WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:31:37.4510000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:00.000 \rightarrow 00:00:03.952$  You know the areas that we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:00:03.952 --> 00:00:07.288 focus on today, or two critical ones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:00:07.288 --> 00:00:08.896 namely mute on Koleji,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:00:08.900 --> 00:00:11.553 for which we really committed you centers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00{:}00{:}11.553 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}14.530$  and resources as well as computational work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:14.530 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.761$  which I think is critical in this next phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00{:}00{:}17.761 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}20.958$  of Cancer Research in the 21st century.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00{:}00{:}20{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23{.}366$  Anile open with our first speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:23.370 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.380$  as many of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00{:}00{:}25{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28{.}236$  doctor Marcus bosenberg is a leader in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:00:28.236 --> 00:00:30.608 Cancer Center professor of dermatology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:30.610 \dashrightarrow 00:00:31.948$  pathology and Immunobiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00{:}00{:}31{.}948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}34{.}624$  Co leader of the genomics genetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:34.624 \rightarrow 00:00:37.068$  and epigenetics research program.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154
- $00:00:37.070 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.766$  Director of the else boren kins skin cancer,

 $00:00:40.770 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.787$  as well as a very active member

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:43.787 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.309$  of the faculty in theology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:00:46.310 --> 00:00:48.194 Enough leading nationally internationally

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00{:}00{:}48.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}50.078$  recognized amount of pathologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:50.078 \rightarrow 00:00:52.320$  and most most recently serving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:52.320 \rightarrow 00:00:55.146$  really quite brilliantly as our interim

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:55.146 \rightarrow 00:00:58.780$  leader and director of the L centerview know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:58.780 \rightarrow 00:01:02.497$  Cology is really part of that launch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:01:02.500 --> 00:01:04.850 Marcus is research has been,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:01:04.850 --> 00:01:07.190 as you know, prolific, focused.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:01:07.190 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:01:09.696 I pour it on the genetics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00{:}01{:}09.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.950$  cellular changes that result in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:01:11.950 \dashrightarrow 00:01:14.166$  Melanoma while concurrently building

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00{:}01{:}14.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}16.382$  innovative new laboratory models

 $00:01:16.462 \rightarrow 00:01:18.917$  animal models to understand cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00{:}01{:}18{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}21{.}260$  to define our immune response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:01:21.260 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.132$  responding and also even

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:01:23.132 --> 00:01:24.536 launching new centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:01:24.540 --> 00:01:25.650 Precision on cology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00{:}01{:}25.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}28.425$  precision cancer medison to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00{:}01{:}28{.}425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31{.}381$  us define models to further the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:01:31.381 \rightarrow 00:01:34.195$  research of many of our faculty so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00{:}01{:}34{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}34{.}568$  Marcus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:01:34.568 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.408$  thank you for volunteering to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:01:36.408 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.270$  speak at our virtual form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:01:40.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.830$  Great, thanks so much Charlie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}01{:}41.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}43.630$  Thanks for the kind introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}01{:}43.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}46.507$  Just someone give me an odd that

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}01{:}46{.}507 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}49{.}977$  they can hear me and see the alright

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:01:49.977 \rightarrow 00:01:52.750$  sounds good great so I'll start.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.876604
- $00:01:52.750 \rightarrow 00:01:56.320$  Today's topic will be targeting innate

00:01:56.320 --> 00:01:59.336 immunity to enhance anti cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:01:59.336 \rightarrow 00:02:03.060$  immune responses and I think you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:03.060 \rightarrow 00:02:06.260$  What we've seen, even in the last decade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}02{:}06{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08{.}135$  has been a remarkable transformation

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:08.135 \dashrightarrow 00:02:11.059$  about how we think about treating cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:11.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.260$  A decade ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:02:12.260 --> 00:02:14.420 You know, aside from area snow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:02:14.420 --> 00:02:17.199 if you look around Yale and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:17.199 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.460$  institutions, and there are a

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:19.460 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.860$  number of other people as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}02{:}21.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.860$  But there wasn't that much

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.460$  interested in on Koleji.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:02:25.460 --> 00:02:27.028 There have been longstanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:27.028 \rightarrow 00:02:29.860$  efforts in a couple of cancer types,

00:02:29.860 --> 00:02:31.860 including Melanoma, such as IO2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:02:31.860 --> 00:02:32.688 systemic therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}02{:}32{.}688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}34{.}344$  and adaptive transferring to

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:02:34.344 --> 00:02:35.586 Myrtle trading lymphocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.257$  On both of those sort of pioneered

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}02{:}38.257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}40.766$  by Steve Rosenberg at NCI and then NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}02{:}40.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}43.221$  over the early 2000s CLI four and

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:02:43.221 --> 00:02:45.849 PD one PD L1 checkpoint blocking

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}02{:}45{.}849 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47{.}828$  the rapies were developed and if

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:47.828 \dashrightarrow 00:02:50.131$  you look at the impact that this NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}02{:}50{.}131 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53{.}171$  is had in terms of the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:02:53.171 --> 00:02:55.752 cancer types where these are now

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}02{:}55.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57.584$  standard of care the rapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}02{:}57{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}00{.}271$  you could argue that this is amongst

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}03{:}00{.}271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02{.}224$  the greatest advances ever and

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:03:02.224 \rightarrow 00:03:04.069$  cancer the rapeutics and resulted in

- NOTE Confidence: 0.876604
- $00:03:04.069 \dashrightarrow 00:03:06.569$  sort of the first large decline.

 $00{:}03{:}06{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09{.}545$  And cancer mortality over the last year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:03:09.550 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.670$  especially with the effects in

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:03:11.670 - 00:03:13.366 lung cancer attributed to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:03:13.370 --> 00:03:15.920 for instance, the PD one PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}03{:}15{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}18{.}140$  L1 blockade and this break through

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:03:18.140 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.019$  was awarded the Nobel Prize in 2018.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}03{:}21.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.608$  There's a nice video,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:03:22.608 \dashrightarrow 00:03:25.700$  the PBS that's made about Jim Allison.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}03{:}25{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26{.}876$  Related to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:03:26.876 --> 00:03:29.228 That's just been out and following

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}03{:}29{.}228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31{.}219$  on that initial success,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:03:31.220 \rightarrow 00:03:34.391$  a lot of companies change their portfolios

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}03{:}34{.}391 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}37{.}929$  to try to do PD one blockade plus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:03:37.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.471$  Other drugs as the new sort of

 $00:03:40.471 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.586$  standard clinical trial that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:03:42.586 --> 00:03:44.017 instituted in Disappointingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:03:44.017 -> 00:03:46.402 the success of these approaches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:03:46.410 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.378$  was not really what had been anticipated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:03:49.380 \rightarrow 00:03:52.348$  PD one blockade continued to have low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:03:52.350 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.385$  but real levels of effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:03:54.385 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.010$  in a variety of cancer types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}03{:}57{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59{.}761$  but the addition of 2nd drugs almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}03{:}59{.}761 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01{.}930$  overwhelmingly did not have significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:01.930 \dashrightarrow 00:04:04.215$  benefit beyond PD one blockade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.146$  so there's a lot of interest in

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:04:07.146 --> 00:04:09.220 developing combination therapy approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:09.220 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.084$  In which cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:10.084 \rightarrow 00:04:12.100$  me know therapy is a component of

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}04{:}12.159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}14.599$  that and will focus a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:14.599 \rightarrow 00:04:16.327$  about targeting components of the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.876604
- $00:04:16.327 \rightarrow 00:04:18.439$  innate immune system to enhance that.

