WEBVTT

- NOTE duration:"00:56:51.0800000"
- NOTE recognizability:0.896
- NOTE language:en-us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.215 Good morning, everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:01.215 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.240$ Thank you for being here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:00:03.240 --> 00:00:06.072 Welcome to Grand Rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:06.072 \rightarrow 00:00:09.240$ This is the this Grand Rounds is

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:09.240 \rightarrow 00:00:10.693$ in a special location, obviously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:00:10.693 - > 00:00:13.304 because we are linked today to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:13.304 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.190$ first of what we hope will be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:00:16.190 --> 00:00:18.283 really successful series of annual

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:18.283 \rightarrow 00:00:20.200$ translational science retreats

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:00:20.200 -> 00:00:22.810 meant to highlight the amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:22.810 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.955$ resources that are present at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}00{:}25{.}955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28{.}637$ Cancer Centre for people who do

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:28.640 \rightarrow 00:00:32.590$ translational science and also to NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:32.590 \rightarrow 00:00:34.720$ highlight some of the amazing stories

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}00{:}34.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37.236$ that that have come out of this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}00{:}37{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}40{.}831$ And so no one better to to be our

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:40.831 \rightarrow 00:00:44.396$ inaugural speaker than Doctor Katie Politi.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:44.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.046$ Katie studied biology at the University of

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:00:47.046 --> 00:00:50.036 Pavia in Italy and then moved to New York,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:00:50.040 --> 00:00:52.640 obtaining her PhD in genetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:00:52.640 --> 00:00:54.200 at Columbia University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}00{:}54.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}56.180$ She then joined Harold Varmus's

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}00{:}56.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}58.355$ lab at Memorial Sloan Kettering

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}00{:}58.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01.292$ and began her life's work on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:01:01.292 \dashrightarrow 00:01:03.557$ molecular basis of lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:01:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.120$ She continues this work at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}01{:}05{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}07{.}458$ now as a professor in the Departments

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:01:07.458 \rightarrow 00:01:09.340$ of Pathology and Internal Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:01:09.340 \rightarrow 00:01:11.794$ in the section of Medical Oncology.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375
- $00:01:11.800 \rightarrow 00:01:13.834$ Her laboratory is focused on studying

 $00:01:13.834 \rightarrow 00:01:16.108$ the biology of lung cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}01{:}16.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}18.248$ uncovering mechanisms of resistance to

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:01:18.248 --> 00:01:20.013 targeted therapies and immunotherapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}01{:}20{.}013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}21.677$ in in this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}01{:}21.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25.665$ She's also got a keen knowledge of

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:01:25.665 \rightarrow 00:01:26.933$ essentially every mutation that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:01:26.933 \rightarrow 00:01:28.840$ ever been described in lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}01{:}28.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31.031$ And I know that doctors often call

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:01:31.031 \rightarrow 00:01:33.919$ her up and say what drug should I use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:01:33.920 --> 00:01:36.368 She Co leads the cancer signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:01:36.368 --> 00:01:37.592 networks research program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}01{:}37.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}39.700$ She's the scientific director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}01{:}39{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}41{.}800$ the Center for Thoracic Cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}01{:}41{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}43{.}900$ Co Director of the Yale Sport in

00:01:43.900 --> 00:01:45.597 Lung Cancer and recently elected

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}01{:}45.597 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.799$ to the ACR Board of Directors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}01{:}47.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50.010$ So we're really appreciative that

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:01:50.010 --> 00:01:52.999 you're going to kick us off today

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:01:53.000 --> 00:01:56.968 the the ID number there is to record

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:01:56.968 --> 00:01:59.145 your attendance and then we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}01{:}59{.}145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}01{.}515$ have questions both in the room

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:02:01.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.360$ and online when we're done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}02{:}05{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05{.}680$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

00:02:10.200 --> 00:02:11.880 Thank you very much, Barbara,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

 $00{:}02{:}11.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.600$ for that wonderful introduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

 $00:02:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.615$ and thank you very much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

00:02:16.615 --> 00:02:18.360 having me as a speaker today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

00:02:18.360 --> 00:02:20.640 It really always is, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

 $00{:}02{:}20.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.650$ very special to speak at one's own

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

 $00:02:23.650 \rightarrow 00:02:25.574$ institution and then especially

 $00{:}02{:}25.574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}28.064$ also associated with this first

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

 $00{:}02{:}28.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.680$ translational science retreat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

 $00:02:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.960$ So I'm really excited about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

00:02:31.960 --> 00:02:34.200 And today what I'm going to do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

 $00:02:34.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.660$ I'm going to tell you about some of NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

00:02:36.660 --> 00:02:39.212 the work that we've been doing over

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

 $00:02:39.212 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.914$ the past few years in the laboratory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9136039925

 $00:02:45.800 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.440$ These are my disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}02{:}49{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}52{.}143$ So we have a long standing interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:02:52.143 - > 00:02:54.919 in the lab on studying lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:02:54.920 --> 00:02:56.720 And as all of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}02{:}56{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}58{.}480$ there are several histological

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:02:58.480 \rightarrow 00:03:00.240$ subtypes of lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}03{:}00{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02{.}680$ But one of the things that we've learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:03:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.037$ over the past 20 or so years is that

 $00:03:05.040 \rightarrow 00:03:07.968$ lung cancer is not one entity and that NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00{:}03{:}07{.}968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10{.}850$ there are in addition to different NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00:03:10.850 \rightarrow 00:03:13.435$ histological subsets of the disease, NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00:03:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.841$ there are also are a variety of laser NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00:03:17.841 \rightarrow 00:03:20.967$ pointer of molecular subsets and in NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 00:03:20.967 --> 00:03:23.920 particular in lung adenocarcinoma. NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 00:03:23.920 --> 00:03:26.416 Through various sequencing efforts, NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00{:}03{:}26{.}416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29{.}536$ different mutations in genes that NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00{:}03{:}29{.}536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}32{.}170$ encode either receptor tyrosine NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00:03:32.170 \rightarrow 00:03:34.850$ kinases or downstream signaling NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 00:03:34.850 --> 00:03:37.116 components of receptor tyrosine NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00:03:37.116 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.244$ kinase signaling pathways that NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00:03:39.244 \rightarrow 00:03:41.771$ regulate cell proliferation and cell NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00:03:41.771 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.702$ survival have been identified as NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00:03:43.702 \rightarrow 00:03:45.478$ you can see here in this pie chart. NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 00:03:45.480 --> 00:03:48.061 And I think one of the things to

 $00:03:48.061 \rightarrow 00:03:49.766$ really highlight is what we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:03:49.766 --> 00:03:51.897 learned over the years is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}03{:}51{.}897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}54{.}792$ these mutations are in addition to

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:03:54.792 \rightarrow 00:03:56.826$ being molecular to establishing

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}03{:}56.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}58.756$ molecular subsets of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:03:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.555$ They really also are clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}04{:}01{.}555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}03{.}791$ relevant because different targeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}04{:}03.791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}06.279$ agents have been developed that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}04{:}06{.}279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}09{.}084$ you be used to block the activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:04:09.084 \rightarrow 00:04:10.958$ of these mutated oncogenic drivers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}04{:}10{.}958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}12{.}911$ And in particular and in the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:04:12.911 --> 00:04:14.520 that I'll tell you about today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:04:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.480$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:04:15.480 --> 00:04:18.360 mutations were found 20 years ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}04{:}18.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}20.788$ now in Exxon's encoding the kinase

 $00{:}04{:}23.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}28.399$ receptor after in about 15 to 4050%NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 00:04:28.399 --> 00:04:31.394 of lung and nocarcinomas depending NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00:04:31.394 \rightarrow 00:04:34.640$ on which population you look at. NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00:04:34.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.960$ And these are mutations that NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00:04:38.960 \rightarrow 00:04:41.470$ confer sensitivity to EGFR tyrosine NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00:04:41.470 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.474$ kinase inhibitors. NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00:04:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.080$ But there are many other NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00{:}04{:}44.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.360$ targeted the rapies as well. NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00:04:45.360 \rightarrow 00:04:48.948$ So you can have rearrangements in NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00:04:48.948 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.720$ the anaplastic lymphoma kinase and NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00:04:51.720 \rightarrow 00:04:53.645$ targeted therapies that are effective NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00:04:53.645 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.047$ in that and so on for a number of NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00:04:57.047 \rightarrow 00:04:59.520$ different oncogenic drivers and lung cancer. NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00:04:59.520 \rightarrow 00:05:02.160$ And so this has really transformed the field. NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505 $00:05:02.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.870$ And so if we look at this diagram here of 8

 $00:04:20.788 \rightarrow 00:04:22.990$ domain of the epidermal growth factor

 $00:05:06.870 \rightarrow 00:05:10.445$ approved FDA approvals for lung cancer in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:10.445 \rightarrow 00:05:11.420$ in recent years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:11.420 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.816$ what you'll see is it really has

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:13.816 \rightarrow 00:05:16.036$ been an explosion in FDA approvals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:16.040 \dashrightarrow 00:05:19.036$ especially from the early 2000s in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}05{:}19{.}036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22{.}025$ 2000 and 10s and approvals now also

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:22.025 \rightarrow 00:05:24.640$ in the first part of the twenty 20s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}05{:}24.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.734$ Most of these agents that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}05{:}26.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}28.556$ approved recently have been targeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:28.556 \rightarrow 00:05:31.083$ agents and that really is linked to

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:31.083 \dashrightarrow 00:05:32.953$ the discoveries of these molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}05{:}32{.}953 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34{.}397$ subsets of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}05{:}34{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37{.}244$ But also do I think one of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}05{:}37{.}244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39{.}270$ that has been emerging also in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}05{:}39{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42{.}127$ past 10 to 15 years really are the

 $00:05:42.127 \rightarrow 00:05:43.771$ approvals of immunotherapies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}05{:}43{.}771 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46{.}693$ we hear a lot about agents that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:46.693 \rightarrow 00:05:48.313$ are targeting immune checkpoints

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:05:48.313 --> 00:05:50.028 like the anti PD1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}05{:}50{.}028$ --> $00{:}05{:}53{.}080$ anti PDL ONE Access and CTLA 4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:53.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.114$ And so this has really been

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:55.114 \rightarrow 00:05:57.000$ transformative in a lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:57.000 \dashrightarrow 00:05:59.736$ And I'd like just like to point out

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:05:59.736 --> 00:06:02.600 how in recent analysis what we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:06:02.600 \rightarrow 00:06:05.120$ seeing is that there's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}06{:}05{.}205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07{.}666$ a decrease in mortality from lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:06:07.666 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.514$ cancer in recent years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:06:09.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.272$ And in the study published in the New

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:06:11.272 --> 00:06:12.917 England Journal of Medicine a few years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:06:12.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.195$ it was really shown that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:06:15.195 - 00:06:17.370 decrease in mortality from lung

 $00:06:17.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.110$ cancer can't be accounted

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:06:19.187 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.329$ for just because of a decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:06:21.329 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.400$ in incidence of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

00:06:23.400 --> 00:06:25.730 But is likely reflects actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}06{:}25.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}29.641$ advances in the care and in the new

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:06:29.641 \dashrightarrow 00:06:31.637$ the rapeutics that have emerged,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:06:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.355$ including in particular in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

00:06:33.355 --> 00:06:35.070 years that were studied in

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}06{:}35{.}133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36{.}998$ this paper for targeted agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}06{:}37{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40{.}924$ And so I think this is a really nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:06:40.924 \rightarrow 00:06:44.430$ example of how what we've learned over

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}06{:}44{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47{.}484$ the years from from the biology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}06{:}47{.}484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}49{.}830$ from the genetic studies of tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}06{:}49{.}904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52{.}334$ really is having a profound impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}06{:}52{.}334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54{.}600$ for patients with this disease.

