WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:54:20" NOTE recognizability:0.852

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.105$ Good morning. So for those of you who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

00:00:04.105 --> 00:00:06.598 either can't see me or don't know me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}00{:}06.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}09.911$ I'm Eric Weiner and I'm really pleased

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:00:09.911 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.557$ to be here to introduce Kathy Wu.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:00:13.560 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.600$ This is the inaugural lecture of what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:00:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.996$ hope will be a new series and many of us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

00:00:22.996 --> 00:00:25.598 in the Cancer Center spent a lot of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:00:25.598 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.989$ thinking about how we want to do conferences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:00:27.989 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.101$ And we looked at attendance and we looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:00:31.101 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.048$ at who goes to what and ultimately came

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}00{:}34.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36.960$ to the decision that Grand runs as it was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:00:36.960 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.452$ which is now trying to be in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:00:39.452 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.600$ person as much as possible,

 $00:00:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.440$ was largely attended by clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}00{:}43.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44.912$ oriented people in population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:00:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.770$ scientists and people who are otherwise

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:00:47.770 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.406$ looking for lunch and or breakfast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:00:50.406 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.125$ And and that there was really a need for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:00:54.125 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.995$ a conference that focused a bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:00:57.000 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.040$ on translational and basic questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:01:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.200$ And so after some thought,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}01{:}03.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}06.140$ a small committee of people that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

00:01:06.140 --> 00:01:09.040 included Katie Politi and Megan King

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}01{:}09.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.792$ came up with the idea of trying a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:01:11.792 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.239$ conference like this on a monthly basis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:01:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.236$ And this is the first of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}01{:}17.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.832$ So I'm really pleased to have Kathy Wu here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

00:01:19.840 --> 00:01:22.996 I've known Kathy for many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

00:01:23.000 --> 00:01:26.924 She was a fellow at Dana Farber and of

 $00{:}01{:}26.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}30.856$ course it's still with Dana Farber when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}01{:}30.856 \to 00{:}01{:}35.056$ I was a substantially younger attending.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:01:35.056 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.440$ And in fact we worked together in clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:01:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.278$ yes, briefly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}01{:}40.278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}43.630$ She dabbled a little bit in seeing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:01:43.720 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.160$ patient with breast cancer or one or two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:01:47.160 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.520$ And so I've known Kathy now for 20

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

00:01:50.520 --> 00:01:53.805 plus years and Kathy has built really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}01{:}53.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}57.480$ a phenomenal career at at Dana Farber.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}01{:}57.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}59.960$ Her own interests are broad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

00:01:59.960 --> 00:02:01.600 I learned last night something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:02:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.912$ I didn't know before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:02:02.920 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.349$ which is that she even had an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:02:05.349 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.898$ interest in sickle cell disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}02{:}07.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}09.806$ the rapeutic approaches to sickle

 $00:02:09.806 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.040$ cell disease way back when,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:02:12.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.070$ but ultimately decided that some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:02:14.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.680$ some amount of focus was needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:02:16.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.960$ And her interests have really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:02:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.240$ focused on immunotherapy and Col.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:02:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.836$ and and beyond that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:02:23.836 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.081$ the development of vaccines and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}02{:}27.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30.639$ and tumor specific vaccines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}02{:}30.640 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33.040$ She is presently a Professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:02:33.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.120$ Medicine at Harvard Medical School

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}02{:}35.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38.480$ and the chief of the Division of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

00:02:38.480 --> 00:02:40.160 Let Me See If I Get This Right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:02:40.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.092$ Stem cell transplantation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}02{:}42.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.507$ cellular the rapies at the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}02{:}44.507 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}46.580$ Dana Farber Cancer Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:02:46.580 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.400$ So it's really a pleasure to have you here.

 $00:02:51.400 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.425$ We're all looking forward to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

00:02:53.425 --> 00:02:55.840 your talk on largely on CLL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:02:55.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.676$ And thanks so much for coming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:02:57.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.592$ We had I will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}02{:}58.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}01.191$ I will just say that a small group of us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

00:03:01.191 --> 00:03:03.680 had a great dinner with Kathy last night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

00:03:03.680 --> 00:03:07.520 And in addition to being a great scientist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:03:07.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.080$ she's also just a delightful

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:03:09.080 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.640$ person to have dinner with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00{:}03{:}19.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.120$ Well, it's really an honor to be here

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

00:03:22.120 --> 00:03:26.120 and and happy New Year, Happy Snow day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:03:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.000$ Thank you everyone in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00{:}03{:}28.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.880$ room for trudging in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:03:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.680$ It's really great to see you in person

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:03:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.833$ and and also to all the folks out in Zoom.

 $00:03:36.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.919$ I hope this is a successful series

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00{:}03{:}38.919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}41.132$ because I do think that the intersection

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:03:41.132 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.364$ between the clinical and the basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:03:43.364 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.344$ and really kind of being able to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:03:46.344 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.784$ at the translational opportunities

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:03:47.784 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.976$ that are afforded by the patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:03:49.976 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.228$ we treat in the study are are are

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:03:52.228 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.040$ immense and so and very rewarding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

00:03:54.040 --> 00:03:58.240 So and as as Eric said I I do have many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:03:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.720$ many different different interests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:03:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.636$ I think that's a hallmark of a of a happy MD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:04:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.901$ So like we we're interested in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:04:05.901 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.256$ lot of things and and thank you for

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:04:08.256 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.900$ giving me the opportunity to maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:04:09.953 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.280$ share some of the work that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:04:11.280 \dashrightarrow 00:04:15.170$ been doing in CLL Genomics. OK.

 $00:04:15.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.120$ So we'll start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667 00:04:17.120 --> 00:04:17.480 Let's see

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:04:27.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.800$ here we go. Disclosure slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:04:29.800 --> 00:04:31.396 OK, I thought I'd start here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}04{:}31.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.460$ which is you know I think just a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:04:34.460 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.678$ challenge to all of us in the cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:04:36.678 --> 00:04:38.351 community whether or not we study

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}04{:}38.351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}40.439$ CLL or not is really the challenge of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}04{:}40.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}42.437$ tumor heterogeneity and evolution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:04:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.906$ This has really been kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:04:44.906 --> 00:04:47.320 understood for quite some time now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:04:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.427$ made ever more clear through all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}04{:}49.427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}51.440$ genomic studies that have been out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:04:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.177$ But we know for sure that cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:04:54.177 --> 00:04:56.280 is a heterogeneous population,

 $00:04:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.320$ for better or for worse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:04:57.320 --> 00:04:57.650 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:04:57.650 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.960$ by the time that we are diagnosing

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:04:59.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.040$ patients with cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.301$ we're really here at the time of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:05:03.301 --> 00:05:05.600 escape where there's already so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:05.600 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.863$ different resistance mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:05:06.863 --> 00:05:09.183 that have really come into play

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}09.183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}11.185$ that make the tumor fit to expand

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:11.185 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.120$ and grow in the patient host.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.405$ We also increasingly know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}15.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17.159$ this is not happening in a vacuum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:05:17.160 --> 00:05:18.492 that there's an interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}18.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}20.157$ with the host immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:05:20.160 --> 00:05:20.666 But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:20.666 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.437$ by the time that we're seeing patients,

 $00:05:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.780$ there's so many different immune based

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:24.780 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.360$ escape mechanisms that are at play as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.176$ And so a lot of the questions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}29.176 --> 00{:}05{:}31.091$ I think as a field that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}31.091 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}32.496$ really interested in asking is

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:32.554 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.714$ not only this question of tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:05:34.714 --> 00:05:35.794 heterogeneity and evolution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}35.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}38.278$ but also how do we understand this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:38.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.630$ these heterogeneous tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}39.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}41.880$ microenvironments are T cells there

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:41.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.838$ at the right place at the right time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:44.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.770$ How are we responding to

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:46.770 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.431$ diverse immunotherapies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:47.431 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.870$ And then what is the role of a tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:49.930 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.880$ antigen in shaping the tumor response?

00:05:51.880 --> 00:05:53.609 I'm not going to talk today so

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:05:53.609 --> 00:05:55.184 much until the very, very end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:05:55.184 --> 00:05:56.948 but this is a very large area

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:05:56.948 --> 00:05:58.520 of interest in my group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.288$ And as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}59.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00.440$ I'm going to focus on chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:06:00.484 --> 00:06:01.400 lymphocytic leukemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.080$ which honestly the questions that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:05.080 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.960$ asking could be in any sort of tumor system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:06:07.960 --> 00:06:10.216 But CLL really has a lot of very

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:06:10.216 --> 00:06:11.813 unique features about the disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:11.813 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.428$ that have made it exceptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:13.428 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.199$ for the study of genomics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:06:15.200 --> 00:06:16.920 First,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.928$ in a small tube of blood you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:18.928 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.365$ very pure tumor that can is readily

 $00:06:21.365 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.235$ accessible directly from the patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}06{:}23.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}24.997$ The other thing is for a cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:06:25.000 --> 00:06:26.488 it's quite indolent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:26.488 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.910$ And So what that means is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:28.910 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.340$ we really have really long

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:30.401 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.117$ disease histories of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.018$ We can really take snapshots in

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}06{:}35.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37.733$ time and study evolution in real

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}06{:}37.733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}39.552$ time along with the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:39.552 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.760$ And so for some time now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:06:41.760 --> 00:06:43.180 our group together with colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.316$ in the Boston area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.750$ we've actually had this program

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:06:45.750 --> 00:06:47.457 where we've been trying to study

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:47.457 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.080$ the link from genome to phenome.