 $00{:}04{:}18{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}20{.}864$  and I would argue here is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:04:20.864 --> 00:04:22.888 mechanism of how these drugs work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:22.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.212$  and in general how anti cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:25.212 \rightarrow 00:04:27.293$  immune responses happen is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:27.293 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.670$  not well understood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:28.670 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.782$  Just to go back a little bit in

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:30.782 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.854$  terms of what's innate immunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}04{:}32.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.818$  and what's adaptive immunity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:34.820 \dashrightarrow 00:04:37.130$  Most aspects of immunity have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:37.130 \rightarrow 00:04:39.060$  strong basis in haematopoiesis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}04{:}39{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}40{.}980$  the cell types that are derived,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}04{:}40{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43{.}316$  at least in part from bone marrow and

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}04{:}43.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.284$  going from pluripotent stem cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:45.284 \rightarrow 00:04:47.489$  to mile wooden lymphoid precursors,

 $00:04:47.490 \rightarrow 00:04:50.024$  pretty much everything in the myeloid side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}04{:}50{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}52{.}894$  so the mass cells and all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:52.894 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.605$  guys over here on the right are

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:55.605 \dashrightarrow 00:04:57.965$  part of the innate immune system

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}04{:}57{.}965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00{.}125$  and T cells and B cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}05{:}00{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02{.}405$  Make up the primary component

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}05{:}02{.}405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}04{.}680$  of adaptive immune immunity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}05{:}04.763 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.948$  So what are the characteristics of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}05{:}07{.}948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10{.}499$  adaptive immune system to sort of to

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:10.499 \rightarrow 00:05:12.962$  get that out of the way while we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:05:12.962 --> 00:05:14.970 talking about innate immunity, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:05:14.970 --> 00:05:17.770 somatic hypermutation of the T Cell Receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}05{:}17.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}20.020$  an amino globulin loci and recombination

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}05{:}20.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22.738$  of those loci allow for billions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:22.738 \rightarrow 00:05:24.648$  different clones within every human

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:24.648 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.773$  that have distinct reactivity set

 $00:05:26.773 \rightarrow 00:05:29.365$  allow for the recognition of almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:29.365 \dashrightarrow 00:05:31.108$  countless and diverse sets of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:31.108 \rightarrow 00:05:33.867$  Antigens for which one can have a response

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:33.867 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.057$  that's either Pisati Seller B cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:36.057 \rightarrow 00:05:38.338$  mediated and these responses typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:38.338 \rightarrow 00:05:40.813$  associated with what's called memory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:40.820 \rightarrow 00:05:42.896$  which typically means that after an

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:42.896 \rightarrow 00:05:45.499$  initial exposure to a particular antigen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:45.500 \rightarrow 00:05:47.840$  something that's recognizable by these cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:47.840 \dashrightarrow 00:05:50.295$  there's an increased response the

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:50.295 \dashrightarrow 00:05:53.850$  next time that Amazon is encountered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:53.850 \rightarrow 00:05:56.298$  How do you know whether T cells are B?

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}05{:}56{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57{.}388$  Cells are actually important

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}05{:}57{.}388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58{.}748$  in any of these processes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:05:58.750 -> 00:06:01.375 What you're looking at here is a

 $00:06:01.375 \dashrightarrow 00:06:03.927$  Kaplan Meier plot of a pre clinical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:06:03.930 --> 00:06:04.660 Tumor experiment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}06{:}04.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07.580$  in which a line that we have developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:07.654 \rightarrow 00:06:09.810$  number one point 7 is in Grafton,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:09.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.114$  subcutaneously in a mouse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:11.114 \rightarrow 00:06:14.227$  and if a mouse succumbs to in a large

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:14.227 \rightarrow 00:06:16.037$  tumor that's resulting survival law.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:16.040 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.161$  So there's no mice alive in mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:06:18.161 --> 00:06:20.190 that have had tumor outgrowth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:06:20.190 --> 00:06:20.536 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:20.536 \rightarrow 00:06:23.650$  if you have this line extend out the side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:23.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.050$  as it does here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}06{:}25.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28.229$  that means that the mouse was cured of its

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:28.229 \rightarrow 00:06:31.259$  tumor and lived life span up to 60 days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}06{:}31{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32{.}331$  as illustrated here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:32.331 \rightarrow 00:06:34.116$  Well, what can be done?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- 00:06:34.120 --> 00:06:34.808 In mice,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00:06:34.808 \rightarrow 00:06:36.528$  which is not really typically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00:06:36.528 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.560$  ethical in humans,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00:06:37.560 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.636$  is that you can actually deplete
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00{:}06{:}39{.}636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}41{.}415$  certain components of the immune
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00:06:41.415 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.055$  system or in graph tumors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00{:}06{:}43.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}45.321$  In mice that are deficient for those
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00:06:45.321 \rightarrow 00:06:47.190$  components of the immune system.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00:06:47.190 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.171$  In this case,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00:06:48.171 \rightarrow 00:06:50.133$  what you can see is treatment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- 00:06:50.133 > 00:06:52.202 with the drug that was developed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00:06:52.202 \dashrightarrow 00:06:54.760$  here at Yale by Aaron Rings Group.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00:06:54.760 \dashrightarrow 00:06:57.496$  This is a cloud of project that's now in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00{:}06{:}57{.}496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}59{.}919$  press in nature through owners group.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00{:}06{:}59{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}01{.}296$  This drug annihilating derivative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:07:01.296 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.423$  result in about a 30% cure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:07:03.423 \rightarrow 00:07:06.244$  depleting with CD8 anti CD 8 antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}07{:}06.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}08.427$  Prevented that cure rate and CD four

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:07:08.427 \rightarrow 00:07:11.170$  also did at a little bit longer latency

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:07:11.170 --> 00:07:13.420 while blockade of NK cells didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:07:13.420 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.580$  result in any extended survivals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:07:15.580 --> 00:07:17.892 So what I'm kind of bringing up now

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:07:17.892 \rightarrow 00:07:20.940$  is a concept that if you really want

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:07:20.940 \rightarrow 00:07:23.480$  to understand how these things work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:07:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.472$  it's typically useful to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}07{:}25{.}472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27{.}262$  system to evaluate what functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:07:27.262 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.227$  components are at play here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}07{:}29{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31{.}384$  and that's been a difficulty with

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:07:31.384 \rightarrow 00:07:32.820$  the innate immune system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:07:32.820 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.752$  which will talk about second quick

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:07:34.752 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.359$  segue here to B cells and anti

- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00:07:37.359 \dashrightarrow 00:07:39.019$  cancer immune responses which.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- 00:07:39.020 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:07:41.396 Had a big splash earlier in the year
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00{:}07{:}41.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.491$  where there were three papers in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- 00:07:43.491 -> 00:07:45.286 nature in January suggesting that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00{:}07{:}45.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}47.746$  the cells have a role in anti cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00:07:47.746 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.796$  immunity and I would say that this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00:07:49.796 \rightarrow 00:07:52.140$  issue is still not really fully resolved.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00:07:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.844$  All of those patient papers tended
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00{:}07{:}53.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.829$  to be a correlative and weren't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00:07:55.829 \rightarrow 00:07:56.619$  really functional.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00:07:56.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.036$  Studies now show example of that in a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- 00:07:59.036 --> 00:08:01.522 bit what is known and has been known
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00{:}08{:}01.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03.824$  for a while is that when you have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999
- $00:08:03.824 \rightarrow 00:08:06.211$  elevated number of T cells and cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255
- $00:08:06.220 \rightarrow 00:08:09.406$  you tend to have elevated B cells as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:08:09.410 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.345$  Uh, that correlation coefficient from

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:08:11.345 --> 00:08:14.568 an RNA POV is about a row of about .7,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}08{:}14.570$  -->  $00{:}08{:}17.207$  so it's a pretty high correlation in terms of NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:08:17.207 --> 00:08:20.066 be selling T celko infiltration into tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}08{:}20{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22{.}128$  but that doesn't necessarily say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}08{:}22.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}23.860$  they're actually doing things there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:08:23.860 \rightarrow 00:08:25.816$  and clinically we typically it's very

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:08:25.816 \rightarrow 00:08:28.670$  common to use a drug called Rituxan Mab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:08:28.670 --> 00:08:31.290 which is a CD20 anti CD 20 in a body

