WEBVTT NOTE duration:"00:58:28.7360000" NOTE language:en-us NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267 00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.994 Thank you, thank you and welcome NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267 00:00:02.994 --> 00:00:04.990 everyone to Cancer Center, NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267 $00:00:04.990 \rightarrow 00:00:08.525$ grand rounds and really pleased with how NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267 $00:00:08.525 \rightarrow 00:00:11.903$ we continue to innovate on formats in NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267 $00:00:11.903 \dashrightarrow 00:00:15.839$ this new Zoom World of the pandemic. NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267 00:00:15.840 --> 00:00:19.046 And I think today's session is going NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267 $00{:}00{:}19.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21.913$ to be interesting given the nature NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267 $00:00:21.913 \rightarrow 00:00:24.715$ of of four speakers and various NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267 $00:00:24.715 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.144$ levels of their their training and NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267 $00:00:28.144 \rightarrow 00:00:30.444$ professional development and are. NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267 $00{:}00{:}30{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32{.}970$ Cancer Center in our University and NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267 00:00:32.970 -> 00:00:35.380 health care system and you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267 $00:00:35.380 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.676$ really focused on. NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

00:00:36.676 --> 00:00:39.700 The great work that's going on in

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267
- $00:00:39.791 \rightarrow 00:00:42.051$ radiology and biomedical imaging

 $00{:}00{:}42.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}45.441$ and the science associated with it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

00:00:45.450 --> 00:00:49.410 most notably in Interventional Oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

00:00:49.410 - > 00:00:51.804 Please introduce let us say the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:00:51.804 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.070$ the leader for today's session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:00:54.070 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.738$ Doctor David Madoff is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:00:55.738 \rightarrow 00:00:58.740$ as you may recall from last week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:00:58.740 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.104$ doctor Madoff is the Co director

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00{:}01{:}01{.}104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}03{.}170$ of Interventional Oncology of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:01:03.170 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.870$ Interventional and college research

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

00:01:04.870 --> 00:01:07.640 lab vice chair for clinical research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00{:}01{:}07.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}09.760$ and the section chief for

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:01:09.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.608$ Interventional radiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

00:01:10.610 --> 00:01:12.306 An Department of radiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:01:12.306 \rightarrow 00:01:13.578$ and biomedical imaging,

 $00:01:13.580 \rightarrow 00:01:16.625$ and David really has throughout his career

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00{:}01{:}16.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.918$ have been an innovator in this space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

00:01:19.920 --> 00:01:22.170 And with his joining the faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

00:01:22.170 --> 00:01:25.389 at Yale now a couple of years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00{:}01{:}25{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}28{.}630$ I guess has really built out not only the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:01:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.870$ capabilities in our clinical operations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:01:30.870 \dashrightarrow 00:01:32.434$ but expanding research which

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:01:32.434 \rightarrow 00:01:33.607$ is really exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

00:01:33.610 --> 00:01:36.680 And so David I turn it over to you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:01:36.764 \rightarrow 00:01:39.452$ sort of introduce the other speakers

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:01:39.452 \dashrightarrow 00:01:42.990$ and share with us all this great work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

00:01:43.890 --> 00:01:44.853 OK, thanks Charlie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:01:44.853 \dashrightarrow 00:01:47.720$ So I'd like to again, thank you for giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00{:}01{:}47.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}49.990$ me the opportunity to speak in Yelp,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:01:49.990 \dashrightarrow 00:01:51.086$ Cancer Center grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

00:01:51.086 --> 00:01:53.741 As you saw it last week I was part

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826
- $00:01:53.741 \rightarrow 00:01:55.643$ of a session on the multidisciplinary

 $00{:}01{:}55{.}643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}57{.}689$ management of colorectal liver metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

00:01:57.690 --> 00:01:59.510 Today's session, as you stated it will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:01:59.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.549$ be focused on Interventional oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:01.550 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.262$ What it is in some of the exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:04.262 \dashrightarrow 00:02:06.678$ research being done in our lab at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00{:}02{:}06.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08.654$ Therefore, this program will focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:08.654 \rightarrow 00:02:10.955$ work being done by our trainees and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00{:}02{:}10.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}13.097$ not really on my own personal work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:13.100 \rightarrow 00:02:15.459$ I will just be introducing the topics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:15.460 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.316$ And our vision and goals for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

00:02:18.316 --> 00:02:20.119 Interventional Oncology Program at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:20.120 \rightarrow 00:02:22.829$ So this session, as you may recall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00{:}02{:}22.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}25.014$ was originally planned for March 10th

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00{:}02{:}25{.}014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}28{.}258$ and it was just after the kovid pandemic.

- $00:02:28.260 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.445$ So interesting, Lee,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826
- $00:02:29.445 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.815$ It's actually given us more time
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826
- $00{:}02{:}31.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}34.466$ to make our data even more mature.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826
- $00:02:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.798$ So for the audience for today.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826
- $00:02:36.800 \dashrightarrow 00:02:39.896$ So I'm really pleased. I think about that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826
- $00{:}02{:}39{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}42{.}455$ So I'm going to start and then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826
- 00:02:42.455 --> 00:02:44.949 will be followed by Julia Shapiro,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826
- 00:02:44.950 --> 00:02:47.505 who happens to be the Co director
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826
- $00{:}02{:}47.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}49.220$ of the intervention college.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826
- $00:02:49.220 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.444$ Live with me.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826
- $00{:}02{:}50{.}444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}52{.}484$ He's actually an assistant professor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826
- $00:02:52.484 \rightarrow 00:02:54.860$ of radiology and biomedical imaging,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826
- $00:02:54.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.666$ while actually,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826
- 00:02:55.666 --> 00:02:56.069 still,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826
- $00{:}02{:}56{.}069 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}59{.}376$ and I are resident so that shows you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826
- $00:02:59.376 \rightarrow 00:03:01.836$ the level of achievement that some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826 $00:03:01.836 \rightarrow 00:03:04.374$ of our colleagues really have and NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826 $00:03:04.374 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.834$ then will be followed by Jessica NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826 $00:03:06.834 \dashrightarrow 00:03:09.398$ Santana and tells Evie who are both NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826 00:03:09.398 - > 00:03:11.559 graduate students in our lab and NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826 $00:03:11.559 \rightarrow 00:03:13.869$ the work will all be talking about NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826 $00:03:13.869 \rightarrow 00:03:16.129$ liver cancer and Interventional NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826 $00:03:16.129 \rightarrow 00:03:17.986$ oncology related activities. NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826 $00:03:17.990 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.874$ So let me just share my screen. NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253 00:03:27.170 --> 00:03:30.610 OK, so. Really, NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253 $00:03:30.610 \rightarrow 00:03:32.250$ what is Interventional oncology? NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253 00:03:32.250 --> 00:03:34.395 Well intermixed oncology is a NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253 $00:03:34.395 \rightarrow 00:03:35.682$ subspecialty of Interventional NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253 $00:03:35.682 \rightarrow 00:03:37.419$ radiology that utilizes minimally NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253 00:03:37.419 --> 00:03:39.063 invasive image guided procedures NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253 $00:03:39.063 \rightarrow 00:03:41.491$ to both diagnose and treat patients NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253 6

 $00:03:41.491 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.286$ with various forms of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:03:43.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.195$ The benefits of primary intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:03:45.195 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.719$ on cology treatments or its

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}03{:}46.719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}48.199$ immediate tumoricidal effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:03:48.200 --> 00:03:50.648 As you I'm sure are aware,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:03:50.650 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.877$ there minimally invasive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:03:51.877 --> 00:03:53.513 resulting in cost reductions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:03:53.513 \rightarrow 00:03:54.740$ and time Efficacy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}03{:}54{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57{.}547$ as well as having minimal systemic side

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}03{:}57{.}547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}00{.}208$ effects leading to an overall improved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}04{:}00{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01{.}350$ Quality of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}04{:}01{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}04{.}508$ The goal has been to make the case

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:04.508 \dashrightarrow 00:04:07.399$ for us becoming the 4th pillar of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:07.399 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.798$ cancer care and over the years

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}04{:}09{.}798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}12{.}424$ I believe we have and this has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:12.424 \rightarrow 00:04:14.788$ been shown actually in many ways.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253
- 00:04:14.790 --> 00:04:17.030 These include having IO therapies

 $00{:}04{:}17.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}18.822$ incorporated into multiple NCCN

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}04{:}18.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}20.778$ guidelines which include you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:04:20.778 --> 00:04:21.858 colorectal metastases include

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:04:21.858 --> 00:04:24.240 HP be an even in renal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.026$ having trials to assess the role of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:04:27.026 --> 00:04:28.970 Percat Aneus management of cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:28.970 \dashrightarrow 00:04:31.945$ and what I believe is most important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:31.950 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.938$ Is that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:32.938 \dashrightarrow 00:04:36.396$ I believe now become a valued participator

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:36.396 \dashrightarrow 00:04:39.915$ in most if not all of tumor boards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:04:39.920 --> 00:04:41.732 So what does it take to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:41.732 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.660$ become a pillar of oncology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:04:43.660 --> 00:04:45.430 Clearly each clinical discipline needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:45.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.739$ to have a strong foundation in basic,

 $00:04:47.740 \rightarrow 00:04:49.184$ translational and clinical research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:04:49.184 --> 00:04:52.499 We do have some work to do in this regard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:52.500 \rightarrow 00:04:54.845$ but I must say I was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}04{:}54{.}845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57{.}603$ thrilled to see when I was in 2016

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}04{:}57{.}603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}59{.}979$ as an invited faculty of Asco GI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:04:59.980 --> 00:05:02.220 My discipline next to my name was listed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}05{:}02.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}04.400$ actually as an Interventional Oncologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.772$ not an interventional radiologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}05{:}05{.}772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}08{.}479$ so I was really happy at that time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:08.480 \dashrightarrow 00:05:11.756$ and I thought we actually made it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:05:11.760 --> 00:05:12.048 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}05{:}12.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.640$ I really do believe that in terms of research

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:14.699 \rightarrow 00:05:16.729$ and education of referring physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}05{:}16.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18.385$ I think we're nearly there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}05{:}18.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}20.040$ and on the right track,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:20.040 \rightarrow 00:05:24.369$ but I don't know if we've come there totally.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253
- $00:05:24.370 \dashrightarrow 00:05:28.249$ So here you can see a long list of

 $00{:}05{:}28.249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}31.090$ procedures that we do, and I owe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:31.090 \rightarrow 00:05:34.030$ These can range from image guided biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:05:34.030 --> 00:05:35.290 primary tumor therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:05:35.290 --> 00:05:36.130 palliative procedures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:05:36.130 --> 00:05:37.705 central venous access,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:37.705 \dashrightarrow 00:05:40.330$ an managing of complications that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}05{:}40{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}43{.}732$ are either a result of cancer itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}05{:}43.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46.002$ or related to cancer treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:46.010 \rightarrow 00:05:48.502$ So I just wanted to highlight some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}05{:}48{.}502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50{.}908$ challenging biopsies as this is one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}05{:}50{.}908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53{.}070$ the most important procedures that we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:53.070 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.457$ Clearly it is difficult for Oncologist to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}05{:}55{.}457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58{.}106$ treat patients in the absence of a diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}05{:}58{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58{.}658$ That said,

 $00:05:58.658 \rightarrow 00:06:00.576$ cases such as this one should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:00.576 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.621$ easily doable for any experienced

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:06:02.621 --> 00:06:03.479 interventional list,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:03.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.160$ and as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}06{:}05{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07{.}744$ this patient has confirmed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:06:07.744 --> 00:06:09.036 metastatic adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.846$ So here is the second case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:10.850 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.866$ which I would say is much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}06{:}12.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.692$ challenging due to lack of any

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}06{:}14.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}16.492$ imaging finding on CT or ultrasound

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:16.492 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.681$ and you can see here it's closed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:18.681 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.901$ proximity to the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:19.910 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.160$ Fortunately we were able to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:22.160 \rightarrow 00:06:24.148$ adequate tissue sampling and help

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}06{:}24.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}26.243$ this patient get the appropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:26.243 \rightarrow 00:06:28.548$ chemotherapy that they needed to be on.

