## WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:00:03.4130000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:03.128 Sure, there's enough time for both of you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:00:03.130 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.060$  so I see folks here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:00:05.060 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.573$  The numbers are going up and appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00{:}07.573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}09.951$  folks logging on welcome everyone once

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:00:09.951 --> 00:00:12.364 again to Cancer Center, grand rounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:00:12.364 --> 00:00:14.274 and we're really very privileged

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00{:}00{:}14.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17.495$  today to have two of our exceptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00{:}00{:}17.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}18.998$  physician scientists presenting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00{:}00{:}19.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21.040$  You know, really and frankly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:00:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.055$  what's exciting is it it

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:00:23.055 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.667$  once again highlights the

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:00:24.667 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.338$  extraordinary work in immunology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:00:26.340 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.956$  Immuno biology at Yale and at

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00{:}00{:}28.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}31.790$  the impact on this ultimately.

 $00:00:31.790 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.198$  In our cancer therapy and in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00{:}00{:}34.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}35.790$  understanding of cancer biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:00:35.790 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.317$  so let me turn to our first

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:00:38.317 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.530$  speaker to ensure we have time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:00:40.530 --> 00:00:43.064 Our first speaker is Doctor David Hafler,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:00:43.070 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.418$  who is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:00:44.418 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.853$  is the ugly professor and chair of

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:00:46.853 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.212$  the Department of the Rolla G and

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:00:49.212 --> 00:00:51.083 Professor of Immunology, Immunobiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:00:51.083 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.172$  and David's accomplishments

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:00:52.172 --> 00:00:53.987 are are really quite Legion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:00:53.990 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.810$  Renee actually prepared a synopsis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00{:}00{:}55.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}58.744$  and I just said that I want to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:00:58.744 --> 00:01:01.266 sure David has time to present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:01:01.270 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.106 \text{ I won't}.$ 

00:01:02.106 --> 00:01:04.196 Go through all of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:01:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.368$  but his accomplishments in

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:01:06.368 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.994$  terms of understanding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:01:08.000 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.252$  Advancing neuroscience and understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:01:10.252 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.630$  that human autoimmunity in an understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:01:13.705 --> 00:01:15.915 how to leverage our understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:01:15.915 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.125$  of immunology to impacting human

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:01:18.201 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.546$  disease is really quite impressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00{:}01{:}20.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23.328$  And among his awards include the

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:01:23.328 --> 00:01:26.070 distal Prize for Ms Research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00{:}01{:}26.070 --> 00{:}01{:}28.078$  the University of Miami

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:01:28.078 --> 00:01:29.584 Distinguished Alumni Award,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:01:29.590 --> 00:01:32.254 the American Urology Association,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:01:32.254 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.586$  Adams Lectureship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:01:33.590 --> 00:01:34.688 And most recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:01:34.688 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.680$  and I think a year or so ago,

 $00:01:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.822$  election to the National Academy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:01:39.822 --> 00:01:42.027 Medicine and and David has really

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:01:42.027 --> 00:01:43.812 been an incredibly engaged member

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:01:43.812 --> 00:01:45.870 of our Cancer Center faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:01:45.870 --> 00:01:47.354 I think David's leadership,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:01:47.354 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.588$  I think, has advanced the cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:01:49.588 --> 00:01:51.448 of our brain tumor program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:01:51.450 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.605$  among other things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:01:52.605 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.722$  an David thank you for making the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:01:55.722 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.137$  to share your work with us today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:01:59.550 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.846$  Thank you Charlie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:02:00.846 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.870$  It's really a pleasure to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:02:03.870 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.049$  And let me turn this on and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:02:08.050 --> 00:02:11.786 My cell phone, so I'd like to do today

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:02:11.786 --> 00:02:14.544 is to present some new unpublished

 $00:02:14.544 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.541$  work which really epitomizes to me of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}02{:}18.541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.134$  physician scientists of learning from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:02:21.134 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.472$  the patient and just in a nutshell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:02:24.472 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.636$  what I'm going to show you is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:02:27.636 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.350$  very fundamental question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:02:29.350 --> 00:02:32.416 which is what induces the checkpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:02:32.416 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.640$  inhibitors particular PD one Tim three lag,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:02:35.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.916$  3 digit on human T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:02:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.690$  And that's gonna be the nature

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:02:40.690 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.118$  of the talk that the work has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}02{:}43.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.694$  been submitted for publication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:02:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.960$  It was put online,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:02:45.960 --> 00:02:48.284 a bio RX being one's interest in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}02{:}48.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}50.480$  seeing the paper itself and upfront.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:02:50.480 --> 00:02:52.860 I want to really, now Stamos Amita,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}02{:}52.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}54.220$  who really really performed

 $00:02:54.220 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.260$  this work in our laboratory tone

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:02:56.317 --> 00:02:57.987 was now an assistant professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:02:57.987 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.657$  and then pursuing this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:02:59.660 --> 00:03:00.680 It wanted knowledge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}03{:}00.680 \to 00{:}03{:}02.720$  My long term collaborator, Vijay Kutru.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.420$  Yes, you see a Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:04.420 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.460$  a sticker that he was here

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:06.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.820$  helping us recruit students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:07.820 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.130$  Don't tell the people in Boston.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:03:10.130 --> 00:03:12.270 Enjoy dulberg in the Softmod

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:12.270 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.410$  who did the computational work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:14.410 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.902$  So the question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:15.902 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.767$  what are the regulatory mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:17.767 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.035$  for induction of a Co inhibitory

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:20.035 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.865$  receptors on human T cells?

00:03:21.870 --> 00:03:24.846 But I'll show you is surprisingly type one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}03{:}24.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26.342$  interferons induce Cohen Cohen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:26.342 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.580$  territory receptors on human T cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:28.580 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.436$  so that's the bottom line of what I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:31.436 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.176$  going to show you over 30 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:34.180 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.538$  We worked through the in vitro

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:36.538 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.717$  transcriptional regulatory network

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:37.717 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.831$  for this interferon beta response and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:39.831 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.341$  then we identified an in vivo model

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:42.341 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.336$  where abara load strongly correlate's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}03{:}44.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}46.330$  With type one interferon signature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:46.330 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.458$  which allowed us to perform an in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}03{:}48.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50.887$  vivo validation of the in vitro

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}03{:}50.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52.342$  interferon transcriptional regulatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:52.342 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.282$  network Co inhibitory receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:54.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.007$  So that's what my talk will be.

 $00{:}03{:}58.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}00.467$  Now it's been known for a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:00.467 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.000$  of years to work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:04:02.000 --> 00:04:04.247 Button from Vijay Kutru and be ready

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:04:04.247 --> 00:04:06.428 given we've had a program Project

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:06.428 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.726$  Grant 2 program project grants looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:08.726 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.290$  Cohen inventory molecules valene

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:04:10.290 --> 00:04:13.979 sharp for well over 25 years that PD one Tim,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:04:13.979 --> 00:04:16.157 three lag three and TIGIT ARCO,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:16.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.338$  regulated and expressed as a module.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:18.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.792$  So here we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:19.792 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.970$  Hopefully you will see the pointer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:21.970 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.785$  I won't advance the slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:23.785 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.237$  while I'm doing this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.910$  but you can see that there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:04:27.910 --> 00:04:30.100 Expression of PD one Tim,

 $00:04:30.100 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.907$  three lag three and TIGIT on C4 and CD8

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:33.907 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.258$  cells that their modulated together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:37.260 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.976$  And that this is a new spot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:39.980 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.147$  I'll 27 here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}04{:}41.147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43.870$  We have the induction of Tim 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:43.958 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.926$  not so much PD one but lag three

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:04:46.926 --> 00:04:49.705 and TIGIT by I'll 27 you knock

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:49.705 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.430$  down aisle 27 the mouse you lose

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.380$  the induction by aisle 27.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:54.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.932$  That's the upregulation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}04{:}55.932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57.872$  down regulation by the knock down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.984$  Now it's been known for a long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:05:00.990 --> 00:05:02.930 That type one interferon signatures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:05:02.930 --> 00:05:04.880 or enriching chronic viral infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:05:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.820$  and both mouse and humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:05:06.820 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.655$  and that chronic viral infection

 $00{:}05{:}09.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}11.923$  induces T cell exhaustion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:05:11.930 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.845$  Really first identified by Rafi

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:05:13.845 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.257$  Ahmed in the HIV system and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:05:16.257 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.807$  in El CMV infection and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}05{:}18.807 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}20.928$  associated with expression and Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:05:20.928 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.868$  inhibitory receptors such as PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:05:22.870 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.542$  One Tim, three lag.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:05:24.542 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.632$  Three antigen is interferon signature

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:05:26.632 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.024$  with the LC MP model suggesting that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:05:29.024 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.757$  there may be an Association with type

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:05:31.757 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.547$  one interferons and these cone hitori

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:05:34.547 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.828$  molecules so wish to ask do they

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}05{:}37.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40.222$  induce these receptors again here's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:05:40.222 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.646$  why I showed you in terms of mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:05:43.650 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.723$  An you know first experiments and when

00:05:46.723 --> 00:05:49.860 I googled in photograph of human,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}05{:}49.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53.630$  I swear this is what showed up and I know

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:05:53.731 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.505$  way mean to denigrate mouse immunologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

00:05:57.510 --> 00:05:59.434 By showing this picture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:05:59.434 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.728$  but one can see is that in CD4 cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}06{:}03.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06.120$  either with with no cytokine

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.510$  I'll 27 or interferon beta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:08.510 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.898$  This market induction of Tim three lag

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}06{:}11.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.808$  three and PD one. By interference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:14.808 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.850$  So now we go into more depth to show this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:19.850 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.746$  Here's how the experiments were done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:21.750 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.646$  We took CD4 CD 8 cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:23.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.140$  That was CD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:26.140 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.570$  That were CD 45 negative positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:28.570 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.412$  That is a naive T cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:31.412 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.429$  stimulate them for non use.

 $00:06:33.430 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.050$  Different different time points

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:35.050 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.670$  with CD3 plus minus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:36.670 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.008$  I'll 27 and interferon beta and one can see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:41.010 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.206$  The induction of here's a control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}06{:}43.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}45.292$  The market induction of lag three

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:45.292 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.619$  and Tim three with interfere on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:47.620 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.852$  Here's the control and he is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:49.852 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.650$  looking at Tim three PD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

00:06:51.650 --> 00:06:54.163 One here is a summary of data

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

00:06:54.163 --> 00:06:56.789 with Tim three lag through in PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.445$  one individually and the summary

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

00:06:58.445 --> 00:07:00.596 of Tim three lag 3P1 positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}07{:}00.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}02.296$  cells within this market.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.238$  Induction by type one interferons interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:04.238 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.699$  beta of these Co inhibitory molecules.

00:07:06.700 --> 00:07:09.283 But surprisingly unlike in the mouse with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:09.283 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.487$  digit is Co regulated part of the module?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:12.490 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.599$  These other Co inhibitory molecules in human.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:16.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.505$  We saw that TIGIT use digit expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:19.505 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.282$  in the presence of interferon is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:22.282 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.558$  markedly decreased from 25% down to four,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:25.558 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.244$  12% from 28% when look the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:28.244 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.484$  RNA expression we saw there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:30.490 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.278$  In fact two modules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:32.278 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.513$  one with interferon with Lag,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:34.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.780$  3 Tim, three PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:36.780 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.605$  one increase with interferon beta

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

00:07:39.605 --> 00:07:43.208 and the other module with digit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:43.210 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.389$  The Jennifer subtest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:44.389 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.568$  Nine other modules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:45.570 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.928$  a CD 160 being decreased by

 $00:07:47.928 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.107$  type One interferon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:49.110 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.385$  So these data show that in humans

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:51.385 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.256$  there are two modules regulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:53.256 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.301$  by interferon that in fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

00:07:55.301 --> 00:07:57.360 go in opposite directions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.539$  Here's a kinetex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

00:07:58.539 --> 00:08:01.290 Overtime the induction of Tim three lag,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:08:01.290 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.074$  three PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:08:02.074 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.426$  one with the decrease in digit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:08:06.930 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.090$  So just take a step back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:08:09.090 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.970$  Why do we have an interest in Tidjane?

