WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:42:16.0400000"

NOTE recognizability:0.841

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.233 Good morning, everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

00:00:01.233 --> 00:00:03.882 As you trickle in, I'm just going

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00{:}00{:}03.882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}05.552$ to start presenting this morning's

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

00:00:05.552 --> 00:00:07.232 grand round speaker, Ben Liu.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

00:00:07.232 --> 00:00:09.664 So Ben Liu is one of our graduates and

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:00:09.664 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.590$ he actually exemplifies what we're trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:00:11.590 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.166$ to do in the Cancer Center in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:00:14.166 \dashrightarrow 00:00:16.165$ of building a pathway for training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:00:16.165 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.835$ So as some of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00{:}00{:}18.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.188$ we have a whole list of T30 twos and K

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00{:}00{:}22.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24.184$ twelves and our goal is that people go

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:00:24.184 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.200$ from one program to another to another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:00:26.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.572$ And so Ben, who he graduated

00:00:29.572 --> 00:00:30.796 from NYU Medical School,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:00:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.408$ came here as a resident and

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:00:32.408 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.480$ then joined our fellowship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:00:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.860$ And as a fellow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

00:00:34.860 --> 00:00:37.732 he joined Doctor Herbst T32 and now he's

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00{:}00{:}37.732 \to 00{:}00{:}41.559$ a trainee on the K12 in Immuno Oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:00:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.758$ The only problem is that Ben sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:00:43.758 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.038$ does things a little bit backwards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

00:00:46.040 --> 00:00:48.116 So he's currently finishing his PhD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

00:00:48.120 --> 00:00:50.128 but yet he's a faculty member and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00{:}00{:}50.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51.639$ makes it extremely complicated when

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:00:51.639 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.120$ it comes to the paperwork of the K12.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00{:}00{:}54.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.394$ So if there are other trainees in

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:00:55.394 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.827$ the room who are hoping to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

00:00:56.827 --> 00:00:57.675 up through this pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00{:}00{:}57.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}00.039$ please do it in the right order.

 $00:01:00.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.720$ But all that aside,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:01:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.636$ OK if you that's true too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:01:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.692$ So if you want to go by the chaotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:01:07.692 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.840$ method then all I can say is I

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:01:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.014$ strongly recommend Doctor David

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:01:11.014 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.599$ Heffler as an amazing mentor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:01:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.560$ He's done a great job with Ben who

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:01:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.038$ is doing amazing work on actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:01:18.038 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.277$ three major projects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00{:}01{:}19.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}21.955$ One focuses on brain metastasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:01:21.955 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.560$ in lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00{:}01{:}23.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25.204$ one on Melanoma and liquid biopsies

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

00:01:25.204 --> 00:01:26.894 and that's the one he's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:01:26.894 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.234$ to be talking about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:01:28.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.448$ And the third one is single cell RNA

 $00:01:30.448 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.064$ sequencing studies of glioma patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00{:}01{:}32.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}33.754$ treated with anti TIGIT antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:01:33.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.755$ So there are very few people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:01:35.755 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.773$ can shoulder all of this while being

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

00:01:37.773 --> 00:01:39.482 a chief fellow and AT30T trainee

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00{:}01{:}39.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}40.987$ and AK12 trainee and everything

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:01:40.987 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.172$ else that and a dad I think and

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

00:01:43.172 --> 00:01:44.397 everything else that Ben does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

 $00:01:44.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.556$ So without further ado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

00:01:45.556 --> 00:01:47.714 I'd like to welcome Ben to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.763722411428571

00:01:47.714 --> 00:01:48.797 us his presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

00:01:53.760 --> 00:01:54.920 Thank you so much, Doctor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

 $00:01:54.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.560$ for that very kind introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

00:01:57.560 --> 00:01:58.844 Good morning everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

00:01:58.844 --> 00:02:01.840 Hope everyone had a very nice Thanksgiving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

 $00:02:01.840 \dashrightarrow 00:02:04.000$ I can tell you that I'm very thankful to

 $00{:}02{:}04.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}06.320$ be standing up here on the podium today

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

 $00{:}02{:}06.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08.273$ and for the opportunity to share some

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

 $00:02:08.273 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.682$ of our work that has been supported by

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

 $00:02:10.682 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.879$ the Skinspur over the past five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