00:06:28.550 --> 00:06:30.622 So I just wanted to discuss a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:06:30.622 --> 00:06:32.209 of primary intra interventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:06:32.209 --> 00:06:33.730 oncology tumor therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}06{:}33.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35.893$ both of which will be discussed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}06{:}35{.}893 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37{.}833$ research by our trainees later in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}06{:}37.833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40.038$ the session here we have two more

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:06:40.108 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.748$ guided ablation or tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

00:06:41.748 --> 00:06:43.388 image guided tumor ablation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00{:}06{:}43.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}45.734$ so the goals of Ablation is to eradicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00{:}06{:}45{.}734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47{.}992$ all valuable malignant cells and stem

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:06:47.992 \dashrightarrow 00:06:49.600$ spare normal surrounding tissues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00{:}06{:}49{.}600$ --> $00{:}06{:}51{.}475$ treat tissues with unfavorable location NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

00:06:51.475 --> 00:06:53.809 or pattern of distribution for resection

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:06:53.809 \rightarrow 00:06:55.819$ and or have multiple comorbidities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00{:}06{:}55{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57{.}773$ These are the most often used in

00:06:57.773 --> 00:06:59.676 patients with low volume disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00{:}06{:}59{.}676$ --> $00{:}07{:}01{.}351$ required to bulking are typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

00:07:01.351 --> 00:07:03.717 done in an outpatient setting setting NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:03.717 \rightarrow 00:07:05.762$ and these procedures are repeatable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00{:}07{:}05{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}08{.}266$ So if we can see here we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

00:07:08.266 --> 00:07:09.706 radio frequency ablation and microwave NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:09.706 \dashrightarrow 00:07:11.596$ which are heat based the rapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:11.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.832$ We have Cryo Ablation which is a coal

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

00:07:13.832 --> 00:07:15.937 based therapy and we actually have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

00:07:15.937 --> 00:07:17.053 irreversible electroporation which

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:17.053 \dashrightarrow 00:07:19.490$ is really to electrocute the tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:19.490 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.306$ Believe it or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:21.306 \rightarrow 00:07:23.576$ by changing the ionic potentials

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:23.576 \dashrightarrow 00:07:26.120$ between the between the membranes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.170$ So ablation of liver tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:28.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.434$ which is in this was its initial indication,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822
- $00:07:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.684$ as shown here in.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822
- $00{:}07{:}32.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35.514$ This is 1 case of a patient with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822
- $00{:}07{:}35{.}514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38{.}136$ HTC that needed ablation as a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822
- $00:07:38.136 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.030$ bridge to transplant.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822
- $00:07:40.030 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.294$ As we can see, 2 1/2 years later,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822
- $00{:}07{:}43.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.919$ no tumor recurrence or any residual disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822
- $00{:}07{:}46{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}49{.}251$ Next was the case of an isolated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822
- $00:07:49.251 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.250$ colorectal liver metastasis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822
- $00{:}07{:}50{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52{.}707$ Who here you see a pet positive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822
- 00:07:52.707 -> 00:07:54.471 or hypermetabolic lesion in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822
- $00:07:54.471 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.711$ right lobe of the liver who was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822
- $00{:}07{:}56{.}711 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58{.}040$ successfully treated with Ablation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822
- $00:07:58.040 \rightarrow 00:08:00.573$ and his team are free at one year?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822
- 00:08:00.573 --> 00:08:01.239 Follow up.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105
- $00:08:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.080$ This is a patient who,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00:08:05.080 \rightarrow 00:08:07.066$ instead of having a liver lesion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00{:}08{:}07{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08{.}206$ has a lung nodule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00{:}08{:}08{.}206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}10{.}378$ Here we can see that based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00:08:10.378 \rightarrow 00:08:12.378$ having heat based thermal ablation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00:08:12.380 \dashrightarrow 00:08:15.036$ the patient did very well at seven months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00{:}08{:}15{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}17{.}343$ As you can see, there's no residual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00{:}08{:}17{.}343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20{.}812$ tumor on the image Ng, and there was no

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00:08:20.812 \rightarrow 00:08:23.500$ local recurrence seen at three years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00{:}08{:}23.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}25.708$ We can also treat bone metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00{:}08{:}25.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27.756$ This is a patient with metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00{:}08{:}27.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}29.904$ breast carcinoma with a focal right

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00{:}08{:}29{.}904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32{.}058$ femoral and right hip pain limiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00:08:32.058 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.799$ mobility for whatever reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00{:}08{:}33{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35{.}312$ she refused radiation therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00{:}08{:}35{.}312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37{.}580$ and was treated with heat based

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00{:}08{:}37.645 \ldots > 00{:}08{:}39.081$ thermal ablation and Samantha

00:08:39.081 - > 00:08:41.658 plasty is shown here and she had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00{:}08{:}41.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.423$ an immediate improvement in right

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00:08:43.423 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.680$ femoral pain from 8 to 10 out of 10.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:08:49.050 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.514$ This is a very interesting use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:08:51.514 \rightarrow 00:08:53.310$ thermal ablation in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:08:53.310 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.154$ with cryoablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}08{:}54{.}154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56{.}264$ This patient had metastatic Rectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}08{:}56{.}264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}58{.}138$ Squamous Cell Carcinoma who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:08:58.138 --> 00:09:00.056 severe intractable 10 out of 10 pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:00.060 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.835$ secondary to inoperable tumor recurrence

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:01.835 \rightarrow 00:09:03.960$ infringing on the sacral nerve roots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:09:03.960 --> 00:09:05.875 She was successfully treated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}09{:}05{.}875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}08{.}139$ partial cryo ablation of the bowel

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:09:08.139 --> 00:09:10.363 wall and you can see right here the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:10.363 \dashrightarrow 00:09:12.659$ low density ice she had immediate

 $00:09:12.659 \rightarrow 00:09:14.609$ or should say complete resolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:14.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.095$ of her pain within about 3 days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:17.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.150$ So she had actually no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:09:19.150 --> 00:09:21.120 Team up until a year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:21.120 \dashrightarrow 00:09:23.424$ at which time she actually died

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}09{:}23.424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.825$ and then Lastly we were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:25.825 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.183$ to treat this liver tumor here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}09{:}28{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}30{.}548$ That was a budding the left

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:09:30.548 --> 00:09:31.727 hepatic bile duct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:31.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.690$ So we used Ayari again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:33.690 \dashrightarrow 00:09:34.869$ that's basically electrocution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:34.869 \rightarrow 00:09:37.620$ which is technically a non thermal ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:37.620 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.001$ So about nine months later the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:41.001 \rightarrow 00:09:44.509$ has no residual disease or recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:44.510 \rightarrow 00:09:46.550$ We also have more regional therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:46.550 \rightarrow 00:09:47.910$ such as transarterial embolization.

 $00:09:47.910 \rightarrow 00:09:49.896$ In these cases are typically reserved

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}09{:}49{.}896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51{.}970$ for patients with higher tumor burdens

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:09:51.970 --> 00:09:53.690 or are in neoadjuvant settings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:53.690 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.406$ or in cases where resection or

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}09{:}55{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}57{.}667$ ablation is in a location considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:57.667 \dashrightarrow 00:09:59.775$ terribly difficult or dangerous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:59.780 \rightarrow 00:10:03.460$ He We see a case of a patient with HIV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}10{:}03.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}05.650$ cirrhosis and a 4.8 centimeters segment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:10:05.650 --> 00:10:07.360 8 HTC Embolization was performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}10{:}07{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}09{.}540$ to maintain the patient on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:10:09.540 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.758$ transplant waiting list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}10{:}10{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}12{.}580$ We see completing the Krosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}10{:}12{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}15{.}466$ and she alternately underwent a transplant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:10:15.470 \rightarrow 00:10:18.095$ This is a patient with compensated HTP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:10:18.100 --> 00:10:19.524 sarot sis, Anna Sala,

 $00{:}10{:}19{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22{.}507$ Terry HTC and segment 8 and a platelet

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}10{:}22.507 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.216$ count of 57 who also needs treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:10:25.220 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.100$ There's a bridge to transplant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:10:27.100 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.473$ This tumor is shown here is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:10:29.473 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.220$ a very difficult location,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}10{:}31{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}32{.}720$ and she successfully underwent

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:10:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.595$ embolization with no residual tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:10:34.600 \rightarrow 00:10:36.470$ and this patient also underwent

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}10{:}36.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}37.218$ liver transplantation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}10{:}39{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}42{.}529$ Here we're able to see a patient that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}10{:}42.529 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.083$ treated in their 90s who has a large HTC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:10:45.090 \rightarrow 00:10:46.908$ In this case, the ACC actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:10:46.908 \rightarrow 00:10:48.120$ ruptured through the capsule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}10{:}48.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.248$ and as you probably are all aware,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:10:50.250 \rightarrow 00:10:52.588$ this is associated with poor, if not dismal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:10:52.588 \rightarrow 00:10:53.968$ prognosis were able to successfully

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584
- $00:10:53.968 \rightarrow 00:10:55.699$ treat it with radio embolization,

00:10:55.700 - 00:10:57.518 which was done as an outpatient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:10:57.520 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.338$ and this can be seen here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:10:59.340 \rightarrow 00:11:01.086$ She had complete response and lived

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:11:01.086 --> 00:11:03.270 up to five after four years later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:11:03.270 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.128$ and then Lastly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}11{:}04{.}128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07{.}448$ This is a case of a patient that has BI lo

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:11:07.448 \rightarrow 00:11:09.780$ or HTC with extensive portal vein tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}11{:}09{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}11{.}976$ Rambus, so typically this patient would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:11:11.976 --> 00:11:14.459 have less than six months to live,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}11{:}14.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16.945$ but as expected, this is a relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}11{:}16{.}945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}18{.}779$ young patient with the family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:11:18.780 --> 00:11:20.136 small young children, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}11{:}20{.}136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}23{.}370$ So we try to offer procedure to help this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}11{:}23.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}25.260$ patient an we did Chemoembolization,

 $00:11:25.260 \rightarrow 00:11:28.194$ and Fortunately we were successful in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}11{:}28{.}194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}31{.}520$ prolonging his life for about 16 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:11:31.520 --> 00:11:32.416 So to wrap up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:11:32.416 \rightarrow 00:11:35.079$ I just want to give you my five year of NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:11:35.079 --> 00:11:36.957 vision for a modern academic evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:11:37.022 --> 00:11:39.267 based intervention on college program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}11{:}39{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41{.}258$ First we want to increase the IO

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:11:41.258 \rightarrow 00:11:42.495$ clinical research programs throughout

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}11{:}42.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}44.155$ the smilow cancer hospital and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}11{:}44.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}45.780$ kiss centers throughout the area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:11:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.083$ Further,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:11:46.083 \rightarrow 00:11:48.204$ it will be important to improve the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}11{:}48{.}204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}50{.}078$ education for the community provider of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:11:50.078 --> 00:11:52.266 the procedures that we offer and which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}11{:}52.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}54.144$ patients are more likely to benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:11:54.150 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.047$ We also need better integration of care

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584
- 00:11:56.047 --> 00:11:58.178 into current and future oncology workflows.

00:11:58.180 --> 00:12:00.812 We will be working on initiating some new

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:00.812 \rightarrow 00:12:03.029$ clinical trials related to liver oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:12:03.030 --> 00:12:04.322 As discussed last week

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:04.322 \rightarrow 00:12:05.614$ on liver generation was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:05.620 \rightarrow 00:12:07.240$ which was dragging one study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:07.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.382$ but we also are going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:09.382 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.448$ involved in the leap 012 study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:11.450 \rightarrow 00:12:13.704$ which is going to be an immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}12{:}13.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15.354$ chemo embolization plus or minus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}12{:}15{.}354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17{.}226$ immuno therapy that we're going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}12{:}17{.}226 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}18{.}910$ initiating or starting very soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:18.910 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.143$ And then it's also going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}12{:}21{.}143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}23{.}257$ critical to have faculty who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}12{:}23.257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25.417$ sub specialized to be able to

 $00:12:25.417 \rightarrow 00:12:27.687$ provide this level of advanced air.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:12:27.690 --> 00:12:30.063 We also plan to make image guided

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}12{:}30{.}063 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}31{.}902$ biopsies more readily available and NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:12:31.902 --> 00:12:34.170 hoping to improve turn around time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}12{:}34{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}36{.}085$ There have been ongoing discussions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:12:36.085 --> 00:12:38.715 with Doctor Chen Lu as you all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}12{:}38{.}715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}40{.}647$ know is the chair of pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:12:40.650 --> 00:12:43.778 To work on a by an image guided

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:12:43.778 --> 00:12:45.770 by over Pozza Tori.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}12{:}45.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}48.434$ As will be seen from the next speakers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}12{:}48{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50{.}582$ we are currently working on advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}12{:}50{.}582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}51{.}653$ preclinical and Translational

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}12{:}51{.}653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}53{.}555$ research with a focus on molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:12:53.555 --> 00:12:54.449 imaging immuno on cology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}12{:}54{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}56{.}892$ We're also very active in machine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:56.892 \rightarrow 00:12:58.865$ learning and artificial intelligence as

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584
- $00{:}12{:}58.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00.818$ it relates to liver cancer and based
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584
- $00:13:00.818 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.649$ on these interesting topics we hope
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584
- $00{:}13{:}02{.}649 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}05{.}415$ to be able to achieve and expand our funding.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584
- 00:13:05.415 --> 00:13:07.305 And Lastly we have a newly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584
- $00{:}13{:}07{.}305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08{.}818$ established IR residency program.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584
- 00:13:08.820 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:13:11.772 In this we would like to develop an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584
- 00:13:11.772 --> 00:13:13.357 Interventional Oncology Fellowship and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584
- $00:13:13.357 \rightarrow 00:13:16.073$ we are looking into the possibility of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584
- 00:13:16.073 --> 00:13:18.160 applying for a T32 training grants.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584
- $00:13:18.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.198$ So with that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584
- $00{:}13{:}19{.}198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}21{.}620$ these are visions and goals I'd like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584
- $00{:}13{:}21.689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24.341$ to then introduce my Co director
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584
- $00:13:24.341 \rightarrow 00:13:26.109$ for the Interventional Oncology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584
- 00:13:26.187 --> 00:13:27.639 Research Lab at Yale,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584
- $00:13:27.640 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.220$ who will be discussing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:13:29.220 --> 00:13:30.010 quantitative biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8402101

00:13:30.010 --> 00:13:31.975 molecular imaging in artificial intelligence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8402101

 $00:13:31.975 \rightarrow 00:13:34.749$ to guide the therapy of liver cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8402101

 $00{:}13{:}34{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}37{.}120$ So thank you for your attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}13{:}46{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}48{.}168$ Alright, thank you very much every one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:13:48.170 --> 00:13:50.347 I'm really excited to be presenting this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}13{:}50{.}347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}52{.}631$ topic on behalf of our lab and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:13:52.631 \rightarrow 00:13:54.976$ more of a vision presentation of what we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}13{:}54{.}976$ --> $00{:}13{:}57{.}106$ accomplished and a couple of thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}13{:}57{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59{.}486$ that we put together over the last years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}13{:}59{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01{.}450$ None of this would have been possible without

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:14:01.450 --> 00:14:03.370 really vast infrastructure of collaborators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}14{:}03{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04{.}855$ which I'll be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}14{:}04.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06.043$ a little bit later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:06.050 \dashrightarrow 00:14:08.795$ I want to say that I very excited about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:08.800 \rightarrow 00:14:11.232$ David joining the group here and really being

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969
- 00:14:11.232 --> 00:14:13.847 our new leader and Interventional Ecology,

 $00:14:13.850 \rightarrow 00:14:15.896$ and we all completely subscribed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:15.896 \rightarrow 00:14:18.190$ the vision that she just provided.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:18.190 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.510$ So as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}14{:}19{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}22{.}878$ I'm going to be focusing on the liver cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}14{:}22.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}24.812$ and primarily I'm going to start

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:24.812 \dashrightarrow 00:14:27.568$ off with a BC else staging system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:27.570 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.458$ The most recent one was released in 2018,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}14{:}30{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}32{.}636$ and even in that more recent addition to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}14{:}32.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}35.799$ it we have seen a clear separation between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}14{:}35{.}799 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38{.}040$ intermediate station advanced stage disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.153$ so they exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:39.153 \rightarrow 00:14:41.008$ Apparently in two separate planets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:41.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.362$ however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}14{:}41.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43.826$ really in clinical practice and in signs,

 $00:14:43.830 \rightarrow 00:14:45.860$ this is very transitional area where both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:14:45.860 --> 00:14:48.398 seemed to be interacting quite extensively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.703$ We saw a lot of clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:50.703 \rightarrow 00:14:52.978$ focusing an overlap of those therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}14{:}52{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}55{.}311$ and this is exactly the realm where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}14{:}55{.}311$ --> $00{:}14{:}57{.}026$ most patients are being diagnosed NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:14:57.026 --> 00:14:59.308 and also the realm where you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:59.308 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.004$ we think there is most room of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:15:02.004 --> 00:15:03.536 improvement for patient outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}15{:}03{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05{.}628$ so systemic the rapies for HTC then NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}15{:}05{.}628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}08{.}130$ and now I borrowed the slides for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:15:08.130 --> 00:15:09.896 from Agusan Abou Alfa, who's?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:15:09.896 --> 00:15:11.776 Medical Oncologist at Memorial Sloan

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}15{:}11.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}14.690$ and this is how the market look like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:14.690 \rightarrow 00:15:17.082$ Five years ago we just had one drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}15{:}17.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}19.790$ and this it's not even a complete view.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969
- $00:15:19.790 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.016$ This is where we are right now.