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}08{:}11.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}14.202$  I mention this because under the

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}08{:}14.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16.093$ leadership of Antonio Mora we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:08:16.093 --> 00:08:18.431 about to embark upon a phase one

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}08{:}18.431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20.250$  clinical trial in patients with

 $00:08:20.250 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.770$  glioblastoma with anti TIGIT or anti PD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:08:22.770 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.650$  One or a combination of of the two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:08:25.650 --> 00:08:27.090 working with Jemal eternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:08:27.090 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.890$  and lead in my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:08:28.890 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.943$  By Liliana Luca.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:08:29.943 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.789$  So why an interest in tinge of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:08:32.789 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.293$  work goes back to 2012 work done by

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:08:35.293 --> 00:08:38.079 S Duluth Lozano in the laboratory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}08{:}38.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}40.500$  We've always been impressed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:08:40.500 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.920$  the biologic effects of blocking

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:08:43.000 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.460$  with anti TIGIT looking at Tibet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:08:45.460 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.220$  The gamut of fear on Gata,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:08:48.220 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.530$  3RF-9 and and RRC expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:08:50.530 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.306$  And one can see that with anti TIGIT

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:08:54.306 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.132$  antibody there's a market loss of

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:08:57.132 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.345$  these cytokines in culture and if you

 $00:09:00.345 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.467$  knock down ticket here within SHR Now

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}09{:}03.467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}05.848$ you have market increases engagement

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:05.848 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.824$  affair on and decreases dial 10.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:09:08.830 --> 00:09:10.750 So comparing PD one antigen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:10.750 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.249$  our hands in human systems been very

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}09{:}13.249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.993$  impressed with the effects of ticket and

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:09:15.993 --> 00:09:18.405 also just comparing Ms two glioblastoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:18.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.063$  there really isn't a big difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:09:21.063 --> 00:09:24.529 PDL one or PD1 between Ms and brain tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:24.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.828$  but there is a virtual absolute

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:09:26.828 --> 00:09:28.360 difference between TIGIT expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:28.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.224$  typically on the CD 8 cells in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}09{:}31.224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}33.727$  with GBM virtually absent in Ms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:33.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.944$  he was looking at teacher by

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:35.944 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.940$  flow and tills versus blood,

00:09:37.940 --> 00:09:40.496 suggesting the potential importance of digit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:40.500 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.464$  In the central nervous

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:42.464 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.937$  system for glioblastoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.220$  So first one to work through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:46.220 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.445$  After that identification of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:48.445 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.670$  effect of type One interferons

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}09{:}50.747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}54.261$  wanted to work through the in vitro

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:54.261 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.767$  transcriptional regulatory network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:55.770 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.380$  So we use the same model

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:58.380 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.120$  that would be regift.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:10:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.856$  Near Youssef used in terms of setting up

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:10:02.856 --> 00:10:05.130 identifying the TH17A regulatory network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:10:05.130 --> 00:10:09.360 and this is work done by a soft in BJ's lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}10{:}09.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11.436$  so we needed to have higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}10{:}11.436 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}12.820$  resolution transcriptomic data to

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}10{:}12.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}14.750$  construct the regulatory network.

00:10:14.750 --> 00:10:17.410 For those of you who aren't engaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}10{:}17.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}20.138$  in terms of looking at RNA now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:10:20.140 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.317$  what we used to do is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:10:22.317 --> 00:10:24.760 take a T cell stimulate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:10:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.298$  measure the RNA 4 hours later

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:10:27.298 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.129$  and say this is what it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:10:30.130 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.800$  We've learned that their complex regulatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:10:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.870$  networks and one needs to really do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:10:35.870 --> 00:10:38.510 The kinetics overtime to construct

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:10:38.510 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.622$  a dynamic regulatory network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:10:40.630 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.728$  Such a performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:10:41.728 --> 00:10:44.930 This network we took dive CD4 CD 8 cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:10:44.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.646$  stimulate them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:10:45.646 --> 00:10:47.436 measure them in different time

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:10:47.436 --> 00:10:49.220 points with control versus type.

00:10:49.220 --> 00:10:51.368 One interferon did bulk RNA sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}10{:}51.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}53.338$  We did 34 samples time three

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:10:53.338 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.068$  replicates with the same healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:10:55.068 --> 00:10:57.150 donor and we decided that rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:10:57.150 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.249$  than looking at human variation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:10:59.250 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.212$  which is significant mediated by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:11:01.212 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.539$  by the genetics of the individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:11:03.540 --> 00:11:05.688 we do what mouse immunologists do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:11:05.690 --> 00:11:08.126 which is pick one strain of

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:11:08.126 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.750$  mice and study it in detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:11:10.750 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.396$  And we measured are we did RNA seek RT

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:11:13.396 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.008$  PCR protein for flow so that this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:11:17.008 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.916$  transcriptomic analysis of interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:11:18.916 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.968$  beta high temporal resolution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:11:20.970 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.765$  We so differential expression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:11:22.765 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.064$  gene levels for eight different time

 $00{:}11{:}25.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}26.856$  points with interferon stimulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:11:26.860 --> 00:11:29.828 Here's a log 2 expression so we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:11:29.828 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.190$  differential expression patterns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:11:31.190 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.150$  We have an early expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:11:33.150 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.718$  pattern here and here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:11:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.858$  We have an intermediate expression pattern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:11:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.401$  A late expression pattern over here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:11:40.401 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.278$  finally a bimodal expression pattern goes up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:11:43.280 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.460$  down and back up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:11:46.460 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.665$  So in performing it just

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}11{:}48.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49.547$  transcriptomic analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:11:49.550 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.190$  we looked divided into transcription factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:11:52.190 --> 00:11:53.995 Here CD four cells with

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:11:53.995 --> 00:11:55.800 different kinetics and these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:11:55.866 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.930$  different transcription factors.

 $00:11:57.930 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.130$  Again, we can see early

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:00.130 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.450$  transcription factors immediately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}12{:}01.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03.139$  transcription factors induced

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:03.139 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.954$  and we identified different Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:05.954 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.844$  inhibitory receptors and different

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:07.844 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.273$  T cell related genes for both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}12{:}10.273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12.916$  CD four and for the CDA population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:12:12.920 --> 00:12:15.602 Again, in looking at the effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:15.602 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.496$  of interferon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:16.500 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.181$  And what it does in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}12{:}19.181 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}20.769$  the transcriptional networks is

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:20.769 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.947$  critical to look over time 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:22.947 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.624$  there's a dynamic change in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}12{:}25.624 \rightarrow 00{:}12{:}27.644$  transcription factors and Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:27.644 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.159$  inhibitory receptors overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.058$  So we identified the most differentially

 $00:12:32.058 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.990$  expressed transcription factors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:34.059 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.593$  about 20 of them here and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:36.593 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.155$  are transcription factors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:12:38.155 --> 00:12:39.783 were differentially regulated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}12{:}39.783 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42.652$  decreased in both CD4 and CD8T cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:42.652 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.564$  and we as a reality check we

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:45.564 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.890$  asked of these word known.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}12{:}47.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49.510$  Interferon responsive gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:49.510 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.750$  So here's the IFN responsive responsive

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}12{:}52.750 \rightarrow 00{:}12{:}55.695$  gene score overtime and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}12{:}55.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}58.020$  green represents regulators for Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:58.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.990$  inhibitory receptors until the yellow

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}13{:}00.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}03.880$  HIV signatures in progressive patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:03.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.550$  And then I'll 27 regulators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:06.550 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.274$  So we we want to examine these

00:13:10.274 --> 00:13:11.870 transcriptional for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}13{:}11.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15.200$  transcriptional factors in detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.512$  So in order to do this and presented dilemma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:18.520 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.260$  we had to develop new technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:13:20.260 --> 00:13:21.997 because I called the Heisenberg

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:13:21.997 --> 00:13:24.057 uncertainty principle of immunology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:24.060 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.208$  The process of examining the cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:26.208 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.120$  with activation perturb the system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}13{:}28.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30.376$  Some of looking for an electron

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:30.376 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.170$  after hitting it with HV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}13{:}32.170 --> 00{:}13{:}34.550$  So we had to develop a gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:34.550 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.879$  knockdown the early time points and

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:36.879 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.939$  primary T cell without activating

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:38.939 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.879$  T cells and again this is all work

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:41.879 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.094$  developed by Tomo by Thomas Anita.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:44.094 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.656$  We used an efficient lentiviral vectors

 $00:13:46.656 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.768$  that developed by wearing a green.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:48.770 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.795$  And basically one takes a

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:13:50.795 --> 00:13:52.820 viral like particles V LP's

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:13:52.906 --> 00:13:55.276 which is incorporated with TPX,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:13:55.280 --> 00:13:57.878 which degrades Sam Sam HD one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.824$  removes restrictions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:58.824 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.184$  you can transfect primary human

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:14:01.184 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.530$  T cells with this Sam S1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:14:03.530 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.554$  which now allows transfection with SH RNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:14:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.730$  HIV, HIV, lentivirus and all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:14:08.730 --> 00:14:12.636 This can be done in an activated T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:14:12.640 --> 00:14:14.896 Could knock down the gene and

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:14:14.896 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.410$  then do the the incubation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:14:17.410 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.098$  So here we have night CD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:14:20.100 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.664$  Or cells incubated without

00:14:21.664 --> 00:14:24.010 CD3 CD 28 with this procedure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:14:24.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.170$  knocking down the different genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}14{:}26.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.827$  and then there is stimulated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:14:28.827 --> 00:14:31.335 and without interferon beta and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:14:31.335 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.589$  measured five days later and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:14:33.589 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.098$  we perform fax GFP of we sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}14{:}36.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38.246$  the GFP positive cells were knocked

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:14:38.246 --> 00:14:40.665 down and did bulk RNA sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}14{:}40.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43.197$  and you can see very efficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:14:43.197 --> 00:14:45.516 knockdown in the GFP positive cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:14:45.520 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.470$  With these different transcription factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:14:47.470 --> 00:14:51.040 This is a monumental amount to work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:14:51.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.168$  Performed by tomo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:14:52.168 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.048$  So we perform principal component

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:14:54.048 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.408$  analysis to changes in the total

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}14{:}56.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58.343$  RNA expression after the interferon

 $00:14:58.343 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.618$  signature associated with each knockdown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:15:00.620 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.406$  So let me just say that again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:15:03.410 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.400$  so these are PCA plots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:15:05.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.405$  We knock down each transcription

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:15:07.405 --> 00:15:09.803 factor and then looked at all

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:15:09.803 --> 00:15:11.747 the RNA expression and then put

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:15:11.747 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.179$  that into a principle component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:15:14.180 --> 00:15:15.724 One in principle component,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:15:15.724 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.065$  to what that revealed is that the interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}15{:}19.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}21.440$  one stimulated genes are positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}15{:}21.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.075$  Regulated by we call interfer on

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:15:25.075 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.716$  regulated module one, this modulator

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:15:28.716 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.628$  increased the downstream interferon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:15:31.630 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.340$  Stimulated genes with module 2 represented

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:15:36.340 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.480$  transcription factors that negatively

 $00:15:39.579 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.699$  regulated the interferon interferon genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}15{:}43.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46.388$  So to go into more detail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:15:46.390 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.625$  we first have the interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:15:48.625 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.966$  regulated module one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:15:49.970 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.586$  so a something that a transcription

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:15:52.586 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.317$  factor that knocks down the gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:15:55.317 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.587$  will lead to decreased expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:15:57.590 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.830$  which means as positive regulating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}15{:}59.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}02.812$  So the interferon regular module one

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:16:02.812 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.800$  regulates the conical interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:16:04.874 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.818$  stimulated genes over here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}16{:}06.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10.012$  Where is interferon regulated module two over

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:16:10.012 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.839$  here regulates these non Canonical jeans?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:16:12.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.080$  Interferon stimulated genes perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:16:15.080 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.975$  a greater interest was looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:16:18.975 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.687$  Co inhibitory receptors so we have.

