:01.999$ understanding those segments gives

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}30{:}01{.}999 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}04{.}799$ us a great ability to to do again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:30:04.800 --> 00:30:06.948 Taylor or Target the extent of

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:06.948 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.200$ the operation to the size

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.055$ and location of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}30{:}11.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}13.601$ This is a perfectly in the posterior

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}30{:}13.601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}16.160$ sector which would be for section in

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:16.160 \rightarrow 00:30:18.790$ segment six and seven poster sector ectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}30{:}18.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20.940$ These are segmental resection from

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}30{:}20{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}24{.}437$ the right side and the left side of

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:24.437 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.557$ liver segment through segment 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:30:26.560 --> 00:30:27.248 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:27.248 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.688$ the other reason that understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:30.688 \rightarrow 00:30:34.219$ segmental anatomy is important is for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:34.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.118$ The technical element of Disease Control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:37.120 \dashrightarrow 00:30:40.240$ These portal venous branches are a

 $00:30:40.240 \rightarrow 00:30:43.285$ key pathway for dissemination of tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:43.285 \rightarrow 00:30:46.797$ cells and can be contaminated by tumor cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:46.800 \rightarrow 00:30:49.220$ So when we're doing parenchymal

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:49.220 \rightarrow 00:30:50.188$ sparing receptions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:50.190 \dashrightarrow 00:30:53.172$ how we conduct that reception is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:53.172 \rightarrow 00:30:56.967$ relation to the portal venous branch serving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:56.970 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.722$ That segment is critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:58.722 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.350$ This approach is ideal with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:01.438 \rightarrow 00:31:04.228$ complete resection of the involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:04.230 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.345$ A portal vein this beta be reception

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:31:07.345 - 00:31:09.240 would encompass the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.040$ but not as much portal vein and

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:12.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.379$ would put the patient at a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:15.379 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.423$ risk for local recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}31{:}17{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}19{.}700$ So patient selection is important,

 $00:31:19.700 \rightarrow 00:31:23.858$ but technicalities are important as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.975$ Now let's talk for a minute about

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:26.975 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.120$ the future liver remnant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:29.120 \rightarrow 00:31:31.962$ What we know from liver surgery is

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}31{:}31{.}962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}35{.}970$ that the size of the remnant is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:31:35.970 --> 00:31:38.675 critical predictor of postoperative outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:31:38.680 --> 00:31:40.180 Patients who have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:40.180 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.180$ in healthy patients who have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.358$ functional liver remnant of less than 20%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.524$ We have a very high incidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:49.524 \rightarrow 00:31:50.880$ postoperative complications and

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:50.952 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.860$ FL are of greater than 20%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:52.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.290$ Generally patients do very well now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:55.290 \rightarrow 00:31:57.320$ This is in healthy livers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.385$ I would say that FLR is 40 to 50% in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:32:01.385 \rightarrow 00:32:03.815$ Anyone with any underlying liver disease.

 $00{:}32{:}05{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}09{.}240$ Um? Portal vein Embolization is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.412$ key technique that we use David

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:12.412 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.980$ made off is a world expert in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:15.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.648$ He is really built,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}32{:}17.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}20.748$ his career among other things and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:20.748 \dashrightarrow 00:32:23.308$ honing and refining this technique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:23.310 \dashrightarrow 00:32:27.198$ So I'm not going to pretend to be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:27.198 \rightarrow 00:32:30.678$ expert on this other than share that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

00:32:30.680 --> 00:32:31.889 As liver surgeons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:31.889 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.710$ this is our very best friend because

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}32{:}34{.}784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}36{.}688$ this technique involves interruption

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}32{:}36.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}40.067$ of the portal venous flow to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}32{:}40.067 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}43.196$ affected side of the liver and allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}32{:}43.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}45.653$ hypertrophic growth which allows us

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}32{:}45.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}48.258$ to respect the contralateral side.

 $00:32:48.260 \rightarrow 00:32:50.549$ You know this is a classic example

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}32{:}50{.}549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52{.}930$ of a pre operative left lateral

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}32{:}52{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}56{.}017$ segment which would have been a 14%

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:56.020 \rightarrow 00:32:58.738$ small remnant froze up to 21% after

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

00:32:58.738 --> 00:33:00.290 preoperative Puerto venous embolization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}33{:}00{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}03{.}368$ This is data in a from an MD Anderson

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:33:03.368 \rightarrow 00:33:06.108$ paper that David was involved with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:33:06.110 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.338$ I suspect he'll show Shell share

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}33{:}09{.}338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}12{.}362$ more details when he gives his

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:33:12.362 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.043$ see me in a month or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

00:33:15.050 --> 00:33:16.084 So, um,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}33{:}16.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}19.186$ the French are actually have done

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}33{:}19{.}186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}23{.}057$ nice work with this in cirrhotics or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}33{:}23.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26.036$ PVD for patients with HCC and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:33:26.036 \rightarrow 00:33:28.315$ a relatively small series from one

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:33:28.315 \rightarrow 00:33:31.519$ of the groups in Paris that show that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00:33:31.519 \rightarrow 00:33:33.859$ although between these two groups,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00{:}33{:}33{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}36{.}260$ the volume after Pve was not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00:33:36.260 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.460$ that much greater,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00:33:37.460 \dashrightarrow 00:33:40.196$ but the incidence of liver failure
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00{:}33{:}40.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}42.768$ was significantly less and the stay
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00:33:42.768 \dashrightarrow 00:33:45.456$ in the ICU and hospital was less so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- 00:33:45.460 --> 00:33:48.628 If you were going to do a major help
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00:33:48.628 \rightarrow 00:33:51.512$ protect me in a patient with any
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00:33:51.512 \rightarrow 00:33:53.870$ degree of underlying liver disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- 00:33:53.870 --> 00:34:00.790 A PV is a critical. Potential adjunct.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00:34:00.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.748$ The other thing that has come
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00{:}34{:}03{.}748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}06{.}906$ forward in recent years is the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00:34:06.906 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.078$ idea of radiation lobectomy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- 00:34:09.080 --> 00:34:09.510 Again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00{:}34{:}09{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}12{.}520$ this is a technique that our IR
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:34:12.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.810$ team is extraordinarily adept at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

00:34:15.810 --> 00:34:18.290 It involves treating the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:34:18.290 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.939$ as well as the involved side of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:34:21.939 \rightarrow 00:34:24.629$ the liver with Y-90 microspheres.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:34:24.630 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.678$ This is a pre operative set of images.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}34{:}28.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}31.160$ Actually I'm sorry preoperative