 $00:15:22.020 \rightarrow 00:15:23.940$ So with him just five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.204$ we have so many drugs being approved and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:26.204 \rightarrow 00:15:28.717$ most of 'em actually are very dedicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}15{:}28.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29.680$ specific targeted molecules

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.640$ and checkpoint inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.638$ So this flood of novel agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}15{:}32{.}638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}34{.}329$ actually probably means the left

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}15{:}34{.}329 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36{.}373$ ship of systemic therapy in the CL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:36.380 \rightarrow 00:15:38.277$ See staging system and what we will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:38.277 \rightarrow 00:15:40.849$ witness is a greater overlap between local,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}15{:}40.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}42.062$ regional and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}15{:}42.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}44.486$ Systemic the rapies of immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}15{:}44.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46.940$ And this is where we come from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}15{:}46{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49{.}033$ We focused now on the biomolecular mechanisms

00:15:49.033 --> 00:15:51.205 in the tumor micro environment behind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:51.205 \rightarrow 00:15:53.235$ those therapies and their combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:53.240 \rightarrow 00:15:55.724$ So we focus on two of the well known

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:55.724 \rightarrow 00:15:57.980$ hallmarks of cancer that are particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:15:57.980 --> 00:16:00.338 relevant for HTC specifically on its

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}16{:}00{.}338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}02{.}228$ ability to avoid immune destruction NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}16{:}02.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04.090$ and the deregulation of seller

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:04.090 \rightarrow 00:16:05.490$ energetic into metabolic phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}16{:}05{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08{.}640$ So let's have a look at the HTC cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}16{:}08{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}11{.}440$ so we now it has a very pronounced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:16:11.440 --> 00:16:12.140 Warburg effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}16{:}12.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}14.312$ and this is specifically a cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:14.312 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.223$ that is extremely dependent on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:16.223 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.420$ the glucose metabolism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}16{:}17{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19{.}496$ And so called the Arabic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:16:19.496 --> 00:16:20.866 anaerobic glycolysis, and well,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969
- $00:16:20.866 \rightarrow 00:16:23.990$ the glucose is being taken up with that sell.

 $00:16:23.990 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.010$ It produces lactate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:25.010 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.710$ It is that essentially is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:16:26.710 --> 00:16:28.840 D Cup from the Krebs cycle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:28.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.779$ and this lacked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:16:29.779 --> 00:16:31.657 It is then being flooded directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}16{:}31.657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34.029$ into the extra seller micro environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:34.030 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.824$ And because of that there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:35.824 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.508$ dramatic acid ification of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:37.508 \rightarrow 00:16:39.220$ surrounding tumor micro environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}16{:}39{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}41{.}988$ And what does that really mean for us?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}16{:}41.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}44.060$ The extracellular pH and liver cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:16:44.060 \rightarrow 00:16:45.444$ especially aggressive age species

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:16:45.444 \rightarrow 00:16:47.382$ known to be very, very low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:16:47.382 \rightarrow 00:16:50.122$ And now finding say that this is a very

 $00:16:50.122 \rightarrow 00:16:52.427$ important exclusion mechanism for four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}16{:}52{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}54{.}400$ Immune cells and the mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:16:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.976$ of immune cell exhaustion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:16:55.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.834$ So this has been previously reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:16:57.834 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:17:00.748 and we now know more than ever that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:17:00.748 --> 00:17:02.768 actually tumor infiltrating T lymphocytes NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}17{:}02.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05.546$ depend extremely on extra seller acidity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:05.546 \rightarrow 00:17:07.394$ and competitive glucose deprivation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}17{:}07{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09{.}983$ and that the reversal of this hostile

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:09.983 \rightarrow 00:17:11.989$ tumor micro environment can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}17{:}11{.}989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14{.}485$ improve their infiltration into the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}17{:}14.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}15.825$ An immune response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:15.825 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.050$ So to sum it up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:17:18.050 - 00:17:20.674 loads for seller pH is a marker of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:20.674 \rightarrow 00:17:22.498$ tumor aggressiveness and the presence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:17:22.498 --> 00:17:24.712 of Protons and lactate really protects

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382
- $00:17:24.712 \rightarrow 00:17:26.757$ cancer against an immune response.

00:17:26.760 --> 00:17:28.320 It promotes new angiogenesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}17{:}28{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30{.}660$ The lactic acidosis plays in multifaceted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:30.722 \rightarrow 00:17:32.913$ role that is not fully understood for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}17{:}32{.}913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}34{.}740$ all variable immune cell subgroups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}17{:}34.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36.762$ and the high lactic levels are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:17:36.762 --> 00:17:38.110 actually strong predictors of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}17{:}38{.}167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}40{.}187$ metastatic disease and poor outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}17{:}40{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}43{.}457$ So where does all this fit in with taste?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}17{:}43{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}46{.}015$ So theoretically this is an embolus the rapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}17{:}46.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}48.638$ that changes the tumor micro environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}17{:}48.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.593$ And what happens is that in theory

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}17{:}50{.}593 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51{.}885$ tastes me potentially exacerbate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:17:51.885 --> 00:17:53.880 a hostile tumor micro environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}17{:}53.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}55.476$ that attenuates treatment efficacy.

- $00{:}17{:}55{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}56{.}844$ Let's look at it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382
- 00:17:56.844 --> 00:17:57.526 I mean,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382
- $00:17:57.530 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.043$ it induces a hypoxic injury that potentially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382
- $00:18:00.043 \rightarrow 00:18:02.320$ may exacerbate the low pH even further.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382
- $00:18:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.752$ It potentially stimulates brand
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382
- $00{:}18{:}03.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05.542$ eugenic signaling and the embolization
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382
- $00:18:05.542 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.897$ even might prevent delected
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382
- $00:18:06.897 \rightarrow 00:18:08.477$ transport away from the tumor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382
- 00:18:08.480 --> 00:18:10.055 So postdates tumor marker environment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382
- $00:18:10.055 \rightarrow 00:18:12.240$ was thought to be immune inhibitory,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382
- $00:18:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.570$ but is that really true?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382
- 00:18:14.570 --> 00:18:15.264 I mean,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382
- 00:18:15.264 --> 00:18:17.346 let's look at HTC in general,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382
- $00{:}18{:}17.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19.695$ where it stands in terms of Genomic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382
- $00{:}18{:}19.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21.123$ somatic mutations as opposed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382
- $00:18:21.123 \rightarrow 00:18:22.918$ to Melanoma and lung cancer.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382
- 00:18:22.920 --> 00:18:25.356 Really, HTC is somewhere in the middle,

 $00{:}18{:}25{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27{.}872$ so the idea of the Immuno and inoculation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:27.872 \rightarrow 00:18:29.329$ with locoregional therapies with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:29.329 \rightarrow 00:18:31.264$ initially discarded because of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:18:31.270 --> 00:18:31.604 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:31.604 \rightarrow 00:18:31.938$ however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:31.938 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.276$ let's have a look at the pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:34.276 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.488$ of a tumor treated with taste.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:36.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.578$ This is a patient with HTC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:18:38.580 --> 00:18:40.694 Histology was taken 11 days post locoregional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}18{:}40{.}694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}42{.}410$ the rapies that was transplantation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}18{:}42{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}44{.}622$ What we see we see in necrotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:44.622 \rightarrow 00:18:46.446$ tumor packed with in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}18{:}46{.}446{\:}{-}{>}00{:}18{:}48{.}466$ particular case drug eluting beads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:48.470 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.507$ And surrounding that we see a very

00:18:50.507 --> 00:18:51.830 dense immune infiltrate and if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}18{:}51{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53{.}230$ we zoom in We really almost see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:18:53.230 --> 00:18:54.971 a secondary immune follicles and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:18:54.971 --> 00:18:56.826 macrophages and T cells really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}18{:}56{.}826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}58{.}160$ accumulating the transitional zone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:18:58.160 --> 00:18:59.760 But they can't really penetrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}18{:}59{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01{.}716$ into the necrotic areas of the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:19:01.716 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.599$ where all the good end to Jenison.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:19:03.600 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.485$ Why is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}19{:}04.485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}06.550$ So our mission was at this point

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:19:06.617 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.741$ to really look at the underlying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}19{:}08{.}741 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11{.}470$ mechanisms and how do systemic the rapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}19{:}11{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}13{.}661$ How does in the immune system and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:19:13.661 \rightarrow 00:19:15.140$ local regional therapies interact?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:19:15.140 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.186$ So we wanted to develop imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:19:17.186 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.550$ instruments for noninvasive functional

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382
- $00{:}19{:}18.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}20.026$ characterization monitoring of the

 $00:19:20.026 \rightarrow 00:19:22.162$ tumor micro environment in the setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}19{:}22{.}211 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23{.}819$ of the immune response and taste,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:19:23.820 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.668$ and that was the mission of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}19{:}25.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}27.406$ lab that we essentially star

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:19:27.406 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.166$ started several years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}19{:}29{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}31{.}410$ So the one of the first projects that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:19:31.410 --> 00:19:34.037 I'm going to talk about is recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}19{:}34.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}36.037$ published paper clinical Cancer Research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}19{:}36{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}38{.}175$ That focused on the development of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}19{:}38.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}40.170$ extracellular pH probe that demonstrates

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}19{:}40{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}41{.}712$ extracellular pH noninvasively

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:19:41.712 --> 00:19:43.254 using spectroscopic methodology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}19{:}43.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}45.660$ and I'm actually really appreciate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}19{:}45.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47.928$ 'cause that partnered in design and
$00{:}19{:}47{.}928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50{.}698$ work on the on this novel mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}19{:}50{.}698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}53{.}449$ with Daniel Komen and if I meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}19{:}53{.}529 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}56{.}025$ higher from the Mr Research Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:19:56.025 \rightarrow 00:19:58.198$ who have been really instrumental

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}19{:}58{.}198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00{.}738$ and great partners in developing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}20{:}00{.}740$ --> $00{:}20{:}04{.}110$ And we used to model of a rabbit liver tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}20{:}04{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06{.}837$ which is so far the only moderate size animal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:20:06.837 \rightarrow 00:20:09.166$ model that has a faithful reproduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:20:09.170 --> 00:20:10.665 Reproduction of an HTC tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}20{:}10.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}12.570$ environment and the has a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:20:12.570 --> 00:20:14.315 clinical relevance because we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}20{:}14.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}16.477$ do the embolization also image those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}20{:}16.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}18.595$ animals in real size clinical scanners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:20:18.600 --> 00:20:21.201 We do have a 3T MRI system for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:20:21.201 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:20:23.620 and also cutting edge IR suite which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}20{:}23.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.887$ we use in the white Rick with the help

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481
- 00:20:26.887 --> 00:20:29.402 and support of Alston is this group.

 $00:20:29.402 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.824$ So this is an immuno competent host.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:20:31.830 --> 00:20:33.630 Anna hyper glycolytic metabolic phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:20:33.630 \rightarrow 00:20:35.420$ An essentially those tumors have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:20:35.420 \rightarrow 00:20:36.494$ been characterized previously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:20:36.500 \rightarrow 00:20:38.690$ is very reminiscent of the actual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:20:38.690 --> 00:20:40.450 tumor marker environment of HTC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:20:40.450 - 00:20:42.820 So what is a good way to image pH so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}20{:}42.889 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.654$ their sensor jeans that you can use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}20{:}45{.}654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}48{.}293$ their luminescent probes that you can NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:20:48.293 \rightarrow 00:20:50.137$ translate yourselves with reporters?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:20:50.140 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.316$ But what we in radiology aim for is NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:20:52.316 \rightarrow 00:20:53.676$ really the noninvasive measurement NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}20{:}53.676$ --> $00{:}20{:}56.322$ affects your seller pH and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}20{:}56{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}58{.}445$ where our msit based spectroscopic

 $00:20:58.445 \rightarrow 00:21:00.545$ birds methodology comes into place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:00.550 \rightarrow 00:21:02.435$ This has been established previously

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:02.435 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.320$ in brain tumors by Daniel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}21{:}04{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}05{.}955$ And we have translated this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:05.955 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.936$ essentially to deliver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:06.940 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.296$ This is NMR Spectra,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}21{:}08{.}296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}09{.}991$ scopic method that measures the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}21{:}09{.}991 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11{.}609$ redundant deviation of shifts and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}21{:}11{.}609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}13{.}830$ temperature in the region of the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}21{:}13.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.706$ and we can generate the 3D extracellular NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}21{:}15.706$ --> $00{:}21{:}17.975$ pH map that provides us with essentially NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:21:17.975 --> 00:21:19.379 a very accurate characterization

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}21{:}19{.}379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21{.}378$ of the tumor micro environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:21.380 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.702$ So if we look at the tumor in by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}21{:}23.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}25.981$ itself and measure it as compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:25.981 \rightarrow 00:21:27.931$ to the surrounding liver tissue,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481
- $00:21:27.940 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.076$ we see that the tumor in by itself