00:16:21.690 --> 00:16:24.078 Interferon regulated module one

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:16:24.078 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.660$  over here which is bath map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:16:27.660 --> 00:16:31.570 ETS2 SP 140 which differentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:16:31.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.916$  regulate lag 3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:16:33.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.681$  PD1 PD L1 slam F6 and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:16:39.681 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.327$  transcription factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:16:41.330 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.098$  And then we have stat one and stat

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}16{:}44.098 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}16{:}45.701$  three which positively regulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:16:45.701 --> 00:16:48.185 Tim three but not lag 3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}16{:}48.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50.374$  So we see that these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}16{:}50.374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51.466$  transcription factors differentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:16:51.466 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.519$  regulate different Co inhibitory receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:16:53.520 --> 00:16:55.212 And here's a summary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}16{:}55.212 --> 00{:}16{:}57.327$  The data just showed you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:16:57.330 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.120$  which is the effect of these

 $00:17:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.050$  transcription factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}17{:}01.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}02.349$  Interferon stimulated stimulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}17{:}02.349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05.380$  so again there are two modules of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:17:05.448 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.332$  transcription factors based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:17:07.332 --> 00:17:09.687 the global effects on interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:17:09.687 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.610$  stimulated genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:17:10.610 --> 00:17:12.430 thereby directly regulated by

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:17:12.430 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.340$  different modules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}17{:}13.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}15.148$  transcription factors and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:17:15.148 --> 00:17:17.408 Co inhibitory receptors are also

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}17{:}17.408 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}17{:}19.251$  regulated by interferon associate

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}17{:}19.251 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}21.451$  transcription factors and which up

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:17:21.451 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.259$  regulate and down regulate these receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:17:24.260 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.530$  So we have for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:17:26.530 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.130$  a MoD in module one, the which is a bath.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:17:31.130 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.695$  ETS2 math one which positively

00:17:33.695 --> 00:17:37.868 regulate lag 3 Tim three and PD one

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}17{:}37.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}40.378$  but negatively regulate a TIGIT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:17:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.355$  BTL BTL A and CD 160 again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:17:43.360 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.335$  Going along with the flow cytometry data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}17{:}46.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.238$  And again this I showed you step

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:17:49.238 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.030$  one and three here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:17:51.030 --> 00:17:53.202 Positively regulate Tim three

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}17{:}53.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}55.917$  but negatively regulate PD one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:17:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.194$  So then we performed a hierarchical

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}17{:}58.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59.710$  backbone network analysis transcription

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:17:59.765 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.170$  factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:18:00.170 --> 00:18:02.865 I'll just go over this very briefly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:18:02.870 --> 00:18:05.180 but basically looked at gene expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:18:05.180 --> 00:18:06.338 overtime, differential expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:18:06.338 --> 00:18:07.496 protein, DNA bonding,

 $00:18:07.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.044$  a transcription factor database

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}18{:}09.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}09.816$  is integrated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:18:09.820 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.550$  Those data looked at a rank list

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:18:12.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.492$  of transcription factors which we

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}18{:}14.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}16.586$  perturbed and knocked down as I

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}18{:}16.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}18.569$  showed you integrated those data

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:18:18.569 --> 00:18:20.993 into refine network model and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:18:20.993 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.650$  we found was at the early and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:18:23.650 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.150$  intermediate network contain more

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:18:25.212 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.400$  up regulated transcription factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:18:27.400 --> 00:18:29.940 And downregulated in contrast late

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:18:29.940 --> 00:18:32.780 network had more downregulated in up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:18:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.732$  regulated transcription factors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:18:34.732 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.196$  interferon induced differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:18:36.200 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.640$  Involves dominance of the up

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:18:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.104$  regulated transcription factors.

00:18:40.110 --> 00:18:43.435 The first 16 hours over here which

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}18{:}43.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}46.869$  then the dominance of down regulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:18:46.869 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.429$  transcription factors over here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:18:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.998$  And just a summary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}18{:}50.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}52.958$  So there were dominant transcription

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:18:52.958 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.494$  factors that bridge each wave to the next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:18:55.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.745$  So the green circles represent

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}18{:}57.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}59.541$  a transcription factors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:18:59.541 --> 00:19:01.958 are differentially expressed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:19:01.958 --> 00:19:03.848 one transcriptional wave.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}19{:}03.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07.036$  Where is the purple circles represent

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}19{:}07.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09.160$  transcription factors that differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}19{:}09.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11.920$  expressed in all transcriptional waves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:19:11.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.560$  So Cal offense tattoo are early

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:19:14.560 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.880$  intermediate transcription factors.

00:19:15.880 --> 00:19:17.428 Math blimp one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}19{:}17.428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}20.008$  An MIP are intermediate transcription

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:19:20.008 \dashrightarrow 00:19:24.304$  factors and stat one hit 1A and T bet or

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:19:24.304 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.351$  bimodal transcription factors apart show

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:19:26.351 --> 00:19:29.444 this it just to get the bigger picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:19:29.444 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.720$  of the what nature does in terms of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:19:32.807 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.677$  biologic complexity of these systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:19:35.680 --> 00:19:38.320 So a dear friend of mine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:19:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.168$  somebody may know of one of the great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:19:42.170 --> 00:19:44.198 Textbook authors of immunology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}19{:}44.198 --> 00{:}19{:}47.240$  Abul Abbas would say to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:19:47.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.344$  in Vivo Baratas and then in vitro maybe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:19:51.350 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.102$  So the challenge for us was to find

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:19:54.102 --> 00:19:56.739 an envy both system which replicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:19:56.739 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.529$  all this lovely in vitro data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196 00:19:59.530 --> 00:19:59.895 So.

 $00:19:59.895 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.450$  Like to show you it in Beeville.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}20{:}02.450 \to 00{:}20{:}05.106$  Model that we did not develop a nature

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:20:05.106 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.309$  developed for us with the viral load.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:20:07.310 --> 00:20:09.026 Strongly correlate with interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:20:09.026 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.600$  T cell signature which is COVID-19.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:20:11.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.302$  So this is work that is presently

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:20:14.302 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.074$  under revision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:20:15.080 --> 00:20:16.328 That nature communication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}20{:}16.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20{:}015$  led by a team of individual or for two

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:20:20.015 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.815$  at the end where we perform single cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:20:22.820 --> 00:20:25.070 Now six of patients with healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}20{:}25.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.570$  controls and various COVID-19

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}20{:}26.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28.628$  samples of individuals with mild,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:20:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.096$  severe or moderate severe disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:20:31.096 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.660$  basically for the purpose of this talk.

 $00:20:33.660 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.476$  But we found this out as a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}20{:}36.476 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}38.095$  strong correlation between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:20:38.095 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.627$  interferon score and the viral load,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:20:40.630 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.354$  as measured by PCR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:20:42.354 --> 00:20:43.216 Nasal swabs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:20:43.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.970$  in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:20:43.970 --> 00:20:46.595 if you look at the correlation time

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:20:46.595 --> 00:20:48.957 difference between here and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}20{:}48.957 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}50.869$  respective change interferon score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}20{:}50.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54.270$  we had a remarkable R ^2 .9 seven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:20:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.370$  So nature had accidentally given

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:20:56.370 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.821$  us a in vivo model of type one

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:20:59.821 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.761$  interferons in their effect on T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}21{:}02.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}06.170$  So if you look at the interferon signature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:21:06.170 --> 00:21:08.720 it's higher in progressive Covid patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:21:08.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.630$  his controlled,

 $00:21:09.630 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.360$  stable progressive CD4 CD 8 cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}21{:}12.360 \longrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.288$  One can see that the type one interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:21:15.288 --> 00:21:18.468 score went up with more progressive disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:21:18.470 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.906$  so then we wish to ask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:21:20.910 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.137$  Looking at these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:21:22.137 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.182$  the interferon stimulated T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:21:24.182 --> 00:21:26.924 in ex vivo with a similar to what

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:21:26.924 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.700$  we saw in vitro with our interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}21{:}29.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}31.164$  transcriptional signature and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:21:31.164 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.116$  the answer is yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:21:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.738$  So here is CD4 cells CD 8

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:21:35.738 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.590$  cells this this column.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:21:37.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.218$  Here are the controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:21:39.218 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.846$  stable and progressive patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:21:40.850 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.430$  So we see this module too.

 $00:21:43.430 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.890$  Upregulated these are highly upregulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:21:45.890 --> 00:21:49.826 PD one Tim, three CTO for lag three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}21{:}49.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}53.106$  Precisely what we saw in vitro in

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:21:53.106 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.159$  CD4 and CD8 cells, whereas module 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:21:58.160 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.470$  Which led to downregulation again

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:22:01.470 --> 00:22:06.010 of TIGIT BTL ACD 160 and such.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:22:06.010 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.850$  So we had a extremely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:22:10.850 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.578$  Could the recapitulation what

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:22:12.578 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.738$  we saw on in vitro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:22:14.740 --> 00:22:17.140 Here's expression of Co inhibitory receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:22:17.140 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.920$  for the controls and COVID-19 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:22:19.920 --> 00:22:21.216 Just to summarize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:22:21.216 --> 00:22:25.109 here's like 3 going up to three going up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:22:25.110 --> 00:22:27.270 whereas TIGIT Slam 6 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:22:27.270 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.430$  layer one all went down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:22:29.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.798$  Similar to what we saw in vitro.

 $00:22:33.800 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.580$  So we looked at the T cells induced in vitro,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:22:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.542$  which led to with an interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:22:39.542 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.372$  score and asked that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:22:41.372 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.184$  mirrored the transcriptional wave

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}22{:}43.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45.449$ score aren't dividing covid CD4

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}22{:}45.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}48.238$  and CD8T cells and basically one can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:22:48.238 --> 00:22:50.820 then dividing CD four and eight cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:22:50.820 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.526$  that the in vitro interference core very

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}22{:}53.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56.095$  much recapitulate if we saw in vitro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:22:56.100 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.026$  And finally we looked at the relation

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}22{:}59.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}01.995$  between regulators that we saw in vivo and

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:23:01.995 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.599$  in vitro in this intermediate wave network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:23:04.600 --> 00:23:05.920 The positive regulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:23:05.920 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.680$  transcription factors in red,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:23:07.680 --> 00:23:11.200 negative and blue, and we saw that SP.