 $00{:}06{:}54.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57.264$ And of course I would be remiss if I

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:06:57.264 \rightarrow 00:06:59.693$ didn't point out how immunotherapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}06{:}59{.}693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}01{.}757$ have also been transformative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}07{:}01.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03.566$ And I think the continued decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}07{:}03.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.387$ in mortality that we are continuing

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:05.387 \rightarrow 00:07:07.619$ to see is actually going to show how NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:07.678 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.814$ it isn't only the targeted therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}07{:}09{.}814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12{.}230$ but also the immunotherapies that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:12.230 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.705$ really contributing to this decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:14.705 \rightarrow 00:07:17.240$ in and mortality from lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:17.240 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.560$ So if you know you look at this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:19.560 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.068$ there's really these advances

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}07{:}21.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22.199$ have been tremendous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}07{:}22.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.882$ But what we do know is that both

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}07{:}24.882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.970$ primary and acquired resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:26.970 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.580$ to targeted the rapies and to

00:07:29.668 - 00:07:31.840 immunotherapies are common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}07{:}31.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35.004$ And here you can see an example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}07{:}35{.}004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38{.}112$ scans from a patient with a tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}07{:}38.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}40.798$ with AK Ras G12C mutation treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}07{:}40.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}44.440$ with AK Ras G12C inhibitor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:44.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.600$ you can see the tumor regresses

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:46.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.917$ but then comes back and you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.700$ this is acquired resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:50.700 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.309$ And here if we look at this plot

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:54.309 \rightarrow 00:07:56.520$ taken from a review looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

00:07:56.520 - > 00:07:58.600 at studies of immunotherapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}07{:}58.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}01.378$ you can see that across various

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}08{:}01{.}378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03{.}230$ different indications but including

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

00:08:03.301 --> 00:08:05.776 in lung cancer here that in clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

00:08:05.776 --> 00:08:07.000 studies of immunotherapies,

00:08:07.000 - 00:08:09.424 the response rates or to immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}08{:}09{.}424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.839$ checkpoint inhibitors are not super high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.010$ We're not talking 7080% the way we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:14.010 \rightarrow 00:08:16.080$ talking with some targeted therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:16.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.166$ Not only that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}08{:}17.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}19.338$ but also we see acquired resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:19.338 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.320$ commonly emerging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}08{:}20{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22{.}488$ So there's a lot of work that needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:22.488 \dashrightarrow 00:08:25.192$ to be done to really understand and

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}08{:}25.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27.352$ optimize treatments for both targeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}08{:}27.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}29.566$ agents and immunotherapies and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

00:08:29.566 --> 00:08:31.270 understand mechanisms of sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:31.270 \dashrightarrow 00:08:33.400$ and resistance to these agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

00:08:33.400 --> 00:08:37.304 And So what do we do in my lab?

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:37.304 \rightarrow 00:08:40.560$ And as part of the research program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:40.560 \rightarrow 00:08:45.004$ we are really interested in understanding

00:08:45.004 --> 00:08:46.576 mechanistically biological processes

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:46.576 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.196$ that are involved in cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}08{:}49{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}52{.}680$ We like to integrate these with

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:52.680 \rightarrow 00:08:54.808$ studying and addressing clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:54.808 \rightarrow 00:08:56.936$ challenges and investigating specimens

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}08{:}56{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}59{.}798$ and data from patients with cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:59.800 \rightarrow 00:09:01.832$ And really the hope is that the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:09:01.832 \rightarrow 00:09:03.960$ that we do collectively as a group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:09:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.302$ this is work that we do with many

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

00:09:07.302 --> 00:09:09.257 different people is to discover

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:09:09.257 \rightarrow 00:09:11.300$ things that will discover findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}09{:}11{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}14{.}086$ that will lead to clinical trials and

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:09:14.086 \rightarrow 00:09:16.920$ new therapeutic approaches to patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}09{:}16{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20{.}190$ Central to our research program is

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:09:20.190 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.488$ the use of biological specimens from

 $00:09:23.488 \rightarrow 00:09:26.800$ patients and analysis of these specimens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}09{:}26.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}28.632$ And I think this slide is also going

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}09{:}28.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}30.825$ to be showed later in the day as an

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:09:30.825 \rightarrow 00:09:32.560$ example of one of the resources that

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}09{:}32.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}35.250$ we have as part of the lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:09:35.250 \rightarrow 00:09:39.560$ program to really be able to collect

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:09:39.560 \dashrightarrow 00:09:42.360$ and use specimens from patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:09:42.360 \rightarrow 00:09:44.232$ And this is just one of the examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}09{:}44.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}46.154$ of one of the resources I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:09:46.154 \rightarrow 00:09:47.544$ you'll hear about a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00{:}09{:}47.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}49.075$ of others later on as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:09:49.080 \dashrightarrow 00:09:51.194$ But really an effort that started many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:09:51.200 --> 00:09:54.692 many years ago working initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:09:54.692 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.834$ with Scott Genger and Anna

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:09:57.834 --> 00:10:00.198 Wertz and Roy Herbst and many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:00.200 \rightarrow 00:10:03.160$ many people in this room now with

- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00:10:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.215$ Sarah and many of all of the thoracic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00{:}10{:}06{.}215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}09{.}120$ on cologists on the team and pathologists.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00:10:09.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.593$ Kurt for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- 00:10:10.593 --> 00:10:13.048 really working on collecting specimens
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00{:}10{:}13.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}15.551$ from patients who have advanced
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- 00:10:15.551 --> 00:10:17.475 lung cancer through treatment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00:10:17.480 \rightarrow 00:10:19.076$ especially at the time of resistance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00:10:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.907$ So that then we can take these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- 00:10:20.907 --> 00:10:22.000 specimens and analyze them,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00:10:22.000 \rightarrow 00:10:24.100$ generate patient derived models.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- 00:10:24.100 --> 00:10:27.250 And really these have contributed extensively
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00{:}10{:}27{.}326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30{.}356$ to the work that I will tell you about today.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00{:}10{:}30{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33{.}524$ And so I put a little cryovile here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00{:}10{:}33{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}37{.}100$ And So what I'm going to do through the talk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00:10:37.100 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.040$ is when you see a cryovial on the slide,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:40.040 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.995$ it actually is an example of data

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00{:}10{:}44.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}46.002$ that we've been able to analyse and

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:46.002 \rightarrow 00:10:48.117$ use because of the specimens that

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:48.117 \rightarrow 00:10:50.117$ were collected through this approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:50.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.396$ So you'll see that throughout the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:53.400 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.504$ So what what am I going to tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:55.504 \rightarrow 00:10:56.480$ you about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00{:}10{:}56{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}59{.}680$ So I think as most of you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:59.680 \rightarrow 00:11:01.882$ we have a long standing interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:11:01.882 --> 00:11:04.188 in studying the biology of EGF

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:11:04.188 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.720$ receptor driven lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:11:05.720 \dashrightarrow 00:11:09.388$ And so when patients and really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00{:}11{:}09{.}388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12{.}538$ focus that we've had at least in

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:11:12.538 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.344$ the in the past or until recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:11:14.344 \rightarrow 00:11:16.409$ has really been and because of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:11:16.409 \rightarrow 00:11:18.250$ sort of the clinical landscape has

- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00:11:18.250 \rightarrow 00:11:19.960$ really been on advanced metastatic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- 00:11:19.960 --> 00:11:22.560 EGF receptor driven lung cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00:11:22.560 \rightarrow 00:11:26.032$ And so when patients are diagnosed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00:11:26.032 \rightarrow 00:11:28.600$ with EGF receptor driven lung cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00{:}11{:}28.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32.602$ now they're mostly treated with tyrosine
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00:11:32.602 \rightarrow 00:11:34.612$ kinase inhibitors most recently and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00:11:34.612 \rightarrow 00:11:37.296$ in the United States especially the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00:11:37.296 \rightarrow 00:11:39.316$ tyrosine kinase inhibitor awesome.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00{:}11{:}39{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41{.}936$ Merton if this is one of the newer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00{:}11{:}41{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}44{.}128$ generation of agents that has more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- 00:11:44.128 --> 00:11:46.368 activity on mutant EGFR compared
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00{:}11{:}46{.}368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47{.}712$ to wild type.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00:11:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.745$ So hopefully decreasing its toxicity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00{:}11{:}49{.}745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52{.}850$ and has been shown to have superior
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00{:}11{:}52.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}55.365$ progression free survival and overall
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:11:55.365 \rightarrow 00:11:57.925$ survival compared to standard of

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:11:57.925 --> 00:11:59.881 care earlier generation tyrosine

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00{:}11{:}59{.}881 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}02{.}189$ kinase inhibitors in this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:02.189 \rightarrow 00:12:04.632$ And so this was an A really

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00{:}12{:}04.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.239$ important advance in the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:12:06.240 --> 00:12:06.578 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00{:}12{:}06{.}578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08{.}944$ what we do know is that still

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:08.944 \rightarrow 00:12:12.013$ resistance or acquired resistance two

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:12.013 \rightarrow 00:12:16.385$ asamertinib occurs almost inevitably

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:16.385 \rightarrow 00:12:20.245$ and it actually isn't very commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00{:}12{:}20.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24.000$ associated with on target EGFR mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00{:}12{:}24.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}26.720$ And this is different from some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.688$ earlier generations of tyrosine kinase

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:28.688 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.540$ inhibitors that instead where we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:31.540 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.240$ commonly one most frequently observed

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:34.240 \rightarrow 00:12:36.080$ on target EGF receptor mutation,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00{:}12{:}36.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}37.724$ the T79 TM mutation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00{:}12{:}37{.}724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}40{.}850$ But you see additional mechanisms of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00:12:40.850 \rightarrow 00:12:44.000$ resistance met amplification for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00:12:44.000 \rightarrow 00:12:45.640$ so a bypass signaling pathway
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00:12:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.800$ being one of the more common.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00{:}12{:}47.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.502$ Then we see a histologic changes in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00:12:50.502 \rightarrow 00:12:52.854$ the tumors that occur quite frequently,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00{:}12{:}52.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}55.056$ but then most of the mechanisms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00{:}12{:}55.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}57.284$ of resistance are really not known
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00:12:57.284 \rightarrow 00:12:58.358$ and poorly understood.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00:12:58.360 \rightarrow 00:13:01.119$ And so one of the things that we've
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00{:}13{:}01{.}119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04{.}233$ been interested from when as we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00:13:04.233 \rightarrow 00:13:07.680$ think about these problems is really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00{:}13{:}07{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}10{.}064$ really understanding these tough
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077
- $00{:}13{:}10.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12.448$ challenges like really understanding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:13:12.448 --> 00:13:15.325 this part of the pie chart, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:13:15.325 \rightarrow 00:13:17.275$ What are these mechanisms of resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:13:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.896$ What is happening in these tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:13:19.896 \rightarrow 00:13:21.640$ where we don't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}13{:}21{.}719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24{.}287$ have a key genetic alteration that

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}13{:}24{.}287 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}26{.}932$ has changed that or clear process

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:13:26.932 \rightarrow 00:13:30.194$ that is happening that we can target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:13:30.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.524$ And so just a couple of thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:13:32.524 \rightarrow 00:13:34.998$ that sort of guide our thinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:13:35.000 \rightarrow 00:13:37.520$ Targeted agents are probably not sufficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:13:37.520 --> 00:13:40.970 We need to discover new untapped

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:13:40.970 --> 00:13:43.807 vulnerabilities of oncogene driven lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:13:43.807 \rightarrow 00:13:46.669$ cancers and then the tackling resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:13:46.669 --> 00:13:50.190 requires new knowledge of the links between

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:13:50.268 \rightarrow 00:13:53.273$ cancer cell plasticity and the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:13:53.273 --> 00:13:55.077 microenvironment and tumor heterogeneity.

 $00{:}13{:}55{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}56{.}750$ And so these are some of the and so I

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:13:56.797 --> 00:13:58.579 think of these that like the not the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:13:58.579 \rightarrow 00:14:00.127$ low hanging fruit but the fruit really

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}14{:}00{.}127 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03{.}840$ at the top of the tree that we're trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:03.840 \rightarrow 00:14:07.228$ to really grasp and understand when we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}14{:}07{.}228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09{.}864$ And and really if we look at EGF receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}14{:}09{.}864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}12{.}840$ driven lung cancer and we think about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:12.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.240$ one of the things that we know is

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}14{:}15{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17{.}208$ that with with the targeted agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:17.208 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.616$ that I've told you about today is

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:19.616 \rightarrow 00:14:21.680$ we do see this acquired resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:21.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.780$ But not only that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}14{:}22.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25.284$ We also know that when we use the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}14{:}25{.}284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}27{.}254$ targeted agents they don't completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}14{:}27{.}254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}29{.}645$ eradicate all the tumor cells and

 $00{:}14{:}29.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31.931$ there's variability in the depth and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:31.931 \rightarrow 00:14:33.560$ duration of responses in patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}14{:}33{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}36{.}192$ And you can see this really in this NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}14{:}36{.}192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}37{.}952$ waterfall plot where there's some

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}14{:}37{.}952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39{.}477$ tumors that shrink dramatically

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}14{:}39{.}477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}41{.}479$ and others that shrink less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}14{:}41{.}479 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43{.}992$ And so we've been interested in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}14{:}43{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}46{.}843$ question of what accounts for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:14:46.843 --> 00:14:49.418 heterogeneity and disease progression and NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:49.418 \rightarrow 00:14:52.037$ sensitivity to tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}14{:}52{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53{.}818$ And so the first thing that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:53.818 \rightarrow 00:14:56.007$ going to go through is some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:14:56.007 -> 00:14:58.248 work that we've done to study how

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:14:58.248 --> 00:15:00.288 different EGF receptor mutations can

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}15{:}00{.}288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02{.}226$ actually have distinct properties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:15:02.226 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.756$ And so first of all,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- 00:15:05.760 --> 00:15:07.587 I've sort of told you about EGF
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- $00{:}15{:}07.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09.199$ receptor mutations and one could think,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- $00:15:09.200 \rightarrow 00:15:11.475$ oh, we can lump them all together.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- $00:15:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.515$ But in reality,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- 00:15:12.515 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:15:15.965 what we do know and what is becoming I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- $00{:}15{:}15{.}965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18{.}809$ think increasingly clear in recent years
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- $00:15:18.809 \rightarrow 00:15:21.595$ is that you have their different EGF
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- $00:15:21.595 \rightarrow 00:15:23.800$ receptor mutations and not only that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- $00{:}15{:}23.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}27.640$ the different EGF receptor mutations have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- $00:15:27.640 \rightarrow 00:15:29.756$ different properties both biological,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- $00{:}15{:}29.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32.216$ biochemical and also in terms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- 00:15:32.216 --> 00:15:34.200 of TKI sensitivity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- $00{:}15{:}34{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35{.}880$ And so when we look at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- $00{:}15{:}35{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36{.}720$ EGF receptor mutations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- $00{:}15{:}36{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39{.}639$ there are two major categories of mutations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}15{:}39{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}43{.}720$ There's the L858R point mutation and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:15:43.720 --> 00:15:46.280 there's a set of small in frame deletion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:15:46.280 \dashrightarrow 00:15:49.640$ some of them more complex and Exxon 19.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:15:49.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.022$ The most common of these is

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}15{:}52.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54.498$ this E 746 to a 750 mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}15{:}54{.}498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56{.}584$ But then there are these other in

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:15:56.584 \rightarrow 00:15:58.576$ Dells that are found at, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:15:58.576 \rightarrow 00:16:00.116$ variable frequencies in these tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:16:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.704$ but they exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:16:01.704 \rightarrow 00:16:03.896$ And So what does it mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:16:03.896 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.316$ Are all these mutations alike?