 $00{:}21{:}30.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}32.649$ has much lower pH and baseline in an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:21:32.649 --> 00:21:35.149 untreated fashion and the surrounding liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:35.150 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.092$ and that's important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:36.092 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.290$ And we know that this is probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:38.351 \rightarrow 00:21:40.710$ due to the overt Warburg effect and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}21{:}40.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}43.081$ over expression of glued one and lamb two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}21{:}43.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45.031$ as indicators for the micro environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:45.040 \rightarrow 00:21:47.616$ Now, what does taste actually do with it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:47.620 \rightarrow 00:21:49.600$ And this is where the surprising

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:49.600 \rightarrow 00:21:51.480$ result from our study came in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:21:51.480 --> 00:21:53.430 We looked at the effects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:53.430 \rightarrow 00:21:55.030$ embolization with little one day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:55.030 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.650$ one week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:55.650 \rightarrow 00:21:57.510$ and two weeks after we actually

00:21:57.510 --> 00:21:59.335 treat those tumors and measured the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}21{:}59{.}335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}01{.}833$ pH and as opposed to most of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}22{:}01{.}833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}03{.}975$ assumptions that taste is going to NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}22{:}03{.}975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06{.}106$ actually exacerbate the The Anti.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:22:06.106 \rightarrow 00:22:09.262$ Yeah, I mean the logic, the immune,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:22:09.262 --> 00:22:10.846 evasive tumor micro environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:22:10.850 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.830$ it actually did the opposite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}22{:}12.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}15.028$ So what we see is a normalization

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:22:15.028 --> 00:22:17.608 of the tumor pH towards almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:22:17.608 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.177$ delivered background levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:22:19.180 --> 00:22:20.281 Overtime after taste.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:22:20.281 --> 00:22:22.483 And that is an important finding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:22:22.483 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.628$ because that gives us an opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:22:24.628 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.877$ to use local regional therapy in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}22{:}26.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28.749$ preparation for successful anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:22:28.749 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.153$ checkpoint checkpoint inhibition

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481
- $00:22:30.153 \rightarrow 00:22:32.161$ therapy and we actually demonstrated

 $00:22:32.161 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.660$ this also with a more direct and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:22:34.730 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.704$ exacerbated model. Where we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:22:36.704 --> 00:22:38.814 Eustace and actually injected bicarbonate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}22{:}38{.}814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41{.}089$ directly with taste and what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}22{:}41.089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42.979$ demonstrated here is that on baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}22{:}42{.}979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45{.}258$ you have an acidic tumor with taste.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}22{:}45{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47{.}556$ You have a minor improvement of pH towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:22:47.556 \rightarrow 00:22:49.359$ normal over overnight essentially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:22:49.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.579$ but if you add bicarb you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}22{:}51{.}579$ --> $00{:}22{:}53{.}290$ immediately improve the pH almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}22{:}53{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55{.}372$ essentially to normal and yellow and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:22:55.372 \rightarrow 00:22:57.568$ green means higher levels than blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}22{:}57{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}59{.}964$ If we now look at, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:22:59.964 --> 00:23:02.016 H Lady are expression at baseline,

 $00:23:02.020 \rightarrow 00:23:04.660$ we see immune cell exclusion were really see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:04.660 \rightarrow 00:23:07.677$ all the immune cells in the tumor periphery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:07.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.300$ And with with taste alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:09.300 \rightarrow 00:23:11.554$ immediately at least one day after therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:23:11.560 --> 00:23:13.170 you don't really see a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:13.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.458$ lot of immune invasion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:14.460 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.080$ but with taste and bicarb,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:23:16.080 --> 00:23:18.018 essentially improving and elevating a pH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:23:18.020 --> 00:23:19.308 you see massive intratumoral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:23:19.308 --> 00:23:20.596 infiltration of immune cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}23{:}20.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}22.352$ and that's an important finding that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:22.352 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.626$ we made here in actually an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}23{:}24.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}27.062$ other thought that we should be thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}23{:}27{.}126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}29{.}317$ about as a group generally in oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.574$ and what kind of agents we use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:31.580 \rightarrow 00:23:34.487$ So when we use a key mobilization with oil,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036
- 00:23:34.490 --> 00:23:36.100 which is Lupito, we achieved,

 $00:23:36.100 \rightarrow 00:23:37.775$ achieves seemed to be achieving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:23:37.775 --> 00:23:38.780 very different effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.390$ Compared to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:39.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.000$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}23{:}40.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}42.220$ using an cuisine beads or Lumi beads,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}23{:}42{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}44{.}014$ which is another flavor of beads

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:44.014 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.305$ and that is important for us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}23{:}46{.}305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}47{.}681$ understand because through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:23:47.681 --> 00:23:49.324 uncle logic community taste was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:49.324 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.986$ taste for long period of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:23:50.990 --> 00:23:52.862 but in reality it is not because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}23{:}52{.}862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54{.}437$ know that different embolic particles NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}23{:}54{.}437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}56{.}880$ will induce very different in a logical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}23{:}56{.}937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}58{.}657$ effects in very different changes

 $00{:}23{:}58.657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00{.}377$ to the tumor marker environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:00.380 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.258$ And that is something that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:02.258 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.510$ really need to study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}24{:}03{.}510$ --> $00{:}24{:}05{.}624$ especially if we want to combine the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:24:05.624 --> 00:24:07.414 local regional the rapies with systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}24{:}07{.}414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}09{.}066$ the rapies and checkpoint inhibition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:24:09.070 --> 00:24:10.995 Now another level of investigation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:10.995 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.547$ that we took to token published

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}24{:}13.547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15.200$ recently in radiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}24{:}15{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17{.}128$ again close collaboration with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:24:17.128 --> 00:24:19.538 Daniel Komen Fahmideh Hyder but

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:19.538 \rightarrow 00:24:21.962$ also other groups like rip Ocala's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}24{:}21{.}962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}24{.}839$ group and also want to mention Ruth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.277$ Montgomery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:24:25.277 --> 00:24:26.151 and Joshi,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:26.151 \rightarrow 00:24:28.773$ who helped us generate this research.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036
- $00{:}24{:}28.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}30.530$ Here we wanted to demonstrate

 $00{:}24{:}30{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32{.}859$ that we can actually use molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:24:32.859 --> 00:24:34.907 dedicated inmar probes nanoprobes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}24{:}34{.}907 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}36{.}955$ labeled antibodies to visualize

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}24{:}36{.}955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}39{.}407$ the immune system surrounding those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:24:39.407 --> 00:24:41.747 tumors in vivo and noninvasively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}24{:}41{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}44{.}117$ So what we did is we use both iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:44.117 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.286$ oxide particles and the direct

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}24{:}46{.}286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}48{.}126$ injection of gadolinium labeled

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}24{:}48.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}50.396$ antibodies to visualize those tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}24{:}50{.}396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52{.}958$ and put those animals with those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}24{:}52{.}958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}55{.}005$ implanted tumors into them are what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}24{:}55{.}005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57{.}113$ we demonstrated was that we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}24{:}57{.}113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59{.}273$ able to clearly delineate microfusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:24:59.273 --> 00:25:01.465 immune cell infiltration and macrophage

 $00{:}25{:}01{.}465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}03{.}445$ and immune cell accumulation in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}25{:}03.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.418$ the periphery of those tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:25:05.418 --> 00:25:06.970 On T2 MRI sequences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}25{:}06{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}09{.}298$ and that was proven in histologically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}25{:}09{.}298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10{.}850$ with Prussian blue staining,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}25{:}10.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12.438$ which stands for iron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:25:12.438 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.820$ And we saw that the spines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:25:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.055$ the iron oxide particles really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}25{:}17.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}19.290$ deposited and CD11B positive macrophages

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}25{:}19{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21{.}262$ and we confirmed that later with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}25{:}21{.}262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}23{.}581$ CD 68 staining and the same thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}25{:}23.581 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}25.555$ is true for our induction injection

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}25{:}25{.}555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26{.}982$ of gadolinium labeled antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}25{:}26{.}982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}29{.}166$ You probably heard about this from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}25{:}29{.}166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31{.}059$ the molecular imaging using pet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:25:31.060 --> 00:25:33.349 but here we have a much Marsh

 $00:25:33.349 \rightarrow 00:25:35.166$ higher focal resolution where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}25{:}35{.}166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}36{.}698$ label antibodies with gadolinium

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}25{:}36.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}38.230$ and then inject them

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}25{:}38{.}297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}40{.}613$ directly into those tumors and through

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:25:40.613 --> 00:25:43.896 the artery, and we can see that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}25{:}43.896$ --> $00{:}25{:}45.890$ very specific accumulation and staining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}25{:}45{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}47{.}920$ Of immune cells and perforate the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:25:47.920 \rightarrow 00:25:50.607$ that we can now really locali characterize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}25{:}50{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}52{.}857$ and that's that's a big step forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}25{:}52{.}857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55{.}780$ because not only can we now image the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}25{:}55{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}58.019$ marker environment from a pH perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}25{:}58.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00.694$ we can also images from a presence

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:26:00.694 \rightarrow 00:26:02.820$ and functionality of immune cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}26{:}02{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}05{.}148$ So for the first part of the conclusions

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}26{:}05{.}148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}07{.}280$ are their appearance of, for example,

 $00:26:07.280 \rightarrow 00:26:09.290$ more than five targeted agents to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}26{:}09{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}11{.}520$ treat HTC will cause a left shift

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}26{:}11.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}13.559$ of systemic therapy in the BC else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:26:13.560 \rightarrow 00:26:16.088$ and we really must do some heavy lifting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}26{:}16.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}17.658$ It's up to art actually to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}26{:}17.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}19.194$ to put the collaboration between

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:26:19.194 \rightarrow 00:26:21.214$ the Interventional in college and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:26:21.214 --> 00:26:23.358 Immuno Oncology on the right track.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}26{:}23.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25.112$ From a science perspective we must

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:26:25.112 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.948$ also work more on non invasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}26{:}26{.}948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}29{.}069$ imaging modalities and we did so with

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}26{:}29.069 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30.852$ the extracellular pH that revealed

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}26{:}30.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}32.976$ that ace actually is an inducer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:26:32.980 --> 00:26:35.075 Profound changes to tumor marker

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:26:35.075 \rightarrow 00:26:37.170$ environment that may help immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:26:37.228 \rightarrow 00:26:38.448$ to be more effective,

00:26:38.450 --> 00:26:41.578 and pH is key for us as marker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}26{:}41.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}44.450$ but the changes in pH depends on

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}26{:}44{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46{.}670$ the embolic materials that we use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:26:46.670 --> 00:26:49.246 We also now know that C test would

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}26{:}49{.}246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}51{.}626$ lipiodol may achieve a partial reversal

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}26{:}51.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}54.128$ of the immune evasive tumor marker

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:26:54.206 \rightarrow 00:26:57.216$ environment on itself two weeks after taste,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}26{:}57{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}59{.}579$ and that we can use them are

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}26{:}59{.}579 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01{.}604$ instruments to actually detect immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:01.604 \rightarrow 00:27:03.964$ cells directly surrounding the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:27:03.970 --> 00:27:04.636 So in summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}27{:}04.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.627$ the for the first part of my conclusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:06.627 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.517$ is that local regional therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}27{:}08{.}517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10{.}029$ and intervention alone college.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}27{:}10.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11.848$ You must be established in combination

 $00:27:11.848 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.757$ with each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:27:12.760 - 00:27:14.664 We have to have a synergy between

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}27{:}14.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}16.547$ the two iOS interventional and Immuno

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:16.547 \rightarrow 00:27:19.728$ Oncology and it's up to us to work on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}27{:}19.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}21.185$ And that generates another bigger

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}27{:}21.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}23.240$ problem that we really workup on very

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}27{:}23.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}24.878$ closely with Jim Duncan and collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:24.878 \rightarrow 00:27:27.000$ with that group and biomedical engineering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}27{:}27{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28{.}746$ And this is the overwhelming growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}27{:}28.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}30.900$ of data and will be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}27{:}30{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}32{.}144$ this little bit later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:32.150 \rightarrow 00:27:34.566$ Tall is going to be presenting on that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}27{:}34{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37{.}066$ so we know that the data that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:37.066 \rightarrow 00:27:38.700$ have specifically in imaging and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}27{:}38.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40.584$ Cancer in general explode so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:40.584 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.828$ have more than 20 times more data

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826
- $00:27:42.828 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.664$ today than we have in 2013,

 $00:27:44.670 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.428$ and so that is a huge challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:47.430 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.240$ Anne, it's David already presented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}27{:}49{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50{.}684$ We have different flavors

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:27:50.684 --> 00:27:51.767 of chemo embolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:51.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.218$ embolization with drug alluding

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}27{:}53.218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54.304$ Beatson Radio mobilization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:54.310 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.356$ We have a variety of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:27:56.356 --> 00:27:58.178 methodologies in ways how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:27:58.178 --> 00:27:59.738 can introduce tumor necrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:59.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.370$ cold heat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}28{:}00{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}02{.}575$ and electrocution in a way we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:28:02.575 --> 00:28:04.583 five different and more coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}28{:}04{.}583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}06{.}613$ up with systemic the rapy agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}28{:}06{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08{.}540$ all of which pose different changes

 $00:28:08.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.600$ to the tumor micro environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}28{:}10.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.740$ So we went overwhelming burden of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:28:12.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.465$ complex data that actually may

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:28:14.465 \rightarrow 00:28:16.030$ impede effective clinical practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}28{:}16.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}18.040$ We need to address that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:28:18.040 --> 00:28:18.682 And so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}28{:}18.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20.608$ how do we transform the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:28:20.608 --> 00:28:21.930 from burden to value?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}28{:}21{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}24{.}837$ We know that we have an increase in data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:28:24.840 \rightarrow 00:28:27.108$ We have very complex medical health records.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:28:27.110 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.730$ We have genomics sequencing information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:28:28.730 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.614$ We have the availability of complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}28{:}30{.}614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}31{.}870$ computer based algorithms and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:28:31.924 \rightarrow 00:28:33.590$ now the availability, availability of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}28{:}33{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35{.}540$ computational power at a lower cost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:28:35.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.544$ And that is the space where