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}08{:}31{.}367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}34{.}511$  which results in depletion of B cells and NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}08{:}34{.}511 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37{.}270$  the patients that are treated that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:08:37.270 \rightarrow 00:08:39.020$  And typically these patients don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:08:39.020 --> 00:08:41.178 have really much higher rates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}08{:}41.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}42.578$  cancers you might anticipate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:08:42.580 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.960$  If that were a primary method of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:08:44.960 \rightarrow 00:08:46.324$  restraining that particular arm

 $00:08:46.324 \rightarrow 00:08:47.816$  of the immune system, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}08{:}47.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}50.308$  I think there's still more work that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:08:50.308 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.328$  hopefully will be done in this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:08:52.330 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.493$  This is an experiment that I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}08{:}54{.}493 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56{.}803$  referring to in which you can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}08{:}56{.}803 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}59{.}167$  graph the same kind of tumor into

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}08{:}59{.}167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}00{.}777$  a B cell deficient mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:00.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.758$  Here at LAX,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:01.758 \dashrightarrow 00:09:03.714$  the heavy chain that's needed prior

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:03.714 \dashrightarrow 00:09:05.764$  to class switching of these cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:05.764 \dashrightarrow 00:09:08.258$  and in a normal mouse say with PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:08.260 \dashrightarrow 00:09:09.880$  one therapy or spontaneous rejection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}09{:}09{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}12.950$  This is this curve here, or black sticks in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:12.950 \dashrightarrow 00:09:15.925$  Black them you empty mice which lack B NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:15.925 \rightarrow 00:09:18.508$  cells actually reject as well or better,

 $00{:}09{:}18.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}21.518$  while rag mice that lack both B&T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}09{:}21.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}24.425$  So a second way of evaluating whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}09{:}24.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}26.081$  lymphocytes more generally are

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:26.081 \rightarrow 00:09:28.139$  needed results an outgrowth of the NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:28.139 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.538$  tumors so that you don't have that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:30.540 \dashrightarrow 00:09:32.760$  This is a collaborative project with NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:09:32.760 --> 00:09:35.049 Harriet clickers lab by Bill Damski,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:09:35.050 --> 00:09:38.522 who is going to be a new faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:09:38.522 --> 00:09:41.570 member in dermatology in July.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:09:41.570 --> 00:09:44.000 So what are the characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:09:44.000 - 00:09:46.430 of the innate immune system?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:46.430 \rightarrow 00:09:49.238$  So it's typically a rapid response

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:49.238 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.694$  of system in which it's kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:52.694 \dashrightarrow 00:09:55.179$  hard wired to wrecking sentries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}09{:}55{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}57{.}650$  certain pathogen or pathogen molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}09{:}57.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.120$  patterns that virus es or bacteria.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255
- $00{:}10{:}00{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}02{.}135$  Might happen or not typically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255
- $00{:}10{:}02{.}135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}03{.}344$  present in eukaryotes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255
- $00:10:03.350 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.370$  so it allows for almost
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255
- $00{:}10{:}05{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07{.}390$  like a barrier or reflex.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255
- $00:10:07.390 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.410$  If response to these type
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255
- 00:10:09.410 --> 00:10:11.026 of molecules one recognize,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255
- $00{:}10{:}11{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}13{.}490$  but also the innate immune system
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255
- $00:10:13.490 \rightarrow 00:10:15.130$  can regulate enhance activation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255
- $00{:}10{:}15{.}196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}17{.}086$  of the adaptive immune system.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255
- 00:10:17.090 --> 00:10:19.708 This has been known in vaccine biology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255
- $00{:}10{:}19{.}708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22{.}198$  and it's also known or understood
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255
- $00{:}10{:}22.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}24.353$  the role within dirt excels.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255
- $00:10:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.006$  Play Witcher view to be part
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255
- $00{:}10{:}27.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29.490$  of the innate immune system.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255
- $00{:}10{:}29{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31{.}518$  And their activation of T cells
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}10{:}31{.}518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}32{.}870$  and T cell responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}10{:}32.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35.040$  So the question really is is what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}10{:}35{.}040$  -->  $00{:}10{:}37{.}389$  the role of these various components NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}10{:}37{.}389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40{.}077$  in anti cancer immune responses and?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:10:40.080 --> 00:10:42.104 It's useful to have an idea of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}10{:}42{.}104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}43{.}744$  we're talking about here in terms NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:10:43.744 \rightarrow 00:10:45.358$  of what the components might be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}10{:}45.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}47.292$  There's a lot of confusion and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}10{:}47.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49.429$  a lot of debate as to what.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:10:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.314$  Sort of subsets of things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}10{:}51{.}314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}52{.}570$  are related to macrophyllus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}10{:}52{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54{.}768$  I'm not going to get into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:10:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.654$  It's not enough time to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:10:56.654 \rightarrow 00:10:57.910$  fully go into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}10{:}57{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}59{.}690$  In this session there's different

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:10:59.690 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.470$  subsets of dendritic cells which

- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255
- $00:11:01.524 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.246$  a few of which are labeled here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255
- $00:11:03.250 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.490$  Neutrophils are granulocytes down
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255
- $00:11:04.490 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.350$  the bottom here and then there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255
- $00:11:06.400 \rightarrow 00:11:08.110$  are some components of cells that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255
- 00:11:08.110 --> 00:11:09.250 are derived from lymphoid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504
- $00{:}11{:}09{.}307 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}11{.}724$  precursors, but kind of have some aspects
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504
- 00:11:11.724 --> 00:11:13.963 of innate immunity in that they may
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504
- $00:11:13.963 \rightarrow 00:11:16.112$  or may not have the memory response.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504
- $00{:}11{:}16.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}18.034$  It's debated with some of these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504
- $00:11:18.034 \rightarrow 00:11:20.338$  and also they have the ability to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504
- $00{:}11{:}20{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}22{.}090$  Rapid respond to certain common
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504
- $00:11:22.090 \rightarrow 00:11:23.490$  molecular signatures which typically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504
- 00:11:23.490 --> 00:11:25.249 B&T cells don't do as regularly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504
- $00{:}11{:}25{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27{.}126$  so these are kind of a little
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504
- $00:11:27.126 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.195$  bit in between depending on what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:11:29.195 --> 00:11:30.795 aspect you're talking about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}11{:}30{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32{.}768$  might fall in between the two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:11:32.770 --> 00:11:34.822 Errands group has also found some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:11:34.822 \rightarrow 00:11:36.190$  really interesting therapies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}11{:}36{.}241 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37{.}666$  stimulate NK cells the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:11:37.670 --> 00:11:39.626 When I was talking about you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}11{:}39{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41{.}786$  wait for his talk to do that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:11:41.786 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.230$  more and more depth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}11{:}43{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}45{.}732$  and he may have talked a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:11:45.732 \rightarrow 00:11:48.139$  that during this grand rounds recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:11:48.140 --> 00:11:50.144 But I think there's a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:11:50.144 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.950$  of a story there that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}11{:}51{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}54{.}266$  And certainly can follow up with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:11:54.270 \rightarrow 00:11:56.520$  So the question with innate immunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:11:56.520 \rightarrow 00:11:59.487$  has been for awhile as is it actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:11:59.487 \rightarrow 00:12:01.575$  fighting cancer or is it promoting

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504
- $00:12:01.651 \rightarrow 00:12:03.527$  cancer with certain aspects?