00:23:11.200 --> 00:23:13.400 140 is a bidirectional regulator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}23{:}13.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}16.094$  so this is the regulator which

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:23:16.094 --> 00:23:19.338 induces lag three and other Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:23:19.338 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.046$  inhibitory molecules while inhibiting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:23:22.050 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.698$  Going the opposite direction for ticket.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:23:25.700 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.954$  And then we looked at the relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:23:27.954 --> 00:23:30.049 between late faith covid for lag free,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:23:30.050 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.255$  Tim three and PD one and found

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}23{:}32.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}34.442$  that BSL three instaff 3A positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:23:34.442 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.776$  regulated flag 3 and 10 three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:23:36.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.652$  And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:23:37.652 --> 00:23:40.268 looking directly in patients to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:23:40.268 --> 00:23:42.958 SP140B cell three and stat three

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:23:42.958 --> 00:23:45.138 while elevated in COVID-19 cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:23:45.140 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.564$  so we're able to recapitulate what

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:23:47.564 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.696$  we saw in terms of induction wisco

00:23:50.696 --> 00:23:53.081 inhibitory molecules in vivo in

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:23:53.081 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.138$  terms of what we thought on Pedro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:23:56.140 --> 00:23:57.319 So in summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:23:57.319 --> 00:24:00.070 interferon is a major driver of cone

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.615$  hitori receptor regulation and human T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:03.620 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.584$  The computational and biologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:05.584 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.566$  approaches identifies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:06.570 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.840$  Regulatory networks under interferon one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}24{:}08.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11.100$  Responses in human T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:11.100 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.836$  There are modules of transcription factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:13.836 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.080$  that control interferon stimulated genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:16.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.526$  Colon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}24{:}16.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}18.756$  hip to receptors and interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:18.756 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.176$  which really highlights the novel

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:21.176 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.859$  noncanonical transcription factors

 $00:24:22.859 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.664$  beyond the conventional Jack stat

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:25.664 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.330$  pathways that we previously knew about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:28.330 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.652$  We then demonstrate the relevance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:30.652 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.282$  our in vitro T cell type one interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:34.282 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.288$  responses by integrating single cell RNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.070$  See data from COVID-19.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}24{:}39.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}42.220$  Patients were strong T cell into fair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}24{:}42.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}44.575$  One response was observed and

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:24:44.575 --> 00:24:48.556 finally we identify SP 140 as a key

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:48.556 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.121$  regulator that differentiates Lag 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:51.121 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.723$  digit expression during acute viral

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:53.723 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.195$  infection as well as Aaron Vivo systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:57.200 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.105$  So let me just acknowledge the individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:25:00.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.190$  Again, this truly represents the

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:25:02.190 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.854$  work of Thomas Amita.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:25:03.860 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.940$  Here, members of the laboratory

 $00:25:05.940 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.020$  contributed various parts of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:25:08.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.852$  My long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:25:08.852 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.100$  long term collaborator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:25:10.100 --> 00:25:12.284 collaborator PJ Kutru Shadow Bergen is

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:25:12.284 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.089$  off Marty and also wondering knowledge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}25{:}15.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17.430$  The covered work led by audio

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:25:17.430 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.514$  Untermann with Tomo Jonas Scoop

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:25:19.514 --> 00:25:21.326 and enough Tally Kaminski.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:25:21.330 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.658$  So I'll stop there and take any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:25:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.490$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00:25:26.100 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.318$  David, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

00:25:27.318 --> 00:25:30.160 What an incredible body of work and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00{:}25{:}30.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32.264$  congratulations on sorting through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00:25:32.264 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.300$  what is clearly a very complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

00:25:35.300 --> 00:25:37.420 Regulatory system, let me ask,

00:25:37.420 --> 00:25:40.796 and this is sort of my concrete question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00{:}25{:}40.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}42.508$  which is you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

00:25:42.508 --> 00:25:44.216 Obviously you're sorting through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00{:}25{:}44.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}46.299$  what's driving expression of Tim.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

00:25:46.300 --> 00:25:48.988 Three lag, three TIGIT an realizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00:25:48.988 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.246$  that almost the Holy Grail

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00:25:51.246 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.906$  today is what's the next PD one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00:25:53.910 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.674$  So does this work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00{:}25{:}55.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57.879$  Help us understand the relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00:25:57.879 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.453$  merits of these targets and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00{:}26{:}00.453 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}02.503$  the future of immuno oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00:26:02.586 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.908$  or give us some insight there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}26{:}06.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}08.164$  Great question. I think the short

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:26:08.164 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.399$  answer is probably not at one level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

00:26:10.400 --> 00:26:11.776 It gives us insight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}26{:}11.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}14.410$  so I guess one could ask what

00:26:14.410 --> 00:26:16.855 what induces type one interferons

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:26:16.855 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.811$  in different tissues and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:26:18.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.564$  And how are tumors so presumably in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:26:21.564 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.280$  tumors are secreting type one interferons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}26{:}24.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27.646$  We know they are and that that may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:26:27.646 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.158$  influencing the local team environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:26:30.160 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.409$  But the reason why I say no is my

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}26{:}33.409 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}35.871$  suspicion is that each organ has

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}26{:}35.871 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}38.490$  his own set of regulatory module

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

00:26:38.490 --> 00:26:41.080 for controlling LG cells work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}26{:}41.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}43.450$  We just completed an extensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:26:43.450 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.820$  analysis paper published in Science

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

00:26:45.895 --> 00:26:48.765 Immunology doing a single cell RNA seek.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}26{:}48.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}51.262$  In T cells from normal spinal fluid

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:26:51.262 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.590$  is normal yell graduate students and

 $00:26:53.590 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.000$  see that over 50% of the T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:26:57.000 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.275$  In this DSL or PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:26:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.868$  one positive high expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

00:27:00.868 --> 00:27:02.853 digit in three with spontaneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:02.853 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.429$  production of gamma interferon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:04.430 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.244$  So I think each organ and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:07.244 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.968$  why I showed the Ms GBM data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:09.970 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.874$  I think looking at what is expressed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}27{:}12.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}15.119$  tumors compared to autoimmune disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.454$  which goes the opposite direction may

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:17.454 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.060$  give us insight as to what is the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:21.060 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.040$  Holy Grail coding inventory molecule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.368$  I think that would be perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:25.368 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.219$  the best way of addressing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:28.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.720$  And this is more mechanistic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.550$  and it was surprising because it's

 $00:27:31.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.618$  a Vijay kept saying well Style 27.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:33.620 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.420$  Can't you find it kept saying?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

00:27:35.420 --> 00:27:37.513 Well we keep looking and kept saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:37.513 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.064$  what you're doing the experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

00:27:39.064 --> 00:27:40.858 wrong and I didn't show them

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

00:27:40.858 --> 00:27:42.620 picture of Donald but you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:42.620 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.321$  we just couldn't get it to work

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:44.321 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.857$  and then we explore different

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

00:27:45.857 --> 00:27:47.717 like going hit or molecules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}27{:}47.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}49.616$  And then it's very simple observation

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}27{:}49.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51.200$  and actually predicted based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.520$  all the viral immunology work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83906287

 $00{:}27{:}53.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}55.769$  Yeah, thank you, Ann Habermann has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83906287

00:27:55.769 --> 00:27:58.358 question which is how long does the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83906287

 $00:27:58.358 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.536$  T cell response to interferon persist

 $00:28:00.608 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.967$  and why would this be a desirable

NOTE Confidence: 0.83906287

00:28:02.967 --> 00:28:04.690 response during a viral infection?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:06.290 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.090$  Well, I I think in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:09.090 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.320$  covid there cleared two phases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.410$  The initial phase of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:13.410 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.664$  high interferon response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}28{:}14.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}16.765$  We thought the intermediate phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:16.765 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.860$  and then with time disappears.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:18.860 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.756$  If one can generate so there really are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:21.756 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.889$  these biphasic interferon response?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}28{:}23.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26.898$  Is this what nature does to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:26.898 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.936$  clear clear viruses and we suspect that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

00:28:29.936 --> 00:28:33.097 one reason why patients do badly and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

00:28:33.097 --> 00:28:36.142 we're positive that the loss of TIGIT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:36.150 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.230$  Which is induced by interference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:38.230 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.325$  We have persistent high interference

 $00{:}28{:}40.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}42.420$  signature leads to a loss

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:42.493 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.049$  of the mean regulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:44.050 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.030$  We actually wrote a grant

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:47.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.818$  that supplemental grant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:48.820 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.296$  Hypothesising that Tim three

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:50.296 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.940$  PD one go up and teacher will

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:52.940 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.735$  go down in covid patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}28{:}54.740 \longrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56.960$  I don't like hypothesis driven science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:56.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.920$  It seemed like a long shot and were

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}28{:}59.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}02.510$  shocked to see that was going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}29{:}02.510 \longrightarrow 00{:}29{:}05.273$  So so in terms of why be desire response

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:29:05.273 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.688$  because in difference help clear viruses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:29:07.690 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.259$  But then I think it becomes a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}29{:}10.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}12.130$  less desirable response with time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:29:12.130 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.433$  And we suspect that will raise the

 $00:29:14.433 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.150$  issue that loss of digit which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:29:17.150 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.640$  really quite remarkable in these individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}29{:}19.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}22.153$  May late relate to the hyper mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:29:22.153 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.458$  response that we see in patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:29:26.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.924$  Well, David, thank you for a really a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

00:29:28.924 --> 00:29:31.334 terrific talk and and thank you for

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:29:31.334 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.660$  sharing that the work in progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:29:33.660 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.674$  It's really impressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00{:}29{:}34.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}37.640$  Let me now turn to our next speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

00:29:37.640 --> 00:29:38.555 Doctor Hairy Cougar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:29:38.555 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.573$  who as you all know is is a professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

00:29:41.573 --> 00:29:44.003 of medicine and along with Marcus

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:29:44.003 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.967$  Bosenberg leads or yell Sporen

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

00:29:45.967 --> 00:29:48.133 skin cancer which were so pleased,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

00:29:48.140 --> 00:29:50.226 got renewed about a year ago and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:29:50.226 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.489$  continues to be extremely productive.

 $00:29:52.490 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.555$  Harriet's work in the Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:29:54.555 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.207$  Center has been really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:29:56.210 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.200$  Sort of the triple threat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:29:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.540$  Obviously she is a highly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:30:00.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.676$  Respected and highly sought after physician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:30:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.326$  but at the same time leader in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:30:05.326 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.286$  research and immunology in Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:30:07.286 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.932$  and also a leader of our education

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00{:}30{:}09.932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}11.885$  program and not many people can

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:30:11.885 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.530$  can do all that and do it so well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00{:}30{:}14.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}16.240$  Harriet's work I think has really

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:30:16.307 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.063$  been instrumental in understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00{:}30{:}18.063 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}19.819$  the biology of Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:30:19.820 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.600$  How do we leverage Immunobiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:30:21.600 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.668$  towards novel therapies?

00:30:22.670 --> 00:30:24.410 And Anne frankly I suspect

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

00:30:24.410 --> 00:30:26.600 willingness to hear about it today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:30:26.600 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.380$  but her work on metastases

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:30:28.380 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.628$  as well has really, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:30:30.628 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.446$  Very insightful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

00:30:31.446 --> 00:30:34.320 but Harriet thank you for taking the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:30:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.728$  time and sharing your work with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:30:37.510 --> 00:30:39.490 Thank you Charlie and thanks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:30:39.490 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.074$  for that wonderful introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:30:41.080 --> 00:30:44.976 I'm just going to share my screen here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:30:44.980 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.900$  So it's always humbling to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:30:46.900 --> 00:30:48.436 talk after David Heffler,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:30:48.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.114$  but that was the assignment I received,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:30:51.120 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.808$  so I will do my best here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:30:53.810 --> 00:30:56.771 So I'm going to be talking to you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:30:56.771 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.808$  one of the sport projects which focuses

 $00:30:59.808 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.188$  on Co stimulating the the innate immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}31{:}03.188 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}31{:}05.773$  adaptive immunity to treat Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}31{:}05.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}08.324$  So just a few fast facts about Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:08.330 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.938$  so it's a disease of the relatively young

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:10.938 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.439$  most patients present between age 45 and 55.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.354$  The incidence has been going up

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:15.354 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.630$  actually for decades already,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:16.630 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.538$  so just by way of example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:18.540 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.298$  in 2003 there were around 54,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:31:20.298 --> 00:31:22.370 new cases in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:22.370 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.400$  and just a decade and a half

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.827$  later it was already up to 87,000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:26.830 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.979$  It's now the fifth most common malignancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:31:28.979 --> 00:31:31.299 among men and the seventh among women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:31.300 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.124$  but Fortunately most of our patients

00:31:33.124 --> 00:31:34.810 present with stage one disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}31{:}34.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}36.874$  so stage one refers to diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:36.874 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.779$  confined to the skin and is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.388$  Then stage two is confined to the skin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:41.388 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.609$  and thicker stage three is disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:43.610 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.563$  It's spread to the lymph nodes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:45.563 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.749$  stage four is distant dissemination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:31:47.750 --> 00:31:49.820 And that's essentially what kills patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}31{:}49.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}52.809$  So we're really going to be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:52.809 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.329$  about stage four disease today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}31{:}55.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}57.074$  So for mortality, Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:57.074 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.690$  it was going up as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:59.690 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.306$  So for 2000 three 7600 deaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:02.310 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.106$  2017 ninety 700 deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:32:04.106 --> 00:32:07.540 But if you start tracking later on 2019,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.340$  the death rate started to go down