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

00:34:31.160 --> 00:34:34.260 up pretreatments up here post

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}34{:}34{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}37{.}244$ treatment down here it shrinks the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}34{:}37{.}244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}39{.}514$ liver and treats the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}34{:}39{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}43{.}291$ So that as the the affected side of liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}34{:}43.291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}46.018$ atrophy's and the disease is treated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:34:46.020 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.180$ the contralateral side of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

00:34:48.180 --> 00:34:49.476 liver hyper hypertrophy's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:34:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.850$ which eventually allows a reception

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}34{:}51{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55{.}648$ of the affected side of the liver with

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:34:55.648 \rightarrow 00:34:58.330$ this nice large left liver remnant.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- 00:34:58.330 --> 00:34:58.694 No,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00:34:58.694 \rightarrow 00:35:01.606$ the the one of the things that's really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00:35:01.606 \rightarrow 00:35:03.223$ exciting about radiation lobectomy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00:35:03.223 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.454$ is that it allows the tumor to be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00:35:06.454 \dashrightarrow 00:35:08.499$ treated with the radiation well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00:35:08.500 \rightarrow 00:35:11.230$ the well the the atrophy is occurring,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00:35:11.230 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.511$ and if necessary,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00{:}35{:}12{.}511 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}14{.}219$ portal vein embolization can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00:35:14.219 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.320$ be added on top of it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00:35:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.396$ I really like this technique in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00:35:18.396 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.662$ bulky tumors and in a patient
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00{:}35{:}20.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}23.104$ where you may be concerned about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- 00:35:23.104 --> 00:35:24.530 occult metastatic disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00{:}35{:}24{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}27{.}218$ It gives us this window to watch them
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546
- $00{:}35{:}27{.}218$ --> $00{:}35{:}29{.}998$ for a while before committing to surgery.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00{:}35{:}32{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}34{.}948$ So I will share that I think one

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00{:}35{:}34{.}948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}37{.}182$ of the greatest steps forward in

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:35:37.182 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.120$ the past 15 years or so is the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:35:40.120 \rightarrow 00:35:42.428$ Application of minimally invasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:35:42.428 \rightarrow 00:35:44.736$ surgical techniques deliver surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00{:}35{:}44{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}48{.}232$ and this has been a continuous

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:35:48.232 \rightarrow 00:35:51.110$ evolution in the early 90s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:35:51.110 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.530$ Surgeons first Gabaldon small wedge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:35:53.530 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.590$ Resections of the first major hit

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:35:56.590 \rightarrow 00:35:59.818$ Ectomy was reported in the mid 90s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00{:}35{:}59{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}03{.}054$ and this was a pretty crude operation

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00{:}36{:}03.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}06.035$ that relied a lot on surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

00:36:06.035 --> 00:36:08.530 staplers and over the years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:36:08.530 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.950$ the our approach to laughter

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:36:10.950 \dashrightarrow 00:36:13.370$ scopic attacked me is improved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:36:13.370 \rightarrow 00:36:16.758$ We've adapted many of the open techniques,

00:36:16.760 --> 00:36:18.696 including Pringle maneuver techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:36:18.696 \rightarrow 00:36:21.116$ of dividing liver pouring command.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:36:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.190$ So that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:36:22.190 \rightarrow 00:36:24.330$ We've developed standards standards

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:36:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.470$ of practice and increasingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:36:26.547 \rightarrow 00:36:29.001$ sophisticated anterolateral receptions

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

00:36:29.001 - > 00:36:31.455 and subsegmental receptions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

00:36:31.460 --> 00:36:34.310 and even in some centres,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00{:}36{:}34{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36{.}590$ laparoscopic live donor operations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:36:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.667$ So this actually gives a pretty good

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:36:42.667 --> 00:36:45.216 picture of what's happened over

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:36:45.216 \rightarrow 00:36:48.534$ the years since the turn of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00{:}36{:}48{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}51{.}263$ Millennium with a kind of a slow

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00{:}36{:}51{.}263 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}54{.}781$ and this is this is data from some

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:36:54.781 --> 00:36:58.034 European centres but I think this is

 $00:36:58.034 \rightarrow 00:37:00.740$ quite reflective of what's gone on

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00{:}37{:}00{.}740$ --> $00{:}37{:}03{.}394$ in large centers around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:03.394 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.574$ Kind of as a decrease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:05.580 \rightarrow 00:37:07.968$ steady decrease in open liver surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00{:}37{:}07{.}968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}10{.}610$ and slow but progressive increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:10.610 \rightarrow 00:37:12.578$ and minimally invasive procedures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:37:12.580 --> 00:37:15.370 Now I don't think any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:37:15.370 --> 00:37:17.206 I I'm certainly not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:37:17.206 --> 00:37:19.960 and I don't think any experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00{:}37{:}20.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}22.918$ HPB surgeon would say that open

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:37:22.918 --> 00:37:25.350 liver surgery should go away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:25.350 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.740$ There are too many complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00{:}37{:}27{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}29{.}645$ Situations that I think patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:29.645 \rightarrow 00:37:32.150$ are best served with open surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:32.150 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.880$ but clearly this has been developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.240$ and embraced around the world.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- 00:37:37.240 --> 00:37:38.940 And over this time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00:37:38.940 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.065$ many of the early operations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00{:}37{:}41.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}42.620$ were small wedges.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- 00:37:42.620 --> 00:37:45.296 Those of kind of drop down,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00:37:45.300 \rightarrow 00:37:48.100$ and it's a steady increase in major
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00{:}37{:}48.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}50.803$ protect knees and an resections in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00:37:50.803 \rightarrow 00:37:53.178$ the posterior superior segments which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00{:}37{:}53.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}55.897$ are much more technically complicated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00:37:55.897 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.269$ because of their location and deliver.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- 00:37:59.270 --> 00:38:02.060 So laparoscopic appendectomy 20 and 2021.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00:38:02.060 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.896$ I think we can say is safe.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- 00:38:05.900 --> 00:38:06.842 Um, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- 00:38:06.842 --> 00:38:07.470 like everything,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00:38:07.470 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.018$ it has to be patient enough to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00:38:10.018 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.110$ be selected carefully.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:11.110 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.134$ I have not gone through all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00{:}38{:}14{.}134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}17{.}588$ data in this for the sake of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:38:17.590 --> 00:38:20.341 but it's Uncle logically appears to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:20.341 \rightarrow 00:38:22.770$ equivalent to open operations again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00{:}38{:}22.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}24.810$ Selected cases there are better fits

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00{:}38{:}24{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}27{.}237$ in hospital stay and recovery cost

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:27.237 \rightarrow 00:38:29.241$ benefit analysis suggests significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:29.241 \rightarrow 00:38:31.850$ value for appropriately selected patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.574$ There are limitations with