00:06:49.080 --> 00:06:51.798 How can we genomically characterize CLL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}06{:}51.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}53.594$ how can we understand the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:06:53.594 --> 00:06:55.517 course in response to the rapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:06:55.517 --> 00:06:57.509 then how can we also functionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:57.509 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.190$ characterize the pathway dependencies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:59.190 \dashrightarrow 00:07:01.825$ really thinking about how we can do better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:07:01.825 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.905$ So what I'm going to talk about today

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:07:04.905 --> 00:07:08.125 is update the group on recent genomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}07{:}08.125 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}07{:}11.164$ studies and CLL driver discovery bid

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:07:11.164 --> 00:07:13.992 on our efforts in looking at tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:07:13.992 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.278$ heterogeneity in our CLL GEM models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}07{:}16.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18.428$ And then just a few perspectives

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:07:18.428 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.860$ of where we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.964556758181818

 $00:07:19.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.440$ next in terms of the genomics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.964556758181818

 $00:07:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.960$ Again, as I said this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.964556758181818

 $00{:}07{:}23.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27.005$ very in general for cancer an

00:07:27.005 --> 00:07:29.080 indolent disease, it's typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:07:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.788$ marked initially by what

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:07:32.788 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.473$ we call watch and wait.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:07:34.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.040$ So there can be a long lead time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:07:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.208$ but ultimately with treatment there can

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:07:40.208 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.080$ be cycles of recurrence that happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:07:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.680$ with shorter and shorter intervals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:07:44.680 \dashrightarrow 00:07:46.360$ much like what we see in other tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:07:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.502$ I think a question that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}07{:}48.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}50.366$ always fascinated people in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.868007497777778

 $00:07:50.366 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.550$ field is how do we understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:07:52.550 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.360$ who progresses faster or slower?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}07{:}54.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}56.208$ And what I mean by that is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:07:56.208 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.084$ there are some patients who succumb

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:07:58.084 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.112$ to their disease within two years.

 $00:08:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.695$ There are others that can have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}08{:}01.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03.348$ little bit of the rapy here and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:03.348 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.080$ go on for more than 1015 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:05.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.352$ So why is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:06.352 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.942$ What are the differences between

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:07.942 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.011$ the patients despite all their

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}08{:}10.011 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.699$ cells looking relatively similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:11.699 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.280$ under the microscope?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:13.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.416$ And so for since forever there

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:15.416 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.617$ has been a long effort to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.868007497777778

 $00{:}08{:}18.617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}21.167$ understand those markers that we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:21.251 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.956$ use to distinguish amongst patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:23.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.600$ initially looking at clinical features,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:25.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.100$ protein markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

00:08:26.100 --> 00:08:28.475 But I would say over the past 10-15 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:28.475 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.407$ since there's been the next generation

 $00:08:30.407 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.200$ sequencing that's been available to us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}08{:}32.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33.988$ there's really been an explosion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:33.988 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.829$ knowledge in terms of the genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:35.829 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.593$ alterations later on top of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.440$ the transcriptional alterations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.625$ even the epigenetic alterations so

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

00:08:41.625 --> 00:08:44.040 that we can understand what's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:44.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.026$ This slide really summarizes a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:46.026 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.590$ of work that has been done since

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:48.590 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.320$ next generation sequencing has come upon us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868007497777778

 $00:08:51.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.959$ I would say that the first studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:53.959 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.020$ in genomics arrived around 2010,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868007497777778 00:08:58.020 --> 00:08:59.240 2011.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:59.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.915$ We were among the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:09:01.915 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.799$ to describe mutated SF3B1.

 $00:09:03.799 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.473$ So a splicing factor that kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

00:09:05.473 --> 00:09:07.399 of came out of the sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:09:07.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.018$ No one had until then kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

00:09:10.018 --> 00:09:12.361 puts altered splicing and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:09:12.361 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.077$ lymphoid malignancies together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:09:14.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.080$ There's been large scale studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:09:17.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.208$ in looking at clonal evolution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}09{:}19.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}22.410$ So again CLL was one of the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:09:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.336$ places that studied really this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

00:09:25.336 --> 00:09:28.479 concept of clonally evolving subpopulations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:09:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.552$ And then and you can see initially our

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:09:31.552 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.550$ studies were about 100 patients and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:09:34.550 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.078$ around 2015 about 500 patients per cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

00:09:38.080 --> 00:09:39.627 What I'm going to describe for you

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

00:09:39.627 --> 00:09:41.461 now is our recent work trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:09:41.461 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.141$ put together all of these different

 $00:09:43.192 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.632$ studies together so that we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:09:44.632 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.728$ get a cohort of more than 1000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:09:46.728 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.360$ I want to say that during this

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:09:49.451 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.670$ time that we've kind of performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

00:09:51.670 --> 00:09:53.320 these sort of genomic studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:09:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.001$ there has been vast changes in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:09:56.001 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.000$ therapeutic landscape of CLL therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}09{:}58.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}03.670$ So whereas previously it was very

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:10:03.670 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.520$ standard to get chemo immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}10{:}05.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07.590$ I would say that in the in the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

00:10:07.590 --> 00:10:09.672 time that time frame that I'm speaking

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}10{:}09.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11.339$ there has been the introduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}10{:}11.339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}13.399$ of targeted inhibitors of BCL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:10:13.400 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.120$ two of the B cell receptor signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:10:18.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.280$ and also introduction of immunotherapy.

 $00:10:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.360$ So the really big changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}10{:}22.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}24.341$ you know as we start to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:10:24.341 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.320$ about the genomic lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

00:10:26.320 --> 00:10:29.956 So how do we build an integrative CLL map?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778 00:10:29.960 --> 00:10:30.416 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:10:30.416 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.696$ we joined forces between our

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}10{:}32.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34.987$ colleagues in North America but

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

00:10:34.987 --> 00:10:37.327 also with our colleagues in Spain

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}10{:}37.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41.360$ and Germany and together collected

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:10:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.076$ cases for which there was exomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}10{:}43.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.760$ genomes, RNA sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:10:44.760 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.440$ and methylation profiling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:10:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.768$ And there was a nice overlap of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:10:49.768 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.329$ different platforms in in several hundreds

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:10:52.329 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.060$ of patients samples that we collected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:10:55.060 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.706$ And this is a kind of a

00:10:58.706 --> 00:11:00.153 intimidating commute plot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:11:00.153 --> 00:11:02.064 but I think it just speaks of

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:11:02.064 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.919$ a number of different things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:11:03.920 --> 00:11:06.118 First, I want to acknowledge the young

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:11:06.118 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.757$ people who were the leaders of this project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:11:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.998$ It was really an international collaboration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:11:11.000 --> 00:11:14.280 So I had the pleasure of working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}11{:}14.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16.943$ Binyamin Nisbacher and Ziao Lin and

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:11:16.943 --> 00:11:19.143 Gaddy Goetz's group computational gurus

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}11{:}19.143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}22.077$ and then Cindy Hahn from Dana Farber.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:11:22.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.048$ Awesome lymphoma oriented fellow

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:11:25.048 --> 00:11:27.274 and then Ferran,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}11{:}27.280 \to 00{:}11{:}30.880$ Nadeau and Marty from the group in Spain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:11:30.880 --> 00:11:33.160 the Spanish CLL group in Barcelona,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333 00:11:33.160 --> 00:11:33.516 Barcelona. NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333 $00:11:33.516 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.008$ And then when we looked at these

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:11:36.008 --> 00:11:38.199 more than 1000 patient samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:11:38.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.461$ in fact we were able to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:11:41.461 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.143$ greater sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:11:42.143 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.530$ In the magenta are all the new

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:11:44.594 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.238$ drivers that we identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:11:46.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.620$ So each row is a driver alteration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:11:49.620 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.661$ each column is a different case and

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:11:52.661 --> 00:11:54.650 what you can see is in fact there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:11:54.714 --> 00:11:56.740 a a list of recurrent alterations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}11{:}56.740 --> 00{:}11{:}58.600$ but a long tail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}11{:}58.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01.138$ You can see that a lot of our discovery

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:01.138 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.000$ is down here at the one 1% or less level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333 00:12:04.000 --> 00:12:04.640 So many, NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:12:04.640 --> 00:12:08.034 many different sort of driver

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:08.034 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.804$ alterations that we had greater

00:12:10.804 --> 00:12:13.526 sensitivity to identify because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}12{:}13.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}16.040$ the increased power of our cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:16.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.792$ Just to make a a really beautiful

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:12:18.792 --> 00:12:21.000 Long story short,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:21.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.338$ we were able to double the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:23.338 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.765$ of CLL drivers that we were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:25.765 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.888$ to identify previously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:26.888 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.434$ There were about 10% of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:29.434 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.319$ that we couldn't account for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:12:31.320 --> 00:12:32.904 There wasn't any sort of driver

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:32.904 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.227$ alteration that we could point

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:34.227 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.746$ to that was this is the reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}12{:}35.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}37.314$ that they have CLL and we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:37.314 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.960$ able to close that gap so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:38.960 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.520$ there's only by now 3.8% that we

 $00:12:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.403$ can't account for the two large

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}12{:}44.403 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47.289$ categories of CLL that are well

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:47.289 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.564$ known in the clinical arena on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:50.564 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.096$ basis of their immunoglobulin locus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:53.096 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.036$ the mutated and unmutated IGHV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:56.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.032$ We finally had enough power to

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:58.032 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.749$ actually break those two groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:59.749 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.989$ apart and look and look at them

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}13{:}01.989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}03.887$ separately and they really look

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:13:03.887 --> 00:13:05.475 like very different diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.078$ They each have distinct molecular landscapes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.800$ It highlights the diverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.520$ trajectories of clonal evolution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.182$ So maybe by virtue of where

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:13:13.182 --> 00:13:14.680 you start as AB cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}13{:}14.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16.246$ may be there's a path of different

 $00:13:16.246 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.751$ paths of least resistance that gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:17.751 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.193$ you to where you're going to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.126$ And what was super interesting is

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:13:21.126 --> 00:13:23.678 that at least for the unmutated CLLS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:13:23.680 --> 00:13:26.165 their their source of heterogeneity

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333 00:13:26.165 --> 00:13:27.159 was genetic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:27.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.312$ There was a lot of lot more putative

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:13:29.312 --> 00:13:31.118 drivers in this unmutated group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.960$ but in the mutated group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:33.960 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.013$ relatively few drivers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:35.013 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.119$ but a lot of transcriptional diversity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:37.120 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.352$ So really a different path to

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}13{:}39.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}41.920$ achieving that type of heterogeneity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:41.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.629$ And then what I want to show you is

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:44.629 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.988$ that when we looked at the expression,

00:13:46.988 --> 00:13:47.764 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:13:47.764 --> 00:13:50.150 Benjamin was able to identify what

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:50.150 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.640$ he called E CS expression clusters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.320$ And then the nomenclature here is some

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:54.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.359$ of them were enriched for M for mutated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:13:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.482$ some for unmutated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:13:57.482 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.611$ And what you can see is that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:00.611 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.440$ actually breaks down the group's

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.993$ more or less based on mutated on

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:04.993 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.918$ mutator or by their epigenetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00{:}14{:}06.920 --> 00{:}14{:}08.600$ But you can also see by the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:08.600 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.235$ that there's two colors within each

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00{:}14{:}10.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11.685$ column that there was contribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

00:14:11.685 --> 00:14:13.742 from both mutated and unmutated to

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:13.742 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.114$ these different expression clusters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.598$ And one example in one one.