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:16:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.621$ Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:16:05.621 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.330$ one of the things that we know is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:16:08.406 --> 00:16:10.698 even if you just broadly categorize

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:16:10.698 \rightarrow 00:16:13.985$ the L858R mutations and the e.g FRXN 19

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}16{:}13.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}16{.}911$ deletion mutations and you look at the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- $00{:}16{:}16{.}911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18{.}916$ survival curves on ossumer tinib from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- $00:16:18.916 \rightarrow 00:16:21.992$ the trial of frontline osumertinib,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- $00:16:21.992 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.095$ you see that even just the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- 00:16:25.095 --> 00:16:26.555 Exxon 19 deletion mutations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- $00:16:26.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.480$ the overall survival is about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- $00:16:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.400$ 40 months in that study.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- 00:16:30.400 --> 00:16:31.840 But for the L858 Rs,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- $00:16:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.356$ it's about 33 months.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348
- $00:16:33.356 \rightarrow 00:16:35.630$ And this is consistent over across
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706
- 00:16:35.703 --> 00:16:37.182 different tyrosine kinase
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706
- $00:16:37.182 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.154$ inhibitors that are used.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706
- $00{:}16{:}39{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}42{.}758$ So the L858R subset does worse with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706
- $00{:}16{:}42.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}45.637$ TKIS compared to the Exxon 19 subset.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706
- $00{:}16{:}45{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49{.}720$ We also found several years ago in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706
- $00{:}16{:}49.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}52.850$ work that we did together with Sarah
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}16{:}52.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}55.964$ Goldberg and Mark Lemon is that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}16{:}55{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58{.}772$ there's a small in frame deletion

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}16{:}58{.}772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}02{.}400$ in a Proline insertion mutation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.815$ one of the Exxon 19 deletions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}17{:}04.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}07.272$ actually if you look at that mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}17{:}07{.}272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09{.}448$ and you look in upon treatment with NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:09.448 \rightarrow 00:17:11.480$ irlatinib this was a few years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.646$ So one of the early generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}17{:}13.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}15.566$ tyrosine kinase inhibitors that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}17{:}15{.}566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}17{.}198$ progression free survival duration

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:17:17.198 --> 00:17:19.575 of a treatment overall survival were

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}17{:}19{.}575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}22{.}160$ all worse for the for erlontinib in

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}17{:}22.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}24.777$ that subset compared to the more

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}17{:}24.777 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}27.277$ common Exxon 19 deletion mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}17{:}27{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}29{.}950$ And this along with some laboratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:29.950 \rightarrow 00:17:32.495$ studies really piqued our interest in

 $00:17:32.495 \rightarrow 00:17:35.239$ studying these differences a little bit more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}17{:}35{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}38{.}159$ And here you see the cryovile appear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}17{:}38{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}41{.}776$ This is also work that was Zenta Walther

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:41.776 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.640$ was really central to helping us

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.880$ identify these patients for this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}17{:}47.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51.672$ And so working with lots of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:51.672 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.454$ groups here we were able to show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:54.454 \rightarrow 00:17:56.698$ this proline insertion for example what

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}17{:}56.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59.671$ you see in Western blots is when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:59.671 \rightarrow 00:18:01.732$ treat with tyrosine kinase inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:01.732 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.792$ it's less sensitive to various

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}18{:}04.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}07.240$ tyrosine kinase inhibitors compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:07.323 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.124$ to the canonical e.g.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:18:09.124 --> 00:18:11.316 FRXN 19 deletion mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.244$ Not only that,

 $00:18:12.244 \rightarrow 00:18:14.400$ when you actually go and look biochemically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:14.400 \rightarrow 00:18:17.235$ and this is work that was spearheaded by a

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}18{:}17{.}235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19{.}998$ former student that Mark Lemon and I shared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:18:20.000 --> 00:18:21.656 Eris von Alderweil,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}18{:}21.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24.347$ von Rosenberg showing that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:18:24.347 --> 00:18:27.521 proline insertion mutation has AKM for

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:18:27.521 --> 00:18:30.956 ATP that is more more closer to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:30.956 \rightarrow 00:18:33.728$ wild type in contrast to some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}18{:}33.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35.952$ other variants that instead are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:35.952 \rightarrow 00:18:38.077$ sensitive to tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}18{:}38{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}40{.}768$ So really is that affinity of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:40.768 \rightarrow 00:18:42.940$ kinase for ATP that is probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:42.940 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.840$ rendering it more resistant to

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:44.840 \rightarrow 00:18:46.360$ these tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:46.360 \rightarrow 00:18:49.078$ So really from the clinical observations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:49.080 \rightarrow 00:18:50.620$ from some of the laboratory

 $00:18:50.620 \rightarrow 00:18:52.160$ studies going to the biochemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:18:52.160 --> 00:18:54.834 we're really able to figure out what

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:54.834 \rightarrow 00:18:56.960$ was happening with this variant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:56.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.936$ And this led to work that we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}18{:}59{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02{.}649$ together with Mike Grant and Sarah

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:19:02.649 --> 00:19:05.880 Goldberg really putting together a multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:19:05.880 \rightarrow 00:19:09.040$ institutional cohort of patients with e.g.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}19{:}09{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}10{.}930$ Fr XL19 deletion mutations treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}19{:}10{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}13{.}190$ with a sumertinib because we wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}19{:}13.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}15.032$ look at the tyrosine kinase inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:19:15.032 \rightarrow 00:19:16.679$ that was really clinically relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:19:16.679 --> 00:19:19.017 for patients right now and that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}19{:}19{.}017 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}21{.}130$ being used to see what outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}19{:}21{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23{.}564$ were for patients with this Proline

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}19{:}23.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}25.920$ insertion mutation with a sumertinib.

 $00:19:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.970$ It's pretty rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}19{:}26{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30{.}344$ So you have to really work together and put

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:19:30.344 \rightarrow 00:19:32.918$ together a cohort from various institutions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}19{:}32{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}37{.}612$ And so Mike and Sarah assembled

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}19{:}37{.}612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}40{.}214$ this cohort including data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}19{:}40{.}214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}42{.}722$ our Yale cohort and actually showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:19:42.722 \rightarrow 00:19:45.619$ that in patients whose tumors have

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}19{:}45{.}619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47{.}543$ this proline insertion mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}19{:}47{.}543 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}49{.}279$ treated with ossomatinib,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:19:49.280 \rightarrow 00:19:52.640$ you have worse progression free survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}19{:}52.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}55.200$ Then if you look at the common e.g.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:19:55.200 --> 00:19:57.100 Fr XM19 deletion mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}19{:}57{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}59{.}475$ the overall survival isn't quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:19:59.475 --> 00:20:00.800 statistically significant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}20{:}00{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}04{.}226$ but you can see that there is a trend

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:20:04.226 \rightarrow 00:20:07.360$ in in in in worse outcomes there as well.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706
- $00:20:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.076$ And So what does this mean?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:20:09.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.360$ What does this make us think?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:20:11.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.570$ I think the message here is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00{:}20{:}14.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}17.480$ not all mutations are the same.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:20:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.604$ And now we have the tools and the drugs
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00{:}20{:}19.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}22.036$ to better match mutations with the rapies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:20:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.840$ We aren't the only ones who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:20:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.040$ are thinking about this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:20:25.040 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.040$ There's some other work from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- 00:20:28.040 --> 00:20:29.656 Jacqueline Robichaud and John
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- 00:20:29.656 --> 00:20:31.676 Haymack's group at MD Anderson,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- 00:20:31.680 --> 00:20:35.118 work from Christine Lovely at Vanderbilt,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00{:}20{:}35{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}36{.}998$ all really pointing in this direction.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- 00:20:37.000 -> 00:20:39.317 We need to know about the biology,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:20:39.320 \rightarrow 00:20:41.160$ the biochemistry of the mutations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667

 $00:20:41.160 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.505$ and that can help us think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00{:}20{:}43.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.870$ perhaps how to better optimize these

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:20:45.870 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.438$ therapies now that we have them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667

00:20:48.440 --> 00:20:49.607 Another point, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667

 $00{:}20{:}49{.}607 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51{.}163$ the structural and biochemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00{:}20{:}51.163 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}53.086$ understanding of the effects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667

 $00:20:53.086 \rightarrow 00:20:54.841$ the mutation can guide predictions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667

 $00:20:54.841 \rightarrow 00:20:56.760$ for TKI sensitivity and resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00{:}20{:}56.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}57.624$ And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667

 $00:20:57.624 \rightarrow 00:20:59.352$ the other question that comes along

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00{:}20{:}59{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}01{.}172$ is how do we translate to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667

 $00:21:01.172 \rightarrow 00:21:03.078$ clinic this to the clinic now what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667

 $00:21:03.080 \rightarrow 00:21:05.159$ What are the next steps that we can take?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667

 $00:21:05.160 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.633$ So we can test trials of like optimal TKI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667

 $00:21:09.640 \rightarrow 00:21:11.957$ So now we have all these reagents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667

 $00:21:11.960 \rightarrow 00:21:13.878$ we can test other agents and other

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:21:13.878 \rightarrow 00:21:15.393$ drugs on these different variants
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00{:}21{:}15{.}393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}17{.}905$ and see if there's some that are more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:21:17.963 \rightarrow 00:21:20.318$ effective for specific mutational subsets.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:21:20.320 \rightarrow 00:21:21.796$ But then the other question is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00{:}21{:}21{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}24{.}464$ are there other agents that we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667
- $00{:}21{:}24{.}464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}26{.}896$ should be thinking about for certain
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00{:}21{:}26.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28.864$ subsets of the disease in combination
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667
- $00:21:28.864 \rightarrow 00:21:30.080$ with also Mertinib?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00{:}21{:}30{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}31{.}816$ And I think this will be a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:21:31.816 \rightarrow 00:21:33.259$ recurring theme throughout the talk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- 00:21:33.259 --> 00:21:34.946 So for example, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00{:}21{:}34{.}946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37{.}184$ should we be thinking about specific
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- 00:21:37.184 --> 00:21:39.172 antibody drug conjugates or other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- 00:21:39.172 --> 00:21:41.524 approaches to target tumors with that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:21:41.524 \rightarrow 00:21:43.718$ don't do as well with monotherapy?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667

- $00:21:43.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.580$ Awesome.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- 00:21:44.580 --> 00:21:47.565 Or so after you know thinking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:21:47.565 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.840$ about the different.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00{:}21{:}48.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51.878$ So we talked about how different EGF
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667
- 00:21:51.878 --> 00:21:53.550 receptor mutations themselves can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:21:53.550 \rightarrow 00:21:55.772$ have an impact and have distinct properties,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- 00:21:55.772 --> 00:21:57.437 but what about Co mutations?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667
- $00{:}21{:}57{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}01{.}306$ How can Co mutations influence tumor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00{:}22{:}01{.}306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}04{.}636$ progression but also TKI sensitivity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- 00:22:04.640 --> 00:22:06.956 And so many years ago now,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667
- $00:22:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.252$ I probably started working on this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667
- 00:22:09.252 --> 00:22:11.697 actually almost exactly 20 years ago
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:22:11.697 \rightarrow 00:22:14.235$ when EGF receptor mutations were discovered.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- 00:22:14.240 --> 00:22:18.060 I think it was May 2004 that I started
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667
- $00:22:18.060 \rightarrow 00:22:20.120$ generating these mouse models.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:22:20.120 \rightarrow 00:22:23.824$ We generated genetically engineered