 $00:28:37.544 \rightarrow 00:28:39.100$ artificial intelligence now comes in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}28{:}39{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41{.}038$ And this is essentially data driven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:28:41.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.912$ Learning that deep down can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}28{:}42{.}912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}44{.}532$ explained as machine learning that NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}28{:}44{.}532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}46{.}434$ recognizes trends and objects in pre NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:28:46.434 --> 00:28:48.169 labeled patterns or deep learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:28:48.170 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.403$ and tall is going to be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:28:50.403 \rightarrow 00:28:51.840$ more about that where?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}28{:}51{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53{.}838$ We use those networks to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:28:53.838 --> 00:28:55.928 learn from data without pre labeling

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}28{:}55{.}928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}58{.}028$ the outcome and that may hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:28:58.028 --> 00:28:59.960 increase the workflow efficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:28:59.960 --> 00:29:01.695 improve our diagnostic accuracy really

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:29:01.695 --> 00:29:02.736 enabled predictive recommendations

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}29{:}02{.}736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}04{.}248$ for us Taylor Personalized

 $00:29:04.248 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.792$ The rapeutic recommendations and help

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:29:05.792 --> 00:29:07.336 us introduce Precision Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}29{:}07{.}340$ --> $00{:}29{:}09{.}993$ but caution is still very important and NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}29{:}09{.}993 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}13{.}133$ AI is being hyped and I think we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:13.133 \rightarrow 00:29:15.830$ to approach it in a gradual fashion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}29{:}15.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}19.374$ Really see what is doable and what's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}29{:}19{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21{.}624$ So how can this advanced data

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:21.624 \rightarrow 00:29:22.746$ analysis help us?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}29{:}22.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}25.193$ It can improve the diagnosis from automation

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}29{:}25{.}193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}27{.}229$ and introduction of novel biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}29{:}27{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}29{.}708$ It can also help us make the rapeutic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}29{:}29{.}708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}31.618$ decisions and probably introduce a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}29{:}31.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33.588$ level of better personalized care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:33.590 \rightarrow 00:29:36.082$ Hopefully we will be able to ultimately

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:29:36.082 --> 00:29:37.710 improve Inter procedural guidance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:37.710 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.580$ especially with now with the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- 00:29:39.580 --> 00:29:41.450 introduction of robotics into IR,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00{:}29{:}41.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43.320$ and specifically follow up imaging.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00:29:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.576$ We hope that in the realm of tumor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00{:}29{:}45{.}576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}47{.}644$ response and patient patient outcome
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00:29:47.644 \rightarrow 00:29:50.044$ prediction directly after the therapies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00{:}29{:}50.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}51.738$ those technologies will help
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00:29:51.738 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.270$ us put all this data together.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00:29:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.633$ Now,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00:29:54.633 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.448$ prior to introducing the other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00:29:56.448 \rightarrow 00:29:58.550$ speakers and talking and giving
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00{:}29{:}58{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}00{.}317$ specific abstract presentations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00:30:00.320 \dashrightarrow 00:30:02.546$ The two larger topics that I presented.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00:30:02.550 \dashrightarrow 00:30:04.934$ I want to really thank the sources of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00:30:04.934 \dashrightarrow 00:30:06.645$ funding inspiration mentoring in our NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00:30:06.645 \rightarrow 00:30:08.038$ community. Already mentioned Drake.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:08.038 \rightarrow 00:30:10.460$ I wanted to thank Jim sincerely and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:10.524 \rightarrow 00:30:12.716$ Todd and and David who joined the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:12.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.622$ Also great partners of mine are

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:30:14.622 --> 00:30:16.539 Ruth and Nick and I really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:16.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.808$ really appreciate those collaborations

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:17.808 \rightarrow 00:30:19.076$ that are increasingly interdisciplinary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}30{:}19.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20.976$ but at the same time I wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:20.976 \rightarrow 00:30:22.825$ also point out that mean radiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}30{:}22.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}24.475$ have a very large biomedical

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:30:24.475 --> 00:30:26.079 imaging collaborative network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}30{:}26.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}28.618$ and I want to thank from Eden, Daniel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:28.618 \rightarrow 00:30:30.844$ and and Larry and everyone mentioned here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.750$ I'll say I'll.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:30:31.750 --> 00:30:32.650 From White Rick,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}30{:}32{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}34{.}288$ who have been instrumental over the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:34.288 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.797$ five years in my personal development

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00:30:35.797 \rightarrow 00:30:37.780$ and also in the development of the lab,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00:30:37.780 \dashrightarrow 00:30:39.488$ and I think that is the environment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00{:}30{:}39{.}488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}41{.}548$ that we need and we need to highlight
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00:30:41.548 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.093$ that to the Cancer Center and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00:30:43.093 \rightarrow 00:30:44.605$ introduce it to all of you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- 00:30:44.610 --> 00:30:46.554 And I'm very happy to do so here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- 00:30:46.560 --> 00:30:46.769 And,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00:30:46.769 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.187$ you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00{:}30{:}47{.}187 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}49{.}539$ at this point I would like to thank on behalf
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00{:}30{:}49{.}539 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}51{.}219$ of the Interventional College lab which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00:30:51.219 \rightarrow 00:30:53.175$ I'm privileged to Co direct with David and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00:30:53.175 \rightarrow 00:30:55.100$ all of our sponsors and really say that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- 00:30:55.100 --> 00:30:55.586 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00{:}30{:}55{.}586$ --> $00{:}30{:}57{.}044$ there's one thing across all this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924
- $00{:}30{:}57.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}58.758$ There is a single light of science,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.980$ then to brighten it anyways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.020$ to brighten it everywhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:31:01.020 --> 00:31:03.270 This is our group model by Isaac Asimov.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}31{:}03{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}04{.}563$ And with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}31{:}04{.}563 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07{.}149$ I'd like to introduce two or

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:31:07.149 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.159$ students that work with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:31:09.160 --> 00:31:09.598 First,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:31:09.598 --> 00:31:10.474 Jessica Santana.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:31:10.474 --> 00:31:13.102 She's going to be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}31{:}13.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}14.743$ taking the molecular imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:31:14.743 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.368$ really to next level in our new

NOTE Confidence: 0.8340251

 $00:31:17.439 \dashrightarrow 00:31:20.314$ animal models, and tall is going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8340251

00:31:20.314 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:31:22.635 talking about his role as Biomedical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8340251

00:31:22.635 --> 00:31:24.627 Engineering graduate student within

NOTE Confidence: 0.8340251

00:31:24.627 --> 00:31:27.563 the bio medical imaging Sciences on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8340251

 $00:31:27.563 \rightarrow 00:31:29.743$ focusing on predicting outcome and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8340251
- $00:31:29.743 \rightarrow 00:31:31.940$ recurrence of HTC after intervention.

 $00{:}31{:}31{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33{.}700$ And I'd like to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8340251

 $00:31:33.700 \rightarrow 00:31:35.386$ And now the stop sharing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8340251

 $00:31:35.386 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.230$ screen and give it to Jessica.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80341524

00:31:45.710 --> 00:31:47.226 Hi all, I'm Jessica.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80341524

00:31:47.226 --> 00:31:49.500 I am a master level demonologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.80341524

 $00{:}31{:}49{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}51{.}864$ Anna graduate student at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80341524

 $00{:}31{:}51{.}864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}53{.}696$ Yale Interventional College Lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.80341524

 $00:31:53.696 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.130$ and I'm very excited today to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80341524

00:31:56.130 --> 00:31:58.092 be presenting my work, titled,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80341524

 $00{:}31{:}58.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}59.388$ Noninvasive molecular imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.80341524

 $00{:}31{:}59{.}388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}01{.}116$ allows characterization of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80341524

 $00:32:01.116 \rightarrow 00:32:02.792$ immune response following hepatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.80341524

 $00{:}32{:}02.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}05.066$ radio frequency Ablation in a mouse model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:07.350 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.416$ Nothing to disclose,

00:32:08.416 --> 00:32:10.504 so giving you a brief overview

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

00:32:10.504 --> 00:32:11.830 on hepatocellular carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:11.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.750$ So as we know, this is a classical

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

00:32:14.750 --> 00:32:16.191 inflammation associated Carcinoma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

00:32:16.191 --> 00:32:18.438 now is the third most common cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

00:32:18.438 --> 00:32:20.778 of cancer related death worldwide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:20.780 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.078$ With the majority of patients being

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:23.078 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.022$ treated with locoregional therapy as

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00{:}32{:}25{.}022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}27{.}116$ they may alternative option over surgery. NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:27.120 \dashrightarrow 00:32:29.365$ However, the problem with locoregional NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:29.365 \rightarrow 00:32:32.043$ therapy is that a significant fraction

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00{:}32{:}32{.}043 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}34.899$ of patients they tend to recur and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00{:}32{:}34{.}899 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}37{.}305$ causes for recurrence can vary a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:37.310 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.268$ And it has been suggested, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00{:}32{:}40{.}268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}42{.}902$ that the immune response to radio frequency

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:42.902 \rightarrow 00:32:45.208$ ablation can play both both roles.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324
- $00:32:45.210 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.073$ An Protogenic Side effects as well as

 $00{:}32{:}48.073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}51.034$ abscop al effects that in turn can positively

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00{:}32{:}51{.}034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}53{.}530$ impact the immune response to cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:53.530 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.002$ However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:54.002 \rightarrow 00:32:56.834$ we have currently no instruments that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

00:32:56.834 --> 00:32:59.871 would allows us to non invasively

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:59.871 \rightarrow 00:33:01.919$ monitor such immune response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:33:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.280$ So the purpose of this work is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00{:}33{:}04{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06{.}556$ develop a noninvasive molecular imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:33:06.556 \rightarrow 00:33:09.236$ instruments to visualize such immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:33:09.236 \dashrightarrow 00:33:12.380$ response to thermal injury following RFA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:33:14.430 --> 00:33:16.880 So our group has built a translation

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:33:16.880 --> 00:33:18.881 of mouse model of radiofrequency

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:33:18.881 --> 00:33:21.954 ablation as a platform to develop and

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:33:21.954 --> 00:33:24.360 validate mirbase dimona probes for in

 $00:33:24.360 \rightarrow 00:33:26.790$ vivo imaging of the immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}33{:}26.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}29.490$ And based on our findings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}33{:}29{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}33{.}018$ we have observed that there is a after

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:33:33.018 \rightarrow 00:33:35.188$ radiofrequency ablation and normal liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:33:35.190 \rightarrow 00:33:38.263$ There is a strong time dependent local

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:33:38.263 \dashrightarrow 00:33:40.561$ infiltration of immune cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}33{:}40{.}561 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}42{.}826$ or chestrates the tissue healing process

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}33{:}42.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}45.753$ and there is an overtime transitional

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}33{:}45.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}48.729$ zone of those immune cell infiltrate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}33{:}48{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}51{.}160$ So having a specific cell population

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}33{:}51{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}53{.}291$ locally present at the transitional

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}33{:}53{.}291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55{.}925$ zone between the necrotic area and

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}33{:}55{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}58{.}367$ the normal liver parenchyma at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:33:58.367 --> 00:34:00.467 specific time point serves us as

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:34:00.467 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.485$ an illegal platform to build and

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:34:03.485 \rightarrow 00:34:06.150$ validate our dedicated immune probes.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- 00:34:06.150 --> 00:34:08.175 So guiding you through our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- 00:34:08.175 --> 00:34:08.985 experimental design.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00:34:08.990 \rightarrow 00:34:13.040$ We have a bladed and normal liver of a mouse,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00{:}34{:}13.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15.000$ and after characterizing a large
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00:34:15.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.960$ infiltration of a specific cell
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- 00:34:17.025 --> 00:34:19.110 population is specific time point.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00{:}34{:}19{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}21{.}425$ We have established a dedicated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00:34:21.425 \rightarrow 00:34:23.740$ gadolinium labeled antibody that was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00{:}34{:}23{.}817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}25{.}617$ delivered systemically to target
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00:34:25.617 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.317$ a specific cell population at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00:34:28.387 \dashrightarrow 00:34:30.567$ chosen time Point Post Ablation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00{:}34{:}30{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}33{.}202$ And a second imuna probe also used
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00:34:33.202 \rightarrow 00:34:34.330$ in this study,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00{:}34{:}34{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}36{.}586$ is the small iron oxide particles,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00:34:36.590 \dashrightarrow 00:34:38.956$ and we know that RN particles they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}34{:}38{.}956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}41{.}474$ have been largely used in the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}34{:}41{.}474$ --> $00{:}34{:}44{.}135$ setting as the dark contrast agent and NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:34:44.135 --> 00:34:46.360 once they are delivered systemically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:34:46.360 --> 00:34:49.300 they are able to be phagocytosed by

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:34:49.300 --> 00:34:51.352 circulating phagocytes that once they

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:34{:}51.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}53.512$ migrate to the site of inflammation NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}34{:}53{.}512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55{.}635$ they can cause a local deposition

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:34:55.635 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.023$ of Iran and this study we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}34{:}58.023 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}59.555$ demonstrated that both gadolinium

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}34{:}59{.}555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}01{.}087$ labeled antibodies inspire probes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}35{:}01{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}05{.}330$ They were able to be imaged using a

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:35:05.330 $-\!>$ 00:35:08.298 higher resolution Mr animal scanner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:35:08.300 --> 00:35:11.051 So in our first setting of experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}35{:}11.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}13.003$ we have demonstrated that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:35:13.003 --> 00:35:15.121 ablation zone itself can be easily

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}35{:}15{.}121 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}17{.}158$ imaged on a 9.4 Tesla Burger.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00:35:17.160 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.701$ So here on your left you have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00:35:19.701 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.617$ the pictures taken seconds after
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00:35:21.617 \rightarrow 00:35:24.585$ ablation to show you or give you an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00:35:24.666 \dashrightarrow 00:35:27.158$ idea of how the ablation site looks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- 00:35:27.158 -> 00:35:29.541 like an one week post Ablation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00{:}35{:}29{.}541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32{.}163$ and we have delivered pure gadolinium
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00:35:32.163 \rightarrow 00:35:34.041$ systemically and we ran a T1
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00:35:34.041 \rightarrow 00:35:35.890$ weighted MRI sequence and we could
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00:35:35.890 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.538$ see precisely the Ablation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00:35:37.540 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.853$ Side and on your right we have an ex
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00:35:39.853 \rightarrow 00:35:42.439$ vivo confirmation of what we see on them,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00:35:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.240$ right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- 00:35:44.240 --> 00:35:46.160 But you might have been wondering
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00{:}35{:}46{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}48{.}369$ why exactly 7 days post ablation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00{:}35{:}48{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50{.}778$ So to the best of our knowledge,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:35:50.780 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.188$ we know that our our thing doost