 $00:02:12.880 \dashrightarrow 00:02:14.820$ I do have to say that I think it speaks

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

00:02:14.872 --> 00:02:16.888 a lot to our cancer centering community

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

 $00:02:16.888 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.779$ that we're willing to amplify even

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

 $00:02:18.779 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.394$ junior investigators such as myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

00:02:20.400 --> 00:02:22.514 And I'm incredibly grateful to my mentors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

 $00:02:22.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.248$ Dr. Haffler and Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

 $00:02:24.248 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.348$ Kluger for nominating me to

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

 $00:02:26.348 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.761$ represent our team on this project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

 $00{:}02{:}28.761 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31.083$ So I've titled my talk Immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

 $00:02:31.083 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.200$ Liquid Biopsies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

00:02:32.200 --> 00:02:34.560 Remote Learning and Remote Control.

 $00:02:34.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.664$ And the topic here is a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

 $00:02:36.664 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.282$ different than the liquid biopsies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

00:02:38.282 --> 00:02:40.639 I think many of you are familiar with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

 $00:02:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.880$ which are more tumor centric.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

 $00:02:41.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.690$ And yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

 $00:02:42.690 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.310$ I'm specifically referring to

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415086

 $00:02:44.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.240$ circulating tumor cell free DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951568214286

 $00:02:48.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.264$ But I think what we're starting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951568214286

 $00:02:50.264 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.021$ realize is that these liquid biopsies

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951568214286

00:02:52.021 --> 00:02:53.361 are really powerful companion

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951568214286

 $00{:}02{:}53.361 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}54.951$ diagnostics that are really trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951568214286

 $00:02:54.951 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.553$ to become game changers in care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951568214286

 $00:02:56.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.143$ And it's my hope that with additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951568214286

 $00{:}02{:}59.143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}01.145$ work on immune profiling that

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951568214286

 $00:03:01.145 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.022$ these two will start to emerge as

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951568214286

 $00:03:04.022 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.560$ important tools to help us improve

 $00:03:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.040$ our care for patients with cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951568214286

 $00:03:10.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.780$ And so I have no personal

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951568214286

 $00:03:11.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.360$ financial disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951568214286

 $00:03:12.360 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.225$ Some data in the presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951568214286

 $00:03:14.225 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.717$ was generated in collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951568214286

 $00:03:15.717 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.759$ with Repertoire immune medicines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

00:03:20.080 --> 00:03:21.394 And just to briefly go over

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

00:03:21.394 --> 00:03:22.560 the structure of my talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

 $00:03:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.338$ I'm first going to talk a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

 $00:03:24.338 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.185$ bit about some evidence that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

 $00:03:26.185 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.890$ have that the broader systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

 $00:03:27.890 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.932$ immune response is really a critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

 $00{:}03{:}29.932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31.557$ component to anti tumor immunity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

 $00:03:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.478$ And then going to review some rationale

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

 $00:03:33.478 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.697$ and prior work that's been done in this

 $00:03:35.697 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.600$ space of immune profiling in the blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

 $00{:}03{:}37.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}39.178$ And I'm going to talk through

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

 $00:03:39.178 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.560$ two stories that we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

 $00:03:40.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.465$ one which is published and one which

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

 $00:03:43.465 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.825$ is being prepared for submission that

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

 $00:03:45.825 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.456$ really focuses on using the T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

00:03:48.456 --> 00:03:50.304 receptor as a molecular barcode to

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

 $00:03:50.304 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.138$ help us understand what what is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

 $00:03:53.138 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.388$ relationship between T cells in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

 $00{:}03{:}55.388 \rightarrow 00{:}03{:}58.040$ tumor and T cells within the blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

 $00{:}03{:}58.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}00.126$ I'm going to close by discussing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

 $00:04:00.126 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.107$ little bit of our early efforts to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

 $00:04:03.107 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.127$ and translate our biological discoveries

NOTE Confidence: 0.941969954545455

 $00{:}04{:}05.191 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07.198$ into clinically relevant biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:04:11.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.908$ And so just to start, as we all know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00{:}04{:}14.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}16.576$ immune checkpoint inhibitors have

 $00:04:16.576 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.632$ really revolutionized the way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:04:18.632 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.672$ we treat patients with cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:04:20.680 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.800$ And it's in large part due to work