 $00{:}12{:}03.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.057$  and I think most people would view

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}12{:}06.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08.433$  most components of the innate immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:08.433 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.473$  system to be promoting cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}12{:}10.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12{.}410$  at least in some level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:12.410 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.720$  And how might we know that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:12:14.720 --> 00:12:15.056 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:15.056 \rightarrow 00:12:17.408$  in certain cancer types where as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:17.408 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.634$  pathologist one sees something called

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:19.634 \rightarrow 00:12:21.678$  metaplasia. So at the junction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:21.678 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.000$  Of the posterior aspect of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}12{:}24.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25.479$  vagina and cervix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:25.480 \rightarrow 00:12:27.778$  There's typically there can be inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:27.780 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.078$  depending on the status of HP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.000$  The other things like that,

 $00{:}12{:}32{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}34{.}025$  which results in inflammation being

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:12:34.025 --> 00:12:36.050 chronically present at that site

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:12:36.119<br/>  $-\!>$  00:12:37.623 and for gas<br/>troesophageal reflux

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}12{:}37.623 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}39.879$  once he's also these changes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:12:39.939 --> 00:12:41.729 inflammation and alteration of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}12{:}41.729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.216$  cell types that are there that are NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}12{:}44.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}45.956$  associated with higher rates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}12{:}45{.}956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}48{.}129$  cancer in those particular spots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:12:48.130 --> 00:12:50.433 Also in a variety of models where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:50.433 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.360$  when you induce inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}12{:}52{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54{.}748$  it tends to be cancer promoting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}12{:}54{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}57{.}000$  And the thought process that few

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}12{:}57{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00{.}497$  people feel is is at at work there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:00.497 \rightarrow 00:13:02.940$  that some of these inflammatory cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:02.940 \rightarrow 00:13:05.280$  like macrophages, secrete things like veg,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}13{:}05{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07{.}793$  F or other factors that are associated

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504
- $00{:}13{:}07{.}793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}09{.}689$  with growth or angiogenesis which

 $00:13:09.689 \rightarrow 00:13:12.300$  then allow cancers to Co op that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:13:12.300 --> 00:13:14.901 and then grow out and myeloid

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:14.901 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.197$  derived suppressor cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:13:16.200 - 00:13:18.540 Or the probably related M2 quote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:13:18.540 --> 00:13:20.692 Unquote subset of Macro

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:13:20.692 --> 00:13:22.790 Fages and in certain cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:13:22.790 --> 00:13:23.180 neutrophils,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:23.180 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.508$  which might also be viewed as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:25.508 \rightarrow 00:13:26.672$  the granulocytic MDC's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:26.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.232$  have been described as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:28.232 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.396$  being potentially tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:29.400 \rightarrow 00:13:31.012$  promoting by growth restriction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}13{:}31{.}012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33{.}854$  but also that they actively suppress the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:33.854 \rightarrow 00:13:36.008$  function of the adaptive immune system.

 $00{:}13{:}36{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}39{.}350$  And there are ways you can test this ex vivo

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:13:39.434 --> 00:13:42.626 and looking at T cell proliferation assay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:42.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.832$  zan secretion of cytokines, things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}13{:}44.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47.290$  these cells might do against tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}13{:}47.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}49.290$  It's well established that natural

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.690$  killer cells have a large role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:13:51.690 --> 00:13:52.012 Uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}13{:}52.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.944$  in eliminate ING cells that don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:13:53.944 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:13:55.925 have MHT class one expressed on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}13{:}55{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}58{.}137$  their surface and this is a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}13{:}58{.}201 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}00{.}756$  bit variable in terms of the balance

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}14{:}00{.}756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02{.}548$  between inhibitory and activating receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}14{:}02{.}548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04{.}956$  But there are thought to be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:14:04.956 \rightarrow 00:14:06.610$  primary way where this occurs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:14:06.610 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.966$  and obviously they're called

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:14:07.966 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.000$  natural killer cells for a reason.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504
- 00:14:10.000 --> 00:14:12.704 They actually kill in a variety of Contexts,

 $00{:}14{:}12.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}14.738$  so some of those contexts can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:14:14.738 --> 00:14:15.752 be against cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}14{:}15.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17.839$  and there's also this thought that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

00:14:17.839 --> 00:14:19.616 certain subtype of macrophages can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}14{:}19.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}21.696$  also participate in killing responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

00:14:21.700 --> 00:14:23.996 Either through respiratory burst

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:14:23.996 \rightarrow 00:14:26.866$  activity or secretion of cytokines

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}14{:}26.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}29.507$  locally in the micro environments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

00:14:29.510 --> 00:14:31.994 And so it's been attractive hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}14{:}31{.}994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}35{.}772$  for a while to try to target cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}14{:}35{.}772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38{.}268$  seem to be promoting cancer formation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}14{:}38{.}268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}41{.}715$  and a few ways of doing that have been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}14{:}41.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43.760$  It's been known for a while,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}14{:}43.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45.380$  but the colonist stimulating

 $00:14:45.380 \rightarrow 00:14:46.595$  factor 1 pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}14{:}46.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49.700$  so CSF one and its receptor CSF one R are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}14{:}49{.}784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53{.}120$  very very important and Macrophiles Biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}14{:}53{.}120$  -->  $00{:}14{:}56{.}510$  One way this was known as there is the so NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:14:56.602 \rightarrow 00:15:00.249$  called osteopetrosis model of the opi model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}15{:}00{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}03{.}026$  In which CSF one is an inactive illegal NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:03.026 \rightarrow 00:15:06.694$  in my so my Sutter home was I get for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:06.694 \rightarrow 00:15:09.417$  that particular allele oven on fully

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}15{:}09{.}417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11{.}812$  functional CSF one Lac macrophages?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}15{:}11.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}13.810$  They also lack macrophage related

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

00:15:13.810 --> 00:15:15.004 cells like osteoclast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}15{:}15{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17{.}368$  that remodel bone and teeth so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}15{:}17.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}20.199$  these are hard nice to keep around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}15{:}20{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22{.}671$  Then I'll talk about them in just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

00:15:22.671 --> 00:15:25.418 a second a little bit more but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:25.418 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.824$  that's one idea about how this

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676
- 00:15:27.909 --> 00:15:30.795 pathway is relevant for Macro Fages.

00:15:30.800 - 00:15:31.176 Um?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}15{:}31{.}176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33{.}432$  And so there are small molecule

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:33.432 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.722$  inhibitors that this is a receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:35.722 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.900$  tyrosine kinase that it can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:37.900 \rightarrow 00:15:39.916$  inhibited by small molecules and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}15{:}39{.}916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41{.}896$  it's also antibodies that block

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:41.896 \dashrightarrow 00:15:43.696$  this receptor tyrosine kinase an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}15{:}43.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46.567$  We've used both of these in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}15{:}46.567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48.697$  of preclinical modeling and I'll talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}15{:}48.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}51.097$  about a clinical trial at the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:51.100 \rightarrow 00:15:52.888$  It's currently underway at Yale and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}15{:}52{.}888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}55{.}364$  you could have either of these two

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}15{:}55{.}364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56{.}996$  activities that's actually inhibited

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:56.996 \rightarrow 00:15:58.490$  and somewhat disappointingly CSF.