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00{:}22{:}23.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}27.008$ mouse models of EGF receptor driven
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:22:27.008 \rightarrow 00:22:29.520$ lung cancer in which we could express
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00{:}22{:}29.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31.650$ the EGF receptor mutants inducibly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:22:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.354$ in the lung epithelium.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:22:33.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.320$ And this was really these were really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667
- $00:22:35.320 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.419$ to be able to study the biology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:22:37.419 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.319$ of the disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667
- $00:22:38.320 \rightarrow 00:22:40.642$ And we've used these mice extensively
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00{:}22{:}40.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.759$ over the years to study signaling by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:22:43.759 \rightarrow 00:22:46.254$ mutant EGF receptor discover resistance
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667
- $00:22:46.254 \rightarrow 00:22:49.160$ mutations to tarsine kinase inhibitors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00:22:49.160 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.176$ identify the rapeutic strategies to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667
- $00:22:51.176 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.200$ overcome or prevent and or prevent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667
- $00{:}22{:}54{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55{.}975$ drug resistance and study the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667
- $00:22:55.975 \rightarrow 00:22:57.645$ effects of targeted the rapies on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667

00:22:57.645 --> 00:22:58.380 the immune microenvironment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667

 $00{:}22{:}58{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00{.}200$ And here you can see MRI images.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00{:}23{:}00{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}03{.}637$ We use MRI imaging for our mice to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667

 $00:23:03.637 \rightarrow 00:23:05.410$ look at the lungs and see or you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

00:23:05.461 -> 00:23:07.085 see lungs full of tumors you treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667

 $00:23:07.085 \rightarrow 00:23:09.198$ them with a tyrosine kinase inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667

 $00:23:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.158$ the tumors shrink and go away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667

 $00{:}23{:}12.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}14.029$ Over time the tumors come back and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667

 $00{:}23{:}14.029 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}16.199$ we can study those resistant tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653526616666667

00:23:16.200 - 00:23:19.560 So a few years ago we decided to

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00{:}23{:}19.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}24.960$ upgrade our our mouse model and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}23{:}24.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}26.815$ use a slightly different system

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}23{:}26.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}29.408$ that would allow us then also to

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00{:}23{:}29{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}31{.}400$ be able to modulate other genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:23:31.400 \rightarrow 00:23:33.262$ Because we know that EGF receptor mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:23:33.262 \rightarrow 00:23:35.199$ and lung cancer don't occur in a vacuum.

 $00:23:35.200 \rightarrow 00:23:37.624$ There are other mutations in the tumors there

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}23{:}37{.}624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}40{.}155$ and we wanted to be able to model that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:23:40.160 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.108$ So we decided to take this still

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:23:44.108 \rightarrow 00:23:46.280$ this tetracycline inducible EGFR

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}23{:}46{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}49{.}838$ allele across it to another mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}23{:}49{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}53{.}646$ That in which using Cree recombinase

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:23:53.646 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.230$ you can then turn on expression of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

00:23:56.296 --> 00:23:57.565 reverse tetracycline transactivator

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}23{:}57{.}565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00{.}103$ which can bind the tetromotor in

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

00:24:00.103 --> 00:24:02.356 the presence of doxycycline and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

00:24:02.356 --> 00:24:04.053 induce expression of EGF receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}24{:}04.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06.097$ And we also crossed it to AP

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}24{:}06.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}07.348$ 53 phloxed allele.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}24{:}07{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}09{.}850$ But using this mouse what happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}24{:}09{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}12{.}220$ is we can deliver Cree recombinase,

 $00:24:12.220 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.940$ we deliver it with a Lantivirus

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

00:24:15.940 --> 00:24:18.000 into the lungs of mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

00:24:18.000 --> 00:24:19.800 turn on mutated EGF receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:24:19.800 \rightarrow 00:24:23.480$ Simultaneously we can delete P53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:24:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.392$ And here's some images,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}24{:}24{.}392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25{.}760$ these are the lungs of mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:24:25.760 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.760$ You can see the by MRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:24:28.760 \rightarrow 00:24:32.026$ you can see here by Histology and a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:24:32.026 \rightarrow 00:24:35.233$ bigger magnification of the Histology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:24:35.233 \rightarrow 00:24:37.197$ So we said OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}24{:}37{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}39{.}800$ so we have this mouse model with now

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

00:24:39.800 --> 00:24:42.448 EGFR and mutants and P53 deficient tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

00:24:42.448 --> 00:24:45.080 The P53 deficient tumors are higher grade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:24:45.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.604$ they're nastier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}24{:}45.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}46.914$ I see Rob Homer here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:24:46.920 \rightarrow 00:24:49.356$ He has helped us extensively over the

 $00:24:49.356 \rightarrow 00:24:51.559$ years characterize and study these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}24{:}51{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}53{.}681$ And so one of the questions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

00:24:53.681 --> 00:24:56.392 we had is well in addition to P53,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:24:56.392 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.504$ what role do other mutations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:24:58.504 \rightarrow 00:25:00.776$ EGF receptor play in EGF receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:25:00.776 \rightarrow 00:25:01.919$ driven lung cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:25:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.200$ How do they affect tumor progression?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}25{:}04.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.946$ How do they affect TKI resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:25:05.946 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.382$ and how do they affect the molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:25:08.382 \rightarrow 00:25:10.800$ properties and phenotypes of the tumors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:25:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.582$ And So what we did is we worked with

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

00:25:12.582 --> 00:25:14.557 a colleague at Stanford University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}25{:}14.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}15.448$ Monty Winslow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}25{:}15{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}18{.}556$ who had developed an approach in and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}25{:}18.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21.471$ used it in K Ras driven tumors to

 $00:25:21.471 \rightarrow 00:25:24.717$ really be able to inactivate using CRISPR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

00:25:24.720 --> 00:25:26.487 CAS 9 technology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:25:26.487 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.843$ different tumor suppressor genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:25:28.843 \rightarrow 00:25:31.840$ simultaneously in the lungs of mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:25:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.072$ So not all of them in the same cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}25{:}34.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}36.824$ but you can deliver this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:25:36.824 \rightarrow 00:25:39.032$ pool of lentiviruses and in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:25:39.032 \rightarrow 00:25:40.752$ cells you can then inactivate

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}25{:}40.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}42.440$ different tumor suppressor genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:25:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.932$ And then you can use a computational

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}25{:}44{.}932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}47{.}013$ approach that he developed called

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:25:47.013 \rightarrow 00:25:48.893$ tumor barcode sequencing which

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00{:}25{:}48{.}893 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51{.}420$ based on various controls that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}25{:}51{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54{.}262$ spiked in and based on barcode IDs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:25:54.262 \rightarrow 00:25:56.848$ You can actually look and quantify

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:25:56.848 \rightarrow 00:25:59.569$ the effect of inactivating that tumor

 $00:25:59.569 \rightarrow 00:26:02.245$ suppressor gene on the number and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:26:02.319 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.960$ size of tumors in in, in a screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:26:04.960 \rightarrow 00:26:06.448$ It's essentially a way of doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}26{:}06{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}07{.}440$ an in vivo screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:26:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.460$ And so we applied,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:26:09.460 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.590$ we took this pool of lentiviruses

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:26:12.590 \rightarrow 00:26:15.365$ targeting different tumor suppressor genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:26:15.365 \rightarrow 00:26:18.719$ that were frequently altered in lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:26:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.574$ not necessarily in EGF receptor driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

00:26:20.574 --> 00:26:22.499 lung cancer but in lung cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00:26:22.499 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.556$ he had used it in the K Ras model

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}26{:}24.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27.160$ previously and so we applied it to our e.g.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}26{:}27.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30.597$ FRL 850 at RP53 model and in particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

 $00{:}26{:}30.597 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}32.550$ we had also crossed the model that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93282267944444

00:26:32.605 --> 00:26:34.180 I just told you about with one

 $00:26:34.180 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.037$ that has an inducible CAS 9 Ileo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}26{:}36{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}38{.}596$ So these are experimental animals here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}26{:}38{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}39{.}612$ These are controls because

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:26:39.612 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.877$ they don't have CAS nine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:26:40.880 --> 00:26:43.896 You can't do CRISPR CAS 9 mediated genome

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:26:43.896 \rightarrow 00:26:46.398$ editing when you don't have CAS 9:00.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:26:46.400 \rightarrow 00:26:50.080$ So we transduced the lungs of the mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:26:50.080 - 00:26:53.160 waited 11 weeks and then took the lungs

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}26{:}53.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.599$ of the mice and did tumor barcode

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:26:56.599 \rightarrow 00:26:58.104$ sequencing in our control animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}26{:}58{.}104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}59{.}580$ When you look at the relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}26{:}59{.}632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01{.}117$ tumor size compared to controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.120$ you don't really see any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:03.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.488$ The tumor suppressor gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:04.488 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.198$ inactivation doesn't have any effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.120$ but that's because you don't have CAS 9,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- 00:27:08.120 --> 00:27:09.680 so you shouldn't see anything.

 $00:27:09.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.736$ So that was reassuring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}27{:}10.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}13.317$ What do we see in the mice with CAS 9?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}27{:}13.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}15.480$ So one of the things that we saw is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}27{:}15{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}18{.}885$ that when you inactivate APC from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:27:18.885 --> 00:27:23.206 wind signaling pathway RBM 10 and RB1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}27{:}23.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}25.298$ these three tumor suppressor

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}27{:}25{.}298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}27{.}910$ genes when inactivated had the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}27{:}27{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}29{.}828$ biggest effect on tumor growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:29.828 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.712$ So the tumors grew faster when

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}27{:}31.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33.864$ you were inactivating these tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:33.864 \rightarrow 00:27:36.913$ suppressor genes compared to controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}27{:}36{.}913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39{.}677$ We also noticed interestingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}27{:}39.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42.958$ that SET D2 and LKB 1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.615$ both of these putative tumor

 $00:27:44.615 \rightarrow 00:27:46.618$ suppressor genes I'd say actually had

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:27:46.618 --> 00:27:48.358 a negative effect on tumor growth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}27{:}48.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}49.512$ which was quite interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:49.512 \rightarrow 00:27:50.952$ and is and I'll go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:27:50.960 --> 00:27:51.956 I'll tell you a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:51.956 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.000$ more about that in a minute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:27:53.000 --> 00:27:55.280 but it's a topic of interest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:55.280 \rightarrow 00:27:56.480$ interesting work that we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}27{:}56{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58{.}475$ And then there were a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}27{:}58{.}475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}59{.}937$ tumor suppressor genes that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}27{:}59{.}937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}01{.}599$ had no effect on tumor growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}28{:}01.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}04.426$ We went ahead and we validated

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}28{:}04.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}06.180$ these using single SGRNAS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:28:06.180 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.560$ This is towards APC and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:28:08.560 --> 00:28:11.728 to RBM 10 which is an RNA binding

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:28:11.728 \rightarrow 00:28:14.198$ protein and a splicing factor.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- $00:28:14.200 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.440$ And you can see that when you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- $00{:}28{:}16{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}18{.}532$ inactivate them you see these bigger
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- $00:28:18.532 \rightarrow 00:28:20.935$ tumors and tumors progress faster
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- $00:28:20.935 \rightarrow 00:28:24.376$ than in the EGF receptor P53 model.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- $00:28:24.376 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.224$ So what does this mean though
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- $00:28:26.224 \rightarrow 00:28:28.479$ in the context of human cancer?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- 00:28:28.480 --> 00:28:31.040 And so if we,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- $00:28:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.848$ what we did at that time is we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- $00{:}28{:}33{.}848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35{.}462$ actually interrogated the ACR
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- 00:28:35.462 --> 00:28:36.719 Project Genie database,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- $00{:}28{:}36{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39{.}015$ which is a large data set that has a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- $00{:}28{:}39{.}015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41{.}200$ lot of mutational information that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- $00{:}28{:}41{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}44{.}028$ has been contributed to this data NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- $00{:}28{:}44{.}028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}46{.}408$ set from various institutions that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- $00{:}28{:}46{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}49{.}318$ are from their tumor sequencing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:28:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.752$ efforts at their institutions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}28{:}51{.}752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55{.}312$ And when we look in this data set at e.g.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}28{:}55{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57{.}736$ F RP53 driven tumors and we look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:28:57.736 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.863$ the frequency with which there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:28:59.863 --> 00:29:01.683 alterations in this Co occurring