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:04:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.448$ such that's been done by Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:04:24.448 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.384$ Kruger and many of you out in

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:04:26.384 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.844$ the audience and the gold mark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:04:29.844 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.496$ the gold standard for the potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:04:32.496 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.880$ that immunotherapies have for treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:04:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.780$ patients with cancer remains in

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00{:}04{:}37.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39.688$ Melanoma amongst other cancer types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:04:39.688 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.555$ But you can see that these are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:04:42.555 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.183$ practice changing survival curves

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:04:44.183 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.552$ from the Checkmate 067 trial which

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

00:04:46.552 --> 00:04:48.880 was a frontline trial looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:04:48.880 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.008$ anti PD one and or anti CTLA for

 $00:04:52.008 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.878$ for patients with advanced Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:04:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.976$ So you can also tell from these curves

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:04:56.976 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.176$ that about 50% of patients still fail

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:04:59.176 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.245$ to derive long term benefit and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

00:05:02.245 --> 00:05:04.648 it's it caused into question why,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:05:04.648 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.632$ why is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

00:05:05.632 --> 00:05:08.025 What, what are the mechanisms that

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00{:}05{:}08.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}11.758$ are causing them to not be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00{:}05{:}11.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}13.605$ amount of systemic immune response

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

00:05:13.605 --> 00:05:16.080 that can result in tumor rejection

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00{:}05{:}16.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18.248$ and are there markers that we can use

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:05:18.248 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.519$ to try and identify these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:05:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.320$ and are there the rapeutic avenues

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:05:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.780$ that we can explore by learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:05:25.780 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.835$ with this information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:05:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.536$ And so a a better understanding of the

 $00:05:30.536 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.670$ fundamental determinants dictating

NOTE Confidence: 0.842695048

 $00:05:31.670 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.675$ clinical response are really needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00:05:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.329$ just to review what our current understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00:05:38.329 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.320$ of immune checkpoint inhibitors are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00:05:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.060$ When immune checkpoint inhibitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00:05:42.060 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.235$ were first introduced into a

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

00:05:44.235 --> 00:05:46.118 clinic now over a decade ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00:05:46.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.640$ the the thought was really that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00{:}05{:}48.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}51.172$ agents target negative signals within

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00{:}05{:}51.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53.887$ the local tumor microenvironment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00:05:53.887 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.404$ thereby reinvigorate T cells which

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00:05:56.404 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.512$ we believe to be the primary factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00{:}05{:}59.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02.485$ immune cells and resulting in tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00{:}06{:}02.485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.225$ rejection reinvigorating these local

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00:06:05.225 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.360$ T cells to recognize that tumor.

00:06:09.360 --> 00:06:11.320 Well, we've since come to learn though

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

00:06:11.320 --> 00:06:14.148 that at least in part the potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

00:06:14.148 --> 00:06:16.159 for immune checkpoint inhibitors to

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00:06:16.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.000$ mount successful tumor rejection is

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00:06:21.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.284$ the the need to induce immune responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00:06:25.284 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.156$ beyond the local microenvironment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00:06:27.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.395$ And several groups including those

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00{:}06{:}29.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32.346$ here at Yale have identified the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00:06:32.346 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.328$ during lymph node for example as one

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00:06:35.328 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.962$ reservoir for tumor specific stem like

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00{:}06{:}37.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}41.103$ T cells that help to regenerate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00:06:41.103 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.638$ sustain anti tumor immune responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00:06:43.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.286$ This is nicely illustrated in preclinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00{:}06{:}46.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}49.426$ models whereby we can block lymphocyte

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

00:06:49.426 --> 00:06:53.164 trafficking and in doing so we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00:06:53.164 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.440$ that anti tumor immunity is really

 $00:06:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.564$ impaired in the efficacy of immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00:06:58.564 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.480$ checkpoint inhibitors is also limited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00:07:00.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.237$ This has been demonstrated by several groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00:07:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.030$ including two papers out of groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

00:07:06.030 --> 00:07:08.748 from Yale from Nick Joshi's lab and

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

00:07:08.748 --> 00:07:10.393 then also from Marcus Bosenberg

NOTE Confidence: 0.824951098333333

 $00:07:10.393 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.717$ and Richard Favell's lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89084478

 $00:07:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.256$ We also know that immune checkpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.89084478

 $00:07:18.256 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.522$ inhibitors not only recruit new T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.89084478

 $00:07:21.522 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.204$ to the local tumor microenvironment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89084478

00:07:23.204 --> 00:07:26.179 but that these T cells may have actual

NOTE Confidence: 0.89084478

 $00:07:26.179 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.559$ actually be recognizing different antigens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89084478

 $00{:}07{:}28.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}30.590$ And we're assessing that based off of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89084478

 $00:07:30.590 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.718$ their T cell receptor sequences termed

NOTE Confidence: 0.89084478

 $00:07:32.718 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.112$ novel chronotypes here on the right.