 $00{:}15{:}58{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}00{.}569$  One R inhibitors as single agents have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}16{:}00{.}569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}02{.}790$  really not been particularly effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}16{:}02.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04.610$  There's one indication which I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}16{:}04{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}06{.}430$  believe their FDA approved for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

00:16:06.497 --> 00:16:08.464 it to so called giant cell tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}16{:}08{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10{.}468$  which is really composed of macrophages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}16{:}10.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12.798$  But I think they've been negative in all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:16:12.798 \rightarrow 00:16:15.476$  or nearly all other single agent indications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:16:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.320$  There typically also negative in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

00:16:17.320 --> 00:16:19.529 combination with anti PD one blockade

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}16{:}19{.}529 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}21{.}985$  and one of the issues with studies of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}16{:}21.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.166$  this type is did the drug actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:16:26.180 --> 00:16:28.555 Affectively inhibit macrophages or even

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:16:28.555 --> 00:16:30.455 deplete macrofossils were typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:16:30.455 \rightarrow 00:16:33.442$  very hard to deplete and so this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:16:33.442 \rightarrow 00:16:35.136$  also called pharmacodynamics to see

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00:16:35.136 \rightarrow 00:16:37.166$  if your drug had the intended effect,

 $00{:}16{:}37{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}39{.}858$  and I think sometimes it's been a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}16{:}39{.}858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}42{.}171$  little less clearer that it's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:16:42.171 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.705$  full effect as opposed to a partial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:16:44.782 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.026$  effect for some of these drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}16{:}47.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49.846$  So can we use preclinical models to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:16:49.846 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.710$  define a role for makefiles in cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}16{:}52{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}55{.}531$  I had described an approach before with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}16{:}55{.}531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58{.}130$  those Kaplan Meier plots where we use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:16:58.130 --> 00:16:59.093 Antibodies to deplete,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:16:59.093 --> 00:17:01.020 for instance CDA, positive T cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:17:01.020 --> 00:17:03.571 CD 4 positive T cells, or NK cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:17:03.571 --> 00:17:05.156 Well, those approaches don't tend

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:17:05.156 --> 00:17:07.438 to work very well for macro fibers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}17{:}07{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}10{.}248$  and even using the anti CSF one R and NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:10.248 \rightarrow 00:17:12.899$  nobody even with the right type of IgG,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:12.900 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.820$  that would be typically more depleting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:17:14.820 --> 00:17:17.388 doesn't really tend to work in this subset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:17.390 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.985$  The genetic models which are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}17{:}18{.}985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}20{.}920$  actually probably not bad for this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}17{:}20{.}920$  -->  $00{:}17{:}23{.}304$  and the Mets it off lab and others NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}17{:}23.304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}25.740$  have used these in a cancer context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}17{:}25.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}27.595$  These are very hard models to work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:27.595 \dashrightarrow 00:17:29.959$  with as I mentioned before because. NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.658$  Even the teeth don't form properly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:17:31.660 --> 00:17:33.075 they don't breed particularly well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}17{:}33.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}34.490$  suited to feed themselves Chow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:17:34.490 - 00:17:36.464 You have to really, really baby them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:36.470 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.964$  like a watch them very closely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}17{:}37{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39{.}651$  to actually do a full experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:39.651 \rightarrow 00:17:41.559$  and then doing cohort type work.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00:17:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.258$  It is difficult 'cause they don't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00{:}17{:}43.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}44.680$  tend to live particularly long,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00:17:44.680 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.680$  even postnatally.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00:17:46.680 \rightarrow 00:17:48.468$  And you can deplete in macrophages
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00{:}17{:}48.468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.010$  from spleen and peripheral blood,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00:17:50.010 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.094$  but within the tumor,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00:17:51.094 \rightarrow 00:17:53.350$  if you look at them pretty carefully,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00:17:53.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.168$  they tend not to have been
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00:17:55.168 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.380$  depleted in those areas,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00:17:56.380 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.198$  so this is an area obviously
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00:17:58.198 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.410$  of interest in growth,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00{:}17{:}59{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}01{.}335$  so it's hard to know what the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00:18:01.335 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.350$  real role of these things are,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00{:}18{:}03{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}06{.}068$  but we have done some work looking at CSF.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00{:}18{:}06{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}08{.}310$  One R Inhibitors and we published a few
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

- $00{:}18{:}08{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}10.620$  years back with Mark Smith from Brisbane,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- 00:18:10.620 --> 00:18:12.490 Australia.

 $00{:}18{:}12.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}15.185$  A drug that Plexxikon had developed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:18:15.185 --> 00:18:17.290 wasn't specific just for CSF one R,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:18:17.290 \rightarrow 00:18:19.348$  but that was its highest potency

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}18{:}19{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20{.}720$  towards that particular receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:18:20.720 --> 00:18:23.256 And one thing I'd like to bring your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}18{:}23{.}256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25{.}506$  attention to is that wouldn't it be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}18{:}25{.}506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27{.}903$  great if there were human models where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}18{:}27{.}903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}30{.}231$  you could actually see an effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:18:30.231 --> 00:18:32.714 anti cancer immune response and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:18:32.714 \rightarrow 00:18:34.418$  could actually deplete macrophages?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}18{:}34{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35{.}218$  And we think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}18{:}35{.}218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}37{.}080$  and we hope that we may have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}18{:}37{.}147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}39{.}047$  developed something like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:18:39.050 \rightarrow 00:18:41.129$  And this is with my colleague vision

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00:18:41.129 \rightarrow 00:18:43.167$  with zombie who directs the Center
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00{:}18{:}43{.}167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}45{.}339$  for precision cancer modeling at Yale,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00{:}18{:}45{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47{.}657$  sort of preclinical testing core at Yale,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00{:}18{:}47.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}49.646$  in which we've taken tumor fragments.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00{:}18{:}49{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51{.}300$  And we were seeing full
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00{:}18{:}51{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}52{.}290$  checkpoint inhibitor response,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- 00:18:52.290 --> 00:18:53.666 including elimination of tumor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00{:}18{:}53.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55.730$  cells within four or five days
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00:18:55.792 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.257$  in a fully indictro model,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00{:}18{:}57{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}58{.}910$  this has been mouse first,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00{:}18{:}58{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01{.}136$  but we're trying to build this up
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00{:}19{:}01{.}136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}03{.}513$  and towards a human setting an the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00:19:03.513 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.518$  overall goal is to, for instance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00{:}19{:}05{.}518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}08{.}110$  Flow sort the cells that make up these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581
- $00:19:08.181 \rightarrow 00:19:10.677$  tumors and deplete macrophages that way,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:19:10.680 \rightarrow 00:19:12.710$  which will work in terms of getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:19:12.710 --> 00:19:14.950 rid of those and putting back the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:19:14.950 --> 00:19:16.906 components that you think will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:19:16.974 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.666$  important for these anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:19:18.666 --> 00:19:19.935 cancer immune responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:19:19.940 --> 00:19:21.655 So stupid too and hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:19:21.655 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.370$  that will be something else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}19{:}23{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}25{.}090$  Hear more about with overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:19:25.090 --> 00:19:27.407 So one thing I talk about briefly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}19{:}27{.}407 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30{.}212$  now too is CD 40 as a target

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:19:30.212 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.942$  which is on dendritic cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:19:31.950 \rightarrow 00:19:34.344$  macrophages and to some extent other cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:19:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.610$  including in the filial cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}19{:}36{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}38{.}978$  And CD 40 Los results in a B

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:19:38.978 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.939$  cell class switching defect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:19:40.940 --> 00:19:43.467 But it's been developed as an agonist

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355
- 00:19:43.467 --> 00:19:45.633 CD 40 antibody, not a blocking.

 $00:19:45.633 \rightarrow 00:19:46.716$  Anybody want it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:19:46.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.803$  Stimulates this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:19:47.803 --> 00:19:49.247 receptor and Bob Vonderheide?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:19:49.250 --> 00:19:52.130 Who is the Cancer Center director at Penn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}19{:}52{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}55{.}018$  has been developing this for over 10 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}19{:}55{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}56{.}795$  For pancreatic cancer and with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:19:56.795 --> 00:19:58.215 the former colleague Sukach

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}19{:}58{.}215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00{.}180$  and also with Catherine Miller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:20:00.180 --> 00:20:01.158 And more recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:01.158 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.462$  we've published preclinical models

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}20{:}02{.}462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}04{.}150$  looking at Agona CD 40 therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}20{:}04{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06{.}590$  and I'd say at this point in time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}20{:}06{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08{.}110$  the mechanism isn't entirely clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:20:08.110 --> 00:20:10.028 Although we went into that a little

 $00:20:10.028 \rightarrow 00:20:12.079$  bit with both of these manuscripts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}20{:}12.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}13.730$  But one thing that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:13.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.430$  see here is that agonist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:20:15.430 --> 00:20:17.630 CD 40 plus anti PD one blockade in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:20:17.630 --> 00:20:20.021 CSF one R blockade works a lot better