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:33.574 \rightarrow 00:38:34.867$ non anatomical sections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:38:34.870 --> 00:38:36.166 parenchymal, scarring sections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:38:36.166 --> 00:38:37.030 bleeding control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:37.030 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.428$ and biliary reconstruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:38.428 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.224$ is can be difficult with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00{:}38{:}41.224$ --> $00{:}38{:}42.860$ laparoscopic instrumentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:42.860 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.081$ So what next?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- 00:38:44.081 --> 00:38:47.479 Many of us are quite excited in doing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00{:}38{:}47{.}479 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}50{.}643$ robotic surgery of I think this is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00:38:50.643 \rightarrow 00:38:53.639$ a rapidly developing technology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00{:}38{:}53{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}56{.}090$ The Davinci System was introduced
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00{:}38{:}56{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}57{.}070$ around 2000.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- 00:38:57.070 --> 00:38:59.145 Appear to Julia Naughty first
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00:38:59.145 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.739$ did the first protect me with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00:39:01.739 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.919$ the robotic platform in 2003.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00:39:03.920 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.700$ This has expanded internationally.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00:39:05.700 \rightarrow 00:39:08.370$ Now the major focus of robotic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00:39:08.447 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.572$ surgery really has been urology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00{:}39{:}10{.}572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}12{.}697$ gynecology and a liver surgery
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00:39:12.768 \rightarrow 00:39:14.618$ is relatively late in this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00:39:14.620 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.490$ but many of us are embracing it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00{:}39{:}17{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}20{.}127$ and are excited about it and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:20.127 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.749$ feels that it brings real value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00{:}39{:}22.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}25.529$ The the unique elements of the robotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:25.529 \rightarrow 00:39:27.950$ platform are the 3D stereoscopic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:27.950 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.130$ Vision and the end of risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:31.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.250$ functionality of the instrumentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00{:}39{:}33{.}342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}37{.}052$ provides a degree of of dexterity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:37.052 \rightarrow 00:39:39.203$ flexibility with surgical maneuvers

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:39.203 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.017$ inside the body that is just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:42.020 \rightarrow 00:39:43.224$ At least for me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:43.224 \rightarrow 00:39:45.030$ most of us very difficult to

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:39:45.101 --> 00:39:47.377 duplicate using straight stick

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:39:47.377 --> 00:39:49.653 laparoscopic instruments do precise

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00{:}39{:}49.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}51.530$ suturing difficult locations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:51.530 \rightarrow 00:39:53.960$ The dual console that you're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:53.960 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.215$ here is great for instruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:56.215 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.877$ and the economic.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366
- $00:39:57.880 \rightarrow 00:40:01.044$ The ergonomics tend to be more favorable.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00{:}40{:}03.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}06.027$ Let's see, these are pictures I took in our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00{:}40{:}06{.}027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}08{.}870$ own operating room a couple of weeks ago.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00:40:08.870 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.187$ This is actually a patient who have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00:40:11.187 \rightarrow 00:40:13.310$ robotic left lateral segment resection.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00{:}40{:}13.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}15.314$ This is kind of the setup
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00:40:15.314 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.749$ we've got the for robotic arms.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00{:}40{:}17.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}19.970$ We still have one of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00:40:19.970 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.080$ instruments in place.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00:40:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.906$ This is an assist port that allows us to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- 00:40:23.906 --> 00:40:25.991 pass additional instruments and sutures
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- 00:40:25.991 --> 00:40:29.688 in the abdomen and this is kind of just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00:40:29.688 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.180$ as we're closing all of the incisions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00{:}40{:}32.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}34.763$ These are for small incisions across the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00{:}40{:}34{.}763 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}37{.}716$ abdomen and this is about a 3 centimeter
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:40:37.716 \rightarrow 00:40:40.210$ extraction port right below the umbilicus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:40:40.210 \rightarrow 00:40:43.108$ Through which we removed the specimen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:40:43.110 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.638$ Patient went home in two days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00{:}40{:}46.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}49.202$ So lots of discussion around the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

00:40:49.202 --> 00:40:50.483 country robotic laparoscopic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

00:40:50.490 - 00:40:53.058 you know, like everything in surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:40:53.060 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.556$ this is a point of surgeons

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00{:}40{:}55{.}556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}58{.}200$ love to debate back and forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:40:58.200 \rightarrow 00:41:00.340$ There are relatively few comparisons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00{:}41{:}00{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}02{.}680$ This comparison study was done

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00{:}41{:}02.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}05.020$ at the University of Pittsburgh

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

00:41:05.098 --> 00:41:06.758 a number of years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00{:}41{:}06.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}10.208$ and I think what it shows is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:41:10.210 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.686$ The robotic cases in this study

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:41:14.686 \rightarrow 00:41:16.924$ were took longer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

00:41:16.930 --> 00:41:18.514 Application rates bloodloss

- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00:41:18.514 \rightarrow 00:41:21.154$ outcomes were essentially the same,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00:41:21.160 \rightarrow 00:41:24.340$ but more cases were completed completely.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- 00:41:24.340 --> 00:41:25.924 Minimally invasive, Lee,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00:41:25.924 \rightarrow 00:41:28.036$ using the robotic platform.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- 00:41:28.040 --> 00:41:31.688 Many of these laparoscopic cases required
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00:41:31.688 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.478$ a utility incision or hand port so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00:41:35.480 \rightarrow 00:41:39.248$ I think there are merits to both approaches.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- 00:41:39.250 --> 00:41:42.770 Um, I have found in my own practice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00:41:42.770 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.970$ As this author points out,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00:41:44.970 \rightarrow 00:41:47.170$ the robotic approach may facilitate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- 00:41:47.170 --> 00:41:48.490 parenchymal sparing receptions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00:41:48.490 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.250$ particularly in these upper
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00:41:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.130$ posterior segments,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- 00:41:51.130 --> 00:41:53.680 posters appear segments that you can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00{:}41{:}53.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}57.727$ get to it to operate on with the robot,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