00:07:38.120 --> 00:07:40.325 And perhaps some of the most exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.902142325

 $00{:}07{:}40.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}42.630$ data that's merging is the potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.902142325

 $00:07:42.630 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.620$ benefit of immune checkpoint inhibitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.902142325

 $00:07:44.684 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.686$ to work in early stage disease even

NOTE Confidence: 0.902142325

00:07:46.686 --> 00:07:48.559 after the tumor has been removed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902142325

 $00:07:48.560 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.038$ the macroscopic tumor has been removed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902142325

 $00:07:51.040 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.609$ And so these are disease free survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.902142325

 $00:07:53.609 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.825$ curves on recent trials that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.902142325

 $00:07:55.825 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.254$ explored anti PD one therapy in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902142325

00:07:58.327 --> 00:08:00.599 adjuvant and neoadjuvant settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902142325

 $00:08:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.071$ And what these data reinforces is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.902142325

 $00:08:03.071 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.691$ checkpoint blockade really potentiates

NOTE Confidence: 0.902142325

 $00:08:04.691 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.211$ immune surveillance beyond the local

NOTE Confidence: 0.902142325

00:08:07.211 --> 00:08:09.772 microenvironment and helps to prevent

NOTE Confidence: 0.902142325

 $00:08:09.772 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.280$ tumor regrowth and disease recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902831324

 $00:08:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.205$ So in this setting you know we

 $00:08:20.205 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.378$ really believe that a systemic immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.902831324

 $00:08:23.378 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.845$ response is an important contributor

NOTE Confidence: 0.902831324

 $00:08:25.845 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.462$ to effective anti tumor immunity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.902831324

00:08:28.462 --> 00:08:30.172 our underlying hypothesis for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.902831324

 $00:08:30.172 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.363$ project was that blood based tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.902831324

 $00:08:32.363 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.649$ related T cells really have distinct

NOTE Confidence: 0.902831324

 $00:08:34.649 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.434$ characteristics and can be informative

NOTE Confidence: 0.902831324

 $00{:}08{:}36.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39.840$ of local tumor immune microenvironment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902831324

 $00{:}08{:}39.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41.610$ Our translational goal is therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.902831324

00:08:41.610 --> 00:08:43.380 to try and identify clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.902831324

00:08:43.435 --> 00:08:45.310 relevant biomarkers which can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.902831324

 $00:08:45.310 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.020$ obtained non invasively through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902831324

 $00{:}08{:}47.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}49.050$ blood to try and assess inform us

NOTE Confidence: 0.902831324

 $00{:}08{:}49.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51.038$ on anti tumor immune responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.756713162307692

 $00:08:53.720 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.600$ and so prior work in this arena have

 $00:08:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.920$ nominated several blood based biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756713162307692

 $00{:}08{:}58.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}00.720$ However uptake into the clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.756713162307692

 $00:09:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.300$ is likely challenged in part

NOTE Confidence: 0.756713162307692

 $00:09:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.800$ due to the lack of specificity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756713162307692

 $00:09:03.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.098$ So several serum cytokines which we

NOTE Confidence: 0.756713162307692

 $00:09:06.098 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.986$ know to be context dependence are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.756713162307692

 $00:09:08.986 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.956$ widely used or due to inavailability

NOTE Confidence: 0.756713162307692

 $00:09:11.956 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.601$ of certain techniques within our

NOTE Confidence: 0.756713162307692

 $00{:}09{:}14.601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}17.768$ clinical labs such as the ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.756713162307692

00:09:17.768 --> 00:09:20.034 determine T cell receptor diversity

NOTE Confidence: 0.756713162307692

 $00:09:20.034 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.440$ or clone sizes in clinical labs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

00:09:24.680 --> 00:09:27.144 And so our general approach has been to

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00:09:27.144 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.046$ first take a deep dive and deep look into T

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00:09:30.046 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.399$ cells within the tumor microenvironments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

00:09:32.400 --> 00:09:34.496 And in order to do that we employed

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

00:09:34.496 --> 00:09:35.960 using single cell sequencing.