00:14:17.600 --> 00:14:19.232 One thing that was really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:19.232 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.860$ is that by the yellow asterisks we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00{:}14{:}21.860 \longrightarrow 00{:}14{:}23.715$ see that certain genetic alterations

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:23.715 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.404$ actually also segregated together

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:25.404 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.080$ with these expression clusters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:27.080 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.845$ suggesting that they were a

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:28.845 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.610$ cohesive entity each of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00{:}14{:}30.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}32.335$ different expression cluster group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

00:14:32.335 --> 00:14:34.160 So for example trisomy 12,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:34.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.485$ which is a very well known cytogenetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

00:14:37.485 --> 00:14:39.280 abnormality associated with CLL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.210$ but for which there's great

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:41.210 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.140$ heterogeneity in kind of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:43.214 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.559$ behavior of those trisomy twelves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:45.560 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.954$ They actually split out into two groups,

 $00:14:47.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.835$ one that's in a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

00:14:49.835 --> 00:14:50.960 predominantly unmutated group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:50.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.760$ another in a predominantly mutated group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.760$ And this maybe provides us with

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

00:14:55.760 --> 00:14:58.200 some understanding for why some

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.450$ samples with the same sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:00.450 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.774$ cytogenetics might behave differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:01.774 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.783$ And what was super interesting is when

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00{:}15{:}03.783 \to 00{:}15{:}06.037$ when Benjamin started to look at these

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00{:}15{:}06.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07.313$ different expression cluster groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:07.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.032$ they actually did display

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:09.032 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.744$ different clinical outcome because

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:10.744 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.878$ we had very long clinical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.740$ These were also clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:14.740 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.600$ annotated samples as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:16.600 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.874$ And this is just kind of the

00:15:17.874 --> 00:15:19.118 final data slide related to this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00{:}15{:}19.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}21.535$ which is indeed when we kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:21.535 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.504$ breakdown the samples based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

00:15:23.504 --> 00:15:25.240 their classical clinical group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.760$ based on the expression clusters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:26.760 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.225$ whether they were concordant or

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

00:15:29.225 --> 00:15:31.197 discordant to that classification,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:31.200 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.984$ we could actually see differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

00:15:33.984 --> 00:15:35.840 their clinical outcomes suggesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:35.907 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.157$ that our expression cluster system

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:38.157 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.280$ was actually increasing the accuracy

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.520$ of what we're trying to do in

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00{:}15{:}42.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}44.320$ terms of prognostication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

00:15:44.320 --> 00:15:46.240 So we've been really excited to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:46.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.440$ I mean this is really,

 $00:15:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.064$ this was really a Tour de force

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:49.064 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.438$ effort to bring together not

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:50.438 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.993$ only all these different groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

00:15:51.993 --> 00:15:53.480 together and their expertise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.000$ but also to layer on all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

00:15:57.000 --> 00:15:59.440 different genomic layers to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:59.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.988$ identify unique molecular subtypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

00:16:00.988 --> 00:16:04.079 And I do want to say that this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:16:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.072$ these studies were samples that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:16:06.072 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.798$ collected in the era of chemo immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:16:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.635$ We are actively trying to look now

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

00:16:11.635 --> 00:16:14.753 how these relate to the modern era

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:16:14.753 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.819$ of targeted inhibition and we also

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:16:16.819 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.450$ are interested in in trying to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:16:18.506 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.324$ at whether or not the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00{:}16{:}20.324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22.430$ molecular subtypes have differences

 $00:16:22.430 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.000$ in the speutic vulnerabilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00{:}16{:}26.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}28.008$ Now I think you know as we've gotten

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:16:28.008 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.598$ better with our therapies we we

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:16:29.598 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.428$ always have to kind of reckon what

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:16:31.428 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.080$ is the area of most unmet need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

00:16:33.080 --> 00:16:35.330 And I think right now clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:16:35.330 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.132$ for the for CLL there are so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00{:}16{:}38.132 \rightarrow 00{:}16{:}39.158$ different the rapies available,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00{:}16{:}39.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}41.267$ but we are still really faced with

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:16:41.267 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.239$ the conundrum of Richter syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:16:43.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.448$ This is really it's a rare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:16:46.448 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.492$ it occurs in five to 10% of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00{:}16{:}49.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}52.334$ with CLL but it is a transformation

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:16:52.334 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.160$ of a small indolent histological type

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:16:55.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.288$ into a high grade lymphoid malignancy.

00:16:58.288 --> 00:17:00.384 90% have Histology similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:17:00.384 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.960$ to diffuse large B cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:17:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.004$ large B cell lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:17:05.004 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.559$ The majority are clonally unrelated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:17:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.582$ We know that because if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:17:09.582 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.593$ follow their immunoglobulin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:17:10.600 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.455$ the clonal immunoglobulin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:17:11.455 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.920$ we could see the same in the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:17:13.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.113$ Shown here is a micrograph that shows a

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:17:18.113 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.024$ sample where you can see the coexistence

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:17:20.024 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.992$ of these two entities within the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00{:}17{:}21.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}24.457$ sample and you can see the really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:17:24.457 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.197$ big kind of histological differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00{:}17{:}26.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.000$ These are the patients that we typically say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

00:17:28.000 --> 00:17:30.128 I'm so sorry. Please get your affairs

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00{:}17{:}30.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31.904$ and orders that there's really not

 $00:17:31.904 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.080$ much more that we can do for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:17:34.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.582$ And it's been very difficult to

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:17:36.582 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.748$ understand molecularly much about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:17:38.748 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.898$ entity because there's been limitations

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:17:40.898 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.912$ of tissue sampling and and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:17:43.912 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.957$ really based on morphologic diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:17:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.578$ There's been a lack of markers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00{:}17{:}48.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.429$ understanding of genetics and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

00:17:50.429 --> 00:17:52.300 a blood based malignancy like CLL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00{:}17{:}52.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53.840$ Richter's is really like a solid tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:17:53.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.130$ I mean, this is really so unlike

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00{:}17{:}57.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59.405$ what I said before where there's ease

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00{:}17{:}59.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}01.880$ in kind of having blood draws here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00{:}18{:}01.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}04.052$ We have to get biopsies often

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:18:04.052 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.199$ FFP specimens in order to study.

 $00:18:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.598$ And and this has not been, not been easy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00{:}18{:}09.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}13.479$ But I would say that over the past couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:18:13.479 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.393$ years that because of the availability

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

00:18:15.393 --> 00:18:17.678 of all these nice genomic platforms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:18:17.680 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.435$ there's there's been really an

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

00:18:19.435 --> 00:18:21.503 explosion of new studies that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:18:21.503 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.717$ come out in the past year and a half.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:18:23.720 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.368$ And at the same time there's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:18:26.368 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.388$ modeling that's been done trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:18:28.388 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.400$ to really put our attention to

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00{:}18{:}30.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}32.200$ how we can generate mouse models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:18:32.200 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.556$ whether they're PDXS or or Gem

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:18:33.556 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.280$ models to try to understand this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

00:18:35.280 --> 00:18:37.639 And there's been actually a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:18:37.639 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.430$ progress in understanding the genome

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:18:39.430 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.650$ that the genetics looking at the

 $00:18:41.650 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.360$ epigenetics and the transcriptomics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00{:}18{:}43.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}45.138$ And So what I'm going to demonstrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

00:18:45.138 --> 00:18:47.002 for you in the next couple slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:18:47.002 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.160$ is some of our efforts in this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:18:49.160 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.274$ This is really work that's been that

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:18:51.274 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.538$ was led by Aaron Perry who is now

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:18:53.538 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.660$ a new junior faculty member at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:18:55.660 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.879$ Dana Farber in the lymphoma group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

00:18:57.880 --> 00:19:01.030 Roman Guiz who's part of Philo back

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:19:01.030 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.736$ in in France and Ignot Lechner who is

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00{:}19{:}04.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07.032$ now a junior faculty member at BU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00{:}19{:}07.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09.536$ And what we tried to do was assemble

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00{:}19{:}09.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11.839$ a nice paired matched cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:19:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.512$ So in other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:19:13.512 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.020$ not just Richter samples in isolation

 $00:19:16.096 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.292$ but antecedent CLL matched together

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

00:19:18.292 --> 00:19:20.740 with the Richter's where we could track

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:19:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.680$ evolution in time across these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:19:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.320$ This was about 50 patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:19:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.960$ that we collected samples on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

00:19:25.960 --> 00:19:27.514 I think the point of emphasis that

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:19:27.514 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.274$ I want to show you on the left

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:19:29.274 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.720$ side here is the CLL course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:19:30.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.000$ the green is the different lines of therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:19:33.000 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.681$ On the right side is the Richter's

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00{:}19{:}34.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}36.490$ and I want to show you that on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:19:36.542 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.970$ left side it's years where whereas

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:19:37.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.520$ on the right side it's months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

00:19:39.520 --> 00:19:41.710 So this kind of gives you a sense of kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:19:41.767 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.959$ of the time course of these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:19:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.941$ The black dots are the different samples

 $00:19:45.941 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.717$ that we collected on the CLL course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:19:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.360$ The yellow here is the Richter

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:19:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.240$ diagnostic sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

00:19:51.240 --> 00:19:51.491 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:19:51.491 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.997$ there's a lot of red here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:19:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.600$ which is that the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:19:54.600 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.200$ did succumb to their disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:19:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.920$ There's a number here with

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:19:57.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.360$ black arrows that are living.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:19:59.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.540$ For the most part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:00.540 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.720$ these are patients who.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00{:}20{:}01.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03.304$ We received the rapy and then went