- $00:41:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.050$ which is hard.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- 00:41:59.050 --> 00:42:01.690 Lapre Scopic Lee in this series.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00{:}42{:}01.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}04.357$ Over half the cases the robotic series
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157
- $00:42:04.357 \rightarrow 00:42:06.969$ work in those difficult segments.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056
- $00{:}42{:}09{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}12{.}266$ The other area where I find the robotic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056
- $00:42:12.266 \rightarrow 00:42:14.168$ helpful is an atomically complicated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056
- $00:42:14.168 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.067$ procedures that require a lot of careful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056
- $00:42:18.067 \rightarrow 00:42:20.668$ enteropathic dissection and control the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056
- $00{:}42{:}20.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}23.128$ paddock veins and precise stapling.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056
- $00:42:23.130 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.091$ This paper was a nice report of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056
- $00:42:26.091 \rightarrow 00:42:28.864$ outcomes in complex cases of left
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056
- $00:42:28.864 \rightarrow 00:42:31.229$ lateral section ectomy where the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056
- $00{:}42{:}31{.}229 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}34{.}282$ disease is approaching him from the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056
- $00:42:34.282 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.318$ veins and they compared robotic left
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056
- $00:42:37.320 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.010$ lateral segment with left lateral
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056
- $00:42:40.010 \rightarrow 00:42:42.162$ laparoscopic left lateral segment.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056
- 00:42:42.170 --> 00:42:44.230 Um, you know these?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056
- $00:42:44.230 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.775$ This group does.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056
- $00:42:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.355$ Note that the robotic cases
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056
- 00:42:48.355 --> 00:42:49.900 were more expensive,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056
- $00{:}42{:}49{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52{.}990$ but when the procedures got complicated,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056
- $00:42:52.990 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.230$ the robot really seemed to provide
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056
- $00:42:56.230 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.390$ benefit with reduced blood
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056
- $00:42:58.483 \rightarrow 00:43:01.225$ loss and better length of stay.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- $00:43:03.270 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.274$ So as you think about the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- $00:43:05.274 \rightarrow 00:43:07.620$ cost of the value proposition,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- $00{:}43{:}07.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}10.404$ the way the cost of these procedures is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- 00:43:10.404 --> 00:43:13.147 allocated and understood is really critical.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- $00{:}43{:}13.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.438$ And if you know this is one of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- $00{:}43{:}15{.}438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}17{.}429$ those situations where the robotic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- $00:43:17.429 \rightarrow 00:43:19.237$ instrumentation is more expensive,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:43:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.040$ but if you can save anything on

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

00:43:22.040 --> 00:43:24.639 length of stay like this series

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:43:24.639 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.684$ did it more than pays for itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

00:43:27.690 --> 00:43:30.203 So I'm going to switch gears and

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00{:}43{:}30{.}203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}33{.}276$ talk about a few key kind of subsets

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00{:}43{:}33{.}276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}36{.}147$ of patients that I think we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:43:36.147 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.775$ need to understand as we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:43:38.775 \rightarrow 00:43:40.863$ about surgical candidates for HTC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

00:43:40.863 --> 00:43:43.269 One critical group is this group

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:43:43.269 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.479$ of patients with so called fibro

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:43:46.479 \rightarrow 00:43:48.120$ lamellar parasailer carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00{:}43{:}48{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}50{.}680$ This is a disease that has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:43:50.680 \rightarrow 00:43:53.329$ bimodal age distribution distribution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:43:53.330 \rightarrow 00:43:55.500$ but it's predominantly young adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00{:}43{:}55{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}57{.}665$ although there's a smaller peak

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:43:57.665 \rightarrow 00:43:58.964$ later in life.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- $00:43:58.970 \rightarrow 00:44:02.008$ In the 60s has a male predominant's.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- $00{:}44{:}02.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}04.175$ Most of these patients have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- 00:44:04.175 --> 00:44:05.474 non cirr
hotic livers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- $00:44:05.480 \rightarrow 00:44:08.808$ They often proves in his large bulky tumors
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- $00:44:08.808 \rightarrow 00:44:11.617$ and surgery is the primary treatment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- $00:44:11.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.720$ It's easy to mistake these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- 00:44:13.720 --> 00:44:15.820 tumors for benign liver lesion,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- 00:44:15.820 --> 00:44:18.340 such as bulky FNH or adenoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- 00:44:18.340 --> 00:44:19.600 They are malignant,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- $00:44:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.440$ they're aggressive.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- $00:44:20.440 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.540$ They need to be respected,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- $00:44:22.540 \rightarrow 00:44:25.137$ and they can have an excellent outcome
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- $00:44:25.137 \rightarrow 00:44:28.000$ with five year survival with reception,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- $00{:}44{:}28.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}30.520$ but they need to be appropriately
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969
- $00:44:30.520 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.780$ diagnose and manage.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:44:34.590 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.170$ So this actually is great story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}44{:}37{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}40{.}302$ This was like a young man who fell off

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:44:40.302 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.745$ a ladder who came to see me after he

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:44:43.745 \rightarrow 00:44:46.830$ was seen in the emergency Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}44{:}46.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}49.640$ with some bruises and sprains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:44:49.640 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.598$ And they had an abdominal CT

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:44:52.598 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.050$ that showed this lesion and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:44:55.050 \rightarrow 00:44:59.348$ You know, had no underlying liver disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:44:59.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.104$ And this lesion, which is best

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:02.104 \rightarrow 00:45:05.554$ seen in the venous phase, he went,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:05.554 \rightarrow 00:45:09.715$ not only was it seen in that phase, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}45{:}09{.}715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}11{.}785$ The other thing that was ominous

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:11.785 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.478$ about it is that the lower part

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}45{:}14.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}16.848$ lesion had this extra pet extension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:16.850 \rightarrow 00:45:20.940$ So he went to the operating room and had a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}45{:}20{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}23{.}919$ Right have attacked me with a kind of a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00:45:23.919 \rightarrow 00:45:26.155$ liberal reception of his retroperitoneal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00{:}45{:}26.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}29.680$ tissues to clear that extra pack extension.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- 00:45:29.680 --> 00:45:30.925 Big bulky specimen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00:45:30.925 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.585$ He's a healthy guy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00:45:32.590 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.670$ He did great post operatively.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00{:}45{:}34{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}37{.}519$ I followed him for about five years
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00{:}45{:}37{.}519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}41{.}315$ when I was in Portland and he did well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00{:}45{:}41{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}44{.}302$ Never had a recurrence and then I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00{:}45{:}44{.}302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}47{.}147$ kind of glossed him to follow up,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00:45:47.150 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.948$ but I think this is a perfect example of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00:45:50.950 \rightarrow 00:45:53.050$ Many of these patients can do well
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- 00:45:53.050 --> 00:45:55.290 or even be cured with surgery.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00:45:55.290 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.900$ If you look for the disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00{:}45{:}57{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}00{.}784$ The other group of patients is agents
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00:46:00.784 \rightarrow 00:46:04.218$ of what I call our our our elders,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:46:04.220 \rightarrow 00:46:05.856$ HTC in the elderly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}46{:}05{.}856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}09{.}049$ I think it needs to be reviewed

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}46{:}09{.}049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12{.}219$ is almost a different disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:46:12.220 \rightarrow 00:46:16.920$ This lady was sent to me about a month ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