 $00:32:10.340 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.640$  for the very first time 7230 deaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.820$  and the projected number for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:15.820 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.564$  this year is 6850.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:17.570 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.545$  And this is because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:20.545 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.925$  our improved meta static.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}32{:}22.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}24.760$  Approved the rapies for metastatic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.688$  particularly immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}32{:}25.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}28.008$  And that's what I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:32:28.008 --> 00:32:30.310 to be talking about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:30.310 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.710$  So we've known for years that some Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:32.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.027$  patients are cured by old-fashioned therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:35.030 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.046$  If you do a medister tech,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}32{:}37.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}37.724$  to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:37.724 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.420$  this is an old series published in 2011.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:40.420 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.073$  You can see that eight or ten

00:32:43.073 --> 00:32:44.799 years at approximately 5 or 7%

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.050$  of patients are still alive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}32{:}47.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}48.150$  Chemotherapy you actually see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:48.150 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.800$  a similar kind of a figure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:49.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.175$  and we don't think chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:32:51.175 --> 00:32:52.000 really prolongs survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:52.000 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.710$  Maybe it's just Natural History

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:53.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.724$  of disease that some people live

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:55.724 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.696$  for a long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:32:56.700 --> 00:32:58.956 Now over here on the right you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}32{:}58.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}01.578$  the the five year survival data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:33:01.578 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.101$  our flagship phase three study of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}33{:}04.101 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06.456$ epilim abalon versus nivolumab alone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:33:06.456 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.727$  versus the combination thereof at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:33:08.727 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.828$  where at five years you see 26% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}33{:}11.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.156$  patients are alive with EPI alone

 $00:33:14.156 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.259$  44% with anti PD one alone and 52%

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:33:17.260 --> 00:33:19.588 or maybe even higher than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:33:19.590 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.929$  With the combination of the two drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:33:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.610$  So what we're really trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:33:24.610 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.140$  do in the Melanoma field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:33:26.140 --> 00:33:27.600 especially the drug development field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:33:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.256$  is to raise the tennis tail

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:33:29.256 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.109$  at the end of the curve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:33:31.110 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.094$  So this is a figure that I borrowed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:33:33.094 --> 00:33:35.192 from one in Microsoft students, Irina,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:33:35.192 --> 00:33:37.236 who I'll mention as we go along,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:33:37.240 --> 00:33:38.404 just showing that targeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:33:38.404 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.277$  therapy and chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:33:39.280 --> 00:33:41.152 You're very low down here with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:33:41.152 --> 00:33:42.400 people in Malibu starting

 $00:33:42.465 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.887$  to push up. We're pushing up

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}33{:}43.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}45.501$  further with Anti PD one even

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}33{:}45.501 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}46.870$  further with the combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:33:46.870 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.030$  But really, what we need to do is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

00:33:49.030 --> 00:33:51.250 get new drugs and drug combinations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:33:51.250 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.259$  so hopefully in the next five years

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:33:53.259 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.778$  will have a five year survival of 80%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:33:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.680$  And eventually we'll reach 100%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:33:57.680 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.656$  and until then we still have employment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:01.660 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.785$  So what are the limitations

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}34{:}03.785 --> 00{:}34{:}04.635 \ of \ immunotherapy's,$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

00:34:04.640 --> 00:34:07.196 the Society of Immunotherapy or City?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:07.200 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.574$  Which is the big society that Mario

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:10.574 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.999$  presides over recently formed a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

00:34:12.999 --> 00:34:15.687 task force to define to provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

00:34:15.687 --> 00:34:17.919 some clinical definitions of.

00:34:17.920 --> 00:34:18.874 Limitations so firstly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}34{:}18.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}20.464$  not all patients respond upfront.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:20.470 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.070$  We call that primary resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:22.070 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.984$  Then there's some patients that will

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:23.984 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.260$  respond and subsequently progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:25.260 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.850$  So we call that secondary

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:26.850 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.122$  resistance or required resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:28.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.083$  The third problem that we have is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:30.083 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.959$  that we sometimes give combinations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.827$  So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

00:34:32.827 --> 00:34:36.100 when we give a pill and an urban Nevada map,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:36.100 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.074$  we give the two together for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:38.074 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.363$  four cycles and then we continue

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:40.363 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.155$  with Nevada map monotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.464$  So if somebody has a nice response in

 $00:34:44.464 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.937$  the beginning and then 18 months later

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}34{:}46.937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}48.772$  when they're on monotherapy maintenance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:48.780 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.046$  they then progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:50.046 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.000$  Is that resistance to the combination or

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:53.076 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.736$  is that resistance to the monotherapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:55.736 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.779$  all of these things need to be defined?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}34{:}58.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00.880$  And then how do we define regrowth

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:00.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.290$  after patient stops therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}35{:}02.290 \to 00{:}35{:}04.246$  So we normally treat for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:04.246 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.226$  limited period of time being at

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}35{:}06.226 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}\:} 00{:}35{:}08.347$  one years one year or two years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.576$  However long we treat for specific disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:10.580 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.568$  if a patient is in off therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:12.568 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.090$  and then has regrowth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:14.090 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.685$  does that mean they're actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:15.685 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.280$  resistant to the original code?

 $00:35:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.870$  Because in theory the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:18.870 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.142$  should have been gone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:20.150 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.369$  Or are they just dependent on it

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:22.369 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.228$  and we need to continue so the task

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

00:35:25.228 --> 00:35:27.844 force is starting to define all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:27.844 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.308$  these categories and to come up with?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:30.310 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.990$  Specific definitions that can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}35{:}31.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}34.046$  used for clinical track for drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}35{:}34.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35.641$  development so that all trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

00:35:35.641 --> 00:35:37.200 are designed the same way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.436$  We've started on that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

00:35:38.436 --> 00:35:39.981 but we're chipping away at

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:39.981 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.458$  all of these questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:41.460 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.242$  and I think many valuable faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:43.242 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.430$  are actually participating in

00:35:44.486 --> 00:35:45.926 this endeavour with concurrent

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}35{:}45.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}47.366$  with the clinical definitions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:47.370 \dashrightarrow 00:35:49.986$  we really need to work on the science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:49.990 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.620$  So really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

00:35:50.620 --> 00:35:53.140 what I'm going to talk about mostly today

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:53.205 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.564$  is is translation going back and forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:55.570 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.224$  So what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:56.224 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.859$  Why do patients develop resistance?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:57.860 \dashrightarrow 00:35:59.500$  Or many many potential mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

00:35:59.500 --> 00:36:01.140 of resistance have been described,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:36:01.140 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.019$  and I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:36:02.019 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.397$  You know half of the cancer immunology world

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:36:04.397 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.773$  is now working on one or other of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:36:06.780 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.810$  So some of the some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:36:08.810 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.679$  tumors are just desert rumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:36:10.680 \dashrightarrow 00:36:12.762$  lack of till of tumor infiltrating

00:36:12.762 --> 00:36:14.910 lymphocytes within the tumors you can have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:36:14.910 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.510$  in effect of priming of your T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:36:17.510 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.460$  We know that defective antigen presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:36:19.460 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.756$  such as bile acid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784 00:36:20.756 --> 00:36:21.080 beta, NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:36:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.705$  two microglobulin in the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:36:22.705 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.005$  cells will cause resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

00:36:24.010 --> 00:36:25.630 Sometimes T cells get exhausted

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:36:25.630 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.926$  as David just mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}36{:}26.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}29.279$  Of course lack of PDL one in the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

00:36:29.279 --> 00:36:31.143 or in the tumor microenvironment

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}36{:}31.143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}33.441$  suggests that we don't live PD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}36{:}33.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}34.852$  one. Inhibition isn't going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:36:34.852 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.036$  to do very much over there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:36:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.710$  And then the other costimulatory

 $00:36:38.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.046$  or Co inhibitory molecules

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:36:40.046 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.539$  that David just mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

00:36:41.540 --> 00:36:42.575 particularly teachers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:36:42.575 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.645$  Lag 3 might also be present,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:36:44.650 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.611$  and maybe it's just not sufficient in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

00:36:47.611 --> 00:36:50.996 all cases to inhibit PD one or PDL 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:36:51.000 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.730$  And finally there there are many other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:36:53.730 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.356$  immune inhibitory cells that we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}36{:}56.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}58.432$  focus on in the tumor microenvironment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:36:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.810$  and sometimes those might just be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}37{:}00.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03.278$  over powering the role of the T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}37{:}03.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}06.311$  So examples are MD's season T regs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:06.311 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.310$  which might need inhibition as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.890$  So when we started putting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:10.890 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.890$  together the renewal of the spore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:12.890 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.726$  one of the projects that we

00:37:14.726 --> 00:37:16.356 worked on is specifically looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:16.356 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.086$  at the innate immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:18.090 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.040$  So Sucic, when she was here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:20.040 \dashrightarrow 00:37:21.680$  provided all of the preliminary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:21.680 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.689$  data which I'll be reviewing very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:23.689 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.239$  quickly and some sewers left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

00:37:25.240 --> 00:37:27.190 Marcus has become a key collaborator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:27.190 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.283$  and actually it's now become a whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

00:37:29.283 --> 00:37:31.369 village in the whole party because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:31.369 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.619$  all of the investigators and trainees

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:33.619 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.784$  listed over here on the right are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:35.784 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.302$  quite involved in this project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}37{:}37.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}39.474$  and I'll mention some of their.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.140$  Contribuciones as we go along.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:42.140 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.270$  So Sue started off looking at

00:37:44.270 --> 00:37:46.270 Marcus is young 1.7 models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:46.270 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.424$  so I'm sure every body knows that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:48.424 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.089$  this is a cell line that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:51.089 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.763$  generated from the from a gym model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:53.770 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.020$  It's byref mutant and P tenancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:56.020 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.540$  DK into a null and when you take

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.857$  this young 1.7 and you treated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

00:38:00.857 --> 00:38:04.086 anti PD one you see over here there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}38{:}04.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}06.138$  absolutely no tumor regression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:06.140 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.015$  If you irradiate the cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}38{:}08.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}09.515$  and generated the second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:09.520 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.268$  This tortoise airline called Yammer 1.7.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}38{:}12.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}14.268$  ER stands for exposed to radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

00:38:14.270 --> 00:38:16.926 You get some sensitivity to anti PD one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:16.930 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.145$  but ultimately with time these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:19.145 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.880$  tumors to grow out as well.