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:20.021 \rightarrow 00:20:22.448$  than any of the other drugs alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}20{:}22{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}24{.}885$  so it has almost 80% cure rates and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:24.885 \rightarrow 00:20:27.020$  this is the younger model as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}20{:}27{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28{.}976$  And then the doublet the rapies were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}20{:}28{.}976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}31{.}398$  PD one plus CD 40 and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}20{:}31{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}34{.}040$  Also, don't work as well as the triple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}20{:}34.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}36.070$  although in humans will see in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:36.070 \rightarrow 00:20:38.330$  second that may be slightly different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:38.330 \rightarrow 00:20:40.640$  but we're seeing this is pretty promising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.650$  Prickly on clinical evidence to support

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:42.650 \rightarrow 00:20:44.270$  using combination therapies with CD40.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355
- $00:20:44.270 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.268$  One of the things that striking

 $00:20:46.268 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.600$  with this particular therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:20:47.663 --> 00:20:49.218 relative to PD one blockade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:20:49.220 --> 00:20:51.200 or PD1 plus ETA four blockade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:51.200 \rightarrow 00:20:53.970$  Here's the T sne plot of a single cell RNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}20{:}54.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}56.810$  seq experiment where you have two samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}20{:}56.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}59.555$  one of which is a mouse which had an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}20{:}59{.}555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}02{.}047$  injection subcutaneously of a tumor model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}21{:}02{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}04{.}521$  Seven day or eight days before and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:21:04.521 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.309$  then one day prior to this harvest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}21{:}07{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}09{.}566$  mice for either treated with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}21{:}09{.}566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11{.}070$  three drug the rapeutic protocol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:21:11.070 --> 00:21:12.950 This-is Agassi, 40 anti PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}21{:}12{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}16{.}118$  one anti CSF 1R versus not treated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}21{:}16.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}19.715$  for those of you who look at TI sneak lots.

 $00:21:19.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.600$  What's striking here is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}21{:}21{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}23{.}104$  there's almost no overlap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}21{:}23.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}25.735$  the T cell areas are down here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:21:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.956$  You can see by the Vijay areas over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:21:27.956 \rightarrow 00:21:31.065$  here and here that there's really huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:21:31.065 \rightarrow 00:21:33.053$  expression profiling differences between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}21{:}33.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}34.925$  The various components of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:21:34.925 --> 00:21:36.417 tumor micro environments and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}21{:}36{.}417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}38{.}438$  we're currently chasing that down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:21:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.968$  There's also differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:21:39.968 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.114$  clona type representation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:21:41.120 --> 00:21:45.359 which I won't have time to go into here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:21:45.360 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.978$  And so just to show a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:21:47.978 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.849$  bit of pathology as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:21:49.850 --> 00:21:51.720 PD one treat tumors don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:21:51.720 \rightarrow 00:21:53.590$  look that different from this,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355
- $00:21:53.590 \rightarrow 00:21:55.718$  which is one day after initiation of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355
- $00:21:55.718 \rightarrow 00:21:58.025$  there might be some slightly increased
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355
- 00:21:58.025 --> 00:22:00.689 lymphocytes but not really extensive death,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355
- $00:22:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.940$  but with the CD 40 agonist
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355
- 00:22:02.940 --> 00:22:03.690 containing therapies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355
- $00:22:03.690 \rightarrow 00:22:04.851$  we see Thromboses.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355
- 00:22:04.851 -> 00:22:06.786 We see extensive cell death
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355
- $00:22:06.786 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.169$  even within one day,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355
- $00:22:08.170 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.534$  and the regression profile is you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355
- $00:22:10.534 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.679$  can see over here on the right is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355
- 00:22:13.679 00:22:15.905 different from what we see with.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094
- 00:22:15.910 --> 00:22:17.821 Uh Anti CTF War anti PD one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094
- $00:22:17.821 \rightarrow 00:22:19.280$  sort of combination therapies?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094
- $00{:}22{:}19{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}21{.}260$  So there's something that's unique here
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094
- $00{:}22{:}21{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23{.}610$  which also seems to have a vascular
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:23.610 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.554$  component which we don't see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:25.554 \rightarrow 00:22:27.447$  typically with those other therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}22{:}27{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}29{.}898$  So an interesting thing too is that we tend

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:29.898 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.596$  to think about effects of immune therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}22{:}32{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}34{.}532$  We tend to think mostly on

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}22{:}34{.}532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}35{.}498$  adaptive immune the rapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:35.500 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.747$  This is an image and a rag.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:22:37.750 - 00:22:39.682 My switch when we gave CD

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}22{:}39.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}40.970$  40 agonist the rapy issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:40.970 \rightarrow 00:22:43.175$  we actually saw more toxicity in rag

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}22{:}43.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45.479$  mice then we saw on while typing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:45.480 \rightarrow 00:22:48.378$  I'm trying to figure out why that might be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:48.380 \rightarrow 00:22:50.306$  including in Forks in the liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:50.310 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.445$  and so he's her F 480 positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:52.445 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.498$  kupfer cells in the control rag,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:54.500 \rightarrow 00:22:56.852$  mouse liver and one day after treatment

 $00{:}22{:}56.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}59.835$  with Agnes CD 40 you can see that extensive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:22:59.840 --> 00:23:02.036 A mini granuloma formation of discover

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:23:02.036 --> 00:23:04.648 cells was slightly larger granulomas as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:23:04.650 --> 00:23:06.206 Interesting high dose steroid

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:06.206 \rightarrow 00:23:08.151$  treatment prevents this from happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:08.151 \rightarrow 00:23:10.199$  even in the absence of lymphocytes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:10.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.420$  so there's a innate immune dependent

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.530$  aggregation of histiocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}23{:}13{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15{.}465$  Also seeing large differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:15.465 \rightarrow 00:23:17.013$  the histiocyte expression profiles

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:17.013 \rightarrow 00:23:18.340$  on a single seller,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:23:18.340 --> 00:23:19.309 and I see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}23{:}19{.}309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}22{.}410$  but I'd say that's a work in progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}23{:}22{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25{.}021$  One of the things we do see

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:25.021 \rightarrow 00:23:27.339$  systemically is you can see here's

 $00:23:27.339 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.950$  cry about a 1000 to 10,000 fold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:29.950 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.897$  Increase in the chemo kind CX CL-10,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}23{:}32{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}35{.}483$  which is a factor that recruits lymphocytes

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}23{:}35{.}483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37{.}611$  to the tumor microenvironment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}23{:}37{.}611 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}40{.}473$  you're seeing a large extension that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}23{:}40{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}43{.}350$  with the triple the rapy and so some

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:23:43.350 --> 00:23:46.369 mechanism for the CD 40 agonist therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:46.370 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.475$  it's more rapid than what

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}23{:}48{.}475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}49{.}738$  we're seeing elsewhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:49.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.631$  We see a real big up regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}23{:}52{.}631 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54{.}790$  and systemic cytokines from Serum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:54.790 \rightarrow 00:23:58.158$  We're not sure exactly which cell type yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:23:58.160 --> 00:23:59.480 although macrophages and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}23{:}59{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00{.}800$  Dicesar certainly candidates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}24{:}00{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02{.}576$  We're interested in the vascular effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:24:02.576 \rightarrow 00:24:04.699$  were seeing next to endothelial cells,

 $00{:}24{:}04.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}07.193$  and I would say that this sort of suggests

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}24{:}07{.}193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}09{.}248$  that cytokine cycling is obsolete.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:24:09.250 --> 00:24:09.575 Very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:24:09.575 \rightarrow 00:24:11.200$  very important in these responses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}24{:}11{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}13{.}264$  and that we will be getting a new

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}24{:}13.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15.267$  you 01 grant with Catherine Miller

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}24{:}15{.}267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17{.}811$  Jensen as the contact P and me

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:24:17.811 -> 00:24:19.899 as a secondary API to evaluate

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:24:19.899 \rightarrow 00:24:21.273$  single cell cytokine secretion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:24:21.273 --> 00:24:22.888 So RNA levels don't typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:24:22.888 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.530$  aren't very accurate for these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}24{:}24{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26{.}896$  An actual looking at each cell and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}24{:}26.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}28.890$  what cytokines it makes will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}24{:}28{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}31{.}025$  helpful in the last minute or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:24:31.030 - 00:24:32.486 I will briefly discuss.