00:46:16.920 --> 00:46:18.980 Sweet Lady retired nurse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}46{:}18.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}23.339$ Spent most of her career at Saint Raves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}46{:}23{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}26{.}056$ She has a history of breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:46:26.056 \rightarrow 00:46:28.285$ and she was undergoing evaluation

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}46{:}28{.}285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}31{.}708$ and was found to have a left

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:46:31.708 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.060$ lateral segment liver lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}46{:}34.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}36.622$ Had an MRI which showed the least

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}46{:}36{.}622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}39{.}775$ that you see and there was concerned

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}46{:}39.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}42.733$ that this is metastatic breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:46:42.740 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.680$ It was biopsied and proved to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}46{:}45{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}48{.}338$ well differentiated HCC and she has

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:46:48.338 \rightarrow 00:46:50.984$ undergone a robotic left lateral segment.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00:46:50.990 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.662$ Resection has done great.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00{:}46{:}52.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}55.711$ So this was a group of patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00{:}46{:}55{.}711 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}58{.}840$ that I noticed in my prior work.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00:46:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.620$ And you know you're after.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00{:}47{:}00.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}02.510$ Euro would keep seeing these older
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00{:}47{:}02.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}04.558$ folks from all over the Pacific
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- 00:47:04.558 --> 00:47:06.368 Northwest showing up with his
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00:47:06.368 \rightarrow 00:47:08.100$ liver tumors without cirrhosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00{:}47{:}08{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}10{.}585$ Most of them were actually quite healthy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00{:}47{:}10.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}13.286$ so I had one of our residents write
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00:47:13.286 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.930$ this up with one of my partners.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- 00:47:15.930 --> 00:47:17.790 Doctor Mahlon are transplant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00{:}47{:}17{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}19{.}185$ group in Portland.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00{:}47{:}19{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}20{.}982$ And of our series,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- 00:47:20.982 --> 00:47:22.774 about 50 patients median
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}47{:}22.774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}24.909$ age was 75 years old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}47{:}24{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}27{.}435$ Only 13% of them had

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:27.435 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.950$ underlying liver disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:28.950 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.406$ And of that group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}47{:}30{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}32{.}226$ most of them had some

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}47{:}32.226 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}33.689$ alcoholic liver disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:33.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.060$ Most of them were not viral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

00:47:36.060 -> 00:47:38.165 Hepatitis over 50% were treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}47{:}38.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}40.799$ with the major HEPA type to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}47{:}40.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}42.775$ and they all enjoyed excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:42.775 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.960$ five years survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.330$ I think the interesting thing is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:46.330 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.330$ you know this is a group that's already

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}47{:}49{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}51{.}379$ selected themselves as being older

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}47{:}51{.}379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}53{.}839$ without having a life limiting comorbidity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}47{:}53{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}56{.}240$ They get a liver tumor in.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- 00:47:56.240 --> 00:47:57.170 You know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00:47:57.170 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.495$ I would contend they merit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00{:}47{:}59{.}495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}00{.}425$ aggressive treatment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00:48:00.430 \rightarrow 00:48:04.714$ So just to summarize him wrap up.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00:48:04.720 \rightarrow 00:48:07.534$ You know surgery is the predominant topic,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00:48:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.796$ but I'm really need to underscore
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334
- $00:48:09.796 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.300$ the fact that transplant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448
- $00{:}48{:}11.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}13.177$ remains a central treatment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448
- $00:48:13.180 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.526$ It is the ideal treatment for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448
- $00:48:15.526 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.090$ cirrhotic patients with disease
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448
- $00:48:17.161 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.829$ within the Milan criteria.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448
- $00{:}48{:}18.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}20.830$ Reception is an excellent treatment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448
- $00{:}48{:}20{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}22{.}830$ for patients with limited disease
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448
- $00{:}48{:}22.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}24.870$ and well preserved liver function.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448
- $00:48:24.870 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.570$ We talked a lot about multidisciplinary
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00{:}48{:}27{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}29{.}852$ assessment and the importance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:48:29.852 \rightarrow 00:48:31.917$ the absence of portal hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:48:31.920 \rightarrow 00:48:33.906$ We talked about Portal vein embolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:48:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.890$ and radio ablation to augment

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00{:}48{:}35{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}37{.}078$ the plan remnant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00{:}48{:}37{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}39{.}545$ A discussion of minimally invasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

00:48:39.545 --> 00:48:42.010 surgical techniques and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:48:42.010 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.943$ I'll share that my bias has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:48:44.943 \rightarrow 00:48:48.046$ moved to move my own practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:48:48.046 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.386$ towards the robotic platform.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

00:48:50.390 --> 00:48:52.390 Although laparoscopic approaches or

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:48:52.390 \rightarrow 00:48:55.390$ equally valid we talked about fibro

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

00:48:55.464 --> 00:48:57.789 lamellar disease and elderly HCC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:48:57.790 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.926$ so I'm going to wrap up there

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00{:}49{:}00{.}926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}04{.}513$ and say thank you to my partners

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:49:04.513 \rightarrow 00:49:07.178$ in the liver tumor program.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448
- 00:49:07.180 --> 00:49:07.914 And Mario,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448
- $00:49:07.914 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.749$ for his leadership and Renee
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448
- $00:49:09.749 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.223$ for helping us put this on and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448
- $00:49:12.223 \rightarrow 00:49:13.848$ ask if there any questions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187
- $00{:}49{:}14.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}17.090$ You thank you, Kevin.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187
- $00:49:17.090 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.990$ This was a fantastic talk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187
- $00:49:19.990 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.470$ Just if if there are questions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187
- $00:49:23.470 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.950$ please write them in the chat.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187
- $00{:}49{:}26{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}30{.}206$ I read them and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187
- 00:49:30.206 --> 00:49:33.665 And coming will respond.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187
- 00:49:33.665 --> 00:49:36.688 I just, I mean, well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187
- 00:49:36.688 --> 00:49:39.700 I want to thank you Kevin,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187
- $00:49:39.700 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.332$ not only for this is cool shows
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187
- $00{:}49{:}42{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}44{.}285$ in the master sophisticated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187
- 00:49:44.285 --> 00:49:47.229 and newer surgical technology,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187