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:29:01.683 --> 00:29:03.159 tumor suppressor genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:29:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.869$ you actually see that the top hits

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}29{:}05{.}869 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}09{.}292$ RBM 10 RB one and APC are where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}29{:}09{.}292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}13{.}120$ top hits in our functional screen in mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}29{:}13.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}15.432$ So we think that our screen in mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}29{:}15{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}17{.}412$ is actually telling us something

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}29{:}17{.}412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}19{.}632$ about the functional relevance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}29{:}19.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21.449$ these alterations in the human

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}29{:}21{.}449 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}23{.}472$ tumors and arid 1A didn't come out

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:29:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.598$ in our screen at 11 weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:29:25.600 \rightarrow 00:29:27.166$ but we actually did another time

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- $00:29:27.166 \rightarrow 00:29:29.158$ point at 19 weeks and it popped up.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- 00:29:29.160 --> 00:29:32.190 So perhaps it's more important later
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- $00:29:32.190 \rightarrow 00:29:34.210$ in tumorigenesis And interestingly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- 00:29:34.290 --> 00:29:36.000 Genes SDK 11 is LKB one,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- $00:29:36.000 \rightarrow 00:29:37.840$ it's really not frequently altered
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- $00{:}29{:}37{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}40{.}753$ and that was the one that I showed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931
- $00:29:40.753 \rightarrow 00:29:43.091$ you seemed to have a negative effect
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00{:}29{:}43.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}44.637$ in our in vivo screen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00:29:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.059$ So we've actually,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00:29:46.059 \rightarrow 00:29:48.897$ this has been a really powerful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00{:}29{:}48.897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}51.607$ system and we've actually been able
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00{:}29{:}51{.}607 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}54{.}140$ to do broader screens with more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00{:}29{:}54.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}57.485$ genes and try to learn a little bit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00{:}29{:}57{.}485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}59{.}744$ more about what genes are important
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00{:}29{:}59{.}744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}01{.}952$ for the progression of these tumors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:30:01.960 --> 00:30:04.000 And I'd just like to highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}30{:}04.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}07.906$ an example of work that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:30:07.906 --> 00:30:11.038 did continuing this continuing

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:30:11.038 --> 00:30:14.206 this effort with D2G Oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:30:14.206 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.264$ a company that was founded Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}30{:}16.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}17.927$ founded by our collaborators

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:30:17.927 --> 00:30:20.197 Monty Winslow and Dmitry Petrov.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:30:20.200 --> 00:30:22.840 And we work together on doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}30{:}22.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}25.266$ this screen of additional tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}30{:}25.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}27.636$ suppressor genes in the context of

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:30:27.636 --> 00:30:29.857 EGFR tumors but also in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}30{:}29.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}32.356$ of K Ras driven tumors for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}30{:}32{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35{.}470$ And you know I just like to go back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:30:35.561 \rightarrow 00:30:38.203$ LKB one for example showing how this

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:30:38.203 \rightarrow 00:30:41.280$ has a negative effect on EGFR driven tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:30:41.280 \rightarrow 00:30:44.720$ It's not really a contributing,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- 00:30:44.720 --> 00:30:47.200 it doesn't really Co occur
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00:30:47.200 \rightarrow 00:30:49.680$ mutationally with EGFR driven tumors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00:30:49.680 \dashrightarrow 00:30:52.064$ So it seems to be like a synthetic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00{:}30{:}52.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}53.520$ lethality with these tumors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00{:}30{:}53{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}55{.}578$ But it's an amazing contrast with what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00{:}30{:}55{.}578$ --> $00{:}30{:}57{.}994$ we see in Keras driven tumors where it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00:30:57.994 \rightarrow 00:31:00.997$ is one of the major drivers of tumor growth.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00:31:01.000 \rightarrow 00:31:02.757$ And so this is I think telling
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00:31:02.757 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.354$ us and it's frequently mutated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00:31:04.354 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.314$ with Keras in human tumors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00:31:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.528$ So we're really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00{:}31{:}08{.}528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}11{.}420$ we're really think that this is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00:31:11.420 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.830$ cool system to be able to understand
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00{:}31{:}13.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}15.678$ how Co occurring alterations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002
- $00{:}31{:}15.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}18.160$ impact the fitness of tumors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:31:18.160 - 00:31:20.519 And Fran Exposito in the lab is

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}31{:}20{.}519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}23{.}020$ really working a lot to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:23.020 \rightarrow 00:31:25.375$ this synthetic lethality and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:31:25.375 --> 00:31:28.831 doing experiments to knock it LKB

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:31:28.831 --> 00:31:30.973 one out and established EGF receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}31{:}30{.}973$ --> $00{:}31{:}33{.}439$ tumors and see what happens and NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:33.439 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.071$ also to understand mechanistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:31:35.071 - 00:31:37.399 what is happening in these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:31:37.400 --> 00:31:39.703 So stay tuned for for data on

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}31{:}39{.}703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41{.}960$ these studies that I think will

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:41.960 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.160$ be really fascinating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}31{:}43.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}45.246$ And there are some other targets that

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:45.246 \rightarrow 00:31:47.524$ we're studying along these lines as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:47.524 \dashrightarrow 00:31:50.198$ So I think a very powerful system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:50.200 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.567$ We've also used this approach not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:53.567 \rightarrow 00:31:56.840$ to study mechanisms of tumor progression,

 $00:31:56.840 \rightarrow 00:31:59.451$ but also use this type of approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:59.451 \rightarrow 00:32:01.379$ to really understand what genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:01.379 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.424$ can modulate the sensitivity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:03.424 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.840$ tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}32{:}04{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}08{.}546$ So we did the same experiment and instead

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:08.546 \rightarrow 00:32:11.997$ of just waiting and collecting the tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}32{:}12.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}13.908$ what we did is we also had an arm

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}32{:}13{.}908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}16{.}352$ where we treated for two weeks with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:16.352 \rightarrow 00:32:18.000$ tyrosine kinase inhibitor osumertinib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:32:18.000 - 00:32:19.911 You see here the tumors go away

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:32:19.911 - > 00:32:21.160 or they're shrinking mostly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:32:21.160 --> 00:32:22.690 They're not completely going away at

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:22.690 \dashrightarrow 00:32:24.676$ two weeks, but you do see a response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}32{:}24.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}26.984$ And so we did the same tumor bar

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}32{:}26{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}28{.}652$ code sequencing and what we found

 $00:32:28.652 \rightarrow 00:32:30.356$ here is so this is the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:30.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.402$ this is the plot that I showed you earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:33.402 \rightarrow 00:32:36.120$ looking at what is affecting tumor growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:32:36.120 --> 00:32:36.400 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:32:36.400 - 00:32:37.520 when we add Asamertinib,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:32:37.520 --> 00:32:40.643 one of the things that we saw is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:40.643 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.680$ keep 1 the tumor suppressor gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:42.680 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.321$ keep one that really didn't have much

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:45.321 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.641$ of an effect on the growth of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}32{:}47.641 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}50.105$ tumors in the absence of drug now

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:50.105 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.120$ limits the sensitivity to Asamertinib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:52.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.560$ In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:53.560 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.765$ the tumors aren't shrinking as

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:32:55.765 --> 00:32:57.970 much as wild wild type

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

00:32:58.053 --> 00:32:59.753 or control tumors do

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:32:59.753 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.878$ when keep one is present.

00:33:01.880 - > 00:33:03.476 What do we think is happening here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:03.480 \rightarrow 00:33:07.288$ Well, we know that keep one is important

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:07.288 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.398$ to sequester NRF 2 in the cytoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:10.400 \rightarrow 00:33:12.116$ When you knock out KEEP 1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:12.120 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.873$ NRF 2 can then go into the nucleus and

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}33{:}15.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}18.485$ activate antioxidant response elements and

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:18.485 \rightarrow 00:33:21.631$ those gene expression programs that allow

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:21.631 \rightarrow 00:33:24.439$ cells to really withstand oxidative stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

00:33:24.440 --> 00:33:27.460 And when we take our mice and we just use

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:27.543 \dashrightarrow 00:33:30.719$ an individual SGR and a targeting keep one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:30.720 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.180$ these are the control mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}33{:}32{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}33{.}640$ that don't have CAS nine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:33.640 \dashrightarrow 00:33:36.678$ you use Asamertinib, the tumors go away,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}33{:}36{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}38{.}080$ you don't really see anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.200$ left in the lungs.

 $00:33:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.272$ But if you have the experimental mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:41.272 \rightarrow 00:33:44.162$ that have CAS 9 and you use the SGR and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:44.162 \rightarrow 00:33:46.277$ targeting keep one treat with Asamertinib,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:46.280 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.080$ you see tumors are still left over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:49.080 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.211$ And so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:50.211 \dashrightarrow 00:33:52.473$ what does that mean for patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}33{:}52{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55{.}072$ So at the time what we did is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}33{:}55{.}072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}57{.}904$ worked with Jessica Hellier and Heather

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}33{:}57{.}904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}01{.}241$ Wakeley at Stanford University who had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}34{:}01{.}241$ --> $00{:}34{:}03{.}992$ collection of data from patients with e.g.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:34:04.000 \rightarrow 00:34:06.720$ F RP53 driven lung cancer and looked at NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}34{:}06{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}08{.}727$ whether there were mutations in genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}34{:}08{.}727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}11{.}520$ in the keep one access in these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}34{:}11{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}14{.}238$ And you can see here in this blue line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}34{:}14{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}16{.}753$ the patients who had mutations in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}34{:}16.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}19.384$ keep One access in their tumors had

 $00{:}34{:}19{.}384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22{.}168$ a shorter time to treatment failure

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:34:22.168 \rightarrow 00:34:25.552$ compared to controls suggesting that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:34:25.552 \rightarrow 00:34:30.450$ you have alterations in this this program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:34:30.450 \rightarrow 00:34:33.600$ this antioxidant response response program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:34:33.600 \rightarrow 00:34:37.066$ you're going to have limited sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

00:34:37.066 -> 00:34:40.158 to tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}34{:}40{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}43{.}229$ And so I think one of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:34:43.229 \rightarrow 00:34:46.108$ we're really seeing emerging from this

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:34:46.108 \rightarrow 00:34:48.965$ work looking at the tumor suppressor

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}34{:}48{.}965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}52{.}457$ genes is that when you do have mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}34{:}52{.}457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55{.}376$ or you have alterations that Co occur

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}34{:}55{.}376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58{.}516$ with EGF receptor and with EGF receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}34{:}58{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00{.}998$ P53 these can modulate both the growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:35:01.000 \dashrightarrow 00:35:04.000$ and sensitivity to these agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}35{:}04.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06.190$ We we were interested in looking

 $00:35:06.190 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.100$ further and in work that Paul

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}35{:}09{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12{.}206$ Stockhammer who was a resident is

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:35:12.206 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.426$ now a hospitalist here and is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:35:15.426 \rightarrow 00:35:18.780$ incoming he monk fellow did recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

00:35:18.780 --> 00:35:23.820 He looked at both our Yale internal data

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:35:23.945 \dashrightarrow 00:35:26.260$ from our tissue collection program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

00:35:26.260 --> 00:35:28.560 You see the cryovial here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:35:28.560 \rightarrow 00:35:32.322$ but also at the ACR project gene data set

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}35{:}32{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}37{.}525$ and looked at outcomes for patients on

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}35{:}37{.}525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}41{.}234$ tyrosine kinase inhibitors whose tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:35:41.234 \rightarrow 00:35:44.319$ had different combinations of mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:35:44.320 \rightarrow 00:35:46.588$ And I think the take away here is he

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}35{:}46{.}588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}48{.}938$ was able to look at tumors that had

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}35{:}48{.}938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}51{.}555$ mutations in a subset of tumor suppressor

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:35:51.555 \rightarrow 00:35:54.084$ genes because tumors had been analyzed

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:35:54.084 \rightarrow 00:35:57.400$ across a wide variety of different platforms.