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00{:}20{:}03.304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05.003$ on to stem cell transplant and

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00{:}20{:}05.003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08.320$ really did a complete overhaul.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.864$ So we we obtained eggsomes on most of

00:20:10.864 --> 00:20:12.919 these patients also had some genomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00{:}20{:}12.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}14.712$ RNA sequencing and single

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

00:20:14.712 --> 00:20:15.572 cell sequencing data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

00:20:15.572 --> 00:20:17.220 But I want to point out to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:17.269 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.711$ that you know a lot of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00{:}20{:}18.711 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20.079$ studies are really quite different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.180$ I think that the the conundrum that

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:22.180 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.778$ we've met with Richter's is that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00{:}20{:}24.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27.438$ really two malignancies in the same sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

00:20:27.440 --> 00:20:30.312 So how do you pull apart the genomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:30.312 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.518$ contributions of one versus the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.080$ And for that we had a come up

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:36.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.424$ with a computational approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:37.424 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.942$ that was quite challenging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:38.942 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.700$ but we were able to succeed where

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

00:20:41.775 --> 00:20:44.139 we really optimize the copy number

 $00:20:44.139 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.656$ analysis to deal with FFPE artifact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:46.656 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.932$ We had a number of different filters

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:49.932 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.582$ that allowed us to kind of increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:52.582 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.292$ the sensitivity of our analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:54.292 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.110$ and deal with contamination of

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:56.110 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.480$ whether tumor in the normal or

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444 00:21:01.800 --> 00:21:02.536 the reverse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00:21:02.536 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.112$ As I said the artifact from FFPE.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

00:21:05.120 --> 00:21:07.532 And then we were able to put in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00:21:07.532 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.380$ algorithms that allow us to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00{:}21{:}09.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}12.495$ clones and then also establish phylogeny.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00:21:12.495 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.000$ So at the end of the day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00{:}21{:}14.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}16.086$ we were able to separate out the

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00:21:16.086 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.600$ contributions of the CLL clones

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00{:}21{:}17.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}18.720$ compared to the Richter's clones.

 $00:21:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.680$ And in doing so then we could look

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00{:}21{:}20.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22.921$ at start to look at phylogeny and

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00:21:22.921 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.606$ understand which branches were CLL

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00:21:24.667 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.839$ versus Richter's and look across time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

00:21:26.840 --> 00:21:29.440 So again, Long story short,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00:21:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.465$ I think one of the questions that has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

00:21:31.465 --> 00:21:33.237 asked in the field is it is Richter's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00:21:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.000$ is it a distinct entity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00:21:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.248$ is it similar or is it different

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00:21:38.248 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.955$ from the Novo DLBCL?

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00{:}21{:}39.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42.475$ And here we had the advantage of being

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

00:21:42.475 --> 00:21:44.665 able to access older data of more

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

00:21:44.665 --> 00:21:47.286 than 300 samples of lymphoma that our

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00:21:47.286 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.916$ colleague market ship had collected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00:21:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.648$ And then using those data we

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00:21:54.648 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.607$ performed unbiased NMF clustering.

00:21:56.607 --> 00:21:59.330 And you can see across the purple

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00:21:59.401 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.771$ on the top that the Richter's

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00:22:01.771 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.956$ really stand different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

00:22:02.960 --> 00:22:05.584 They're you know separately

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00:22:05.584 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.696$ from DLBCL and so the the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00:22:10.696 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.140$ so this is clonally unrelated Richter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00:22:14.140 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.360$ So these are the few samples here

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00{:}22{:}17.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}21.240$ do appear to be like de Novo DLBCL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00:22:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.000$ but the vast majority,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834635984444444

 $00:22:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.320$ the clonally related stand separately

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00:22:27.560 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.912$ among the Richter's itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00:22:28.912 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.335$ We were also because of all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00{:}22{:}31.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}33.291$ genomic alterations that we found we

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00:22:33.291 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.688$ were able to also perform unbiased

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00:22:35.688 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.943$ clustering and discern that there's

00:22:37.943 --> 00:22:41.055 actually it appears to be molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00{:}22{:}41.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.520$ subtypes within Richter's itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00:22:43.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.205$ and these TP 53 has long been

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00:22:47.205 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.060$ associated with Richter's but we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00:22:49.060 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.235$ see that there's different flavors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00{:}22{:}50.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}54.048$ So this one here has enrichment in

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00:22:54.048 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.784$ whole genome doubling this group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00:22:56.784 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.096$ Here RS3 has Co occurrence with

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00:23:00.096 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.140$ Notch one also deletion 15 Q which

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

00:23:04.140 --> 00:23:08.972 covers MGA which is effects Mick

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00{:}23{:}08.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}13.135$ and then RS5 also has Notch one

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00:23:13.135 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.554$ as well wild type Notch one and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

00:23:16.554 --> 00:23:18.239 lot of copy number alterations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00:23:18.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.460$ There were also two other

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00:23:19.460 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.680$ subtypes that did not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

00:23:22.720 --> 00:23:25.656 TP53K Ras S Pen, Notch one together with

 $00:23:25.656 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.712$ Trisomy 12 and also SF3B1 with EGR Two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}23{:}28.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30.802$ And again these different subgroups

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

00:23:30.802 --> 00:23:32.904 appear to have different clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:23:32.904 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.328$ behavior where the ones that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}23{:}35.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37.759$ TP 53 seem to have worse prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:23:37.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.307$ Now what is the meaning of kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:23:39.307 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.405$ of trying to look at all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

00:23:41.405 --> 00:23:42.440 different genomic alterations?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:23:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.589$ Well one thing we realized is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}23{:}44.589 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}46.836$ may be we could harness all of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:23:46.836 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.720$ and actually look to see this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}23{:}48.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}51.600$ whether this could help us devise a non

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}23{:}51.600 \to 00{:}23{:}54.296$ invasive approach to identifying Richter's

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:23:54.296 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.800$ and getting us to earlier detection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:23:57.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.226$ And it turns out that with simply ultra

00:24:01.226 --> 00:24:04.915 low pass genome sequencing \$150.00 a pop,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:04.915 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.170$ you can focus on these different alterations

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}24{:}08.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10.736$ that we identified and start to look.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:10.736 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.320$ And in fact we were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:12.386 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.796$ to see in this example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:13.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.124$ this is a patient where we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:16.124 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.518$ identify the Richter's alterations

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

00:24:17.518 --> 00:24:19.842 even close to five to six months

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}24{:}19.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}21.600$ before the actual diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:21.600 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.600$ So if you follow this in the blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}24{:}23.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26.470$ the blood cells have CLL at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:26.470 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.395$ time early on and it's a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

00:24:28.400 --> 00:24:31.746 very quiet genomic profile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:31.746 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.344$ Whereas the plasma shows all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:34.344 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.135$ these different alterations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

00:24:36.135 --> 00:24:38.691 match very similarly to what was

00:24:38.691 --> 00:24:41.038 detected much later when the actual

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}24{:}41.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}43.398$ the the tissue diagnosis was made.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:43.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.400$ We've been able to see that in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.278$ number of different other cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:47.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.080$ This is a nut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:48.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.000$ Whoopsie, this is another case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:51.000 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.760$ Well anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:51.760 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.520$ let's see

NOTE Confidence: 0.95134685

 $00:24:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.920$ where the in the plasma we were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.95134685

 $00{:}24{:}59.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}02.719$ to again follow find those kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95134685

 $00{:}25{:}02.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}04.192$ Richter's genomic alterations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95134685

 $00{:}25{:}04.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08.320$ was not evident in the blood cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95134685

 $00{:}25{:}08.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10.464$ And finally, this is a case of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95134685

 $00:25:10.464 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.718$ patient who went through transplant and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:25:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.175$ we were able to identify post transplant

 $00:25:18.175 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.740$ relapse months before the actual diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}25{:}20.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}23.170$ and then institute the rapy and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:25:23.170 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.838$ see those alterations go away again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}25{:}25.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26.872$ So I think just to summarize

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:25:26.872 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.800$ this part of the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:25:27.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.440$ I I would say that we've been able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:25:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.510$ actually find that the majority of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}25{:}33.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.655$ Richter's does evolve from CLS subclones

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}25{:}35.655 \to 00{:}25{:}38.120$ through acquisition of additional drivers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:25:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.240$ Clonally related Richter's is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

00:25:40.240 --> 00:25:42.992 distinct from de Novo DLBCL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:25:42.992 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.520$ There are molecular subtypes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

00:25:45.520 --> 00:25:48.088 of Richter's that have and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:25:48.088 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.800$ these different subcategories do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:25:49.869 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.840$ have prognostic significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:25:51.840 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.136$ And then the we're very excited about

 $00{:}25{:}54.136 \to 00{:}25{:}56.895$ the self free DNA as a way to get us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}25{:}56.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}58.470$ to non invasive earlier diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

00:25:58.470 --> 00:26:01.172 because I think this could be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

00:26:01.172 --> 00:26:04.880 quite impactful for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.356$ I think we're always trying to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.525$ So I'm going to transition now in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

00:26:09.525 --> 00:26:13.155 terms of talking about the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:13.155 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.312$ microenvironment for Richter's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

00:26:14.312 --> 00:26:14.864 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:14.864 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.820$ I think we're always trying to gain

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

00:26:16.820 --> 00:26:18.570 a bird's eye view of the landscape

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}26{:}18.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20.615$ and really the advent of single

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}26{:}20.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}22.840$ cell analysis has really been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:22.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.640$ so impactful all around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:24.640 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.656$ This is something I put together with

 $00:26:26.656 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.637$ one of my postdoctoral fellows where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:28.637 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.498$ we tried to look at across the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:31.498 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.694$ You know single cell sequencing was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:33.694 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.379$ named the method of the year in 2013

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:36.379 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.346$ and then subsequently 2019 in multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:38.346 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.747$ ohmic analysis was the method of the year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:40.747 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.120$ CLL has had a bit of a lag time in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:43.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.200$ terms of the the rest of the field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.853$ but again the easy access to material