 $00:38:21.880 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.112$  So the first question next to asked was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:24.112 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.705$  what was actually in these in these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

00:38:26.710 --> 00:38:29.730 So all of this work was done by Kurt Perry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:29.730 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.536$  who's over here on the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:31.540 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.868$  We can see his picture and he's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:33.868 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.378$  one of the new fellows that match to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.372$  Our program will be very thrilled

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:38.372 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.163$  to have him as part of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

00:38:41.163 --> 00:38:42.549 medical oncology fellowship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:42.550 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.590$  So first question that they asked

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:44.590 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.491$  was what was the infiltrating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:46.491 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.816$  tumor content in these mass?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:48.820 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.084$  In these mass melanomas?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:50.084 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.506$  And it turns out that the predominant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:52.506 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.272$  cell type was actually terms or

 $00:38:55.272 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.655$  tumor associated macrophages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:56.660 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.103$  The next question that they asked was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:59.103 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.759$  what kind of macrophages are these?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:39:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.420$  Are there more inflammatory or inhibitory?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}39{:}05.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}07.232$  Classic definition of M1 and M2

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:39:07.232 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.353$  and over here on the right you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:39:09.353 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.334$  see a contour plot where on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:39:11.399 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.311 \text{ X}$  axis you've got F 480 and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

00:39:13.311 --> 00:39:16.400 Y axis you've got like 6 E.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:39:16.400 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.122$  It turns out that there at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:39:18.122 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.983$  least three populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

00:39:18.990 --> 00:39:20.718 and they're probably more than that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:39:20.720 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.160$  and just in a nutshell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:39:22.160 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.888$  the terms that have highlights 6,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:39:23.890 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.186$  three like 6 E and low EF 480,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

00:39:26.190 --> 00:39:27.834 or those that are more inflammatory

 $00:39:27.834 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.080$  in the ones on the right over here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:39:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.724$  are those that are presumed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405346

 $00:39:31.779 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.820$  be more inhibitory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:39:35.970 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.500$  So at that point they said, OK, we've got.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:39:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.620$  We've got these terms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:39:39.620 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.587$  We need to try to modulate them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:39:41.590 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.246$  and there are many, many mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:39:43.246 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.680$  out there for modulating terms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:39:44.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.661$  But the ones that they chose to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:39:46.661 --> 00:39:48.332 work on with CD, 40, agonism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:39:48.332 --> 00:39:49.737 and CSF, one R inhibition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:39:49.740 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.786$  and in the beginning they used

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00{:}39{:}51.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}53.150$  a small molecule inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:39:53.150 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.316$  So if you take these memory

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:39:55.316 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.410$  cells and implant them in mice,

 $00:39:57.410 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.370$  and you treat either with control vehicle or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:00.370 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.554$  The CD 40 agonist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00{:}40{:}01.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}03.330$  You'll see some some decrease in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:03.395 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.222$  the size of the tumors with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:05.222 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.521$  CD 40 agonist if you give the CSF

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:40:07.521 --> 00:40:09.266 one receptor inhibitor you get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:09.266 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.696$  similar amount of tumor reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:40:10.700 --> 00:40:12.416 If you give the two together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:40:12.420 --> 00:40:13.458 you get synergism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:13.458 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.150$  As you can see by the red line over here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:17.150 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.341$  So to look back into the similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:40:19.341 --> 00:40:19.967 contour plots,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00{:}40{:}19.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}22.308$  what is the content of these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:22.308 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.491$  tumors within the mice treated in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:40:24.491 --> 00:40:26.857 graph over here on the left you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:40:26.857 --> 00:40:29.034 see that when you give doublet therapy,

 $00:40:29.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.231$  the CD 40 agonist in the CSF

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:31.231 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.170$  one receptor inhibitory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:40:32.170 --> 00:40:34.319 the main difference is that you get

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:34.319 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.463$  an increase in this little group over

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:36.463 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.060$  here on the right in the upper corner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:39.060 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.924$  which are like 60 high and in 480 low and are

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:41.924 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.378$  presumed to be more inflammatory macrophages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:44.380 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.319$  and that's essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:45.319 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.884$  verified on the bar graph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:46.890 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.560$  Over here on the left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:48.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.430$  On the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.460$  at the bottom over here you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:51.525 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.789$  see this to the changes in the in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:40:53.789 --> 00:40:55.780 the immune infiltrating content,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.730$  and I think what's most interesting

 $00:40:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.946$  over here is that when you give

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00{:}40{:}59.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}01.638$  the CD 40 agonist along with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:41:01.638 --> 00:41:03.650 the CSF one receptor inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:41:03.650 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.618$  you do get an increase of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:41:05.618 --> 00:41:06.930 in filtration of T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:41:06.930 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.378$  So possibly we might be able to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:41:09.378 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.076$  desert those desert tumors more

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:41:11.076 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.820$  inflamed by using a regimen such as this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:41:13.820 --> 00:41:15.668 And in addition you get more

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:41:15.668 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.420$  PD one high T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}41{:}19.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}22.214$  So Catherine Miller Jensen on the main

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}41{:}22.214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}24.475$  campus is developed a technology for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:41:24.475 --> 00:41:26.430 single cell site eccentric creation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:41:26.430 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.038$  and she looked at what the difference of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:41:29.038 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.812$  was between these different treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:41:30.812 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.791$  arms and what you can see here on

 $00:41:33.791 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.599$  the principle component analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:41:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.330$  On the left is that if you only treat with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:41:38.402 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.487$  assistive one receptor inhibitor versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:41:40.487 --> 00:41:43.679 the city for The Agonist inhibitor alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:41:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.766$  versus the combination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}41{:}44.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}46.938$ you get quite a different pattern

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:41:46.938 --> 00:41:49.296 of cytokine secretion on the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:41:49.300 --> 00:41:51.836 Oh, I'm sorry in the middle over here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:41:51.840 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.800$  you've got a heat map which we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:41:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.320$  essentially depicts the differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:41:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.632$  and some of them are highlighted over here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:41:57.632 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.397$  on the right for cytokines and chemo kinds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}42{:}00.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}02.290$  Pretty much as as one would expect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:02.290 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.518$  when you give the combination therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:42:04.520 --> 00:42:06.739 you get an increase in TNF Alpha.

 $00:42:06.740 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.972$  I'll 12 BIL 6 etc and the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:08.972 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.598$  for the panel of the side of kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}42{:}11.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}14.028$  of the chemo kinds at the bottom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:14.030 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.715$  So essentially the doublet therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:42:15.715 --> 00:42:17.767 over here is inducing quite quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:17.767 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.307$  vast changes in the animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:19.310 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.846$  What does it do to the T cells?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:21.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.758$  What else is important over here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}42{:}23.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}26.048$  What you see on this figure here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:42:26.048 --> 00:42:28.526 that when you give the doublet therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}42{:}28.530 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}42{:}30.045$  you can actually abrogate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:30.045 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.284$  effect if you give anti TNF Alpha

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:32.284 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.616$  or anti interferon gamma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}42{:}33.620 \to 00{:}42{:}35.205$  again highlighting the the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:35.205 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.068$  of the T cells in this process as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:38.070 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.198$  So with that at the time we concluded

00:42:40.198 --> 00:42:42.547 that CSF one receptor inhibitors in city

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:42.547 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.695$  for The Agonist treatment can induce

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:42:44.695 --> 00:42:46.310 an inflammatory term population in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:46.310 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.396$  the two in the tumor microenvironment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}42{:}48.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}51.540$  It also induces a functional T cell response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:42:51.540 --> 00:42:53.759 And this is dependent on TNF Alpha

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:53.759 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.710$  and interferon gamma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:54.710 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.498$  and these were the preliminary data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:56.498 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.829$  that we had to start our project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:42:58.830 --> 00:43:00.420 So when we received funding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:00.420 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.639$  we by then Curtis Perry had gone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:02.639 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.590$  off for residency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:43:03.590 --> 00:43:05.704 So Bill Dembski came in to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:05.704 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.255$  us and you'll see a whole cast of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:08.255 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.240$  trainees along the way over here.

00:43:10.240 --> 00:43:12.814 So Bill Bill did a heroic job over here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:12.814 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.319$  with bringing us closer to the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.424$  So we decided at that point not to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:43:17.424 --> 00:43:20.070 use a series of 1 receptor inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.390$  the small molecule inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:21.390 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.370$  but rather to move towards and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:23.370 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.654$  Antibody because of precision

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:24.654 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.938$  of drugging our target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:25.940 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.540$  Also in the clinical arena,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:27.540 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.605$  it would be very difficult to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}43{:}29.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}32.157$  a patient who progressed on a PD one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:32.157 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.497$  inhibitor and not to continue the PD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:34.497 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.525$  one inhibitor with the next regiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:43:36.530 --> 00:43:38.225 That's essentially how most regimens

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:38.225 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.256$  are now being developed for Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:40.256 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.666$  and renal cell as well.

 $00:43:41.670 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.200$  So the question is what can we add onto a PD?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.062$  One inhibitor to get us there so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:47.062 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.424$  he these are large groups of mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:43:49.424 --> 00:43:51.299 treated either with control vehicle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}43{:}51.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}53.603$  either one of the three drugs alone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:53.603 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.950$  so anti PD one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:54.950 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.846$  CD40 agonist or CSF one receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:56.850 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.608$  Any doublet of the from among

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:43:58.608 --> 00:44:00.320 those three and the triplet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:44:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.616$  and you can see by the Brown line

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}44{:}02.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}04.957$  over here that by far the triplet

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:44:04.957 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.657$  therapy was superior on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}44{:}06.729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}08.571$  right you see the spider plots

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:44:08.571 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.730$  for the size of these tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:44:10.730 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.590$  which in the beginning

 $00:44:12.590 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.100$  they'll grow and then shrink.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:44:15.100 --> 00:44:16.033 Irina clickbait ever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}44{:}16.033 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}18.575$  Who's MD PhD student who is in Marcus's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:44:18.575 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.015$  lab at the time or selection Marcus is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}44{:}21.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}23.171$  lab did similar experiments on aranka

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:44:23.171 --> 00:44:25.626 model because we wanted to go into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}44{:}25.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}27.922$  the clinic in kidney cancer as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:44:27.930 --> 00:44:29.575 Again, showing their triple therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}44{:}29.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}31.220$  was superior to double the rapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:44:31.220 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.860$  Not quite as pretty as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:44:32.860 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.172$  in the Melanoma models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:44:34.180 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.790$  but that's then that's consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:44:35.790 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.130$  with what we see in the clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:44:38.130 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.692$  whereby renal cell patients respond less well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:44:40.692 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.170$  to these therapies then Melanoma patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:44:43.170 --> 00:44:44.646 So because it's a sport project,

 $00:44:44.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.410$  you have to have a clinical Pi and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}44{:}46.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}48.040$  a basic science Pi and everything

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:44:48.040 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.014$  has to have a clinical trial so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:44:50.014 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.316$  to go back to the bedside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:44:51.320 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.534$  What are we going to do with these data?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:44:53.540 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.262$  So we formed collaborations with Bristol

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:44:55.262 --> 00:44:57.381 Myers Squibb and a company called a passage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:44:57.381 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.447$  and that makes a CD 40 agonist and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}44{:}59.447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}02.590$  were able to get them to work together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}45{:}02.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}04.550$  The problem was that there was no

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}45{:}04.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}06.388$  phase one data for the triplet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}45{:}06.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}08.366$  Now could be oralism AB which is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:08.366 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.329$  CSF one receptor antibody and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:10.329 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.447$  volume Abbott being given to hundreds

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:12.511 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.615$  of patients in BM S LED studies in

00:45:14.615 --> 00:45:16.286 the activity in Melanoma was modest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:16.286 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.346$  but there was a little bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:18.346 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.526$  activity at that point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}45{:}19.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22.338$  We knew that a CD 40 agonist can have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:22.338 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.708$  significant activity in Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:23.708 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.025$  based on studies by done by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:26.091 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.125$  group at Penn already years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:45:28.130 --> 00:45:30.062 But we didn't know very much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:30.062 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.350$  about the other combinations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:31.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.960$  so at the time sterilize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:32.960 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.858$  brought in a Phase 1 two study of APX.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:35.860 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.504 005$  AM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:36.504 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.470$  In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:37.470 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.402$  the CD 40 agonist plus nivo in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:39.402 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.148$  Melanoma and lung cancer started at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}45{:}41.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}43.157$  around that time and we rolled a

 $00:45:43.220 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.566$  good number of patients there and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:45.566 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.130$  actually saw phenomenal responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:45:47.130 --> 00:45:49.418 So this is an example of a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:49.418 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.508$  treated by doctors know who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:45:51.508 --> 00:45:52.597 a mucosal Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:52.600 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.484$  which tends to be very resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:54.484 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.469$  to implement map in the volume.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:45:56.470 --> 00:45:58.645 Evan the patient indeed had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:45:58.645 --> 00:45:59.950 progressed on there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:59.950 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.030$  So we put the patient on the CD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}46{:}02.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}03.858$ 40 agonist plus nevala mehrban.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:46:03.860 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.612$  The patients had a complete response

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:46:05.612 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.604$  and remains of therapy couple of years

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}46{:}07.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}09.403$  later we have four of these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:46:09.460 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.686$  and others and implement Melbourne Nivolumab.