00:35:57.400 - > 00:36:00.800 So we had to sort of focus in on the the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}36{:}00{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}02{.}804$ the common subset of tumor suppressor

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:36:02.804 \rightarrow 00:36:05.320$ genes that were looked at across platforms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:36:05.320 \rightarrow 00:36:10.036$ But essentially if tumors had both

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

00:36:10.040 --> 00:36:11.800 P53 mutations and a mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:36:11.800 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.462$ at least one of these tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:36:13.462 \rightarrow 00:36:15.159$ suppressor genes that he looked at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:36:15.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.836$ they had worse outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}36{:}16.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}19.350$ These are EGFR mutant tumors even

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:36:19.428 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.960$ compared to mutations that just had

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}36{:}21.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25.128$ TPF 3 mutations and were wild type for

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:36:25.128 \rightarrow 00:36:27.679$ those different tumor suppressor genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:36:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.676$ And So what does that mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

00:36:28.680 --> 00:36:32.434 Again, I think we're identifying a subset

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}36{:}32{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}35{.}130$ of tumors where there may be a benefit

 $00:36:35.211 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.724$ from adding a different therapy or it

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}36{:}37{.}724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}40{.}430$ should be at least be investigated from

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}36{:}40{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}43{.}668$ the get go because they are likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

00:36:43.668 --> 00:36:45.938 have worse outcomes with monotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}36{:}45{.}938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}48{.}000$ tyrosine kinase inhibitor treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:36:48.000 \rightarrow 00:36:49.848$ And this is very relevant right now

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}36{:}49{.}848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52{.}334$ at least in the field of EGF receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:36:52.334 \rightarrow 00:36:54.376$ driven lung cancer because there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}36{:}54{.}376$ --> $00{:}36{:}56{.}121$ studies of chemotherapy plus as amartinib

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:36:56.121 \dashrightarrow 00:36:58.591$ in the first line that are positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}36{:}58{.}591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00{.}733$ But people are very reluctant to

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:00.733 \rightarrow 00:37:03.079$ give that combination to everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}37{:}03.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}05.229$ If we can identify people who might

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}37{:}05{.}229 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}07{.}778$ benefit more or might need it more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}37{:}07{.}778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}10{.}234$ that could be really helpful for deploying

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}37{:}10{.}234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}12{.}718$ these different strategies in the clinic.

 $00{:}37{:}12.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}16.000$ And then I think another point is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}37{:}16.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}18.919$ we're really learning the Co mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.348$ can affect the rapeutic sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:20.348 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.989$ and it isn't only in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:22.989 \dashrightarrow 00:37:25.159$ of EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}37{:}25.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}27.830$ This is happening in multiple contexts

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:27.830 \dashrightarrow 00:37:29.731$ and with with multiple agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

00:37:29.731 --> 00:37:31.079 So here an example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

00:37:31.080 --> 00:37:32.880 I'm just just giving you a few examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:32.880 \rightarrow 00:37:35.358$ There are many more in the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}37{:}35{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}36{.}599$ But if we look at keep one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}37{:}36{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}39{.}320$ going back to keep one, keep one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}37{:}39{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}43{.}412$ alterations seem to have been negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}37{:}43.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}45.842$ for response rates to Sotirasip

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}37{:}45{.}842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}49{.}520$ in K Rash G12C driven lung cancer.

- $00:37:49.520 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.480$ Worse,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- 00:37:51.480 --> 00:37:54.155 you know higher local recurrence
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- $00{:}37{:}54.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}57.599$ with chemo radiation in the context
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- $00:37:57.599 \dashrightarrow 00:38:00.664$ of immunotherapy LKB 1 mutations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- 00:38:00.664 --> 00:38:03.600 actually seem to be worse confer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- 00:38:03.600 --> 00:38:06.478 you know be worse for or describe,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- $00{:}38{:}06{.}478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}08{.}512$ define a word a subset that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- $00:38:08.512 \rightarrow 00:38:10.519$ does worse with immunotherapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- $00{:}38{:}10{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}14{.}432$ And so in conclusion for this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- $00:38:14.432 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.000$ part of the talk,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- $00:38:16.000 \rightarrow 00:38:18.443$ the nature of the oncogenic mutation and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- 00:38:18.443 --> 00:38:20.344 Co occurring mutations effects sensitivity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- $00:38:20.344 \dashrightarrow 00:38:22.714$ to Tkis and mechanisms of resistance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- $00:38:22.720 \rightarrow 00:38:25.606$ We've developed a new generation of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- $00:38:25.606 \rightarrow 00:38:27.928$ genetically engineered mouse models that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- $00:38:27.928 \rightarrow 00:38:30.559$ can be used to study these complex genotypes.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- $00:38:30.559 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.792$ And I'd like to point out that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- $00:38:32.792 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.164$ really we have a lot of work that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- $00:38:35.164 \rightarrow 00:38:37.264$ is happening now studying these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- $00:38:37.264 \rightarrow 00:38:39.160$ individual different components.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- 00:38:39.160 --> 00:38:40.288 Mariana Do Carmos,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- 00:38:40.288 --> 00:38:41.040 an MD,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- $00:38:41.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.360$ PhD student in the lab.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- $00:38:42.360 \rightarrow 00:38:46.399$ She's studying the role of RBM 10
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- $00{:}38{:}46{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}49{.}088$ in EGF receptor driven lung cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- $00:38:49.088 \rightarrow 00:38:51.240$ working with Luisa escobarahoyos lab.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358
- 00:38:51.240 --> 00:38:52.680 Because we really can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775
- $00{:}38{:}54{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}56{.}862$ join forces and Luisa is an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775
- $00:38:56.862 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.221$ expert in splicing and this is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775
- 00:38:59.221 --> 00:39:00.830 really important gene protein
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775
- $00:39:00.830 \dashrightarrow 00:39:03.080$ that is involved in in splicing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:03.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.296$ So we're doing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}39{:}04{.}296$ --> $00{:}39{:}06{.}120$ I told you about Fran's work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:39:06.120 --> 00:39:09.910 We have Kita who's working on KMT 2D,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:09.910 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.800$ which I didn't tell you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:11.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.840$ another potential target

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}39{:}12{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}14{.}160$ that came out of this screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:39:14.160 -> 00:39:16.380 So really we can really study

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:16.380 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.860$ these different genotypes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:39:17.931 --> 00:39:20.112 understand the biology of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39{:}20.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}21.276$ different complex genotypes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.560$ which is really exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}39{:}23.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}27.280$ We have found out that an activation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}39{:}27{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}28{.}792$ these different tumor suppressor genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}39{:}28.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}30.646$ can have different effects on both

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:30.646 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.362$ tumor growth including positive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:32.362 \rightarrow 00:39:34.102$ negative effects and TKI sensitivity

 $00:39:34.102 \rightarrow 00:39:37.479$ depending on the oncogenic context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}39{:}37{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}40{.}336$ We showed that keep one loss limits

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}39{:}40{.}336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}42{.}302$ sensitivity to osmertinib in mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:42.302 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.528$ and in patients and think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}39{:}44{.}528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}47{.}298$ this is really potentially a bad

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}39{:}47{.}298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}49{.}534$ actor if there's Q1 alterations

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:49.534 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.856$ either at the genetic level or

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:51.856 \rightarrow 00:39:53.638$ also alterations in the pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}39{:}53.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}55.640$ The pathway can be modulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:55.640 \rightarrow 00:39:57.240$ in many different ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:57.240 \rightarrow 00:39:59.430$ and tumor suppressant gene mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}39{:}59{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}02{.}360$ can be used to identify patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:40:02.360 --> 00:40:04.850 subsets of patients who are likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}40{:}04{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}07{.}767$ to have worse outcomes and could

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:07.767 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.131$ be considered for additional

 $00:40:10.131 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.313$ the rapeutic interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.640$ So in the last part of the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.706$ I'd like to tell you about some

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:17.706 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.680$ other work that we've been doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:20.680 \rightarrow 00:40:23.598$ more recently to study non mutational

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}40{:}23.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}25.693$ mechanisms of resistance and I'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:25.693 \rightarrow 00:40:27.880$ say also of persistence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:27.880 \rightarrow 00:40:30.400$ So on tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:30.400 \dashrightarrow 00:40:34.186$ And So what are some of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}40{:}34{.}186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}35{.}897$ that we're thinking about broadly

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:40:35.897 --> 00:40:38.449 in the lab when we think about this NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:38.449 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.429$ problem of this 50% of tumors that NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:40.429 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.550$ we don't what for which we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:42.622 \dashrightarrow 00:40:44.557$ know why a resistance emerges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:44.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.059$ So some of the things that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:47.059 \rightarrow 00:40:49.161$ really interested in in understanding

- NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775
- $00:40:49.161 \rightarrow 00:40:52.035$ and studying are how the tumor

 $00{:}40{:}52.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}53.222$ microenvironment effects resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:53.222 \rightarrow 00:40:53.924$ and persistence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:53.924 \rightarrow 00:40:56.706$ And this is work that we're doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:56.706 \rightarrow 00:40:57.320$ collaboratively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.128$ Jake Schillo in the lab doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:00.128 \rightarrow 00:41:02.136$ collaboratively working with Don

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:02.136 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.160$ Nguyen's lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.310$ We are studying lineage plasticity

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:06.310 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.200$ and tumor heterogeneity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:41:08.200 --> 00:41:10.832 And I'll tell you about an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}41{:}10.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}13.408$ of this that was just recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}41{:}13{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}16{.}144$ published this month and that comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}41{:}16.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}18.580$ out of work studying mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:41:18.580 --> 00:41:20.320 of tumor persistence.

 $00{:}41{:}20{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}22{.}006$ And of course another area that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}41{:}22.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}23.575$ we're really interested in is while

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}41{:}23.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}25.640$ we've we're talked a lot about genes NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:25.640 \rightarrow 00:41:27.238$ and mutations and genetics here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:41:27.240 --> 00:41:29.872 but are there ways of reading out

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}41{:}29.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}31.875$ pathways and learning about how NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:41:31.875 --> 00:41:33.880 pathways are altered in tumours

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.706$ which might be an important way

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:41:36.706 --> 00:41:38.119 of understanding resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:38.119 \rightarrow 00:41:40.159$ and persistence as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}41{:}40.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}42.435$ And so one of the non mutational

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:42.435 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.951$ mechanisms that we recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}41{:}43{.}951 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}45{.}839$ discovered and published on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:41:45.840 --> 00:41:48.240 I'm not going to tell you about that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:48.240 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.052$ today because I don't really have

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:50.052 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.080$ time is that we identified a role

 $00:41:52.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.024$ for the ATP as of the SLY sniff

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:41:55.024 --> 00:41:57.315 complex in mediating resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:57.315 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.760$ to tyrosine kinase inhibitors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:42:00.760 --> 00:42:03.880 SMARCA 4 is actually usually lost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}42{:}03.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}05.560$ you have loss of function mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:42:05.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.120$ in tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:42:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.374$ One of the things that we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:42:08.374 \rightarrow 00:42:10.562$ which was really interesting is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}42{:}10.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}12.860$ actually it seems to be important

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}42{:}12.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}15.138$ for the resistance phenotype because

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}42{:}15{.}138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}17{.}880$ in resistant tumors it actually can

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:42:17.880 --> 00:42:19.936 promote accessibility of chromatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:42:19.936 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.020$ at both cell proliferation genes but NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:42:23.098 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.100$ also at genes it are NRF 2 low size NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:42:27.100 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.782$ so that allow activation of genes

 $00:42:29.782 \rightarrow 00:42:31.594$ that are antioxidant genes with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}42{:}31{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}34{.}995$ So it links to that keep one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:42:35.000 \rightarrow 00:42:37.037$ keep one finding that we had in

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:42:37.037 \rightarrow 00:42:38.640$ our tumor suppressor gene screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:42:38.640 --> 00:42:40.800 So I'm not going to tell you about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:42:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.278$ but I did want to highlight it

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}42{:}43.278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}46.024$ as as one of the some of the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:42:46.024 \rightarrow 00:42:48.146$ that we have done recently on non

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}42{:}48.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}49.998$ mutational mechanisms of resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}42{:}50{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52{.}544$ What I really wanted to focus the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:42:52.544 \rightarrow 00:42:54.962$ few minutes of the talk on is telling

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}42{:}54{.}962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}57{.}263$ you about some work that we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:42:57.263 \rightarrow 00:42:59.812$ doing to study tolerance and persistence

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:42:59.812 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.996$ to tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:43:02.000 \rightarrow 00:43:05.720$ And you saw this waterfall plot earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:43:05.720 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.752$ But one of the and one of the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00{:}43{:}07{.}752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}09{.}653$ questions that that we've had and I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00{:}43{:}09{.}653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}11{.}364$ think that is a prominent question
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00:43:11.364 \rightarrow 00:43:13.948$ in the field is why aren't all cells
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- 00:43:13.948 --> 00:43:15.099 eradicated upon TKI treatment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- 00:43:15.099 --> 00:43:17.010 right, Because if we could get rid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00:43:17.060 \rightarrow 00:43:18.878$ of all of the cells from the get go,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00:43:18.880 \rightarrow 00:43:21.330$ we wouldn't have the problem of acquired
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00{:}43{:}21{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}22.696$ resistance. And here's some scans.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00{:}43{:}22.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}24.849$ You see the tumor and you see several
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00:43:24.849 \rightarrow 00:43:26.990$ months later the tumor is still there,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00:43:26.990 \rightarrow 00:43:30.000$ there still is some residual tumor leftover.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00:43:30.000 \rightarrow 00:43:32.840$ So what is the biology of residual disease?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00{:}43{:}32{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}35{.}288$ Well, we decided and this is work from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00{:}43{:}35{.}288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}37{.}798$ a former graduate student in the lab,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- 00:43:37.800 --> 00:43:38.510 Boom Yao,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}43{:}38{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}41.066$ who who is now in Arno Osher's lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}43{:}41.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}41.918$ as a post doc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:43:41.920 --> 00:43:43.117 And I think Boom Yao is here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:43:43.120 --> 00:43:44.880 I thought I saw him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}43{:}44{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}47{.}814$ And So what Bom Yao did is he took

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:43:47.814 --> 00:43:50.106 advantage again of our collection

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:43:50.106 \rightarrow 00:43:51.994$ of specimens from patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:43:52.000 - 00:43:53.444 And he said, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}43{:}53{.}444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}55{.}610$ what happens if I implant these

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:43:55.683 \rightarrow 00:43:57.559$ PDXS that we've generated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}43{:}57{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}00{.}479$ treat them with a tyrosine kinase inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:00.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.640$ and then look at residual disease?