- $00:49:47.230 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.878$ but most of all,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187
- $00{:}49{:}48.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}51.968$ for your accent on how match that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187
- $00{:}49{:}51{.}968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}54{.}578$ she's Young's how many decisions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187
- 00:49:54.578 --> 00:49:57.770 are into a surgical indication,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187
- $00:49:57.770 \rightarrow 00:50:01.786$ how many eyes look at the same slide,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187
- $00{:}50{:}01{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}05{.}318$ the same data, and how much discussion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187
- $00:50:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.904$ I would dare to say that this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187
- $00{:}50{:}08{.}904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}12{.}056$ is as important as the scale
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187
- $00{:}50{:}12.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}14.546$ of the of the surgeon.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719
- 00:50:18.070 --> 00:50:21.770 Well, what I will say is surgical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719
- $00:50:21.770 \rightarrow 00:50:24.926$ technique and precision is very important.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719
- $00:50:24.930 \rightarrow 00:50:28.098$ These are operations that really need.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719
- $00:50:28.100 \rightarrow 00:50:31.268$ You need to be extremely meticulous,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719
- $00{:}50{:}31{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}34{.}847$ but the the patient selection of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719
- $00:50:34.847 \rightarrow 00:50:37.080$ decision-making is absolutely central,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719
- $00:50:37.080 \rightarrow 00:50:41.296$ and that's where I could not agree more.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719
- $00{:}50{:}41{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}45{.}398$ You need a thoughtful team and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719
- $00{:}50{:}45{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}48{.}616$ You need all these valuation techniques,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719
- $00:50:48.620 \rightarrow 00:50:51.668$ particularly really good image Ng assessment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719
- $00:50:51.668 \rightarrow 00:50:54.346$ of liver function clinical assessment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719
- $00:50:54.346 \rightarrow 00:50:57.206$ by hepatologist wedge vein pressures.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719
- $00{:}50{:}57{.}210$ --> $00{:}51{:}01{.}004$ All all of that makes a difference.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719
- 00:51:01.010 --> 00:51:03.074 And that's why.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719
- $00{:}51{:}03.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}07.202$ Am centers such as ours are.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719
- $00:51:07.210 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.330$ We get good outcomes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88408887386322
- $00:51:10.940 \dashrightarrow 00:51:14.876$ A few questions, I'm gonna read it so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251
- 00:51:17.900 --> 00:51:20.140 Doctor Chi is nice talk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251
- $00:51:20.140 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.934$ Why not fold the results in ATC?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251
- $00:51:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.580$ How to treat it and?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251
- 00:51:27.580 --> 00:51:30.124 This is if I can answer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251
- $00:51:30.124 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.820$ that question for you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

 $00:51:31.820 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.652$ We wish to know that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

 $00:51:34.652 \rightarrow 00:51:36.350$ are heavily investigating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

 $00:51:36.350 \rightarrow 00:51:40.544$ And if you want to add something on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

 $00:51:40.550 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.763$ but then is that there's another question

NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

00:51:43.763 --> 00:51:47.088 here for satisfied can rate told Kevin

NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

 $00{:}51{:}47.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}49.423$ in addition to radiation lobectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

00:51:49.430 --> 00:51:52.928 Any thoughts on using ethereal embolization?

NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

00:51:52.930 --> 00:51:56.602 On bridge to protect me for ATC in large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

 $00{:}51{:}56{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}57{.}430$ bulky tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807775259017944

 $00{:}51{:}59{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}02{.}918$ No such thanks for the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00{:}52{:}05{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}10{.}080$ You know I, I will say, I think it. It is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:52:10.080 \rightarrow 00:52:13.308$ It's probably a very reasonable approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00{:}52{:}13{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}17{.}430$ In these large bulky tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00{:}52{:}17{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}21{.}438$ I guess I will share that my experiences

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00{:}52{:}21{.}438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25{.}352$ been more towards a Y-90 approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:52:25.352 \dashrightarrow 00:52:28.160$ to radiation lobectomy approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00{:}52{:}28{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}32{.}230$ And maybe that was the bias of the IR group

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:52:32.332 \dashrightarrow 00:52:36.028$ that I worked with closely in Portland.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

00:52:36.030 -> 00:52:39.734 I do think there's some merit to it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:52:39.740 \rightarrow 00:52:42.974$ because with the search or why 90,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:52:42.980 \rightarrow 00:52:46.748$ you're not going to get an acute necrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00{:}52{:}46.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}49.920$ or ischemic effect in a large tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:52:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.698$ It's a. It's a more gradual

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:52:52.698 \rightarrow 00:52:54.550$ cytotoxic tumor killing effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:52:54.550 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.880$ I think the patients tolerate

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:52:56.880 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.210$ it pretty well and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:52:59.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.592$ Then you get this benefit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:53:01.592 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.680$ of liver atrophy as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00{:}53{:}03{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}06{.}249$ probably in a way that you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00{:}53{:}06{.}249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}09{.}181$ get in the Saint to the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00{:}53{:}09{.}181 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}11{.}791$ degree with with tastes you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

- 00:53:11.800 --> 00:53:13.830 And I'll I will share.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793
- 00:53:13.830 --> 00:53:15.855 I've operated on at least
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793
- $00:53:15.855 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.475$ two or three patients,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793
- $00:53:17.480 \rightarrow 00:53:21.296$ six or eight months after radio ablation and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793
- $00{:}53{:}21{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}23{.}580$ At least in one patient I can remember,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793
- $00:53:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.360$ there's no viable tumor left, so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793
- $00:53:25.360 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.110$ I'm not sure they all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793
- $00:53:27.110 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.160$ eventually need surgery,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793
- $00:53:28.160 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.700$ but it's it's kind of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793
- $00:53:30.700 \rightarrow 00:53:32.177$ Hard to know till you've done it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850983679294586
- 00:53:33.030 --> 00:53:35.823 Well, a couple more of a very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850983679294586
- $00{:}53{:}35{.}823 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}37{.}020$ sophisticated questions here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850983679294586
- $00:53:37.020 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.732$ One is from Stacy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850983679294586
- $00:53:38.732 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.300$ What do you think about the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850983679294586
- $00:53:41.402 \rightarrow 00:53:43.638$ use of systemic therapy?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850983679294586
- $00:53:43.640 \rightarrow 00:53:45.710$ They specifically immune therapy as

- NOTE Confidence: 0.850983679294586
- 00:53:45.710 -> 00:53:47.780 a neoadjuvant therapy or argument
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850983679294586
- $00:53:47.847 \rightarrow 00:53:49.647$ therapy to prevent recurrence.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403
- 00:53:51.010 -> 00:53:55.400 Well, you know, I think.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403
- $00:53:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.830$ If you look at the history of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403
- 00:53:58.830 --> 00:54:01.270 Multidisciplinary therapy in GI cancers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403
- $00{:}54{:}01{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}04{.}198$ We're all moving in every disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403
- 00:54:04.200 -> 00:54:05.499 more twords neoadjuvant,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403
- $00:54:05.499 \rightarrow 00:54:09.190$ and I think as we see the efficacy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403
- 00:54:09.190 --> 00:54:12.010 of treatment in HTC improving,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403
- $00:54:12.010 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.926$ we probably will get to that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403
- $00:54:14.930 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.686$ I don't think we're there yet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403
- 00:54:18.690 --> 00:54:21.458 Um? You know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403
- $00{:}54{:}21{.}458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}24{.}850$ so wrapping it just was not active enough.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403
- $00{:}54{:}24{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}27{.}520$ A tease. Oh Bev, maybe.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403
- $00{:}54{:}27{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}28{.}828$ And then I think,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