 $00:46:11.690 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.190$  We don't actually see this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}46{:}13.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}15.630$  so may be this is the answer to Charlie's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:46:15.630 --> 00:46:17.706 question is what's the next anti PD?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:46:17.710 --> 00:46:19.950 Why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:46:19.950 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.990$  So we're very excited about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:46:21.990 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.159$  molecule and with that Sarah Weiss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}46{:}24.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}26.728$  This picture over his over here and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:46:26.728 --> 00:46:29.633 wrote a Phase one slash 1B or phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}46{:}29.633 \to 00{:}46{:}32.238$  two for the combination of the triplet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:46:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.448$  We partnered with the yellow Spore

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}46{:}34.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37.324$  in lung cancer and we were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}46{:}37.324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}39.322$  get support both from the Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:46:39.397 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.757$  Center Bristol Myers and Apixaban.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:46:41.760 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.594$  So the phase one trial design is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:46:43.594 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.399$  depicted on this picture over here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:46:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.020$  In the beginning we were very

 $00:46:47.020 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.100$  anxious because nobody had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}46{:}48.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}49.692$  ever given two macrophage modulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:46:49.692 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.541$  agents together and we were worried

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:46:51.541 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.298$  that we were going to get like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:46:53.298 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.360$  diffuse macro activate macrophage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}46{:}54.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}55.760$  activating syndrome and kill patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:46:55.760 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.000$  So we had to go very very gingerly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:46:58.000 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.400$  We will also working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}46{:}59.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}00.240$  two pharmaceutical companies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}47{:}00.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}01.920$  each with its own opinion so it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.830$  could be oralism AB which was being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}47{:}03.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}05.255$  developed by Bristol Myers Squibb

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}47{:}05.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}07.115$  dead already did it already defined

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:07.115 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.924$  the recommended phase two dose and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:08.924 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.196$  we had to stick with the dose that

 $00:47:11.196 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.198$  they gave us which was for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:47:13.200 --> 00:47:13.962 Ramza, kilogram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:47:13.962 --> 00:47:17.010 We escalated the CD 40 agonist very gently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:17.010 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.730$  so cohort one only had the doublet therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:19.730 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.730$  at a tenth of the recommended phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:22.730 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.818$  Two dose for the CD 40 agonist within

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:25.818 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.613$  escalated by a half a log into cohort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:28.613 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.824$  three in Cohort 5 and concurrently

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:30.824 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.160$  added the nevala map on with the goal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:47:34.160 --> 00:47:36.060 of ultimately reaching cohort six,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:47:36.060 --> 00:47:38.482 which would be 4 doses at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:38.482 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.250$  record for of Cabrera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:40.250 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.640$  Lismer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}47{:}40.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43.760$  the pic surgeon drug and nivolumab at the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:43.760 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.963$  Same recommended phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:47:44.963 --> 00:47:48.830 Two dose of each one of these individually.

 $00:47:48.830 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.954$  Once we get to the Cohort 6 or to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}47{:}50.954 \to 00{:}47{:}53.167$  the recommended phase two regimen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:53.170 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.500$  the plan is to go into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:47:56.500 --> 00:47:57.916 The Phase 1B component,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:57.916 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.686$  which is which is essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:59.686 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.180$  three phase two studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:01.180 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.340$  each one with its Simon phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:03.340 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.050$  Two design, one per disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:48:06.050 --> 00:48:06.830 At this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.560$  this trial has lots of embedded correlates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.510$  both blood based and tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:11.510 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.070$  based with pretreatment biopsies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:48:13.070 --> 00:48:14.240 mandatory on treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.990$  biopsies etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:14.990 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.990$  So to update you on what's going on

00:48:18.076 --> 00:48:21.140 with the Phase one trial which is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}48{:}21.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}23.597$  integral part of the sport project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:23.600 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.790$  We have completed the Phase 126

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:25.790 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.758$  patients in total have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:27.758 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.923$  enrolled sarahs busy preparing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:48:29.923 --> 00:48:32.433 publication for this and that should

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:32.433 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.904$  be going out over the coming weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:34.910 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.790$  Overall it was reasonably well tolerated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:48:37.790 --> 00:48:38.982 It certainly wasn't candy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:38.982 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.194$  though we saw a lot of periorbital

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}48{:}41.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}43.378$ edema as well as diffuse edema

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:48:43.378 --> 00:48:45.328 elevations in CPK AST and a Lt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:45.330 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.208$  but those didn't appear to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}48{:}47.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}48.147$  particularly clinically significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:48:48.150 --> 00:48:49.406 Fevers Insider Kind release,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:49.406 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.976$  but a lot of fatigue.

 $00:48:50.980 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.178$  I think that was the biggest problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.745$  The other big problem that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:54.745 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.997$  we saw was skipped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:56.000 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.570$  While there was some activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:57.570 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.140$  in some of the patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:59.140 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.162$  it was mostly stable disease in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:01.162 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.853$  progression of disease and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:02.853 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.785$  quiet what we've seen in the mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:04.790 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.222$  The trial has preceded to the Phase 1B

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:07.222 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.388$  component in Melanoma and lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:09.390 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.316$  Both are in the first stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.574$  but we've we've completed the phase one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}49{:}13.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}16.170$  I'm going to show you some examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:16.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.880$  of correlative studies that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:17.880 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.756$  done and this is still a bit

 $00:49:19.756 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.300$  of a work in progress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}49{:}21.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}23.652$  so we looked at cytokine panels before

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:23.652 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.808$  and on treatments at 24 hours later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:25.810 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.295$  and you can see nice increasing interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:49:28.295 --> 00:49:30.638 gamma as well as in in TNF Alpha.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.894$  The different cohorts are listed over here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:32.900 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.330$  but Code 5 and six are when we hit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:35.330 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.397$  them at the recommended phase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:49:37.400 --> 00:49:39.338 two dose of deep excision drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:39.340 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.570$  so that's where you see most of the activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}49{:}43.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}45.316$  There are other changes in circulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:49:45.316 --> 00:49:47.060 cytokines and I could spend an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:49:47.060 --> 00:49:48.375 hour just talking about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:49:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.151$  but I selected a few just just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:49:50.151 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.060$  to show you what we're seeing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:49:52.060 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.758$  so we've got the CL 2,

 $00:49:53.760 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.608$  which is a side kind that's primarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:49:55.608 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.440$  secreted by dendritic cells and macrophages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:49:57.440 --> 00:49:59.696 Very high levels of the higher dose levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:49:59.700 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.894$  same with. P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:50:00.894 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.884$  10 and then the macrophage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:50:02.884 --> 00:50:04.220 colony stimulating factor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:50:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.705$  also highest levels in Cohort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:50:06.705 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.693$  6 but clear increases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:50:08.700 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.573$  Across the board,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:50:09.573 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.610$  we do have the pretreatment and on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:50:11.674 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.299$  treatment specimens show me jessel

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}50{:}13.299 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}15.544$  who supposed dark in my lab is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}50{:}15.544 \to 00{:}50{:}17.224$  busy analyzing these what you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:50:17.224 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.950$  over here is the basic analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:50:18.950 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.449$  so these are just this is just a

 $00:50:21.449 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.519$  munificent staining a CD4 and CD8

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}50{:}23.519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}25.054$  before treatment and on treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:50:25.054 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.444$  on the left is pre and on the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:50:27.444 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.700$  is post and you can see an increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:50:29.768 --> 00:50:31.833 in the infiltration of the CD 8

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:50:31.833 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.848$  cells which are colored in green.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:50:33.850 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.415$  There's also an increase of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:50:35.415 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.489$  the CD Force which are in red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:50:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.686$  CD 68 also actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}50{:}38.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}40.480$  Increase in the amount of CD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:50:40.546 --> 00:50:42.376 68 on this particular patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:50:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.324$  but in some patients we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:50:44.324 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.296$  see the opposite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:50:45.300 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.324$  so over here you can see that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:50:47.324 --> 00:50:49.114 C8 cells pretreatment were much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:50:49.114 --> 00:50:51.149 more dense than post treatment.

 $00:50:51.150 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.425$  Although you do see some post treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:50:53.430 --> 00:50:55.698 I don't know how well this projects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:50:55.700 --> 00:50:59.924 There's an increase in the CD 68 though.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:50:59.930 --> 00:51:01.939 Just to highlight one of the challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:01.939 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.749$  that we have with doing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:03.750 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.230$  Pre Anon treatments studies in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:05.230 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.337$  that it may not come from this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:07.337 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.747$  come from the same site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}51{:}08.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}10.759$  so the pretreatment was a a containers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}51{:}10.759 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}12.517$  tissue metastasis on the back and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}51{:}12.517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}14.209$  the post treatment in this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:51:14.209 --> 00:51:15.808 patient came from the Gallbladder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}51{:}15.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}17.550$  so it's possible that the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:51:17.550 --> 00:51:19.057 micro environment in the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:19.057 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.094$  organs is playing a part over here.

00:51:21.100 --> 00:51:22.846 But because we didn't see much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:22.846 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.630$  activity in the Phase one trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:24.630 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.646$  we're going back to the bench

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:26.646 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.984$  to try to determine what can we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:28.984 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.524$  do to improve our trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:30.530 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.870$  So Irina clickbait ever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:31.870 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.545$  who was the postdoc working?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:33.550 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.220$  I'm sorry there's the doctoral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}51{:}35.220 --> 00{:}51{:}36.556$  student in Marcus's lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:36.560 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.472$  partnered with Deanna,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}51{:}37.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}39.999$  who's working in my lab to ask the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:39.999 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.027$  question of whether we're actually just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:42.027 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.599$  giving too much CSF one receptor antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:44.600 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.280$  So more isn't always better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:51:46.280 --> 00:51:47.932 particularly when we're trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:51:47.932 --> 00:51:49.997 to polarize macrophages and not

 $00:51:49.997 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.920$  necessarily knock them off completely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:51.920 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.539$  So when we do these experiments in the mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:54.540 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.995$  we were seeing much better

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:55.995 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.159$  activity than the humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:57.160 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.610$  and the question is why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:58.610 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.914$  So the dose is selected for the Marin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:52:00.914 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.528$  experiments with somewhat random we go

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:52:02.528 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.020$  based on what is done by other researchers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:52:05.020 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.124$  what's done by format and the amount that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:52:07.124 \dashrightarrow 00:52:09.376$  we were giving them was 200MG kilogram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:52:09.380 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.840$  So we asked the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:52:10.840 --> 00:52:11.130 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:52:11.130 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.450$  what happens if we give them more CSF?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:52:13.450 --> 00:52:14.905 One receptor antibody and keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:52:14.905 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.360$  the other two drug steady?

00:52:16.360 --> 00:52:19.270 And as you can see in this figure over here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:52:19.270 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.730$  if you give more CSF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:52:20.730 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.958$  one receptor antibody basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:52:21.958 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.879$  doubling the dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:52:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.818$  The mice actually do less well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:52:24.818 --> 00:52:26.590 die sooner or sacrificed sooner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:52:26.590 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.614$  and as you can see here on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:52:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.570$  the tumor volume is actually bigger

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}52{:}31.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}33.963$  when you give the higher dose of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:52:33.963 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.683$  the CSF one receptor antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}52{:}35.690 \longrightarrow 00{:}52{:}38.077$  So we're still debating what to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:52:38.077 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.740$  about that as we go into the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:52:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.084$  But then the Meanwhile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:52:42.084 --> 00:52:43.764 because it's a small project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:52:43.770 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.129$  we still need to have an ongoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:52:46.129 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.154$  clinical trial, and the question was,

 $00:52:48.154 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.175$  well, is the CSF one receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}52{:}50.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}51.523$  the optimal second target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:52:51.530 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.020$  in addition to CD 40 agonist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:52:54.020 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.680$  and PD one inhibitors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:52:55.680 --> 00:52:56.778 So it's possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:52:56.778 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.876$  at least theoretically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:52:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.456$  that CTA for is a better target because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:53:00.456 --> 00:53:03.193 CTA for new mission is is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:53:03.193 --> 00:53:05.213 key for dendritic cell activation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:53:05.220 --> 00:53:06.210 So Kelly Alina,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:06.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.860$  who's one of our wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}53{:}07.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}09.815$  surgeons in the Melanoma group

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}53{:}09.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}11.455$  and also surgeon scientists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}53{:}11.460 --> 00{:}53{:}13.656$  is doing work in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:53:13.660 --> 00:53:15.802 It, primarily Marcus is lab where she

 $00:53:15.802 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.020$  is taking a very aggressive model

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}53{:}18.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}20.080$  marine model whereby she injects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.470$  these cells into the left ventricle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:53:22.470 --> 00:53:24.305 So they developed vast mistake

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:24.305 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.406$  metastases all over,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:53:25.410 --> 00:53:26.974 including in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:26.974 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.320$  And this model is particularly resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:29.384 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.280$  to anti PD one in Antici TLA 4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}53{:}31.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}33.100$  So the question is whether the addition

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:33.100 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.318$  of the CD 40 agonist adds something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:35.320 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.018$  And as you can see over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:37.018 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.500$  here with the red bar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.565$  the addition of the CD 40 agonist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}53{:}40.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}42.574$  does appear to improve the survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:42.574 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.329$  of these nice that typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:44.329 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.329$  will be dead within 20 days.