00:24:32.486 --> 00:24:35.336 This is part of spore project for in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}24{:}35{.}336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}37{.}696$  our skin support and this is a trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}24{:}37{.}773$  -->  $00{:}24{:}40{.}869$  that as led by Harriet cougar and Sarah Wise, NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}24{:}40{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}43{.}574$  in which an agonist CD 40 the rapy is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:24:43.574 --> 00:24:45.605 combined with anti PD one and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}24{:}45{.}605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}48{.}075$  an anti CSF one R therapy and this NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:24:48.075 \rightarrow 00:24:50.307$  is in patients that have progressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}24{:}50{.}307 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52{.}720$  on PD one blockade in Melanoma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}24{:}52{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}55{.}191$  also non small cell lung cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:24:55.191 --> 00:24:57.620 renal cell carcinoma and I will kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}24{:}57.687 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00.207$  of go through this so we make sure we

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}25{:}00{.}207 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}03{.}458$  have enough time for the second talk as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}25{:}03.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}04.832$  Here's a brief description

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:25:04.832 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.890$  of the cohorts that are here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:25:06.890 \rightarrow 00:25:09.417$  and we're going to move through this

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:25:09.417 \rightarrow 00:25:11.791$  relatively rapidly and get to some of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094
- $00{:}25{:}11.791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}13.627$  the neat stuff and mucosal Melanoma

 $00:25:13.693 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.468$  is notoriously hard to treat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:15.470 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.522$  tends not to have really high

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:17.522 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.582$  mutation burdens, and here is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:19.582 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.640$  patient who had progressed on C5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}25{:}21.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}23.350$  four plus PD one blockade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:23.350 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.606$  and you can see multiple liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:25.606 \rightarrow 00:25:27.772$  lesions that actually cleared by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

00:25:27.772 --> 00:25:29.865 addition of giving an agonist CD 40,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}25{:}29{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32{.}670$  so the two patients I'm showing here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}25{:}32.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.079$  didn't necessarily have the anti CSF 1 R.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}25{:}35{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37{.}624$  It had very clear responses after a PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}25{:}37.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}40.174$  one failure or PD1 Pussy clip for further.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}25{:}40.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}42.679$  So here's a couple more cases where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}25{:}42.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}45.046$  there's a lesion here that's disappeared

 $00:25:45.046 \rightarrow 00:25:47.888$  in a couple other lesions here that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}25{:}47{.}958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50{.}156$  are not present at a later time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:50.160 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.631$  So this is a trial again by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

00:25:52.631 --> 00:25:54.620 Harriet cougar and Sara Weiss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:54.620 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.480$  Part export project for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:56.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.340$  phase one is moving forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}25{:}58{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00{.}818$  I think the decisions now or whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}26{:}00{.}818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}04{.}172$  or not to have the CSF one R inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}26{:}04{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}07{.}270$  around for the next phases of the trial. NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}26{:}07{.}270$  -->  $00{:}26{:}09{.}615$  But one thing that was interesting is NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}26{:}09{.}615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}12{.}478$  that we are seeing a similar cytokine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:26:12.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.783$  Profiling is what we see in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}26{:}14.783 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}16.570$  mice with dramatic elevations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

00:26:16.570 --> 00:26:18.958 Avxl 10 in the triple therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}26{:}18.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20.550$  group with some elevations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:26:20.550 \rightarrow 00:26:22.811$  In Co works that happened to have

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111
- 00:26:22.811 --> 00:26:24.759 higher levels of agonist CD 40,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111
- $00{:}26{:}24.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27.384$  and so these are the conclusions that I've
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111
- 00:26:27.384 --> 00:26:29.949 I've already mentioned to you along the way,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111
- $00:26:29.950 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.924$  and one thing I'd really briefly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111
- $00:26:31.924 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.463$  like to say is that as part of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111
- 00:26:34.463 --> 00:26:36.750 the Yale Center for me on Koleji,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111
- $00{:}26{:}36.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}38.862$  we're starting a list of a set of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111
- $00:26:38.862 \rightarrow 00:26:40.653$  working groups which are smaller
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111
- $00{:}26{:}40.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}42.257$  groups around particular complex,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111
- $00:26:42.260 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.204$  and we're trying to be inclusive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111
- $00:26:44.204 \rightarrow 00:26:45.500$  in these working groups,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111
- $00{:}26{:}45{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}48{.}182$  and I would suggest that you go to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111
- $00:26:48.182 \rightarrow 00:26:50.559$  website through Yale Cancer Center and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111
- 00:26:50.560 --> 00:26:51.272 Elisa Matthews,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111
- $00:26:51.272 \rightarrow 00:26:52.340$  which was ALLYSIA,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}26{:}52{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}54{.}818$  is the person who is a scientific

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

00:26:54.818 --> 00:26:55.526 program director.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}26{:}55{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58{.}864$  She can get you set up so you can join some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}26{:}58.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01.916$  of these groups should you be interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}27{:}01{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}04{.}086$  And with that I'll just acknowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

00:27:04.086 --> 00:27:05.830 especially arena quick by Eva,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:27:05.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.066$  who's in my lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:27:07.066 \rightarrow 00:27:10.800$  who has done a lot of the pre clinical work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}27{:}10.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}12.924$  All of the trial work and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:27:12.924 \rightarrow 00:27:13.986$  writing and managing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}27{:}13.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}16.120$  That's all Harriet Kluber Inserra wise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}27{:}16.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}18.542$  Earlier work with Sue Kevin I mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}27{:}18.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}21.100$  vision with Asami as part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:27:21.100 \rightarrow 00:27:22.556$  center precision cancer modeling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:27:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.345$  And I'll stop there and just for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

00:27:24.350 --> 00:27:25.048 I guess,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111
- $00:27:25.048 \rightarrow 00:27:26.793$  brief minute we can potentially

 $00:27:26.793 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.340$  take a question or two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}27{:}28{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28{.}740$ Work

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:27:28.740 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.925$  is thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:27:29.925 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.295$  That's a terrific body of work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

00:27:32.300 --> 00:27:34.676 Yet let me ask a somewhat

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:27:34.676 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.468$  complicated question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

00:27:35.470 --> 00:27:37.050 Instead of multiple parts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:27:37.050 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.684$  which is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00{:}27{:}38.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40.794$  you've clearly shown that targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00{:}27{:}40.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43.078$  an innate immunity for this sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

00:27:43.078 --> 00:27:45.290 of PD one PD L1 responsive cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00{:}27{:}45.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}47.739$  potentially moves the needle higher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00{:}27{:}47.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}49.910$  realizing that within that cohort

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00{:}27{:}49{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52{.}502$  there are patients who may respond

00:27:52.502 --> 00:27:55.262 to just PD one alone or PT1 hippie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00{:}27{:}55{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}56{.}966$  or things like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:27:56.966 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.086$  And so how do you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:27:59.090 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.568$  How do you see the work you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:28:01.568 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.020$  doing help differentiate that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00{:}28{:}03{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05{.}162$  Or do we just give every one

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:28:05.162 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.590$  sort of the combination?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:28:06.590 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.172$  Then Secondly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00{:}28{:}07{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09{.}500$  is a related note for the tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:28:09.559 \rightarrow 00:28:11.459$  are not actually really benefiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00{:}28{:}11.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}13.359$  and meaningfully from the current

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

00:28:13.424 --> 00:28:15.160 checkpoint inhibitors you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00{:}28{:}15.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17.729$  Where do you see this approach working