 $00:09:35.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.544$ This is a technique that allows us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00:09:39.544 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.320$ simultaneously characterize both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00:09:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.350$ gene expression profile of individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00:09:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.479$ cells and in the case of T cells also

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00:09:46.479 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.744$ the full length T cell receptor sequence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00:09:48.744 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.760$ Now the T cell receptor is

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00:09:50.825 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.760$ really an essential component to

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00:09:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.960$ everything that AT cell can do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00:09:54.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.270$ The T cell receptor is what allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00{:}09{:}57.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}59.872$ T cells to become activated when

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00:09:59.872 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.437$ it encounters its cognate antigen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00{:}10{:}02.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07.426$ And the the global diversity of the T

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00{:}10{:}07.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10.680$ cell repertoire is really really immense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00:10:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.120$ And so having a high resolution view of

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00:10:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.677$ the the sequence is really important.

00:10:15.680 --> 00:10:17.493 And when T cells do encounter their

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00{:}10{:}17.493 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}19.080$ cognate peptides or their androgens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00:10:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.348$ they become activated and they proliferate

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00:10:21.348 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.037$ and all of these sister clones are

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00:10:24.037 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.634$ share the same T cell receptor sequence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00:10:26.640 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.360$ And so in that sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00{:}10{:}28.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30.670$ the T cell receptor sequence is

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

00:10:30.670 --> 00:10:33.266 really a a useful molecular biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00:10:33.266 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.660$ for us to be able to link T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00:10:35.722 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.948$ that are clonally related within the

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

00:10:37.948 --> 00:10:40.480 tumor and the blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

00:10:40.480 --> 00:10:42.328 And we can then ask the question based

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

00:10:42.328 --> 00:10:44.278 off of his gene expression profile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00:10:44.280 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.760$ how are these cells changing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.882026240869565

 $00:10:45.760 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.680$ What can we learn in these two spaces?

NOTE Confidence: 0.962282331111111

 $00:10:51.280 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.612$ And so in this first portion

 $00:10:52.612 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.799$ of the talk, I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

00:10:56.000 --> 00:10:57.494 talk a little bit more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

 $00{:}10{:}57.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}59.599$ using TCR as a molecular barcode.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

00:10:59.600 --> 00:11:01.952 And I'd really like to just acknowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

 $00:11:01.952 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.884$ Liliana Luca who was a former post

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

00:11:03.884 --> 00:11:05.858 doc in our lab and junior faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

 $00:11:05.858 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.117$ member in our lab who's now an

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

 $00:11:08.117 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.397$ independent investigator in France.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

 $00:11:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.480$ She was really an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

 $00:11:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.560$ architect in driving this project

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

 $00:11:13.632 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.677$ forward to this initial story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

 $00:11:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.984$ And so for this initial or the initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

 $00{:}11{:}19.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}22.920$ look at using TCR as a barcode,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

 $00:11:22.920 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.936$ we performed single cell RNA sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

 $00:11:24.936 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.772$ and T cell receptor sequencing

 $00:11:26.772 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.144$ from in blood and tumor from 11

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

00:11:29.144 --> 00:11:31.120 patients with stage 4 Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

00:11:31.120 --> 00:11:33.862 These patients all had mixed histologies

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

 $00:11:33.862 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.335$ and treatment histories and the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

 $00:11:36.335 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.525$ purpose of this initial look was

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

 $00:11:38.525 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.514$ to try and assess a global look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

 $00:11:41.514 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.760$ what these clonal related T cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

 $00:11:44.760 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.185$ global features of these clonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.809963740833333

 $00:11:46.185 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.040$ related T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914377805714286

00:11:49.600 --> 00:11:52.995 The way that we went about identifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.914377805714286

 $00{:}11{:}53.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}55.160$ tumor T cells which we think are relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.914377805714286

 $00:11:55.160 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.611$ to the anti tumor immune response was by

NOTE Confidence: 0.914377805714286

 $00:11:57.611 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.918$ looking at how clonal extended they are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914377805714286