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}35{:}53.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}54.220$ thermal tissue injury,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:35:54.220 \rightarrow 00:35:56.746$ largely contributes to a strong time

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:35:56.746 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.806$ dependent innate immune response at

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:35:58.806 \dashrightarrow 00:36:00.684$ the margins of the necrotic zone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}36{:}00{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}02{.}770$ And according to our findings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}36{:}02.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}04.685$ we have observed the largest

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:36:04.685 --> 00:36:06.600 accumulation of city 68 positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:36:06.665 \rightarrow 00:36:08.990$ macrophages in the transitional zone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:36:08.990 \rightarrow 00:36:11.070$ precisely seven days post ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:36:11.070 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.062$ So this is the time point we decided

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:36:15.062 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.329$ to base our experiments on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:36:18.330 \rightarrow 00:36:21.445$ So we have decided to deliver systemically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:36:21.450 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.306$ Those aren't oxide particles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:36:23.306 \rightarrow 00:36:26.090$ Seven days post Ablation and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}36{:}26{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}29{.}152$ have confirmed that there is a local

- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00:36:29.152 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.558$ deposition of those iron oxide
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00{:}36{:}31{.}558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}34{.}178$ particles exactly 24 hours after
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00{:}36{:}34{.}178$ --> $00{:}36{:}36{.}502$ systemic delivery. At the transitional zone.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- $00{:}36{:}36{.}502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39{.}431$ So here we have an ex Vivo Prussian
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001
- 00:36:39.431 --> 00:36:40.379 blue staining,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207
- 00:36:40.380 --> 00:36:42.220 although one week post ablation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207
- $00:36:42.220 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.060$ mouse liver 24 hours after
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207
- 00:36:44.060 --> 00:36:45.900 systemic delivery of Spions and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207
- $00:36:45.963 \rightarrow 00:36:47.738$ when we image those animals,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207
- $00:36:47.740 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.954$ we could demonstrate any Viva local
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207
- $00{:}36{:}49{.}954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}51{.}430$ deposition of those phagocytes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207
- $00{:}36{:}51{.}491 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52{.}887$ at the transitional zone.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207
- $00:36:52.890 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.229$ So here on your left you have a teacher
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207
- $00{:}36{:}56{.}229 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}59{.}148$ weighted MRI of a one week post Ablation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207
- $00:36:59.150 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.760$ 24 hours after systemic delivery
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207

 $00:37:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.857$ of Spions and as a Redpath

NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207

 $00{:}37{:}02.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}05.395$ correlation we confirm the ex vivo

NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207

 $00:37:05.395 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.360$ specifically position of the spine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207

 $00{:}37{:}07{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}08{.}900$ At the transitional zone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207

 $00{:}37{:}08{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}10{.}440$ 3 min inflorescence and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207

 $00:37:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.830$ Prussian blue staining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}37{:}14{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}16{.}600$ And As for our gadolinium based

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:37:16.600 \rightarrow 00:37:19.460$ immuno probes, we have used a anti CD

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}37{:}19{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21{.}740$ 68 antibody tagged with gadolinium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:37:21.740 --> 00:37:24.351 So after XP will observing a massive NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:37:24.351 --> 00:37:26.361 infiltration of city 60 positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:37:26.361 --> 00:37:28.421 macrophages in the prohibition of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:37:28.421 --> 00:37:30.499 zone we delivered gadolinium tagged

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}37{:}30{.}499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}32{.}815$ with city 68 systemically and was

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:37:32.815 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.665$ able to see a specific position of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:37:35.665 \dashrightarrow 00:37:38.330$ those cells in the Prohibition of rim.

 $00:37:38.330 \rightarrow 00:37:43.046$ So here on your left you have the Ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}37{:}43.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}45.726$ Cite the picture taking seconds after

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:37:45.726 \rightarrow 00:37:47.910$ ablation showing the ablation site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:37:47.910 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.114$ And we also have 81 way to MRI when

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}37{:}51{.}114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}55{.}052$ we post Ablation After 24 hours with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:37:55.052 \rightarrow 00:37:58.690$ gadolinium city 68 delivered systemically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:37:58.690 --> 00:38:02.092 And on your left you have the picture taken,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:38:02.100 - 00:38:04.932 ex Vivo confirming of what we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:38:04.932 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.820$ seeing on the MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:38:06.820 \rightarrow 00:38:09.610$ And to confirm that this parable

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}38{:}09{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}12{.}124$ itional darkroom is seen precisely

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}38{:}12{.}124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}14{.}979$ in animals receiving those city,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:38:14.980 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.040$ 68 tagged to 68 antibodies tagged

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}38{:}18.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}19.060$ with gadolinium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}38{:}19{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}23{.}155$ We also ran T1 weighted MRI with

- 00:38:23.155 --> 00:38:24.325 pure gadolinium.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221
- $00:38:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.434$ So on your lap to have the baseline
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221
- $00:38:27.434 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.320$ and it comparison,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221
- $00{:}38{:}29{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}32{.}648$ we have a T1 weighted MRI with pure
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221
- $00{:}38{:}32{.}648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}35{.}454$ gallium injected and we have confirmed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221
- $00{:}38{:}35{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}38{.}286$ that only with animals receiving city NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221
- $00:38:38.373 \dashrightarrow 00:38:41.565$ 68 tagged with get alignment we have NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221
- 00:38:41.565 --> 00:38:43.888 this precise parable itional rim
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221
- 00:38:43.888 --> 00:38:46.732 showing a local infiltration of CD
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221
- $00:38:46.732 \dashrightarrow 00:38:49.490$ 16 positive macrophages and therefore NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221
- $00:38:49.490 \rightarrow 00:38:52.928$ in vivo visualization of those cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221
- $00{:}38{:}52{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}55{.}478$ We have also confirmed the ex vivo
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221
- $00:38:55.478 \rightarrow 00:38:57.808$ a specific labeling of immune cells
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221
- 00:38:57.808 --> 00:38:59.748 using imaging mass atama tree.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221
- $00{:}38{:}59{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}02{.}030$ So he ran on your left.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221
- $00:39:02.030 \rightarrow 00:39:04.094$ We have the T1 weighted MRI of one

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221
- $00:39:04.094 \rightarrow 00:39:06.382$ week post ablation after gadolinium

 $00:39:06.382 \rightarrow 00:39:08.086$ labeled antibody administration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:08.090 \rightarrow 00:39:10.962$ where you can see the rim of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:10.962 \rightarrow 00:39:12.939$ local deposition of the infiltrating

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:12.939 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.909$ cells an on your right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:39:14.910 --> 00:39:17.493 We have the X visual confirmation with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}39{:}17{.}493 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}20{.}062$ the image Ng Masama tree of local

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:20.062 \rightarrow 00:39:23.090$ deposition of the sea to 68 macrophages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:23.090 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.890$ In the transitional zone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:25.890 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.555$ So as the main conclusions

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:39:27.555 --> 00:39:29.220 and findings of this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}39{:}29{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}31{.}285$ it tells us that both spines and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:31.285 \rightarrow 00:39:32.598$ Catalina based molecular imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}39{:}32{.}598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}34{.}074$ allows for specific labeling

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:34.074 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.550$ of local immune infiltrate,
$00:39:35.550 \dashrightarrow 00:39:37.734$ and this is also a translation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:37.734 \dashrightarrow 00:39:39.834$ study with the proof of principle

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:39:39.834 --> 00:39:42.382 for the visibility of the MRI imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:39:42.455 --> 00:39:44.534 for of macrophages on a 9 point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:39:44.540 --> 00:39:46.236 For Tesla MRI scanners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:39:46.236 --> 00:39:48.780 And also tells us that noninvasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:39:48.858 --> 00:39:51.228 in vivo detection of the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}39{:}51{.}228$ --> $00{:}39{:}53{.}433$ system can be achieved using

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}39{:}53{.}433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}55{.}080$ dedicated immune probes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}39{:}55{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}58{.}620$ An ask for our future perspective

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:58.620 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.390$ and clinical application.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}40{:}00{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}03{.}117$ We can have this as a useful tool to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}40{:}03.117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.512$ study and characterize the interplay

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}40{:}05{.}512$ --> $00{:}40{:}08{.}037$ between the tumor micro environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}40{:}08.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}10.559$ and the cell opinion selectivity in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:40:10.559 \rightarrow 00:40:13.310$ vivo and also gives us the possibility

 $00:40:13.310 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.910$ to integrate complimentary molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:40:14.910 --> 00:40:17.360 MRI imaging of the immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}40{:}17.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}19.496$ And let's say the extra solar pH

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:40:19.496 --> 00:40:21.536 liver cancer model for simultaneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}40{:}21.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}23.712$ characterization of this immuno

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:40:23.712 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.344$ metabolic cross dock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}40{:}25{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28{.}158$ This also serves us as a platform to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:40:28.158 \rightarrow 00:40:30.248$ study strategies for local modulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}40{:}30{.}248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}32{.}000$ of the immune microenvironment

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}40{:}32.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}34.084$ towards a moon are permissive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:40:34.084 --> 00:40:36.541 phenotype and can also serves us as

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}40{:}36{.}541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}39{.}500$ if they were gnostic immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}40{:}39{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}41{.}804$ So I'd like to thank you for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}40{:}41{.}804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}43{.}359$ opportunity to present this work

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:40:43.359 \rightarrow 00:40:45.501$ as well as the yield bio medical

 $00{:}40{:}45{.}566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}48{.}482$ imaging Department. And now I'll give

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746653

 $00:40:48.482 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.550$ you the word to my friend, tall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81832206

 $00{:}40{:}58{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}00{.}760$ Hello everyone, my name is Charles NOTE Confidence: 0.81832206

 $00{:}41{:}00.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}03.246$ early and I'm graduate student in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81832206

 $00{:}41{:}03{.}246$ --> $00{:}41{:}04{.}946$ the Biomedical Engineering program NOTE Confidence: 0.81832206

00:41:04.946 --> 00:41:08.699 here at Yale and I'm a member of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81832206

 $00{:}41{:}08.699 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}10.684$ Interventional Oncology lab since 2018 NOTE Confidence: 0.81832206

00:41:10.690 --> 00:41:13.280 and today I'm going to be presenting

NOTE Confidence: 0.81832206

 $00{:}41{:}13{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}16{.}238$ our project on deep learning use to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81832206

00:41:16.238 --> 00:41:18.453 predict disease recurrence of HTC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81832206

 $00:41:18.460 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.100$ based on NMR imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:41:22.860 \rightarrow 00:41:24.966$ So a little bit about ATC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:41:24.970 \rightarrow 00:41:27.770$ so HTC is the primary tumor of delivered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}41{:}27.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}29.525$ It usually develops in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:41:29.525 --> 00:41:31.280 setting of chronic liver disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:41:31.280 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.194$ and while the diagnosis of ATC

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00:41:33.194 \rightarrow 00:41:35.499$ could be made by imaging alone,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}41{:}35{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}38{.}110$ sometimes a biopsy may be required
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00:41:38.110 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.850$ to support this diagnosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- 00:41:39.850 --> 00:41:44.135 A little bit statistics every 40 seconds at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- 00:41:44.135 --> 00:41:47.890 patient diagnosed with ATC in 2020 alone,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}41{:}47{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}50{.}565$ the death rate is approximately
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00:41:50.565 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.705$ the 800,000 deaths worldwide.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00:41:52.710 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.448$ ATC may recur and there is no
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}41{:}56{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}58{.}796$ significant imaging biomarker or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00:41:58.796 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.056$ clinical biomarkers to reliably predict
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00:42:02.056 \rightarrow 00:42:05.660$ recurrence before location to treatment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}42{:}05.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}07.560$ One of the treatment is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}42{:}07{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}08{.}320$ liver transplantation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- 00:42:08.320 --> 00:42:10.220 It helps to decrease the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00:42:10.220 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.740$ chance of disease recurrence.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

- $00:42:11.740 \rightarrow 00:42:12.120$ However,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}42{:}12.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}15.540$ we are all aware to the shortness of organs.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00:42:15.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.060$ Therefore, 2 criterias of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}42{:}17.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}19.340$ allocations of levers are being used.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- 00:42:19.340 --> 00:42:22.000 One of them is the Milan criteria,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}42{:}22{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}23{.}938$ which was presented in 1996 and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}42{:}23{.}938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}26{.}228$ the other one was presented by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- 00:42:26.228 --> 00:42:28.076 the University of California,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- 00:42:28.080 --> 00:42:29.980 San Francisco on 2001 basically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- 00:42:29.980 --> 00:42:31.500 extended this Milan criteria.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}42{:}31{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}34{.}384$ Both of them are based on low
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}42{:}34{.}384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}36{.}432$ level handcrafted features such as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}42{:}36{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}38{.}640$ tumor size and number of tumors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00:42:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.080$ Ann even dog.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00:42:40.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.520$ Using these criteria,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00:42:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.614$ we are seeing 15 to 20% of transplanted

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00:42:44.614 \rightarrow 00:42:48.470$ patient to occur within the 1st five years.