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:28:17.729 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.150$  in that subset of tumors as well?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

00:28:21.290 --> 00:28:23.467 But I I think right now the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

00:28:23.467 -> 00:28:24.789 difficulty in evaluating new

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716
- $00:28:24.789 \rightarrow 00:28:26.404$  combinations of immune therapies is

 $00{:}28{:}26{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28{.}897$  that if you do a standard of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

00:28:28.900 --> 00:28:30.881 so your drug plus PD one blockade

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

00:28:30.881 --> 00:28:32.699 versus PD one blockade alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00{:}28{:}32.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35.087$  those trials 10, and that's the reference

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:28:35.087 \rightarrow 00:28:37.458$  trial that one might use at the end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:28:37.460 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.532$  take a very long time to complete

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:28:39.532 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.259$  and it takes a while with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00{:}28{:}41{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43{.}796$  Follow up to know what those results are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:28:43.800 \rightarrow 00:28:45.949$  Sort of the scenarios that I've just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00{:}28{:}45{.}949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47{.}895$  sort of illustrated at these an ecdotal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

00:28:47.895 --> 00:28:50.156 cases give one much better indication of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00{:}28{:}50{.}217 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}52{.}520$  whether there's some activity of an agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00{:}28{:}52{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}54{.}711$  And that's basically in the setting of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00{:}28{:}54{.}711 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56{.}898$  failure of response to existing the rapies.

 $00:28:56.900 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.301$  So in these cases it was PD

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

00:28:59.301 --> 00:29:01.619 one plus ETA 4 in one case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00{:}29{:}01{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}04{.}574$  which we use more commonly in Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

00:29:04.580 --> 00:29:06.060 But also just with PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00{:}29{:}06.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}07.836$  one failure in and of itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:07.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.320$  So in those clinical context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:09.320 \rightarrow 00:29:10.800$  which regrettably are still pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:10.800 \rightarrow 00:29:12.280$  common in many cancer types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00{:}29{:}12{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}14{.}450$  you have the opportunity to add on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00{:}29{:}14.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}16.419$  something like agonist CD 40 to evaluate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00{:}29{:}16{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}18{.}540$  Weather is what would really be nice to NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00{:}29{:}18{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}20{.}794$  have a biomarker to know when it would NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:20.794 \rightarrow 00:29:23.229$  be useful to use these other therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:23.230 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.281$  and that's sort of lacking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:25.281 \rightarrow 00:29:27.368$  at this time point I would say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:27.370 \rightarrow 00:29:28.965$  but having a better understanding

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716
- 00:29:28.965 --> 00:29:31.117 of how these things work would be

00:29:31.117 --> 00:29:32.853 one step in the second step might

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:32.853 \rightarrow 00:29:34.916$  be doing a more careful evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

00:29:34.920 --> 00:29:35.980 Immediately after you started

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:35.980 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.775$  this new therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00{:}29{:}36.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}39.084$  do you see the site of kind of response

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:39.084 \rightarrow 00:29:41.550$  that you would expect to see in a patient?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

00:29:41.550 --> 00:29:43.116 That's going to benefit and have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:43.116 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.737$  him earlier cut off if they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

00:29:44.737 --> 00:29:46.315 not going to along those lines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.910$  but I think those are some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00{:}29{:}47{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}48{.}970$  of the thoughts that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064048

 $00:29:48.970 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.090$  people are having at this one time and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064048

 $00{:}29{:}51{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}52{.}983$  one other question that you sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064048

 $00{:}29{:}52{.}983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}55{.}060$  alluded to at the end of your talk.

00:29:55.060 --> 00:29:57.412 I know you had a recent publication

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064048

 $00{:}29{:}57{.}412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}59{.}794$  sort of characterizing the sort of non

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064048

 $00{:}29{:}59{.}794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}01{.}434$  traditionally son exposed class of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064048

 $00{:}30{:}01{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}03{.}270$  With respect to the biology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064048

 $00{:}30{:}03{.}270$  -->  $00{:}30{:}05{.}159$  also their potential benefit or lack

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064048

 $00:30:05.159 \dashrightarrow 00:30:06.684$  of benefit for checkpoint editors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064048

 $00{:}30{:}06.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}08.762$  can you just to share a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064048

 $00:30:08.762 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.708$  bit of insight from that work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00{:}30{:}10.710$  -->  $00{:}30{:}13.110$  Yeah, I mean this was kind of nice NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00{:}30{:}13.110$  -->  $00{:}30{:}14.795$  here 'cause the mucosal Melanoma NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00{:}30{:}14.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}17.512$  that was the first case that we had NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00{:}30{:}17{.}512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}19{.}430$  shown in this would be an example NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00{:}30{:}19{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}21{.}192$  of a relatively low mutation burden NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00{:}30{:}21.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}23.380$  form of Melanoma is a pretty clear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00{:}30{:}23{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}24{.}965$  at least correlation with tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:30:24.965 \rightarrow 00:30:26.550$  with higher mutation version being

- NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106
- $00{:}30{:}26.599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}28.069$  a little bit more responsive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106
- $00:30:28.069 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.245$  to mean checkpoint hitters,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106
- $00:30:29.250 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.300$  but it turns out that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106
- $00{:}30{:}31{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}33{.}463$  There's a number of people in different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106
- $00:30:33.463 \rightarrow 00:30:35.614$  venues that are looking for tumors
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106
- $00:30:35.614 \rightarrow 00:30:37.549$  that might have chromosomal changes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106
- 00:30:37.550 00:30:39.240 which are typically more common
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106
- $00:30:39.240 \dashrightarrow 00:30:41.307$  in low sun damage melanomas that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106
- $00{:}30{:}41{.}307 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}42{.}882$  those might induce trans locations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106
- $00:30:42.882 \dashrightarrow 00:30:45.179$  and sort of not like transcripts.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106
- $00{:}30{:}45.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}47.082$  That sort of have random proteins
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106
- $00:30:47.082 \rightarrow 00:30:48.752$  that are expressed at reasonably
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106
- $00:30:48.752 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.762$  high levels that might be very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106
- $00:30:50.762 \dashrightarrow 00:30:52.809$  good targets for immune the rapies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106
- $00:30:52.810 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.892$  so it's not just whether you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:30:54.892 \rightarrow 00:30:56.630$  have mutation burn or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:30:56.630 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.094$  it just whether you have antigens that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:30:59.094 \dashrightarrow 00:31:01.668$  your T cells can recognize or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:31:01.670 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.056$  And right now we're not that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:31:03.056 \rightarrow 00:31:04.659$  great in any level of recognizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:31:04.659 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.209$  which cancers those might be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:31:06.210 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.545$  and it'll probably be different

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:31:07.545 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.346$  for every patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00{:}31{:}08{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}09{.}946$  so you can't just say well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:31:09.950 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.285$  this person has this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:31:11.285 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.620$  peptide expressed or so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:31:12.620 \rightarrow 00:31:14.440$  It's also their HLA haplotype and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00{:}31{:}14.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}16.890$  a lot of things along that that go into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:31:16.890 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.360$  Whether or not they'll be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:31:18.360 \dashrightarrow 00:31:19.830$  to form a productive response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102248

 $00:31:21.790 \rightarrow 00:31:24.490$  Well, thank you and thank you for that talk.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102248
- 00:31:24.490 --> 00:31:26.296 Why don't we will turn it over

 $00{:}31{:}26.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}28.089$  now to our second speaker?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102248

00:31:28.090 --> 00:31:29.590 As I mentioned, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102248

 $00{:}31{:}29{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}31{.}494$  clear area of priority for the Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102248

00:31:31.494 --> 00:31:33.333 Center has been in computational biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102248

 $00{:}31{:}33{.}333 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}35{.}594$  and were really very fortunate to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102248

 $00:31:35.653 \rightarrow 00:31:37.447$  doctor more convene speaking to us.