 $00:54:28.828 \rightarrow 00:54:31.274$ you know we'll probably get there with

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

 $00:54:31.274 \rightarrow 00:54:33.359$ the postoperative adamant as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

00:54:33.360 --> 00:54:34.848 I, I'll be honest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

00:54:34.848 --> 00:54:36.336 ACI's inspector trials underway

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

 $00:54:36.336 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.191$ that you are probably more

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

 $00:54:38.191 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.926$ acquainted with than I am.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

00:54:41.050 --> 00:54:44.977 I just just. So remember that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

 $00:54:44.977 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.469$ do have atrial here that Stacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

00:54:48.469 --> 00:54:51.835 is the PII in to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

 $00:54:51.835 \rightarrow 00:54:55.390$ the answer to this question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

 $00:54:55.390 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.414$ So patient after surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

00:54:57.414 --> 00:54:59.438 Weather immuno
therapy can can

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

 $00{:}54{:}59{.}438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}01{.}589$ help preventing the recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

 $00{:}55{:}01{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}04{.}838$ Because this is the problem with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

 $00:55:04.838 \rightarrow 00:55:07.648$ cancer that recurs so Thomas Daddy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

 $00:55:07.650 \rightarrow 00:55:10.380$ Is ask is congratulating for your talk,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667
- $00:55:10.380 \rightarrow 00:55:12.720$ but interesting how many people with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667
- $00:55:12.720 \rightarrow 00:55:15.060$ hepatitis C have been treated and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667
- $00:55:15.060 \dashrightarrow 00:55:17.400$ there she knows is well compensated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667
- $00{:}55{:}17{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}19{.}248$ They remain at risk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667
- $00:55:19.248 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.558$ Do you favor surgery over
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667
- $00:55:21.558 \rightarrow 00:55:23.450$ ablation for smoke humor?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00:55:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.386$ You know, I think there are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00:55:28.386 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.319$ a couple of pieces in that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00:55:31.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.980$ Question and my own.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00{:}55{:}35{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}39{.}355$ I think in this group of patients who are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00:55:39.355 \rightarrow 00:55:42.109$ treated for hepatitis C at their risk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00{:}55{:}42.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}45.071$ For developing, HTC does go down and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00:55:45.071 \rightarrow 00:55:47.874$ I suspect that the hepatologist know
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00{:}55{:}47.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}51.241$ those numbers much better than I do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00:55:51.250 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.820$ What I can tell you is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00{:}55{:}54{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}57{.}466$ Having operated on many patients over

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00{:}55{:}57{.}466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}00{.}710$ the years have had in a sustained

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:56:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.494$ virologic response to hep C treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00{:}56{:}03.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}06.225$ They are much better surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:56:06.225 \dashrightarrow 00:56:09.008$ candidates or livers, or healthier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

00:56:09.008 --> 00:56:11.878 They tolerate surgery much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00{:}56{:}11.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}14.611$ readily than either non treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

00:56:14.611 --> 00:56:17.365 patients or or patients who did

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:56:17.365 \rightarrow 00:56:20.408$ not have some adequate response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00{:}56{:}20{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}23{.}140$ I do think they remain at

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:56:23.140 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.505$ risk regarding ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

00:56:24.510 --> 00:56:27.324 You know I didn't spend a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:56:27.324 \rightarrow 00:56:29.969$ of time talking about ablation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00{:}56{:}29{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}34.056$ but I think it is a very useful modality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:56:34.060 \rightarrow 00:56:36.210$ either by the interventional radiologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:56:36.210 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.070$ or in some cases by surgeons,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00:56:39.070 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.696$ in difficult locations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00:56:40.696 \dashrightarrow 00:56:45.259$ And I think for small tumors it's a very.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- 00:56:45.260 --> 00:56:47.530 It's a very reasonable approach,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00:56:47.530 \rightarrow 00:56:50.290$ and I think there's really abundant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00:56:50.290 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.520$ data that indicates that for,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00{:}56{:}52{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}55{.}698$ you know, for a 2 centimeter tumor,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00:56:55.700 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.424$ the outcome is going to be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00:56:58.424 \rightarrow 00:56:59.786$ a essentially equivalent.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00{:}56{:}59{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}03{.}360$ So I I think it's a very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00:57:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.380$ reasonable alternative.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- 00:57:04.380 --> 00:57:04.770 Yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00{:}57{:}04.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}07{.}114$ there's no patience is safe Surgical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00{:}57{:}07{.}114$ --> $00{:}57{:}09{.}460$ candidate and they have minimal disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00{:}57{:}09{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}11{.}420$ Name would like a reception.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235
- $00{:}57{:}11{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}13{.}370$ Sometimes there is satisfaction to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:57:13.370 \rightarrow 00:57:16.109$ having the disease out of their body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:57:16.110 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.070$ and I think it's reasonable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00{:}57{:}18.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}20.410$ But then there's the fact of

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:57:20.410 \rightarrow 00:57:22.754$ comorbidities too, so locks goes good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00{:}57{:}22.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}23.927$ goes into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

 $00{:}57{:}23{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}27{.}058$ decision. We have three more questions to go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

 $00{:}57{:}27.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}29.740$ one from David Madoff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

 $00:57:29.740 \rightarrow 00:57:31.629$ Only the only issue with additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

 $00{:}57{:}31{.}629 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}34{.}410$ back to me is that we do not know

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

 $00{:}57{:}34{.}487 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}37{.}019$ that kinetic growth rate of deliver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

 $00{:}57{:}37{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}40{.}826$ There is literature on PBE, an sequential

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

00:57:40.826 --> 00:57:43.467 days combined with PVA, hopefully.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

 $00:57:43.467 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.203$ We have this figure out the sample and

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

 $00{:}57{:}47.203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}51.186$ that's more common than thank you by e.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

00:57:51.186 --> 00:57:52.798 And Sue Chan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