 $00:11:59.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.990$ This helps us differentiate T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.914377805714286

 $00:12:01.990 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.120$ that we may be located within the

NOTE Confidence: 0.914377805714286

 $00:12:04.120 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.057$ tumor but that are not actively

 $00:12:06.057 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.679$ participating in the inter tumor response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914377805714286

 $00{:}12{:}08.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10.993$ We then link these over into the blood and

NOTE Confidence: 0.914377805714286

 $00:12:10.993 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.716$ we termed for this initial story these cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914377805714286

 $00:12:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.240$ these cloning related but blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.914377805714286

 $00:12:15.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.760$ based cells as circulating tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.914377805714286

00:12:16.816 --> 00:12:17.863 infiltrating lymphocytes which

NOTE Confidence: 0.914377805714286

 $00:12:17.863 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.957$ I'll refer to as circulating tills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852747558461538

 $00{:}12{:}22.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25.028$ And so these circulating tills are a

NOTE Confidence: 0.852747558461538

 $00{:}12{:}25.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}26.720$ relatively rare population in the blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852747558461538

00:12:26.720 --> 00:12:28.799 They are comprised of less than 10%

NOTE Confidence: 0.852747558461538

 $00:12:28.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.188$ of our total T cells and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.852747558461538

 $00:12:32.188 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.256$ that they're predominantly located

NOTE Confidence: 0.852747558461538

 $00{:}12{:}33.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}34.838$ within the CDAT cell compartments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852747558461538

 $00:12:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.000$ So what I'm showing is on the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.852747558461538

 $00:12:37.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.034$ is a dimensionality reduction plot

00:12:39.034 --> 00:12:41.872 of our single cell RNA sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.852747558461538

 $00{:}12{:}41.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}43.745$ and the circulating tilts are

NOTE Confidence: 0.852747558461538

 $00:12:43.745 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.237$ highlighted in dark green.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852747558461538

00:12:45.240 --> 00:12:47.634 You can see that they're predominantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.852747558461538

 $00:12:47.634 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.320$ distributed within the CDAT cell compartment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852747558461538

 $00:12:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.756$ These cells are clonal expanded not

NOTE Confidence: 0.852747558461538

 $00:12:52.756 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.525$ only within the tumor but also within

NOTE Confidence: 0.852747558461538

 $00:12:55.525 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.338$ the blood and that interestingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.852747558461538

 $00:12:57.338 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.168$ this population seems to accumulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.852747558461538

 $00:12:59.168 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.157$ over the course of your disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.940896806363636

 $00{:}13{:}03.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}05.404$ and so we can perform differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.940896806363636

 $00:13:05.404 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.641$ expression analysis to try and take an

NOTE Confidence: 0.940896806363636

 $00:13:07.641 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.186$ unbiased look at the transcriptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.940896806363636

 $00:13:09.186 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.680$ features of this population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940896806363636

 $00:13:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.871$ These circulating tills are the ones that

NOTE Confidence: 0.940896806363636

 $00:13:12.871 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.121$ are located in the right and the all

 $00:13:15.121 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.325$ other blood T cells are located on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.940896806363636

00:13:17.325 --> 00:13:19.397 left and we're focusing on CDAT cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940896806363636

 $00:13:19.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.824$ In this case, what we find is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.940896806363636

00:13:21.824 --> 00:13:24.112 they share features of icytotoxicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940896806363636

00:13:24.112 --> 00:13:27.600 tissue residence, cell migration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940896806363636

 $00:13:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.479$ tissue homing and importantly as a A,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940896806363636

 $00:13:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.238$ it's kind of a A a check.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940896806363636

 $00:13:35.240 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.550$ They they lack features of naive

NOTE Confidence: 0.940896806363636

 $00:13:37.550 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.799$ or memory markers such as CCR 7,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940896806363636

 $00{:}13{:}39.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}43.460$ TCF 7 and these are features that

NOTE Confidence: 0.940896806363636

 $00:13:43.460 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.120$ was this is important to us because

NOTE Confidence: 0.940896806363636

00:13:46.120 --> 00:13:48.474 it reinforces the fact that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.940896806363636

 $00{:}13{:}48.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}50.754$ are cells that have been activated

NOTE Confidence: 0.940896806363636

 $00:13:50.829 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.552$ and are actively participating

NOTE Confidence: 0.940896806363636

 $00:13:52.552 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.320$ in the immune response.