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}44{:}02.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}04.264$ We can harvest that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:44:04.264 --> 00:44:06.708 You know, we take it at a plateau, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:06.708 \rightarrow 00:44:08.556$ Once the tumors aren't shrinking anymore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:08.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.632$ that's what's left over.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00:44:09.632 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.952$ And can we we it's really hard to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00:44:11.952 \rightarrow 00:44:13.597$ study residual disease in patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- 00:44:13.600 --> 00:44:15.730 We can't really easily do biopsies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00:44:15.730 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.440$ on treatment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00:44:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.280$ but this is as a surrogate of that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00{:}44{:}19{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}22{.}276$ And so here are some examples of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00{:}44{:}22.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}25.238$ the PDXS that Boom Yao studied.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00:44:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.640$ So he took these PDXS,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00{:}44{:}26.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}29.111$ treated them and then took what was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- 00:44:29.111 --> 00:44:31.349 leftover after four to six weeks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00:44:31.349 \rightarrow 00:44:33.194$ of treatment when they plateaued.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00:44:33.200 \rightarrow 00:44:35.513$ And you can see in all of the cases
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00:44:35.520 \rightarrow 00:44:37.836$ there was tumor leftover after treatment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00{:}44{:}37{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}39{.}892$ varying amounts of tumor and in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769
- $00:44:39.892 \rightarrow 00:44:40.918$ some very little,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:44:40.920 --> 00:44:42.600 very small islands of tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:42.600 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.480$ but there was tumor leftover.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.167$ And I'd like to highlight an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}44{:}46.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}48.175$ of one of the things that we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:48.175 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.986$ from one of these PDXS that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:49.986 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.558$ studied in a little more detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:51.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.245$ We found that in one of them we

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:54.245 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.155$ saw up regulation of Ascl 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:44:57.160 --> 00:45:00.632 ASCL one is a basic Helix loop

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:45:00.632 \rightarrow 00:45:02.120$ Helix transcription factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}45{:}02{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}04{.}451$ It has a role in neuronal differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:45:04.451 \rightarrow 00:45:06.185$ and its expression actually identifies

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}45{:}06{.}185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}08{.}558$ a subset of small cell lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:45:08.560 --> 00:45:11.500 So it was really up in the residual

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:45:11.500 \rightarrow 00:45:14.160$ disease in this tumor and not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00:45:14.238 \rightarrow 00:45:16.788$ was it up at the transcriptional

 $00:45:16.788 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.380$ level and the signature was was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00:45:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.280$ enriched in the residual disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00{:}45{:}22{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25{.}255$ but it's downstream targets rat BCL two

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00{:}45{:}25{.}255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}29{.}024$ and DLL three were also all turned on in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00{:}45{:}29{.}024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}31{.}840$ the residual disease in that tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00{:}45{:}31{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}33{.}560$ Ossumertinib was working really well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00{:}45{:}33{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}36{.}840$ You can see phospho EGFR is gone here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:45:36.840 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.199$ And so this was really interesting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00{:}45{:}39{.}199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}42{.}030$ us because we know that a subset of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00:45:42.030 \rightarrow 00:45:44.480 \text{ EGFR}$ driven tumors when they're treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00:45:44.480 \rightarrow 00:45:47.620$ with osumertinib can actually undergo

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00{:}45{:}47.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}49.457$ neuroendocrine differentiation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00{:}45{:}49{.}457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}52{.}919$ transformed to small cell lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00{:}45{:}52{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}56{.}200$ a subset of which are ASCL 1 positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00{:}45{:}56{.}200$ --> $00{:}45{:}59{.}160$ And so this kind of piqued our interest.

 $00{:}45{:}59{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}01{.}744$ And so one of the first questions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00{:}46{:}01{.}744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}04{.}980$ we had was are these ASCL one cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00{:}46{:}04{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}07{.}280$ present in the tumor pretreatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00{:}46{:}07{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}09{.}200$ And so when we looked and we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

00:46:09.200 --> 00:46:10.560 single cell RNA sequencing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00{:}46{:}10.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}14.824$ we actually saw that the if you look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00:46:14.824 \rightarrow 00:46:17.320$ the pretreatment specimen here in blue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00:46:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.840$ there is a subset of these cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00{:}46{:}19{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}22{.}117$ is present that is ASCL 1 positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00:46:22.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.622$ So we think that those cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00:46:24.622 \rightarrow 00:46:25.873$ were present beforehand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00{:}46{:}25.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}28.757$ Whether other cells then turned it on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00:46:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.266$ we can't really tell from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00:46:30.266 \rightarrow 00:46:31.640$ types of experiments that we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00{:}46{:}31{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}33{.}864$ But we do know that there was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00{:}46{:}33.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}35.838$ population that was there pretreatment.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- $00:46:35.840 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.856$ And so our next question after that was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- 00:46:38.856 --> 00:46:42.438 well how is ASCL 1 conferring TKI tolerance,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- $00:46:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.048$ what is happening.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- $00:46:44.048 \rightarrow 00:46:46.023$ And so we said OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- $00:46:46.023 \rightarrow 00:46:47.829$ let's turn to our human EGF
- NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667
- $00{:}46{:}47.829 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}49.855$ receptor driven cell lines and let's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667
- $00:46:49.855 \rightarrow 00:46:51.960$ express ASCL one in these cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- $00:46:51.960 \rightarrow 00:46:53.600$ And so one of the first things that we did,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- $00{:}46{:}53.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}56.669$ we expressed ASCL one in the cells and you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- 00:46:56.669 --> 00:46:59.677 can see here in this HCCA 27 cell line,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- $00:46:59.680 \rightarrow 00:47:01.968$ we expressed it and we saw more colonies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- $00{:}47{:}01{.}968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}04{.}655$ and you can see this quantified here
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- 00:47:04.655 --> 00:47:06.299 after treatment with osmertinib
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- $00{:}47{:}06.299 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}08.436$ compared to the empty vector control,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- $00{:}47{:}08{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}11{.}328$ we did this across in another cell line
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00:47:11.328 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.432$ and we saw no effect of ASCL one expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00:47:14.432 \rightarrow 00:47:17.079$ And so this was also interesting and we said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

00:47:17.080 --> 00:47:17.426 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00:47:17.426 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.848$ so why does ASCL one having a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00{:}47{:}19{.}848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}22{.}001$ phenotype has a phenotype in one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00{:}47{:}22.001 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}24.077$ cell line but not the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00:47:24.080 \rightarrow 00:47:26.460$ We did gene expression profiling and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00:47:26.460 \rightarrow 00:47:29.477$ we saw is that in the permissive cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00:47:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.552$ these HCC 827 cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00:47:31.552 \rightarrow 00:47:35.280$ you actually saw that ASCL one could

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00:47:35.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.968$ lead to an EMT gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

00:47:37.968 --> 00:47:40.905 program was it had no effect at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00{:}47{:}40.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43.256$ all in the PC-9 cell line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00:47:43.256 \rightarrow 00:47:47.336$ And we went on and we looked with ataxiq

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00{:}47{:}47{.}336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}50{.}504$ at chromatin accessibility at EMT genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667

 $00:47:50.504 \rightarrow 00:47:54.361$ and we see that upon ESAS CL1 expression,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- 00:47:54.361 -> 00:47:57.403 you do see changes in chromatin
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- $00:47:57.403 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.348$ accessibility at both epithelial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- $00{:}47{:}59{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}01{.}613$ genes and mesenchymal genes when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- 00:48:01.613 --> 00:48:05.680 you put Ascl one into these HCC
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- $00{:}48{:}05{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}07{.}680$ 827 cells that are permissive,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- $00:48:07.680 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.330$ but you don't see any changes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- $00:48:09.330 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.800$ in the PC-9 cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- 00:48:10.800 > 00:48:14.480 And So what do we think is happening?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- $00:48:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.812$ So we think that when you have,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- 00:48:17.812 --> 00:48:19.756 when you don't have ASCL 1,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- 00:48:19.760 --> 00:48:22.360 the TKI can work and you see death
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- $00:48:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.159$ of the EGF receptor driven cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- $00{:}48{:}25.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}28.046$ If you have a permissive cellular
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9516523366666667
- 00:48:28.046 --> 00:48:30.400 context what happens is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143
- 00:48:30.400 --> 00:48:32.672 you can have ASCL one can turn on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:48:32.672 -> 00:48:35.512 or can lead to an EMT program and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}48{:}35{.}512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}38{.}128$ know that that is associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}48{:}38{.}128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}40{.}798$ resistance to tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:48:40.800 --> 00:48:43.200 In a non permissive cellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:48:43.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.597$ context though that you don't have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:48:45.597 --> 00:48:47.830 you don't turn on this program so

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}48{:}47{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}50{.}764$ you don't have a difference in ASCL 1

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:48:50.764 \rightarrow 00:48:52.919$ expressing versus non expressing cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}48{:}52{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}55{.}240$ We also found that pre-existing

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:48:55.240 \rightarrow 00:48:57.096$ cells with transcriptional features

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}48{:}57.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}59.501$ of drug tolerant cells are present

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}48{:}59{.}501 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}00{.}783$ in the untreated tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}49{:}00{.}783 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}03{.}243$ And I think one of the questions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:03.243 \rightarrow 00:49:05.308$ we've we're really interested in is you

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:05.308 \rightarrow 00:49:07.795$ know why are some cells permissive or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:07.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.760$ I think this is sort of one of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143
- $00:49:09.760 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.479$ the major problems in cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143
- $00:49:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.888$ one of the things that has been a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143
- $00:49:12.888 \rightarrow 00:49:14.438$ mystery in cancer over all of the years.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143
- $00{:}49{:}14{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}16{.}344$ Why do you see certain phenotypes when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143
- $00:49:16.344 \rightarrow 00:49:18.400$ you have certain settings and not others?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143
- $00:49:18.400 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.199$ And in the case of ASCL one,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143
- $00:49:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.440$ this is very reminiscent of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143
- 00:49:22.440 --> 00:49:24.232 reprogramming because it's known,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143
- $00:49:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.280$ for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143
- $00:49:25.280 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.824$ that you can put ASCL one into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143
- 00:49:28.824 --> 00:49:31.800 fibroblasts and reprogram them to neurons,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143
- 00:49:31.800 00:49:33.592 but you put them when you put them
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143
- $00{:}49{:}33{.}592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}34{.}040$ in keratinocytes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143
- $00{:}49{:}34{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}36{.}119$ You can't and this has been shown
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143
- $00{:}49{:}36{.}119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}38{.}816$ to be due to actually the chromatin
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:38.816 \rightarrow 00:49:40.118$ landscape at Ascl,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:40.120 \rightarrow 00:49:41.800$ one target genes in the different cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:41.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.738$ So could something like that be

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:43.738 \rightarrow 00:49:45.918$ happening in the cancer cells as well?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}49{:}45{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}47{.}782$ And one of the other questions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}49{:}47.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}50.080$ course that we have is since Ascl

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:50.080 \rightarrow 00:49:54.070$ one is important for and neuronal

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:54.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.625$ differentiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}49{:}54.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}56.845$ it's associated with neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:56.845 \rightarrow 00:49:59.000$ differentiation, Is it poising these cells?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:49:59.000 --> 00:50:01.744 We didn't see any other, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:01.744 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.400$ neuroendocrine markers on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.808$ but is it poising the cells to

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:05.808 \rightarrow 00:50:07.639$ undergo that type of change?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:50:07.640 --> 00:50:09.840 And so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:09.840 \rightarrow 00:50:12.152$ so some of the things that we're thinking

00:50:12.152 --> 00:50:14.597 about now and we have experiments ongoing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}50{:}14.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}17.344$ we have Mark Wiesehofer in the lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:17.344 \rightarrow 00:50:19.705$ who's been thinking about this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}50{:}19.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}22.295$ working about on this in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}50{:}22{.}372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}24{.}672$ of both prostate cancer where very

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:24.672 \rightarrow 00:50:27.360$ similar things happen and lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}50{:}27.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}29.208$ We're asking how does a chromatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}50{:}29{.}208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}31{.}426$ state of a cancer cell affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}50{:}31{.}426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}33{.}716$ responsiveness to the rapy and plasticity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:33.720 \rightarrow 00:50:35.360$ And so you can have these different cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.012$ you add ASCL one and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:37.012 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.393$ see different things happen in

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}50{:}38{.}393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}39{.}320$ these different cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:39.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.000$ And why is that happening?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}50{:}41.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}42.694$ And is there something that we can

 $00:50:42.694 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.161$ learn from these cells that then

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:50:44.161 -> 00:50:45.757 we can apply to human tumors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:50:45.811 - > 00:50:47.236 could we use this information?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:47.240 \rightarrow 00:50:49.560$ I'm thinking far a little bit far ahead,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:49.560 \rightarrow 00:50:51.072$ but it's something that's in the back of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}50{:}51{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}54{.}212$ my mind is can we predict how a tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:54.212 \rightarrow 00:50:57.956$ will evolve on treatment with this knowledge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:57.960 \rightarrow 00:51:02.200$ So finally a couple of final thoughts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:51:02.200 \rightarrow 00:51:03.957$ So what have I told you today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}51{:}03{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}06{.}135$ baseline mutations and Co mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}51{:}06{.}135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}07{.}875$ can affect disease progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:51:07.880 --> 00:51:09.320 drug sensitivity and mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:51:09.320 \rightarrow 00:51:12.006$ of drug resistance and how can we

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:51:12.006 \rightarrow 00:51:13.842$ incorporate this knowledge into

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}51{:}13.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}15.678$ clinical investigation and practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:51:15.680 \rightarrow 00:51:18.677$ This is something that we think about a lot.