00:57:52.798 --> 00:57:54.434 From our pathology Department

- NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414
- $00:57:54.434 \rightarrow 00:57:57.360$ has to do this action matching.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414
- $00{:}57{:}57{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}59{.}436$ What is the minimum distance in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414
- $00{:}57{:}59{.}436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}01{.}247$ millimeters of tumor to resection
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414
- 00:58:01.247 00:58:03.042 margin you prefer to call
- NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414
- $00:58:03.042 \rightarrow 00:58:04.840$ negative any data for that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434
- 00:58:07.120 --> 00:58:14.030 Yeah. Yeah. There, I guess the way
- NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434
- $00{:}58{:}14.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}17.128$ I would answer that question is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434
- $00:58:17.128 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.377$ that what I try to aim for is a.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434
- $00:58:20.380 \longrightarrow 00:58:23.292$ Is a centimeter margin.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434
- 00:58:23.292 --> 00:58:26.522 Often you know, depending on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434
- $00:58:26.522 \rightarrow 00:58:29.127$ the location of the disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434
- $00:58:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.256$ in the proximity to other structures,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434
- $00:58:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.204$ that is not achievable.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434
- $00{:}58{:}34{.}204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}37{.}795$ I think for most HCC and narrow
- NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434
- $00:58:37.795 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.197$ margin of even a couple of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

 $00:58:41.197 \rightarrow 00:58:43.920$ minutes millimeters is adequate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

 $00:58:43.920 \rightarrow 00:58:48.141$ Certainly a positive margin is a marker

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

 $00:58:48.141 \rightarrow 00:58:51.510$ is associated with poor outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

00:58:51.510 --> 00:58:53.676 But it is. I will say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

 $00{:}58{:}53{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}55{.}485$ it's difficult to know in

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

 $00{:}58{:}55{.}485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}56{.}929$ some of these situations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

 $00:58:56.930 \rightarrow 00:58:59.810$ Is is it the margin that's the driver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

 $00:58:59.810 \rightarrow 00:59:02.698$ the outcome or the biology of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816351175308228

 $00{:}59{:}05{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}07{.}806$ So I I don't think that answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.816351175308228

 $00:59:07.806 \rightarrow 00:59:08.820$ the question completely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816351175308228

 $00:59:08.820 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.516$ but what I would say is like I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816351175308228

 $00:59:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.548$ I tried to get a centimeter

NOTE Confidence: 0.816351175308228

00:59:13.550 --> 00:59:15.800 of margin and finally we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.816351175308228

 $00{:}59{:}15{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}18{.}060$ a question from John Kuntzman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816351175308228

 $00:59:18.060 \rightarrow 00:59:20.340$ Another of our surgical oncologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816351175308228

 $00:59:20.340 \rightarrow 00:59:23.469$ I generally consider a section to be

- NOTE Confidence: 0.816351175308228
- 00:59:23.469 --> 00:59:25.360 contraindicated in multifocal agency,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816351175308228
- $00:59:25.360 \longrightarrow 00:59:27.180$ even in non cirrhotic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816351175308228
- $00:59:27.180 \longrightarrow 00:59:29.455$ Would you agree with that?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.0910807773470879
- $00:59:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.130$ Um?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.705260784365237
- 00:59:38.350 --> 00:59:45.433 In general. Yes. Um? Although.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.705260784365237
- 00:59:45.433 --> 00:59:49.777 John, you know as well as I do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.705260784365237
- 00:59:49.780 --> 00:59:52.944 There are no absolutes in surgical oncology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.705260784365237
- $00:59:52.950 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.282$ You know, I think some of that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.705260784365237
- $00:59:56.282 \rightarrow 00:59:59.332$ depends on the size, the location,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.705260784365237
- $00:59:59.332 \rightarrow 01:00:02.772$ the health of the patient, their age,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.705260784365237
- $01:00:02.772 \rightarrow 01:00:05.227$ the technicalities of the operation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.705260784365237
- $01{:}00{:}05{.}230 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}09{.}423$ kind of all those other intangibles that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.705260784365237
- $01{:}00{:}09{.}423 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}13{.}539$ would that would go into the decision.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.705260784365237
- 01:00:13.540 --> 01:00:17.150 Domino's rephrased
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517
- $01:00:17.150 \rightarrow 01:00:21.020$ It doesn't give you quarter here, So what are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

- $01:00:21.020 \rightarrow 01:00:21.820$ your exceptions?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517
- 01:00:21.820 --> 01:00:24.620 Oh, you know I would say in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517
- $01:00:24.620 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.664$ in someone who has two regions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517
- $01:00:27.664 \rightarrow 01:00:30.219$ that are resectable safely with.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517
- 01:00:30.220 --> 01:00:33.810 Even a right hepat ectomy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517
- $01{:}00{:}33.810 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}35.952$ You know, I would probably send the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517
- $01{:}00{:}35{.}952 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}38{.}495$ patient to Stacy and may be have them have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517
- $01:00:38.495 \rightarrow 01:00:40.590$ her treat them with systemic therapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517
- 01:00:40.590 --> 01:00:43.820 Kind of in an ad hoc way for you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517
- $01{:}00{:}43.820 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}45.758$ the question came up about neoadjuvant.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517
- $01:00:45.760 \longrightarrow 01:00:48.014$ Well, this is the case where yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517
- $01:00:48.020 \dashrightarrow 01:00:51.250$ I'd figure out a way to do some new agents.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517
- $01:00:51.250 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.865$ So you were watching the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517
- $01:00:52.865 \longrightarrow 01:00:54.480$ patient for a few months.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517
- $01:00:54.480 \rightarrow 01:00:56.594$ Make sure that they don't have lung
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517
- $01:00:56.594 \rightarrow 01:00:59.006$ lesions or bone lesions, and if there

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

01:00:59.006 --> 01:01:00.938 was no new disease or progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

 $01:01:00.940 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.473$ Yeah, it operate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

01:01:02.473 --> 01:01:05.028 I bet you would too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

01:01:05.030 --> 01:01:06.060 Alright, well

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

 $01:01:06.060 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.102$ thank you very much Kevin for

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

 $01{:}01{:}09{.}102 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}12{.}787$ taking time out of your very busy

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

 $01:01:12.787 \longrightarrow 01:01:15.883$ day to give and deliver this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

 $01:01:15.890 \longrightarrow 01:01:18.475$ This lessons about novelty and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

 $01{:}01{:}18.475 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}21.060$ what is establishing in surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

 $01:01:21.060 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.056$ Ann and thank you to the audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

 $01{:}01{:}24.056 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}27.896$ and thank you to Renee for another

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

 $01{:}01{:}27.896 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}30.356$ outstanding organization of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

 $01{:}01{:}30{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}35{.}040$ We will meet again in a month to here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

01:01:35.040 --> 01:01:39.440 David Mouth, yes I thank you again everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

01:01:39.440 --> 01:01:43.296 Thank you alright have a good one.