 $00:53:46.330 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.845$  This is some subq injection

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:47.845 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.740$  data over here on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:53:49.740 --> 00:53:52.220 which we don't have time to go through,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:52.220 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.134$  but with those data we again

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:54.134 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.939$  approached the passage and we said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:55.940 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.638$  well, maybe we should do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:53:57.638 --> 00:53:59.350 different trial now in parallel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:59.350 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.886$  and this is our second trial which Kelly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:01.886 \dashrightarrow 00:54:04.309$  and Sarah worked with me to to write.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}54{:}04.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}06.660$  So it's a phase one study of the CD 40

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}54{:}06.728 \to 00{:}54{:}09.266$  agonist in combination with epilim urban,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:09.270 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.820$  the volume app in Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}54{:}10.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}12.899$  So just to highlight some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:54:12.899 --> 00:54:14.848 challenges of a study like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:14.850 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.391$  we know that a polymer mabona volume

00:54:17.391 --> 00:54:19.746 app toxicity rate of at least 6570%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}54{:}19.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}21.965$  We're talking about these immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}54{:}21.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}24.610$  related adverse events all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:24.610 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.326$  And we also know that sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:26.326 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.470$  these events occur late,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:54:27.470 --> 00:54:29.339 so you can have a patient who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:29.339 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.900$  is treated comes off therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}54{:}30.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}32.930$  and six months later develops

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:54:32.930 --> 00:54:34.148 a horrendous toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:34.150 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.930$  So how long?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}54{:}34.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}37.130$  How do we design a study like that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:37.130 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.027$  How long can we follow the patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:39.030 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.062$  For how long do we go from one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:41.062 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.279$  cohort to the other?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.163$  So it took a lot of negotiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:44.163 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.799$  back and forth with the FDA,

 $00:54:45.800 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.906$  but we put a lot of thought into this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}54{:}47.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}49.628$  really slow trial design where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:54:49.628 --> 00:54:51.490 actually have only two dose levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00.54.51.490 \longrightarrow 00.54.54.436$  so dose level one is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.940$  Third of the recommended phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:55.940 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.343$  Two dose of the seat of the CD 40

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:54:58.343 --> 00:55:01.020 agonist which is the drug that we're adding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:55:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.010$  and we give people a map in the volume AB.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}55{:}04.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}05.500$  We only treat three patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:55:05.500 --> 00:55:07.288 Monitor them for 28 days and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:55:07.288 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.480$  then and then enroll

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:08.549 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.121$  another 46 and at that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}55{:}10.121 --> 00{:}55{:}11.780$  all of these six patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:11.780 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.950$  They need to be monitored for six

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:13.950 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.957$  weeks so this is going to take

 $00:55:15.957 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.060$  us a long time to get through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:18.060 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.516$  But what we're hoping is that we'll have

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:20.516 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.848$  a regimen that may not be more toxic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.605$  but that will be significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:24.605 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.307$  more effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:25.310 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.163$  Then the PD one and see TLA for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:28.170 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.473$  We have right now to finally bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:30.473 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.937$  that tail of the curve up to 80%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:32.940 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.996$  We have started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:33.996 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.756$  We've enrolled three Melanoma patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:55:35.756 --> 00:55:37.894 or have completed their 28 day DLT

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:55:37.894 --> 00:55:39.940 period and they did OK with there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:39.940 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.848$  but they have not all completed

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:41.848 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.120$  their nine week observation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:55:43.120 --> 00:55:45.028 Before Christmas, we going to enroll.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:45.030 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.896$  Two more patients have consented and

00:55:46.896 --> 00:55:48.840 we're looking for the six patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.374$  but they all have to be monitored

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}55{:}51.374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}54.308$  for 9 weeks before we can proceed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:54.310 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.494$  So I'm going to conclude there that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}55{:}56.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}58.552$  Co targeting the innate and adaptive

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:55:58.552 --> 00:56:00.676 immune system with the CSF one

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:00.676 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.482$  receptor inhibitor or antibody plus

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}56{:}02.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}04.911$  CD 40 agonist results in better anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:56:04.911 --> 00:56:06.616 tumor activity than either alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}56{:}06.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}08.923$  It also increases the CD 8 tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:08.923 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.001$  content in animals if we treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:11.001 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.711$  mice bearing PD one resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}56{:}12.711 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}14.828$  tumors with all with these drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:56:14.828 --> 00:56:16.880 in combination with anti PD one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.274$  it does look better than the doublet.

 $00:56:19.280 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.758$  The findings were confirmed in a renal

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}56{:}21.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}23.846$  cell carcinoma model where we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:23.846 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.766$  in the clinic already testing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:25.770 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.780$  We're having some difficulty with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:28.780 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.653$  With insufficient activities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:29.653 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.690$  so we're back in the lab right

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:31.739 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.251$  now trying to modify the doses

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:33.251 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.993$  in the regimen before we go back

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:56:34.993 --> 00:56:35.905 again into the clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:56:35.910 --> 00:56:38.286 and this kind of back and forth between

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:38.286 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.777$  the lab in the clinic is something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:40.777 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.389$  can only be done at a place like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:43.390 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.790$  We are also at the same time evaluating

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:45.790 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.860$  the combination with the CTL A4 inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:47.860 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.989$  and hopefully this will be as exciting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:49.990 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.490$  more exciting and just to

 $00:56:51.490 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.690$  say the final conclusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}56{:}52.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}54.937$  that is that it really takes a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:56:54.937 --> 00:56:57.438 village to do a project like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:57.440 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.568$  So all of the the folks have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}57{:}00.568 \rightarrow 00{:}57{:}02.420$  involved acknowledged on this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:57:02.420 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.330$  The scientific collaborators at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:57:04.330 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.140$  colleagues in other labs have

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:57:06.140 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.550$  helped a lot through this process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:57:08.550 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.460$  Members of my lab members

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:57:10.460 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.988$  of the Collaborating lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:57:11.990 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.756$  clinical collaborators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:57:12.756 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.522$  pharmaceutical collaborators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:57:13.522 --> 00:57:15.054 patients and their family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}57{:}15.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}16.970$  and then finally the funding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:57:16.970 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.402$  So I did mention the sporting skin cancer

 $00:57:20.402 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.528$  which which is funded the core project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:57:23.530 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.910$  But the K12 is funded a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:57:25.910 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.330$  of the investigators here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:57:27.330 --> 00:57:29.050 Kelly Alina and Sarah Weiss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:57:29.050 --> 00:57:31.120 and Cancer Center has supported it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:57:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.549$  and some of our folks of which

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:57:33.549 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.086$  have received career development

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}57{:}35.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}37.600$  awards as well related to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:57:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.120$  So with that I'll stop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:57:39.120 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.926$  I'm happy to take any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:57:40.930 --> 00:57:42.150 Thank you for listening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:57:43.150 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.263$  Hurry, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:57:44.263 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.489$  What a great example of translating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:57:46.489 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.269$  science into the clinic and folks can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:57:49.269 \longrightarrow 00:57:50.829$  certainly submit questions online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:57:50.830 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.446$  So let me I have a question watching

00:57:53.446 --> 00:57:56.111 'cause I you sort of anticipated my

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:57:56.111 \dashrightarrow 00:57:59.280$  question by adding the CTA four antagonist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

00:57:59.280 --> 00:58:02.120 But to what extent do you think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

00:58:02.120 --> 00:58:05.101 triplet might have had greater benefit if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:58:05.101 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.729$  they weren't previously exposed to a PD?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:58:07.730 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.498$  One antibody?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:58:08.498 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.030$  And that's really good

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00{:}58{:}10.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}11.780$  question. So the masks were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

00:58:11.780 --> 00:58:14.250 not exposed to PD one antibody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00{:}58{:}14.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}15.770$  whereas the humans would.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

00:58:15.770 --> 00:58:18.050 And it's possible that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:58:18.050 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.475$  we've we've just used that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:58:19.475 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.900$  app and developed it yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

00:58:20.900 --> 00:58:22.610 and you're of mechanism of resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:58:22.610 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.035$  so we haven't done that

 $00:58:24.035 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.175$  experiment in the mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00{:}58{:}25.180 \to 00{:}58{:}26.320$  But that's actually a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:58:26.320 \longrightarrow 00:58:28.030$  really good next step to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:58:28.030 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.150$  It's a great thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:58:29.150 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.830$  We should expose the mice to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:58:30.893 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.238$  PD one inhibitors and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:58:32.238 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.939$  add on the other ones instead

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:58:33.939 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.715$  of giving all three up front.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

00:58:36.410 --> 00:58:38.270 And this may be impossible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:58:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.400$  but is there any consideration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:58:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.730$  combining all four agents in previously?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

00:58:42.730 --> 00:58:47.200 I mean that is a CSF one R CD40 anti CD L4,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00{:}58{:}47.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}49.648$  GTA 4 and PD one and I realized

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:58:49.648 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.029$  that's a smorgasbord of agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

00:58:52.030 --> 00:58:54.268 but is that a conceivable approach?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:58:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.496$  We could, we just got it.

00:58:56.500 --> 00:58:59.468 We can get through the 1st 3 first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:58:59.470 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.818$  so the CTA for CD for D and P1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:59:02.820 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.670$  So far we're doing OK with toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:59:06.670 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.983$  But we are only on the 1st dose level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:59:08.990 \longrightarrow 00:59:10.022$  It's it's very intimidating

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:59:10.022 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.570$  to do all of this sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:59:11.570 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.862$  and then the other question

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:59:12.862 \longrightarrow 00:59:14.926$  is in what line do you do it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:59:14.930 \longrightarrow 00:59:17.144$  So what we're trying to do now is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

00:59:17.144 --> 00:59:19.310 actually move it forward to the first line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00{:}59{:}19.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}21.018$  that that very last trial that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:59:21.018 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.669$  showed with the CTA for antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00{:}59{:}22.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}26.016$  We decided to go in first line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:59:26.020 \longrightarrow 00:59:27.790$  Mostly because of of memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:59:27.790 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.079$  So if you if you take patients

 $00:59:30.079 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.019$  with her previous settling for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:59:32.020 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.484$  you can get additive toxicity over there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

 $00:59:36.850 \longrightarrow 00:59:39.940$  But that's a really good idea to do that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

 $00:59:39.940 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.180$  the mouse. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

00:59:41.180 --> 00:59:43.045 Yeah, well, I know where I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

00:59:43.045 --> 00:59:44.880 know we're just we're out of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

 $00{:}59{:}44.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}47.211$  We're a little past the hour and I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

 $00:59:47.211 \longrightarrow 00:59:49.520$  to be sensitive to everyone's time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

 $00:59:49.520 \longrightarrow 00:59:50.732$  So Harriet and David.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

 $00:59:50.732 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.920$  Thank you both for really exceptional talks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

 $00{:}59{:}52.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}54.152$  Congratulations on all your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

 $00:59:54.152 \longrightarrow 00:59:55.692$  work and everyone in attendance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

 $00:59:55.700 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.507$  Thank you for joining us and enjoy your day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

 $00:59:59.510 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.900$  Thanks. Bye bye.