 $00:51:18.680 \dashrightarrow 00:51:21.090$ There's a vast heterogeneity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:51:21.090 --> 00:51:23.500 complexity of non mutational resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}51{:}23{.}568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}25{.}412$ and persistence mechanisms and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:51:25.412 \rightarrow 00:51:27.717$ we're working to identify them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:51:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.370$ establish when they are relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:51:29.370 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.020$ for specific tumors and find

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:51:31.075 \dashrightarrow 00:51:32.590$ vulnerabilities of these and be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:51:32.590 \rightarrow 00:51:34.528$ happy to talk more about these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}51{:}34{.}528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}36{.}000$ thoughts throughout the day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}51{:}36{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}39{.}400$ Today I there are a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:51:39.400 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.872$ of people to acknowledge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

00:51:40.880 --> 00:51:43.816 Here are some pictures of lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}51{:}43.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}46.440$ members throughout the years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}51{:}46{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}49{.}560$ Here's a particularly fun one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}51{:}49{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}51{.}945$ This was a fundraising picture

- $00:51:51.945 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.250$ for a closer to free team that so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- 00:51:55.250 --> 00:51:56.600 I thought that was pretty cool.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- 00:51:56.600 --> 00:51:59.360 These are Halloween,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- $00:51:59.360 \dashrightarrow 00:52:01.868$ one of our Halloween parties and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- $00:52:01.868 \dashrightarrow 00:52:04.520$ other pictures from the we have the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- $00{:}52{:}04{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}06{.}320$ All of the lab has contributed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- $00:52:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.920$ tremendously to all of these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- $00:52:07.920 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.200$ efforts over the years,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- $00{:}52{:}09{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}11{.}516$ and I'm so grateful to have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- $00:52:11.516 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.440$ the opportunity to work with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- $00:52:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.952$ so many talented people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- $00:52:14.952 \rightarrow 00:52:17.733$ There are lots of people to acknowledge
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- $00{:}52{:}17.733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}20.349$ who have contributed to this work
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- $00:52:20.349 \rightarrow 00:52:23.117$ in addition to members of the lab,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- $00:52:23.120 \rightarrow 00:52:26.720$ so many collaborators outside of Yale,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- $00:52:26.720 \rightarrow 00:52:29.198$ but in particular everybody here at Yale,

00:52:29.200 --> 00:52:31.320 which I, I, I really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:31.320 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.519$ I hope everybody is on this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:34.520 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.760$ It's one of the things that I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.553$ worried about but want to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:38.553 \rightarrow 00:52:40.129$ sure that everybody is acknowledged

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}52{:}40{.}129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}42{.}174$ here because of the tremendous

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}52{:}42{.}174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}44{.}172$ contributions that makes it such

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:44.172 \rightarrow 00:52:47.480$ an amazing place to work together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}52{:}47{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}49{.}235$ A couple of things that I'd like to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}52{:}49{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}51{.}880$ we have a retreat too on tho racic cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

00:52:51.880 --> 00:52:54.720 On Monday, it's retreat season.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}52{:}54{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}56{.}800$ It is at West Campus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}52{:}56{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}00{.}797$ so you're all invited to join us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}53{:}00{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}03{.}720$ We have a team that has been working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

00:53:03.720 --> 00:53:04.800 Sarah's in here, I think.

00:53:04.800 --> 00:53:06.880 Sarah Goldberg, Justin Blasberg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:06.880 \dashrightarrow 00:53:09.900$ We have Glynis Arnold and Melody

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

00:53:09.900 --> 00:53:12.328 Noga MENA who's been working

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:12.328 \rightarrow 00:53:14.120$ to organize this retreat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.513$ So we hope you can join us and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:17.520 \rightarrow 00:53:20.124$ save the date for our annual lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:20.124 \rightarrow 00:53:22.678$ cancer workshop on June 12th and 13th.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.240$ It is also going to be at West

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.500$ Campus here and it's particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}53{:}27{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}30{.}542$ special this year because we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:30.542 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.088$ going to be recognizing the 20th

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:33.088 \rightarrow 00:53:35.032$ anniversary of the discovery of EGF

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}53{:}35{.}032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}36{.}558$ receptor mutations and lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:36.560 \rightarrow 00:53:38.240$ which has really transformed the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:38.240 \rightarrow 00:53:40.576$ It's near and dear front to my heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:40.576 \rightarrow 00:53:42.560$ as you can imagine from the talk,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- $00:53:42.560 \rightarrow 00:53:45.773$ but it's really going to be I think a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- $00:53:45.773 \rightarrow 00:53:47.986$ spectacular event with lots of people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- $00:53:47.986 \rightarrow 00:53:51.066$ coming from all over to mark this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- $00:53:51.066 \rightarrow 00:53:51.812$ this moment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- $00{:}53{:}51{.}812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}54{.}580$ And so we hope that you can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- $00:53:54.580 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.360$ participate in that too.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- 00:53:56.360 --> 00:53:57.572 Thank you very much and I'll
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448
- $00:53:57.572 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.880$ be happy to take questions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89088666
- $00{:}54{:}09{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}10{.}800$ Thank you so much, Katie.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89088666
- $00:54:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.811$ That was wonderful.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89088666
- $00{:}54{:}11{.}811 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}13{.}833$ Are there questions in the room?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893251607333333
- 00:54:16.000 --> 00:54:18.149 Maybe I'll start as a person who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893251607333333
- $00:54:18.149 \rightarrow 00:54:20.220$ knows more about squamous cell
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893251607333333
- $00{:}54{:}20{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}21{.}840$ cancers than a denocarcinomas.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893251607333333
- $00{:}54{:}21{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}24{.}720$ When you talk about P53 mutations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893251607333333

 $00:54:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.970$ are they always the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.893251607333333

 $00:54:26.970 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.320$ in a denocarcinoma patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893251607333333

 $00:54:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.811$ And we spend a lot of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.893251607333333

 $00:54:29.811 \rightarrow 00:54:31.140$ in the squamous world talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.893251607333333

 $00:54:31.140 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.280$ about disruptive mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893251607333333

00:54:32.280 --> 00:54:36.120 gain of function mutations. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918960678888889

 $00{:}54{:}36{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}40{.}314$ we have, I think there's a wide variety of

NOTE Confidence: 0.918960678888889

 $00:54:40.320 \rightarrow 00:54:44.000 P53$ mutations that you see in lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918960678888889

 $00:54:44.000 \rightarrow 00:54:46.760$ So they're like different types and

NOTE Confidence: 0.923658161111111

 $00:54:46.760 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.704$ have you dissected out if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.92365816111111

 $00{:}54{:}48{.}704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}49{.}676$ have different implications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923658161111111

 $00{:}54{:}49{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}51{.}534$ We think the gain of function

NOTE Confidence: 0.92365816111111

 $00{:}54{:}51{.}534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}53{.}239$ mutations don't lead to as much

NOTE Confidence: 0.923658161111111

00:54:53.240 --> 00:54:55.160 genomic instability for example. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286093444444

 $00:54:55.160 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.980$ those are things that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286093444444

 $00:54:56.980 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.436$ haven't studied that much.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.88286093444444
- $00:54:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.645$ I think Paul had looked at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88286093444444
- 00:55:00.645 --> 00:55:01.980 different mutations a little
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88286093444444
- $00:55:01.980 \dashrightarrow 00:55:03.595$ bit in terms of outcomes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88286093444444
- $00{:}55{:}03.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}05.300$ Paul Stockhammer and I don't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88286093444444
- $00{:}55{:}05{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}07{.}396$ think he had found differences in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88286093444444
- $00{:}55{:}07{.}396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}09{.}370$ terms of outcomes with Tkis with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88286093444444
- $00{:}55{:}09{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}11{.}520$ the different classes mutations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88286093444444
- $00:55:11.520 \rightarrow 00:55:16.440$ So is the polycommers suppressor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88286093444444
- $00:55:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.600$ name screen that your
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906045539230769
- $00:55:18.600 \rightarrow 00:55:21.060$ biggest hit at least in one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906045539230769
- $00:55:21.060 \rightarrow 00:55:23.798$ of the assays was loss of RB,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906045539230769
- $00{:}55{:}23.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}26.635$ but it looks like in the in the cancers
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906045539230769
- $00:55:26.635 \rightarrow 00:55:29.359$ RB loss was relatively infrequent.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906045539230769
- $00{:}55{:}29{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}31{.}222$ Does it does that suggest or have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906045539230769
- $00{:}55{:}31{.}222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}33{.}045$ you looked at whether there's other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906045539230769

 $00{:}55{:}33.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}35.007$ dys regulators of the RB pathway that

NOTE Confidence: 0.906045539230769

 $00{:}55{:}35{.}007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}37{.}217$ are more common in lung cancer like

NOTE Confidence: 0.906045539230769

00:55:37.217 --> 00:55:39.180 the Cyclone CDK pathway and that's NOTE Confidence: 0.906045539230769

 $00:55:39.180 \rightarrow 00:55:41.000$ a potentially targetable approach?

NOTE Confidence: 0.965819242

 $00{:}55{:}41{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}43{.}280$ Yeah, that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965819242

 $00{:}55{:}43{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}47{.}151$ So it's interesting because RB as you NOTE Confidence: 0.965819242

 $00{:}55{:}47{.}151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}51{.}410$ said RB one loss is one of the biggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.965819242

 $00:55:51.410 \rightarrow 00:55:54.560$ drivers of tumor growth in our screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965819242

 $00{:}55{:}54{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}59{.}080$ It is also if you look at how frequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.965819242

 $00:55:59.080 \rightarrow 00:56:02.464$ it Co occurs with EGFR and P53 mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965819242

 $00:56:02.464 \rightarrow 00:56:04.228$ it's one of the tumor suppressor genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.965819242

 $00{:}56{:}04.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}05.837$ that is most frequently Co altered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965819242

 $00:56:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.640$ So none of them go really

NOTE Confidence: 0.965819242

 $00:56:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.600$ above the like 10% threshold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333

 $00:56:12.160 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.512$ We do know, we haven't really looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333

 $00{:}56{:}14.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}17.050$ other ways in which the P50 in which the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333
- 00:56:17.050 --> 00:56:19.157 RB pathway could be altered in tumors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333
- $00:56:19.160 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.876$ We haven't really looked at that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333
- $00:56:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.806$ What we do know is that if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333
- $00:56:24.806 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.036$ you have tumors with e.g.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333
- $00{:}56{:}27.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}30.680$ F, RP53 and RB alterations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333
- $00:56:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.871$ those are the ones that have the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333
- 00:56:32.871 --> 00:56:34.554 highest likelihood of undergoing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333
- $00{:}56{:}34{.}554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}36{.}600$ that neuroendocrine differentiation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333
- 00:56:36.600 00:56:39.000 And so like 1/4 of those will undergo
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333
- $00{:}56{:}39{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}40{.}520$ the neuroendocrine differentiation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9359382
- $00:56:44.600 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.399$ Any other questions from.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947424084285714
- 00:56:47.400 --> 00:56:51.080 OK, Thank you again so very much. Thank you.