00:42:48.470 --> 00:42:49.970 So as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:49.970 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.845$ these two criterias location criterias

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:51.845 \rightarrow 00:42:54.308$ has suffered from false positives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:54.310 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.198$ and our hypothesis is that there is more

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}42{:}57.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}59.310$ information in radiological images,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:59.310 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.978$ specifically MRI that correlate

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:43:00.978 --> 00:43:02.229 with HTC recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}43{:}02{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}04{.}498$ Then the naked eye could detect

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:04.498 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.571$ and the way we're going to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:07.571 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.791$ test this hypothesis is by using

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:43:09.875 --> 00:43:12.305 deep learning algorithm to extract

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}43{:}12.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.804$ features from MRI images and try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}43{:}15.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}20.060$ use them to correlate to ATC re occurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:43:20.060 --> 00:43:22.083 And a little bit before I start

 $00:43:22.083 \rightarrow 00:43:23.720$ talking about our methodology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:43:23.720 --> 00:43:25.814 I will talk about about data

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}43{:}25.814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}27.210$ driven predictive modeling and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:43:27.273 --> 00:43:29.049 a little bit of deep learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}43{:}29{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}31{.}048$ So when we talk about data

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:31.048 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.047$ driven predictive model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:32.050 \rightarrow 00:43:34.374$ we usually refer to two different variables,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:34.380 \rightarrow 00:43:36.100$ the Explanatory variable and explain

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:43:36.100 --> 00:43:38.866 part of our target and we want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}43{:}38.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}40.174$ take these explanatory variables

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:43:40.174 --> 00:43:42.160 to feed them into predicted model

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:43:42.160 --> 00:43:44.365 which will give up the outcome of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:44.370 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.035$ our target building which could

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:46.035 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.367$ be recurrent or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:47.370 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.842$ And this predictive models

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}43{:}48.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}49.946$ has different shapes.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- 00:43:49.950 --> 00:43:52.236 And algorithms which you may hurt.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}43{:}52{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}54{.}520$ For example your networks this season.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}43{:}54{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}55{.}663$ Trees as VM's.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- 00:43:55.663 --> 00:43:56.806 All in all,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}43{:}56{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}59{.}323$ these algorithms that try to do the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}43{:}59{.}323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}02{.}489$ same thing they try to create or to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}44{:}02{.}489 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}04{.}499$ estimate a mathematical function that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}44{:}04{.}572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}06{.}897$ correlated this input into doubt.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}44{:}06{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}09{.}114$ And there are two main elements
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00:44:09.114 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.590$ of this estimation process.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00:44:10.590 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.270$ One of them were trying to see
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- 00:44:12.270 --> 00:44:14.034 what is the mathematical element
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}44{:}14{.}034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}16{.}002$ interaction between our features
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}44{:}16.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}17.970$ and the mathematical operations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027
- $00{:}44{:}17{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}19{.}810$ That's going to be incorporated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}44{:}19{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}21{.}282$ within these mathematical function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}44{:}21{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}21{.}912$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}44{:}21.912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}23.778$ the multiplication of X1 and X2

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:44:23.778 \rightarrow 00:44:25.831$ and the second thing we're trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}44{:}25.831 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}27.877$ to estimate the weight of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}44{:}27{.}943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}30{.}518$ mathematical elements within this function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:44:30.520 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.464$ which could be seen here as better 0,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:44:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.690$ better one, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}44{:}35{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}37{.}867$ And I'll give a short and very

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:44:37.867 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.271$ simplistic example of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}44{:}39{.}271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}40{.}899$ data driven predicted model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:44:40.900 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.200$ So here we are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:44:42.200 --> 00:44:44.150 having having only one variable Explanatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

00:44:44.213 --> 00:44:46.789 Variable, which is the age and we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:44:46.789 \rightarrow 00:44:48.920$ trying to predict the disease patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:44:48.920 \rightarrow 00:44:51.650$ is positive or negative to the disease.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486
- $00:44:51.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.426$ So for example in the right
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486
- 00:44:53.426 --> 00:44:55.940 side of the screen you can see
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486
- $00{:}44{:}55{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}57{.}197$ this mathematical function.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486
- $00:44:57.200 \rightarrow 00:44:59.640$ Why would be considered positive if the age
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486
- $00:44:59.640 \rightarrow 00:45:02.060$ is greater than better otherwise negative?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486
- $00:45:02.060 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.214$ And as we get more and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486
- $00:45:04.214 \rightarrow 00:45:05.650$ more examples we could.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486
- $00{:}45{:}05{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}07{.}030$ Estimate this better.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486
- $00:45:07.030 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.950$ For example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486
- $00:45:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.614$ here we are estimating better to be 60,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486
- $00:45:11.620 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.430$ but as more data comes we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486
- 00:45:15.430 --> 00:45:17.335 can update modifier.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486
- $00{:}45{:}17{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}20{.}538$ Wait and basically change our function.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486
- $00{:}45{:}20{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22{.}542$ Ann everything is OK till we have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486
- $00{:}45{:}22.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}24.852$ a data example that prevent us from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:24.852 \rightarrow 00:45:26.928$ creating one to use this mathematical

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

00:45:26.995 --> 00:45:28.845 function to a separate between

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:28.845 \dashrightarrow 00:45:30.695$ between these two groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:30.700 \rightarrow 00:45:33.526$ So here we need to incorporate a new feature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:33.530 \rightarrow 00:45:36.050$ for example wait when we are doing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}45{:}36{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}38{.}730$ We can create a more complex function to NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:38.730 \rightarrow 00:45:40.726$ separate these two groups and basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:40.726 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.300$ this is what we're going to try to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}45{:}43.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}45.924$ Today we're going to try to find the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}45{:}45{.}924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}48{.}790$ features that will allow us to separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}45{:}48.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}50.925$ between the recurrence in Nonrecurring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:50.930 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.050$ When we're talking about deep

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:53.050 \rightarrow 00:45:54.746$ learning where basically usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:54.746 \rightarrow 00:45:56.420$ refer to neural networks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}45{:}56{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}58{.}940$ and you run networks is again an

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:58.940 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.514$ algorithm that allows us to approximate

 $00:46:01.514 \rightarrow 00:46:03.410$ almost any mathematical function

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}46{:}03.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}05.699$ that correlate input into output,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:46:05.700 \rightarrow 00:46:09.486$ and it does that by finding

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:46:09.486 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.379$ interaction between features.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

00:46:11.380 --> 00:46:13.080 Convolutional neural network allow

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}46{:}13.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}15.630$ us to search for petitive patterns

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}46{:}15.699 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}17.655$ within an image and then correlate

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}46{:}17.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}20.011$ them to the output variable so it

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:46:20.011 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.300$ tries to find high level features such

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}46{:}22.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}25.204$ as edges and the more deeper we go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}46{:}25{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}27{.}664$ the more complex the feature become

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}46{:}27.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}30.855$ and allow us to separate between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}46{:}30.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}33.795$ groups that were trying to suffer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

00:46:33.800 --> 00:46:36.146 A little bit of our data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

00:46:36.150 --> 00:46:40.070 so we had 120 patients 18 years old or older,

 $00:46:40.070 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.030$ 88 minutes and 32 females.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}46{:}42.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}44.772$ All of them were diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:46:44.772 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.839$ HTC between there is 2005 to 2018.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:46:47.840 \rightarrow 00:46:51.564$ So the patient went into MRI imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}46{:}51{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}54{.}486$ then were diagnosed with HTC and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:46:54.486 \rightarrow 00:46:57.430$ then got treatment 29 oblations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:46:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.250$ 32 receptions and 5:59 presentations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:47:01.250 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.778$ An time went by, some of them recur,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}47{:}03.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}06.812$ and some of them stop their follow which

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}47{:}06.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}09.188$ we considered to be non recurrences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}47{:}09{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}11{.}094$ To this time that I can call time

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:47:11.094 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.816$ to recurrence and this would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:47:12.816 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.707$ our explained variable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:47:13.710 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.900$ the variable that we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}47{:}15{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}17{.}652$ to try to predict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:47:17.660 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.850$ With respect to our input data,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486
- $00:47:19.850 \rightarrow 00:47:22.216$ we're going to use conference enhanced multi
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486
- $00{:}47{:}22.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}24.229$ phase liver magnetic resonance imaging,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486
- 00:47:24.230 --> 00:47:24.579 MRI,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486
- $00:47:24.579 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.673$ and we're going to use three
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486
- $00:47:26.673 \rightarrow 00:47:28.609$ different phases that the arterial,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486
- $00{:}47{:}28.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}30.800$ the portal venous and the delay.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764
- $00:47:32.900 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.724$ So the question that we're trying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764
- $00:47:34.724 \rightarrow 00:47:37.598$ to answer here is can we predict ATC
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764
- 00:47:37.598 --> 00:47:39.588 recurrence using pretreatment MRI images?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764
- $00:47:39.590 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.552$ In other words, are they visual
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764
- $00{:}47{:}41.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43.674$ features in free treatment MRI that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764
- $00:47:43.674 \rightarrow 00:47:45.564$ correlate with HTC disease recurrence?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764
- $00:47:45.570 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.532$ So to visualize that we're going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764
- $00{:}47{:}47{.}532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}49{.}854$ to use the input MRI input data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764
- $00{:}47{:}49.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}52.052$ as an input data to freedom into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764

 $00:47:52.120 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.526$ a predictive model which will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764

 $00{:}47{:}54{.}526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}56{.}822$ convolution on your network and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764

 $00{:}47{:}56{.}822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}58{.}552$ predict whether the patient will

NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764

 $00:47:58.552 \rightarrow 00:48:00.712$ reoccur within one year two years

NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764

 $00:48:00.712 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.467$ after six years after treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00{:}48{:}04.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}06.510$ So here are the results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00{:}48{:}06{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}08{.}730$ Here we can see the results

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00:48:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.950$ for these 6 * 6 timeframe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

00:48:10.950 --> 00:48:12.800 So one year for occurrence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00:48:12.800 \rightarrow 00:48:15.760$ two years of free France up to 6,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

00:48:15.760 --> 00:48:18.334 zero for occurrence and this figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00:48:18.334 \rightarrow 00:48:20.050$ present the relationship between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00{:}48{:}20{.}123 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}22{.}091$ the true positive rate to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00:48:22.091 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.124$ false positive rate on our test

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00:48:24.124 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.120$ cohort and seeing these 45% curve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00:48:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.230$ which represents basically

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857
- 00:48:27.230 --> 00:48:29.080 a random chance to predict,

 $00{:}48{:}29{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}31{.}572$ we can see that all of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00{:}48{:}31{.}572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}33{.}150$ curves is above that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00:48:33.150 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.000$ Which means that our model

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

00:48:35.000 - 00:48:36.110 has prediction power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

00:48:39.330 --> 00:48:41.232 Another analysis that we did we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:48:41.232 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.174$ did was to try to use Kaplan Meier

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:48:44.174 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.174$ curves and our predictions to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}48{:}46.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}48.577$ separate our cohort into risk groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:48:48.580 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.525$ Soloist group to recurrence in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}48{:}50{.}525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}52{.}946$ high risk to recurrence and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}48{:}52{.}946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}54{.}991$ got significant results for your

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

00:48:54.991 --> 00:48:56.985 current free survival for four

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

00:48:56.985 --> 00:48:59.305 out of the six times you can here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

00:48:59.310 --> 00:49:02.614 you can see the six different figures

 $00:49:02.614 \dashrightarrow 00:49:06.488$ each for one time for each time frame.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

00:49:06.490 --> 00:49:07.582 So to summarize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}49{:}07{.}582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}10{.}130$ the current state of the art criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}49{:}10.201 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}12.426$ based on low level handcrafted

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}49{:}12.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}15.060$ radiological features such as tumor size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:15.060 \rightarrow 00:49:17.136$ number of tumors and this study

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}49{:}17.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}19.586$ showed that there are still unknown

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:19.586 \rightarrow 00:49:21.586$ visual features in pretreatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}49{:}21.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24.439$ MRI does correlate with recurrence of ATC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:24.440 \rightarrow 00:49:24.833$ Secondly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}49{:}24.833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}27.584$ the current state of the art selection

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}49{:}27.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}29.675$ criteria suffers from false positives

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}49{:}29.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}31.735$ and we showed by incorporating

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:31.735 \rightarrow 00:49:33.432$ machine learning based algorithms

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:33.432 \rightarrow 00:49:35.462$ we could potentially improve the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

00:49:35.462 --> 00:49:37.261 prediction of AGC recurrence.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218
- 00:49:37.261 --> 00:49:39.585 Little bit of limitations

 $00:49:39.585 \rightarrow 00:49:41.328$ and future research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:41.330 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.126$ We used a single site cohort

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:44.126 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.524$ from Yale Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:45.530 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.860$ which was small 120 patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}49{:}47{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}50{.}734$ which has the limitation of generalize

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}49{:}50{.}734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}53{.}646$ the results for other sites and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:53.646 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.921$ therefore will need to increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}49{:}55{.}921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}58{.}571$ our sample size or incorporating

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

00:49:58.571 --> 00:50:00.967 patient from different side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

00:50:00.970 --> 00:50:03.360 And maybe single data modality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}50{:}03{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}04{.}608$ may be not enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}50{:}04.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}07.104$ so in future research we recommend

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}50{:}07{.}104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}09{.}252$ to test interaction between

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}50{:}09{.}252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}11{.}456$ imaging and clinical data,

 $00:50:11.460 \rightarrow 00:50:15.040$ even incorporating more imaging modalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}50{:}15{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}15{.}988$ Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8563696

 $00:50:23.210 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.376$ So, so that was really great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8563696

 $00:50:25.380 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.810$ Hope you all were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8563696

 $00:50:27.810 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.940$ see what exciting work word.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8563696

 $00:50:29.940 \rightarrow 00:50:32.340$ You know, doing in this lab?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8563696

 $00:50:32.340 \rightarrow 00:50:34.340$ Does anybody have any questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

00:50:35.410 --> 00:50:37.022 And individuals can certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

 $00{:}50{:}37.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}39.037$ submit their questions on chat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

 $00:50:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.176$ but we did receive one which

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

 $00:50:41.176 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.618$ David you took the Liberty of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

 $00:50:43.618 \rightarrow 00:50:45.490$ at least answering online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

 $00:50:45.490 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.706$ But let me for the benefit of all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

 $00:50:48.710 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.531$ Let me just toss this out so

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

00:50:51.531 --> 00:50:53.730 Joseph Cam asked, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

 $00:50:53.730 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.205$ essentially, how do you decide?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236
- 00:50:56.210 --> 00:50:59.006 On the specific procedure be RFA,

 $00:50:59.010 \rightarrow 00:50:59.942$ thermal abrasion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

00:50:59.942 --> 00:51:00.874 ablation, cryoablation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

 $00:51:00.874 \rightarrow 00:51:03.670$ and and also relative to contraindications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

00:51:03.670 --> 00:51:06.460 How do you make those choices?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

00:51:08.480 --> 00:51:11.360 Yeah, so so. I guess I gave a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:51:11.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.659$ pretty long email for a chat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