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:47.853 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.381$ has really kind of stimulated us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:50.381 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.359$ start to look a little bit more closely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.236$ We've been able to apply this approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}26{:}55.240 \rightarrow 00{:}26{:}57.240$ Again I mentioned that Richter's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.800$ is this area where the therapeutic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:00.800 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.706$ opportunities are not great,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:04.706 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.972$ but what has caught the attention of

 $00{:}27{:}07.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10.746$ many is that it turns out that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}27{:}10.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}12.775$ a response to immune checkpoint blockade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:12.775 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.062$ So fit 42 to 65% responses to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:16.062 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.278$ PD1 blockade in Richter's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:18.280 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.248$ This is really quite remarkable because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:20.248 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.549$ a lot of blood B cell malignancies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:22.549 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.712$ do not have a great response to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.364$ to PD anti PD one and so this sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:30.364 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.874$ of across these many studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.415$ This raises the question are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}27{:}33.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}34.643$ there determinants of response

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}27{:}34.643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36.294$ and resistance to PD1 blockade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}27{:}36.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}38.256$ We were able to partner together

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:38.256 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.517$ with our colleagues at MD Anderson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:40.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.879$ Again this is the work of Aaron

 $00:27:42.879 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.180$ Perry where they had already started

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}27{:}45.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}47.690$ a trial where they had patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

00:27:47.690 --> 00:27:50.270 initially on nivolumab and then then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.370$ after the first cycle then ibrutinib

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:52.370 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.364$ was started and then response

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:54.364 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.559$ assessment happened at three months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:56.560 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.032$ And so we were able to collect bone

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:59.032 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.960$ marrow samples from these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}28{:}00.960 \longrightarrow 00{:}28{:}03.472$ a number in the green that had either

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:28:03.472 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.742$ a partial or complete response to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}28{:}05.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}07.490$ patients that had progression even

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:28:07.490 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.200$ at the three month time point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

00:28:09.200 --> 00:28:12.004 And then just for comparison to CLL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:28:12.004 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.296$ patients were treated on the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:28:14.296 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.283$ trial and what Erin did was she was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}28{:}16.283 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}18.098$ able to take marrow samples from

 $00:28:18.098 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.873$ these patients and through flow

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:28:19.873 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.520$ cytometry you can see that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.759661734285714

 $00:28:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.394$ the small cells were the CLL cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.759661734285714

 $00:28:25.400 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.364$ the large cells were the Richter's

NOTE Confidence: 0.759661734285714

 $00:28:27.364 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.184$ and then there was another

NOTE Confidence: 0.759661734285714

00:28:29.184 --> 00:28:31.003 population here which was neither

NOTE Confidence: 0.759661734285714

 $00:28:31.003 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.765$ and this was the immune cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.759661734285714

 $00:28:32.765 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.235$ that were in the bone marrow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759661734285714

 $00:28:34.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.950$ And then she was able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.759661734285714

 $00:28:35.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.200$ perform a single cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:28:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.628$ characterization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

00:28:39.628 --> 00:28:43.120 And again to summarize a large body of work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}28{:}43.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.150$ what was really clear is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

00:28:45.150 --> 00:28:46.599 responders compared to the non

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:28:46.599 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.153$ responders when you started to look

 $00:28:48.153 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.600$ at all of those single cell transcriptomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}28{:}50.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}52.632$ those there was a kind of a cluster

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}28{:}52.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}54.442$ of cells that kind of segregated

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:28:54.442 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.997$ with a unique phenotype and

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:28:55.997 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.758$ we called this cluster one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:28:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.634$ It turns out it was high

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:00.634 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.550$ expression for a transcriptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:02.638 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.994$ factor called Hobbit ZNF 683.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:04.994 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.016$ And as she started to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:07.016 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.640$ at this population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.300$ she was able to perform some functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:11.300 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.198$ studies and demonstrate through cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}29{:}13.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}15.606$ and cut and run and various various

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

00:29:15.606 --> 00:29:17.940 different sort of over expression and

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

00:29:17.940 --> 00:29:20.842 knockout kind of analysis that ZNF

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:20.842 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.656$ 683 does appear to regulate T cell

00:29:23.656 --> 00:29:26.400 pathways with activation cytotoxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.542$ When we started to look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

00:29:28.542 --> 00:29:30.249 trajectories the ZNF 683 high

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:30.249 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.427$ seemed to be a divergent pathway

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:32.427 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.320$ from terminal exhaustion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.278$ We also looked across other different

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:37.278 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.060$ solid tumor till settings and it turns

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:40.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.915$ out that the ZNF 683 high does mark

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}29{:}42.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}45.244$ a population that's of patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:45.244 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.918$ have better response to PD one therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.160$ Notably we looked at Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}29{:}50.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}52.826$ across and other settings and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:52.826 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.290$ in she was also able to see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

00:29:56.400 --> 00:29:59.851 you know we did our analysis in

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:59.851 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.660$ the marrow but to make it more

 $00:30:02.660 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.480$ clinically facile could could this

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:30:04.548 \dashrightarrow 00:30:06.840$ be actually detected in the blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:30:06.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.468$ And so she was able to look at independent

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:30:09.468 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.300$ patients who are responders or non

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:30:11.300 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.240$ responders on the MD Anderson trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:30:13.240 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.697$ And in fact the responders have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}30{:}15.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}18.125$ very distinct profile in the blood T

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:30:18.125 \dashrightarrow 00:30:20.081$ cells compared to the non responders

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:30:20.146 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.012$ where there is high expression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}30{:}22.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}24.880$ Z and F683 and and other cluster

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}30{:}24.880 \to 00{:}30{:}30.888$ one genes as well and this is we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:30:30.888 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.680$ So we were very proud of Aaron and

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:30:33.680 \dashrightarrow 00:30:36.350$ Camila to get this into cancer cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}30{:}36.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}40.760$ We actually tried to for a cover.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:30:40.760 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.008$ It did not work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

00:30:42.008 --> 00:30:44.388 So you will never see this published

 $00:30:44.388 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.998$ only here in the seminar series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}30{:}47.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}50.159$ But we were trying to make a play on

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

00:30:50.160 --> 00:30:52.608 ZNF 683 and The Hobbit and the idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

00:30:52.608 --> 00:30:55.662 that if those of you were Middle

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:30:55.662 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.760$ Earth aficionados or token lovers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

00:30:58.760 --> 00:31:00.080 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:31:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.744$ the idea that you can either take

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:31:03.744 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.143$ a path and get to the valley of

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:31:06.143 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.120$ death with exhaustion or you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:31:08.120 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.091$ take a divergent pathway and end

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

00:31:10.091 --> 00:31:11.675 up back in the Shire happy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}31{:}11.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}15.172$ So that was our idea. Didn't work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:31:15.172 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.838$ Whatever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:31:15.838 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.836$ So, so that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:31:17.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.054$ I'm going to move on to

 $00:31:20.054 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.160$ the second set of study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}31{:}22.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24.175$ second chapter shall we say

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:31:24.175 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.040$ in trying to look at function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:31:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.909$ And here you know in the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:31:28.909 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.040$ way that in the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:31:30.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.050$ in the genetic realm we've been able

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:31:33.050 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.456$ to study heterogeneity in patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332 00:31:36.456 --> 00:31:37.200 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:31:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.520$ can we not actually generate mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:31:40.520 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.912$ that are actually faithful to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}31{:}43.912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}46.406$ disease through the by mimicking

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}31{:}46.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}48.321$ some of these genetic alterations

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}31{:}48.321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}50.211$ that we've identified And then

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:31:50.211 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.239$ that provides us a platform with

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

00:31:52.239 --> 00:31:53.611 studying mechanism of disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}31{:}53.611 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}54.885$ and testing novel the rapies.

00:31:54.885 --> 00:31:57.485 And I just want to point out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}31{:}57.485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}59.958$ there are different flavors of models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726405345384615

 $00:31:59.960 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.800$ I I don't need to tell this audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.726405345384615

 $00:32:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.440$ or folks that yelled at, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00:32:05.560 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.360$ the GEM models in general in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

00:32:07.360 --> 00:32:08.977 in particular I just want to point

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00:32:08.977 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.823$ out have the advantage that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

00:32:10.823 --> 00:32:12.473 kind of in a physiologic setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00:32:12.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.449$ It does allow us to look at tumor evolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00:32:16.449 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.960$ and also immune micro environment analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

00:32:19.960 --> 00:32:22.800 And so for the past period of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00:32:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.930$ my group has really been

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00{:}32{:}23.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}25.106$ interested in this question, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

00:32:25.106 --> 00:32:27.042 how do you get from AB cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00:32:27.042 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.149$ what are the kind of pathway hits

00:32:29.149 --> 00:32:31.316 that happen that gets you to CLL?

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00:32:31.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.720$ And can we study some of these alterations

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00:32:33.720 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.326$ that we spent a lot of time genomically

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00:32:36.326 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.853$ identifying such as SF3B1 or IK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00:32:40.853 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.066$ CF3 or DMT3A and so and so forth and

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00:32:45.066 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.194$ can we start to look at these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00:32:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.816$ So I won't go over these past studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00:32:49.816 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.931$ only to say that it has in fact been

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

00:32:52.931 --> 00:32:54.770 very gratifying to generate these

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

00:32:54.770 --> 00:32:57.200 mouse models and to demonstrate that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00{:}32{:}57.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}00.230$ yes, these putative drivers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00:33:00.230 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.654$ we've identified through sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00{:}33{:}02.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}04.997$ actually generate CLL in mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00{:}33{:}05.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}07.826$ Most recently we had a very nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

00:33:07.826 --> 00:33:10.160 study ELISA 10 Hacken generated

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:33:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.540$ the setting where using CRISPR she

 $00:33:14.540 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.435$ was able to introduce combinations

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00{:}33{:}16.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}18.980$ of different alterations and release

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:33:18.980 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.032$ combinatorial study the different models

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:33:21.032 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.440$ of CLL and Richter's that we identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:33:23.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.407$ But for today, I'm going to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

00:33:25.407 --> 00:33:26.817 about new unpublished data where

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:33:26.817 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.602$ we've been focused on one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

00:33:28.602 --> 00:33:30.317 newer drivers that we identified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:33:30.320 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.113$ RPS 15 and some of the insights

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:33:33.113 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.840$ that we've identified there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:33:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.200$ So RPS 15, what is it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:33:38.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.572$ It is a ribosomal protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00{:}33{:}41.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}45.099$ It's identified in 5% of CLL patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

00:33:45.099 --> 00:33:47.864 It's enriched in patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:33:47.864 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.759$ are relapsed following therapy.

 $00:33:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.260$ It's associated with shorter

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:33:53.260 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.385$ progression free survival and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:33:56.385 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.219$ commonly Co expresses with TP53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:33:58.219 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.410$ One of the things that we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:34:00.477 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.626$ interesting about RPS 15 is that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:34:02.626 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.758$ does seem to be a hotspot region

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:34:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.154$ where a lot of the alterations happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

00:34:07.160 --> 00:34:09.536 And so this kind of piqued our interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:34:09.536 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.837$ in trying to learn more about RPS 15.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:34:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.649$ I do want to put this in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:34:13.649 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.517$ that they're across different cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:34:15.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.118$ There's been a lot of different ribosomal

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:34:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.344$ mutations that have been found for CLLR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:34:22.344 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.704$ PS15 is the only ribosomal

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:34:24.704 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.120$ mutation that's been identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:34:27.120 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.700$ But certainly across other

00:34:28.700 --> 00:34:30.280 cancers including breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:34:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.784$ Melanoma, myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:34:31.784 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.396$ you see that this biology seems to be there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

00:34:35.400 --> 00:34:37.204 And carbosomopathies have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:34:37.204 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.459$ associated with a variety of

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:34:39.459 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.160$ different altered functions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

00:34:41.160 --> 00:34:44.580 so including DNA damage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:34:44.580 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.900$ proteasomal alteration and metabolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667 00:34:46.900 --> 00:34:47.480 rewiring. NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:34:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.352$ So we were interested in trying to dig

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:34:50.352 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.800$ a little bit deeper about this in CLL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:34:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.680$ So we used our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00{:}34{:}55.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}57.568$ we used this in a similar fashion to

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:34:57.568 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.437$ the other mice that we've generated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:34:59.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.039$ We introduced one of these hotspot mutations

 $00:35:04.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.798$ that was intercross with CD19 cream mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:35:06.800 \dashrightarrow 00:35:09.448$ So this alteration is only present in B

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:35:09.448 \dashrightarrow 00:35:12.316$ cells in the context of CD19 expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:35:12.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.833$ So in B cells we were able to generate both

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:35:15.833 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.198$ heterozygous and homozygous mutated mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:35:18.200 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.714$ We also intercross also with deletion 15,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

00:35:21.720 --> 00:35:23.592 sorry TP 53,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:35:23.592 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.140$ so that they were also mice that

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:35:27.140 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.880$ had double mutations as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:35:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.320$ And so this is just a bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:35:32.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.120$ the targeting strategy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:35:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.866$ This was really studies led by

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:35:34.866 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.954$ an MDPHD student and currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:35:37.954 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.873$ at MGH as a as an intern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:35:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.666$ And then Marwan Kwok is a awesome postdoc

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:35:43.666 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.297$ in my group right now who's leading

00:35:45.297 --> 00:35:47.037 up on some of the functional studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00{:}35{:}47.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50.022$ Neil Ruthin is in grad Graduate

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

00:35:50.022 --> 00:35:52.445 School in the New York area

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:35:52.445 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.600$ for computational biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

00:35:53.600 --> 00:35:55.276 So RPS 15 mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

00:35:55.276 --> 00:35:58.383 we we're very able through our mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

00:35:58.383 --> 00:36:01.897 models to confirm that it does have

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:36:01.897 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.949$ on cogenic potential because certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:36:03.949 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.586$ over time we're able to identify that

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:36:07.586 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.684$ there is a population of RPS 15 mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:36:11.684 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.840$ that are do have expanded B cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:36:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.990$ You can see this also in

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:36:17.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.528$ screen sizes over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:36:19.528 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.480$ It does take quite a bit of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:36:21.545 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.480$ consistent with the human disease.

 $00:36:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.560$ It does take about 15,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:36:27.560 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.607$ about 818 months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:36:28.607 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.050$ 18 to 218 months to two years

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:36:31.133 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.918$ in order to see disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:36:32.920 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.840$ So this is really a labor of love.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

00:36:35.840 --> 00:36:39.065 But I would say that for sure with

NOTE Confidence: 0.808189956666667

 $00:36:39.065 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.110$ the RPS 15 mutations mutant mice we

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:36:42.198 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.800$ do see onset of disease less so with

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

00:36:45.800 --> 00:36:49.357 just the TP single mutant TP 53 but

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00{:}36{:}49.357 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52.096$ with a double mutant we also see not

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00{:}36{:}52.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}54.640$ only CLL but evidence of Richter's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:36:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.810$ But what was interesting is in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:36:56.810 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.467$ setting of hypo hyper proliferation

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:36:58.467 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.099$ when we look early on it seems to

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:37:01.163 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.398$ there seems to be hypoproliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:37:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.712$ So if we measure the B cell percentages

 $00:37:05.712 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.862$ in the homozygous mice in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:37:07.862 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.124$ setting of pre leukemia it's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

00:37:10.189 --> 00:37:12.199 depressed compared to wild type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:37:12.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.640$ So what is going on?

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:37:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.622$ How is this kind of hypoproliferation

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:37:16.622 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.113$ turning into hyper?

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

00:37:18.120 --> 00:37:20.000 And so to kind of gain some clues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:37:20.000 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.328$ we really focused on these pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:37:22.328 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.410$ leukemic mice for which we collected

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00{:}37{:}24.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}27.024$ B cells and started off by just

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:37:27.024 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.154$ looking at gene expression profiling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:37:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.120$ And it became quite evident that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00{:}37{:}31.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}33.360$ was quite a few different altered

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:37:33.360 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.600$ pathways including cell cycle checkpoints,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

00:37:35.600 --> 00:37:37.624 MIC targets, DNA repair.

00:37:37.624 --> 00:37:40.154 And looking close more closely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00{:}37{:}40.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}42.302$ we could see that this was related

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:37:42.302 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.381$ to either reduction in proliferative

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:37:44.381 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.790$ capacity as well as there was increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:37:47.865 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.940$ G1 checkpoint activity after mitogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:37:50.940 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.400$ stimulation and increased apoptosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:37:53.400 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.648$ Now these alterations in in cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:37:57.648 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.880$ cycle could be due to cell stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:38:00.880 \dashrightarrow 00:38:03.600$ So we started to look at the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:38:03.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.518$ of whether or not there was changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00{:}38{:}06.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}09.510$ oxidative stress and in fact using a

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00{:}38{:}09.510 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}38{:}13.080$ Mitosox assay in our homozygous mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00{:}38{:}13.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}15.691$ we do see evidence both at baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:38:15.691 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.790$ and with stimulation that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:38:17.790 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.602$ is increased enhanced oxidative

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:38:19.602 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.126$ stress which is supported by the

 $00:38:22.126 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.352$ fact that when we use the inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:38:24.360 \dashrightarrow 00:38:27.076$ so that pro oxidant we actually see

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:38:27.076 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.285$ that the RPS 15 mice are more sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:38:30.285 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.878$ to this inhibitor than the wild type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:38:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.560$ Now because of the cellular stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:38:35.560 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.040$ does this actually can this actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

00:38:39.040 --> 00:38:44.144 support acquisition of genotoxic injury?

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:38:44.144 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.730$ And in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

00:38:45.730 --> 00:38:48.300 we were able to use gamma H2 AX and

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00{:}38{:}48.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}50.421$ we see in the homozygous mice that

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

00:38:50.421 --> 00:38:52.593 there is increase in gamma H2AX.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:38:52.593 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.158$ And as we started to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:38:55.160 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.636$ there's a lot of westerns that

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

00:38:56.636 --> 00:38:57.880 I could have shown you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:38:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.575$ But suffice it to say that through

 $00:39:00.575 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.679$ examination of the mutant mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00{:}39{:}02.680 --> 00{:}39{:}04.948$ we do see impaired cell cycle

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

00:39:04.948 --> 00:39:07.000 checkpoint response to DNA damage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

00:39:07.000 --> 00:39:08.431 impaired response signaling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00{:}39{:}08.431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}11.293$ abrogation of ATM and CHECK 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

00:39:11.293 --> 00:39:13.470 signaling and heightened intrinsic

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00{:}39{:}13.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}15.634$ aberrant DNA damage response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:39:18.720 --> 00:39:22.472 And Despite that, there's also

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}39{:}22.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}23.636$ increased proliferation signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:39:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.800$ So one of our highest hits in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}39{:}26.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}28.360$ our gene expression was ZAP 70,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:39:28.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.480$ which has relevance to CLL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:39:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.720$ So we see that here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:39:31.720 --> 00:39:34.276 And there's also enhanced ABCR signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:39:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.165$ So definitely a balance between

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:39:36.165 --> 00:39:37.673 different forces at play.

 $00:39:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.766$ Going on, our next question was that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:39:41.766 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.159$ seeing these different sort of phenotypes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:39:44.160 --> 00:39:46.800 since this is a ribosomal protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:39:46.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.948$ is there actually alteration?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:39:48.948 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.666$ Is there effects of mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:39:51.666 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.878$ RPS 15 on translation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:39:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.610$ And so we asked could RPS 15

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:39:56.610 --> 00:39:58.482 mutation cause ribosomes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:39:58.482 --> 00:40:00.958 preferentially translate certain genes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}40{:}00.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}02.940$ Could the mutation cause ribosomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}40{:}02.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.512$ for example to stall at specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:40:05.512 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.554$ protein coding sequence motifs

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}40{:}07.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}09.542$ interrupting translation of certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:40:09.542 --> 00:40:11.895 genes or could it read through

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:40:11.895 --> 00:40:14.321 stop codons and then result in

00:40:14.321 --> 00:40:16.317 misfolded and degraded proteins?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:40:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.822$ And so for this we performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:40:18.822 --> 00:40:20.073 A ribosomal profiling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:40:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.719$ And when we started to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:40:22.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.876$ whether or not there was evidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:40:24.876 --> 00:40:25.800 differential translation efficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:40:25.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.404$ there were certainly many genes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:40:28.404 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.330$ were appeared to be have enhanced or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}40{:}32.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}33.680$ depressed translational efficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:40:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.276$ And as we started to look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}40{:}37.276 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}40{:}38.916$ pathways that were impacted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:40:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.654$ these included many of those pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}40{:}40.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}42.620$ that I already talked to you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:40:42.620 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.875$ in the pre leukemic setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:40:43.880 --> 00:40:45.320 So cell cycle, MC target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:40:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.560$ cell cycle checkpoints and DNA replication.