00:51:13.660 - 00:51:17.320 but are more common for chat, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

00:51:17.320 --> 00:51:20.864 Each ablation modality has its pros and cons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:51:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.537$ and clearly my goal for today was

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

00:51:23.537 --> 00:51:26.858 not to go into my own personal

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}51{:}26.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}30.084$ research and discuss a lot of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}51{:}30{.}084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}32{.}406$ stuff in depth because I really

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:51:32.406 \dashrightarrow 00:51:35.080$ wanted to highlight our trainees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

00:51:35.080 --> 00:51:36.836 But basically you know,

 $00:51:36.836 \rightarrow 00:51:39.983$ for liver it's pretty much understood that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}51{:}39{.}983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}43{.}063$ heat ablation is actually what is preferred,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}51{:}43.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}46.178$ and that's because over the years well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:51:46.180 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.928$ first of all it's very effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:51:48.930 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.650$ But over the years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}51{:}50{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}53{.}764$ there's been concerns with Cryo Ablation on NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

00:51:53.764 --> 00:51:56.524 what's called something called Cryo shock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:51:56.530 \rightarrow 00:51:59.206$ There's questions about fracturing the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}51{:}59{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}02{.}078$ There's no way of really cauterizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}52{:}02{.}078$ --> $00{:}52{:}05{.}686$ any bleed that you can do with microwave

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}52{:}05{.}686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}09{.}049$ or RFA and a whole bunch of others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:52:09.050 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.785$ So it's really the complications

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:52:11.785 \rightarrow 00:52:15.596$ in this in this setting that makes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:52:15.596 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.036$ it less ideal than four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}52{:}18{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}19{.}964$ For the Heat base,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:52:19.964 \rightarrow 00:52:23.330$ now the one positive thing is we

 $00{:}52{:}23{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}26.669$ shown in some of the in one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:52:26.773 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.221$ cases is that you do get the ice

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}52{:}30{.}221$ --> $00{:}52{:}33{.}335$ ball which has low density ice and NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

00:52:33.335 --> 00:52:35.580 that actually allows the operator

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}52{:}35{.}661 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}38{.}115$ to really sculpt the margins where NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}52{:}38.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41.099$ you want to treat in other organs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

00:52:41.100 -> 00:52:43.848 Systems like the lung and kidney

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:52:43.848 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.124$ is really a dealers choice so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:52:47.124 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.824$ there have been papers on both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}52{:}49{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}52{.}296$ In terms of using cryoablation or

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}52{:}52{.}296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}55{.}006$ heat ablation for those that we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}52{:}55{.}006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}57{.}323$ just involved in a study that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}52{:}57{.}403 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}59{.}878$ recently published in the Journal

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}52{:}59{.}878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}01{.}363$ of tho racic oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}53{:}01{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}04{.}080$ which was a prospective clinical

 $00:53:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.248$ trial called solstice that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

00:53:06.250 --> 00:53:08.420 Did show benefits of cold,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}53{:}08{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}09{.}290$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:53:09.290 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.335$ for for lung nodules and then I

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}53{:}12{.}335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}14{.}910$ already as I kind of explained,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}53{:}14{.}910$ --> $00{:}53{:}17{.}508$ it's kind of a niche application.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}53{:}17{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}19{.}562$ Mostly used in pancreas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:53:19.562 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.362$ but it's in an area where it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}53{:}23{.}362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}25{.}210$ difficult to treat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}53{:}25{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}27{.}415$ Areas which have vital structures

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

00:53:27.415 --> 00:53:28.738 in your body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}53{:}28{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}31{.}386$ so that's the I guess summary

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}53{:}31{.}386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}33{.}150$ of that so thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

 $00:53:35.320 \rightarrow 00:53:38.371$ So let me ask a follow up question 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

 $00{:}53{:}38{.}371 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}41{.}942$ you great deal of the work is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

 $00:53:41.942 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.390$ advancing understanding the nature of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769
- $00:53:44.390 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.915$ the immune infiltrate post procedure.

 $00{:}53{:}46{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}49{.}734$ And Moreover, how to address how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

 $00{:}53{:}49{.}734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}52{.}160$ make the environment more hospitable?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

00:53:52.160 - 00:53:54.460 Two immune cells, Sony aspid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

 $00:53:54.460 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.156$ be both with regard to the imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

 $00{:}53{:}58{.}156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}00{.}405$ techniques monitoring techniques and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

 $00{:}54{:}00{.}405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}03{.}295$ perhaps the introduction of bicarbonate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

 $00{:}54{:}03{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}06{.}513$ How much you leverage all of this to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

 $00{:}54{:}06{.}513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}09{.}302$ about combining these approaches with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

00:54:09.302 --> 00:54:11.654 ongoing immuno
therapy immuno the
rapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

 $00{:}54{:}11{.}654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}14{.}768$ that are under development in HTC?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:54:15.780 --> 00:54:17.598 Right, I mean that's a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:17.598 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.810$ important question that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}54{:}18.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}20.718$ actually trying to answer ourselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:54:20.720 --> 00:54:21.704 As David mentioned,

00:54:21.704 --> 00:54:23.353 I mean, we're right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:54:23.353 --> 00:54:25.008 Actually submitting one or an

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:25.008 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.351$ application after the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}54{:}26{.}351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}27{.}926$ because we want to investigate

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:27.926 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.600$ exactly those points we generated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.431$ This preliminary data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}54{:}30{.}431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}32{.}803$ Now we want to take it to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:32.803 \rightarrow 00:54:34.678$ clinical perspective and do also

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}54{:}34{.}678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}36{.}178$ trials and immediate future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:36.180 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.732$ So I think there is a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}54{:}38{.}732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}41{.}109$ limitations that we first need to address,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:54:41.110 --> 00:54:43.090 and for the pH Image Ng,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:43.090 \rightarrow 00:54:45.058$ this is certainly the contrast agent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:45.060 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.950$ which is not done yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:54:46.950 - 00:54:48.816 Applied and in the human scenario,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:48.820 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.052$ so we need to make sure that we

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795
- $00:54:51.052 \rightarrow 00:54:53.218$ can do that safely, you know.

 $00:54:53.218 \rightarrow 00:54:53.542$ Secondly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:53.542 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.161$ I think you know the imaging of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}54{:}56{.}161 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}58{.}401$ immune system is a very hot topic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}54{:}58{.}401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}00{.}336$ is being hotly discussed and worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}55{:}00{.}336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}02{.}552$ up on both by the nuclear medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}55{:}02{.}552{\:}-{:}{>}00{:}55{:}04{.}729$ community as well as you know us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:04.730 \dashrightarrow 00:55:06.428$ and I think that both approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}55{:}06{.}428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}08{.}479$ have their merits in their drawbacks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}55{:}08{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}10{.}286$ and I think that this will

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:10.286 \rightarrow 00:55:11.490$ definitely bring a breakthrough

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}55{:}11{.}548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}13{.}158$ in terms of imaging biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:55:13.160 --> 00:55:15.962 As we know in HC see only 20% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}55{:}15{.}962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}17{.}541$ the patients at Prof. Roundabout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}55{:}17.541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}18.534$ Respond to immunotherapy.

 $00:55:18.534 \rightarrow 00:55:21.309$ But we treat a lot of them with it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}55{:}21{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}23{.}659$ and so we want to make sure we choose

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:23.659 \rightarrow 00:55:26.227$ their right patients for the right therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:26.230 \rightarrow 00:55:27.770$ And that's the whole goal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}55{:}27{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}28{.}517$ As for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}55{:}28{.}517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}30{.}260$ As for the As for the real

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:30.334 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.780$ application of bicarb,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:31.780 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.887$ that is an approach that could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:55:33.887 --> 00:55:35.778 translated into clinical trial very easily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:55:35.780 --> 00:55:36.396 I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}55{:}36{.}396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}38{.}244$ bicarbonate is not a harmful substance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.224$ It could be used in just used

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:40.224 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.248$ in a clinical trial with taste,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:42.250 \rightarrow 00:55:44.280$ and I think we need to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}55{:}44{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}46{.}230$ think about using using that as

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:46.230 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.925$ an addition and an additional.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795
- 00:55:47.930 --> 00:55:48.227 Arm,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795
- $00:55:48.227 \rightarrow 00:55:50.009$ possibly in one of the clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795
- $00:55:50.009 \rightarrow 00:55:51.828$ trials that David is bringing on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795
- $00{:}55{:}51{.}828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}53{.}574$ board to use taste in combination
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795
- $00{:}55{:}53{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}55{.}080$ with the immuno the rapies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795
- $00{:}55{:}55{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}56{.}808$ And I think that that would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795
- $00:55:56.808 \rightarrow 00:55:58.819$ be a very simple initial fix.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795
- $00:55:58.820 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.753$ To do that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795
- $00:55:59.753 \dashrightarrow 00:56:01.930$ I mean there is very little Harmon.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795
- 00:56:01.930 --> 00:56:03.475 Probably you know some major
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795
- $00:56:03.475 \rightarrow 00:56:05.660$ benefit that we could get from that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795
- $00{:}56{:}05{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}07{.}452$ So we need clinical trials in this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795
- $00:56:07.452 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.393$ respect and we need more translational
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795
- $00{:}56{:}09{.}393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}11{.}253$ contrast agent and more research.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795
- $00{:}56{:}11{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}13{.}661$ And I think we do have an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:56:13.661 \rightarrow 00:56:15.085$ amazing infrastructure with RMR

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}56{:}15.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}17.029$ Research Center here and the Pet

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:56:17.029 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.910$ Center on the other hand 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:56:18.910 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.408$ To actually do that kind of research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

 $00{:}56{:}21{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}23{.}226$ And so let me as a follow up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

 $00{:}56{:}23.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}25.211$ So let me ask, given what you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

 $00{:}56{:}25{.}211 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}27{.}308$ describing in the nature of the immune.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

00:56:27.310 --> 00:56:29.470 Response, immune environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

 $00{:}56{:}29{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}33{.}790$ fear after procedures such as these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

 $00{:}56{:}33.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}36.355$ Desert potentially suggests that any

NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

 $00:56:36.355 \rightarrow 00:56:40.048$ efforts right now to combine a checkpoint NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

 $00{:}56{:}40{.}048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}43{.}174$ inhibitor with tastes or other ablation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

 $00{:}56{:}43.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}45.960$ procedure may not be yet optimized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

00:56:45.960 - 00:56:48.140 Turn to really achieve what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

 $00{:}56{:}48.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}50.170$ hope they would achieve because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:56:50.170 \rightarrow 00:56:52.276$ the nature of the environment absolutely,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195
- $00:56:52.280 \rightarrow 00:56:54.737$ and this is exactly what I showed.

 $00{:}56{:}54{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}57{.}099$ If you remember one of the slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

00:56:57.099 - > 00:56:58.600 had different embolic agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:56:58.600 \rightarrow 00:57:01.050$ and so we're using various symbolic agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00{:}57{:}01{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}01{.}752$ various agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

00:57:01.752 --> 00:57:03.858 If we inject into the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:03.860 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.317$ that may be pro or anti inflammatory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00{:}57{:}06{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}08{.}474$ We then also combine taste and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00{:}57{:}08{.}474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}10{.}303$ checkpoint inhibition in tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:10.303 \rightarrow 00:57:12.289$ may be hot or immunologically cold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

00:57:12.290 --> 00:57:14.481 So essentially you know a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00{:}57{:}14.481 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}16.320$ these trials will probably have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.976$ very non significant results

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00{:}57{:}17{.}976$ --> $00{:}57{:}20{.}200$ and probably will never achieve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

00:57:20.200 --> 00:57:22.461 Yeah, it is certain level of clinical

 $00:57:22.461 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.000$ translation that we hope for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00{:}57{:}24.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}26.536$ Just because we don't know what we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00{:}57{:}26{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}28{.}358$ Essentially so when we combine Interventional NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

00:57:28.358 --> 00:57:30.030 in college and Immuno Oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:30.030 \rightarrow 00:57:32.649$ we just go about it and say one size

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00{:}57{:}32{.}649$ --> $00{:}57{:}35{.}244$ fits all and that is something that I NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:35.244 \rightarrow 00:57:37.905$ feel like is is the major key point

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:37.905 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.485$ that we need to address and one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00{:}57{:}40{.}485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}42{.}375$ these issues is that in Interventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00{:}57{:}42{.}375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}44{.}347$ Ecology Community would just did not NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00{:}57{:}44{.}347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}46{.}313$ have the academic culture and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00{:}57{:}46{.}313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}48{.}137$ know research environment so far and NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:48.137 \rightarrow 00:57:49.760$ this is across multiple institutions

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

00:57:49.760 - 00:57:51.180 across our entire community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:51.180 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.830$ To actually tackle those topics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:52.830 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.822$ and I think now we as we more

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195
- $00:57:54.822 \rightarrow 00:57:56.544$ understand that our therapies are

00:57:56.544 --> 00:57:58.096 necessary in our increasingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:58.096 \rightarrow 00:57:59.760$ combined with systemic therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.410$ we need to investigate that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:58:01.410 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.818$ and I think that we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

00:58:03.818 - > 00:58:05.370 have major breakthroughs in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84226775

 $00{:}58{:}05{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}07.662$ next three to five years. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84226775

 $00:58:07.662 \rightarrow 00:58:10.980$ Well, I know where at the top of the hour,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84226775

 $00{:}58{:}10{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}13{.}220$ and I want to thank Jessica and tile

NOTE Confidence: 0.84226775

00:58:13.220 --> 00:58:15.223 and Julius and David for really

NOTE Confidence: 0.84226775

 $00{:}58{:}15{.}223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}16{.}603$ a remarkably stimulating body

NOTE Confidence: 0.84226775

 $00{:}58{:}16.603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}19.116$ of work and Bradley bring to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.84226775

00:58:19.116 --> 00:58:21.255 attention what can be accomplished in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84226775

00:58:21.255 --> 00:58:23.130 Interventional Onkologie So you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84226775

 $00{:}58{:}23{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}24{.}972$ thank you for this continued education NOTE Confidence: 0.84226775

 $00{:}58{:}24{.}972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}26{.}867$ for the great work you're doing NOTE Confidence: 0.84226775

 $00{:}58{:}26{.}867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}28{.}736$ and every one on line. Thank you.