 $00{:}40{:}48.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}50.702$ And specific examples that we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:40:50.702 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.448$ see were genes that are have very well

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:40:53.448 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.880$ known roles in these different pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:40:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.820$ We were able to support this this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}41{:}00.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}03.160$ kind of ribosome Riboseek analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.860$ by looking at protein expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:05.860 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.559$ and we can confirm that what we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:41:09.559 --> 00:41:11.796 as as having depressed translation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:41:11.796 --> 00:41:15.147 So the GPX one we could actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:41:15.147 --> 00:41:18.570 confirm at the protein level for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:18.570 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.172$ GPX 1 and O2O2 four and increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:41:24.172 --> 00:41:27.600 expression in PTP 4A2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.624$ So that was actually very nice to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:30.624 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.877$ that linkages between translation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:32.877 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.195$ the the pathways that we were impacting.

 $00:41:36.200 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.320$ When we started to look at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.491$ we were also able to see evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:41:44.491 --> 00:41:47.360 not only in a in a murine cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.160$ but also in a human cell line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:50.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.716$ We saw evidence of stop codon stalling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:53.720 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.184$ So you can see kind of a pile

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:56.184 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.820$ up here in terms of the relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:58.820 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.840$ position to the stop codon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.168$ but we also saw evidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:03.168 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.720$ stop codon read through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.716$ And so we do see that there's enrichment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:42:08.716 --> 00:42:13.440 certain codons in that kind of stop site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.640$ suggesting that this is not a random process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:15.640 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.485$ but there's actually motifs that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:17.485 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.920$ are kind of guiding this process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:42:19.920 --> 00:42:20.624 And finally,

 $00:42:20.624 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.088$ as we started to look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:42:23.088 --> 00:42:24.080 leukemic B cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.200$ we could see up regulation of Mick targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:28.200 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.800$ And I'm going to just skip over this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.354$ but only to say that as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:31.354 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.911$ start to go through our model

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:32.911 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.836$ of what we think is going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.438$ we do see that in this mutated

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}42{:}38.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}40.785$ ribosomal protein that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}42{:}40.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}42.661$ evidence of altered translation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:42:42.661 --> 00:42:44.809 through a couple of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:44.809 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.824$ mechanisms that these do initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:46.824 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.679$ lead to hypoproliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:48.680 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.800$ There is elevated ZAP 70 and BCR

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.760$ signaling as well as make activation.

 $00:42:55.760 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.305$ But in initially there's P53

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}42{:}58.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}00.850$ mediated apoptosis and cell cycle

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00:43:00.938 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.340$ checkpoint changes that are leading

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00:43:03.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.920$ to that hyper proliferation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00:43:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.870$ but that over time there's acquisition

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

00:43:06.870 --> 00:43:08.857 of DNA damage and genomic instability

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

00:43:08.857 --> 00:43:11.361 that tip the balance and get us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00:43:11.426 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.960$ the state of hyper proliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00{:}43{:}12.960 \to 00{:}43{:}15.760$ So just to conclude this part of the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

00:43:15.760 --> 00:43:19.324 I'll just say that again our our new

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00:43:19.324 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.610$ work suggests that RPS 15 mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

00:43:21.687 --> 00:43:23.973 is ACL driver and reinforces the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00{:}43{:}23.973 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}26.501$ notion that CLL has these core

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00{:}43{:}26.501 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}28.357$ pathways that are affected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

00:43:28.360 --> 00:43:30.436 So I didn't go into this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00:43:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.608$ but across our different mouse models

 $00:43:33.608 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.853$ we are seeing common pathways through

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00{:}43{:}36.853 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}43{:}40.159$ different mechanisms that appear to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

00:43:40.160 --> 00:43:43.680 involved and current ongoing work is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00:43:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.015$ starting to look at the immune micro

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00{:}43{:}46.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}48.346$ environment so that we can start to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00:43:48.346 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.254$ link the genotype with whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00:43:52.254 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.544$ they're related to distinct changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00:43:53.544 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.238$ in the immune micro environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125

 $00:43:57.720 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.368$ In the final slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125

 $00{:}43{:}59.368 \to 00{:}44{:}02.671$ I just want to say that you know I

NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125

 $00{:}44{:}02.671 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}04.333$ think that where we're going next

NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125

 $00:44:04.333 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.319$ in sort of sort of our studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125

 $00:44:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.579$ a lot of the CLO work until now I

NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125

00:44:08.579 --> 00:44:10.956 think across the field has been really

NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125

00:44:10.960 --> 00:44:13.756 focused on the blood easy access,

 $00:44:13.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.988$ lots of tumor there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125

 $00:44:14.988 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.830$ But I think increasingly we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125

 $00:44:16.892 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.878$ need to look at these specialized

NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125

 $00:44:18.880 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.495$ hematolymphoid organs where there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125

 $00:44:21.495 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.587$ a specialized immune microenvironment

NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125

 $00:44:23.587 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.839$ that we can understand better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125

 $00:44:25.840 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.160$ I think that there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:44:29.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.760$ priority and interest in trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}44{:}30.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}32.320$ to go earlier in disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:44:32.320 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.560$ So how can we understand those early events?

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:44:35.560 --> 00:44:37.880 How can we intervene early?

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:44:37.880 --> 00:44:39.920 How can we change Natural History?

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:44:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.838$ We're only going to get there by

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:44:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.976$ understanding a little bit more about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:44:44.976 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.324$ earlier time Multiomic profiling for sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:44:47.324 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.111$ There's so much data out there and how

 $00:44:50.111 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.327$ can we link them all together and kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:44:52.390 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.679$ of not have them as separate entities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:44:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.350$ but really trying to coalesce

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:44:57.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.636$ into kind of archetypes that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}45{:}00.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}02.562$ can understand spatial analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:45:02.562 --> 00:45:05.208 So our group is actively working

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:45:05.208 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.301$ on efforts to try to look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:45:08.301 --> 00:45:10.155 architecture of lymph nodes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:45:10.155 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.068$ bone marrow to see how malignant

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}45{:}14.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}16.636$ cells are organized and also in

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}45{:}16.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}18.600$ relationship to their genotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}45{:}18.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22.104$ So their mutations and do specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}45{:}22.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25.240$ clones segregate with specific types

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}45{:}25.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}29.490$ of niches and and are they organized

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:45:29.490 --> 00:45:31.240 in certain type of neighborhoods.

 $00:45:31.240 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.120$ And finally I I touched upon with our

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:45:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.113$ self free DNA work some of the early

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:45:35.113 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.121$ detection I'm going to end with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:45:37.121 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.071$ last couple slides speaking about early

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:45:39.071 --> 00:45:41.280 intervention we hope in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:45:41.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.688$ But another big part of the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:45:43.688 --> 00:45:46.514 that my group does is think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:45:46.514 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.798$ cancer neo antigens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:45:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.076$ And from all the genomic studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:45:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.632$ that we've been doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:45:52.632 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.572$ we've realized that there there

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:45:54.572 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.805$ is the opportunity for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:45:56.805 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.020$ mutations to generate epitopes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:45:59.020 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.440$ can be recognized by T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:46:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.800$ I'm not going to go into this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:46:03.800 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.038$ great length only to say that there's

 $00:46:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.400$ straightforward algorithms by now

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}46{:}07.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}10.170$ that allow us to take start with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:46:10.170 --> 00:46:12.856 sequencing data and identify for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:46:12.856 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.880$ what those new antigens might be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:46:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.995$ I want to say that some of our earliest

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:46:17.995 --> 00:46:19.794 work in the new antigen field and

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:46:19.794 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.413$ kind of setting up these pipelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:46:21.413 --> 00:46:23.359 were in CLL because that is where

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:46:23.359 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.200$ we had the data and all the tools to

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:46:28.200 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.380$ help us construct some of the these

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:46:31.380 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.120$ first pipelines that were available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:46:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.504$ And certainly our vaccine neo antigen

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}46{:}37.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}40.671$ work that Doctor Weiner alluded to has

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:46:40.671 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.119$ taken our group very far afield from CLL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:46:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.528$ We've gone into the solid tumors and

00:46:46.528 --> 00:46:48.792 we've been able to conduct some early

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}46{:}48.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}50.856$ proof of concept studies that such

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:46:50.856 --> 00:46:53.329 an approach of starting with tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:46:53.329 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.389$ looking for genomic alterations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:46:55.455 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.035$ generating a personal vaccine is feasible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:46:58.040 --> 00:47:00.032 But I've always been super interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:47:00.032 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.320$ in trying to bring it back to CLL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:47:02.320 --> 00:47:04.368 And so I'm happy to say that right

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}47{:}04.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}07.800$ now we have a phase one study for

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:47:07.800 --> 00:47:11.190 patients with unmutated IGHV led by

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}47{:}11.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}14.375$ ine on and supported by Matt Davids

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:47:14.375 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.745$ and Jennifer Brown to study and

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:47:17.745 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.070$ look at the impact of this vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:47:21.174 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.585$ alone vaccine together with low dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:47:23.585 --> 00:47:26.305 cyclophosphamide as a way to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:47:26.305 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.170$ alter the immune micro environment

 $00:47:28.170 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.048$ and maybe address T regs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:47:30.048 --> 00:47:33.400 And then also a third cohort to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:47:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.040$ add immune checkpoint blockade together and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00:47:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.692$ we already have enrolled in a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00:47:41.692 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.060$ the first three patients we're already

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00:47:44.060 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.860$ seeing interesting immune responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00:47:45.860 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.558$ compared to our solid tumor settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00:47:48.560 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.410$ These are patients who actively

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00:47:50.410 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.520$ have circulating disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00:47:51.520 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.968$ So is it possible to even vaccinate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00{:}47{:}54.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}57.518$ generate meaningful responses when there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00:47:57.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.000$ leukemia that's that's in circulation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00:48:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.400$ And the short answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00:48:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.392$ it seems like yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

00:48:02.392 --> 00:48:04.256 So we're we we are actually seeing

 $00:48:04.256 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.846$ very nice brisk immune responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00{:}48{:}05.846 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}48{:}07.880$ to actually some of our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00:48:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.162$ So I hope you stay tuned and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00:48:10.162 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.092$ hopefully we'll have more to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00:48:12.092 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.000$ about that in the time to come.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

00:48:14.000 --> 00:48:15.620 I've tried to acknowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00:48:15.620 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.240$ folks along the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00:48:17.240 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.760$ but here's a more extensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00{:}48{:}19.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}22.280$ list and I really appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00:48:22.378 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.400$ your attention and thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925431816666667

 $00{:}48{:}32.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}34.576$ Yes. So how do you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.832267378333333

00:48:34.620 --> 00:48:36.498 about driver mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832267378333333

00:48:36.498 --> 00:48:38.376 specific driver mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.832267378333333

 $00:48:38.380 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.140$ related to transformation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832267378333333

 $00:48:41.140 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.060$ related to potential for

NOTE Confidence: 0.68811598

 $00:48:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.252$ these differentiation B cells

 $00:48:49.252 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.117$ leading to the clinical outcome?

NOTE Confidence: 0.68811598

00:48:51.120 --> 00:48:53.250 You listed a whole list of

NOTE Confidence: 0.68811598

 $00:48:53.250 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.315$ potential driver mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68811598

00:48:54.320 --> 00:48:56.336 It's not clearly what the individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.68811598

 $00:48:56.336 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.680$ driver mutations are doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68811598

00:48:57.680 --> 00:48:59.018 And how you think about getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.68811598

 $00:48:59.018 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.360$ the answer to that question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68811598

 $00:49:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.560$ if it is an important question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

00:49:03.760 --> 00:49:05.026 yeah, no, I think I skipped

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:49:05.026 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.240$ over a lot of stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:49:06.240 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.427$ And so I think one of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:49:08.427 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.747$ we can do when we have these driver

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:49:10.747 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.944$ lists because we can see whether they

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00{:}49{:}13.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}15.880$ segregate into particular pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

00:49:15.880 --> 00:49:19.640 And by virtue of kind of separating

00:49:19.640 --> 00:49:22.240 out the CLL versus Richter clones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:49:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.608$ we were able to kind of identify which

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:49:24.608 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.719$ of those drivers seem to be CLL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

00:49:26.720 --> 00:49:29.555 which were Richter's and which were which

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:49:29.555 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.680$ were in a path on the way to transformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:49:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.384$ And so some of those pathways that

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:49:38.384 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.520$ we see affected are related to Mick,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:49:43.520 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.280$ for example, they're related to cell cycles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:49:47.280 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.196$ So this it's not a surprise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:49:50.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.155$ but it and metabolic rewiring as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:49:54.160 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.400$ So there's many.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00{:}49{:}57.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}00.970$ So I think the drivers do help us think

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:50:00.970 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.720$ about the biology of what is going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:50:03.720 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.820$ but I think that I hope that we can also

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:50:06.900 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.120$ use them as ways to for early detection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:50:11.120 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.520$ I don't know if this is answers

00:50:12.520 --> 00:50:12.920 your question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

00:50:12.920 --> 00:50:13.160 but NOTE Confidence: 0.48386598

00:50:15.200 --> 00:50:16.960 yeah, I don't want the questions online,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887721206666667

 $00:50:16.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.360$ but what what do you think about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.887721206666667

 $00:50:19.360 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.220$ role of RGS 15 in normal CD5B cells?

NOTE Confidence: 0.887721206666667

 $00.50.23.220 \longrightarrow 00.50.25.280$ So it's there, yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887721206666667

 $00:50:25.280 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.583$ So the question is what is its

NOTE Confidence: 0.887721206666667

 $00:50:27.583 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.279$ function in thinking about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.887721206666667

 $00{:}50{:}29.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}32.121$ CD5B cells are doing in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.887721206666667

 $00:50:32.121 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.189$ maintenance and tolerance for

NOTE Confidence: 0.887721206666667

 $00:50:33.189 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.631$ example and their potential product

NOTE Confidence: 0.887721206666667

 $00:50:34.631 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.116$ activity and where they are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911263191428571

 $00:50:36.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.315$ right. So we haven't looked into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911263191428571

 $00{:}50{:}38.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}43.600$ I mean I think we we have the tools and

NOTE Confidence: 0.911263191428571

 $00:50:43.600 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.298$ so we've we've really been focused on the,

 $00:50:47.298 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.825$ the mutant setting. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911263191428571

00:50:48.825 --> 00:50:53.080 But I I think it's a really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.911263191428571

 $00:50:53.080 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.960$ question and I think that it would

NOTE Confidence: 0.911263191428571

00:50:57.960 --> 00:50:59.795 be a separate question where it

NOTE Confidence: 0.911263191428571

00:50:59.795 --> 00:51:01.839 could be like all of these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.803011412

 $00:51:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.560$ mutations that we're finding. Yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803011412

 $00{:}51{:}06.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}08.480$ Yes the the genes and and what are

NOTE Confidence: 0.803011412

 $00{:}51{:}08.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}09.960$ their roles in in normal business.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34478727

 $00:51:12.320 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.754$ I think I I I think you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.34478727

 $00:51:14.754 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.320$ absolutely correct. Yeah. Yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34478727

 $00:51:17.920 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.210$ Yeah I'm I'm getting discredited I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.34478727

 $00:51:21.210 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.586$ you said that the unmutated CLLS have

NOTE Confidence: 0.34478727

 $00:51:23.586 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.637$ a re urgent headed with the nursery

NOTE Confidence: 0.775221385555556

 $00:51:26.680 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.624$ so yeah so the quest so the unmutated

NOTE Confidence: 0.77522138555556

 $00:51:30.624 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.444$ has there are there's a far longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.775221385555556

 $00:51:34.444 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.000$ list of mutated drivers in unmutated

 $00:51:39.080 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.816$ CLLI see. So I guess the question then

NOTE Confidence: 0.794600371111111

 $00:51:41.816 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.638$ is do you think that the mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.794600371111111

 $00:51:44.638 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.110$ that's leading to the mutations of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.794600371111111

 $00:51:48.110 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.512$ IGH locus is unrelated to the genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.794600371111111

 $00:51:51.512 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.696$ diversity that we're getting or is there

NOTE Confidence: 0.794600371111111

 $00:51:53.696 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.720$ a relations to them and how does that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.841131801428571

 $00:51:55.720 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.078$ I I think that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841131801428571

 $00{:}51{:}57.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}58.920$ So the the question is whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00{:}52{:}00.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}06.640$ how the immunoglobulin mutational status

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00:52:06.640 \dashrightarrow 00:52:10.518$ relates to kind of the genetic diversity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

00:52:10.520 --> 00:52:13.160 So. So yeah, it's been understood

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00{:}52{:}13.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}16.926$ that whether or not the CL LS have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00{:}52{:}16.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}18.645$ mutated or unmutated immunoglobulin

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00{:}52{:}18.645 \to 00{:}52{:}22.035$ relates to their cell of origin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00:52:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.152$ kind of where are they in kind of B

 $00:52:25.152 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.540$ cell development and and whether or

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00:52:27.628 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.114$ not those kind of normal physiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00:52:30.114 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.799$ mutational processes are are present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00:52:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.352$ So I think it does speak to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00:52:36.352 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.064$ underlying biology of that cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00:52:39.064 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.220$ of origin and probably it helps us

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00:52:42.220 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.716$ understand why there there could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00:52:44.716 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.400$ more mutations in in these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00:52:50.400 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.960$ genes compared to the unmutated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00:52:53.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.280$ So that that would be a way

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00:52:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.160$ to put it together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.504185542

 $00:52:59.200 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.760$ I have some questions. OK, yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.504185542

 $00{:}53{:}02.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}06.217$ So Marcus Bosenberg asks are

NOTE Confidence: 0.504185542

 $00:53:06.217 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.302$ there any recurrent genetic or

NOTE Confidence: 0.504185542

00:53:08.302 --> 00:53:10.639 epigenetic changes in CLL arising

NOTE Confidence: 0.504185542

 $00:53:10.639 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.600$ at later time points in RPS 15?

 $00:53:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.008$ Marcus, hello, great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873417537

 $00:53:18.008 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.440$ We haven't actually looked at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873417537

 $00{:}53{:}21.440 \to 00{:}53{:}23.442$ I think that's a great question and

NOTE Confidence: 0.873417537

 $00:53:23.442 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.024$ and probably something I should take

NOTE Confidence: 0.873417537

00:53:25.024 --> 00:53:26.880 back to the group and we should look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873417537

 $00:53:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.088$ but we we haven't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873417537

 $00:53:28.088 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.598$ we haven't looked at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873417537

 $00:53:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.560$ So thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873190474285714

 $00:53:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.811$ One last question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873190474285714

00:53:36.811 --> 00:53:38.639 there's George Miller asks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873190474285714

00:53:38.640 --> 00:53:41.560 can you comment on the role of Epstein

NOTE Confidence: 0.873190474285714

 $00{:}53{:}41.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}44.076$ Barr virus in conversion of CLL to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6933937

00:53:46.520 --> 00:53:46.680 PLVCL?

NOTE Confidence: 0.72661042

00:53:50.960 --> 00:53:54.170 I really can't maybe yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72661042

 $00:53:54.170 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.640$ we we have not looked at that.

 $00:53:55.640 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.680$ It's a great question and certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.709254776666667

00:54:01.640 --> 00:54:05.748 EBB does is does play a role in

NOTE Confidence: 0.709254776666667

 $00{:}54{:}05.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}08.318$ immortalization of B cell lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709254776666667

00:54:08.320 --> 00:54:10.917 But but I I don't have much

NOTE Confidence: 0.709254776666667

 $00:54:10.920 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.884$ deep thoughts about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709254776666667

 $00:54:12.884 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.840$ So my regrets. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709254776666667

 $00:54:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.838$ Well, thank you very much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.709254776666667

 $00:54:16.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.000$ visiting us. Yes, thank you.