 $00:13:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.440$ We can also ask the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00:13:59.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.960$ how are these circling tills related to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00:14:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.600$ features of tumor cells or tumor T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00:14:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.030$ And so the first analysis that we did was we

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00:14:10.117 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.576$ generated a gene set that is characteristic

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00:14:13.576 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.760$ of expanded T cells within the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00{:}14{:}17.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}20.480$ We then took a look at the expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00:14:20.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.560$ of these this expanded tilde gene set

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00{:}14{:}23.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25.780$ within our circulating till population as

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

00:14:25.780 --> 00:14:28.023 compared with all other blood cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00:14:28.023 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.404$ we do see that there is a enrichment for

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00:14:30.404 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.798$ this population or these this gene set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00:14:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.528$ We can also ask our circulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.7377563533333333

 $00:14:36.528 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.346$ tills characteristic of gene sets

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00:14:40.346 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.370$ of T cells which are specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00:14:43.370 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.704$ expanded within the the tumor and

00:14:46.704 --> 00:14:49.568 thereby removing genes that may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00{:}14{:}49.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}51.828$ just generally associated with clonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

00:14:51.828 --> 00:14:54.140 expansion and we again see that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00:14:54.140 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.359$ is an enrichment for this gene set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00:14:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.856$ One thing that I will point out is

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00{:}14{:}58.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}01.212$ that there there are several hallmark

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00:15:01.212 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.332$ genes which are have been described as

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00:15:04.332 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.014$ important features for T cell dysfunction

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00{:}15{:}07.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09.736$ or tumor exhaustion such as our Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00{:}15{:}09.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11.800$ inhibitory checkpoints such as CTLA 4

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

00:15:11.869 --> 00:15:14.536 Tim 3 which is encoded by the gene HAV

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00{:}15{:}14.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17.032$ CR2 and then the transcription factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00{:}15{:}17.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}19.691$ Tox PD one is also found although not

NOTE Confidence: 0.737756353333333

 $00:15:19.691 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.233$ listed displayed here on this screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819520096842105

 $00:15:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.913$ And so we can see that circulating

00:15:26.913 --> 00:15:29.592 tills are are not representative of

NOTE Confidence: 0.819520096842105

 $00:15:29.592 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.634$ features of exhaustion within the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.819520096842105

 $00{:}15{:}32.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35.496$ but that there is a good concordance

NOTE Confidence: 0.819520096842105

 $00:15:35.496 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.744$ between a cytotoxicity signature between

NOTE Confidence: 0.819520096842105

 $00:15:37.744 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.324$ this population and the the degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.819520096842105

 $00:15:40.324 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.034$ of cytotoxicity within the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00{:}15{:}45.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47.077$ And we can also ask the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00:15:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.887$ are T cells that have been described

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00{:}15{:}49.887 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}15{:}51.720$ to be predictive of response

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

00:15:51.720 --> 00:15:53.320 to immune checkpoint blockade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00{:}15{:}53.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}55.636$ are those T cells also found

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00:15:55.636 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.794$ within the circulation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

00:15:56.800 --> 00:15:59.187 So this is work out of Nirha

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00:15:59.187 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.053$ Cohen's group whereby he generated

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

00:16:01.053 --> 00:16:03.357 2 gene signatures of CDAT cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00:16:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.446$ one that was enriched in patients who

 $00:16:05.446 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.440$ responded to immune checkpoint blockade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00:16:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.860$ another which was enriched

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00:16:08.860 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.635$ in those who were resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00:16:10.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.863$ And then we took a look to see whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00:16:12.863 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.540$ or not these gene signatures what what

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00:16:15.540 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.639$ are the global distribution of these cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00:16:18.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.768$ What we find is that the resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00:16:20.768 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.433$ signature is really only enriched

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00{:}16{:}22.433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.521$ within T cells which are exclusively

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00{:}16{:}24.521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26.932$ found within the tumor and not found

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00{:}16{:}26.932 \to 00{:}16{:}29.592$ within circulation whereby the response

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00:16:29.592 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.520$ signature is found in T cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00:16:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.120$ are shared in both compartments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00:16:34.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.074$ And I think what this point illustrates

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00:16:37.074 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.580$ is that the a key component to a

 $00:16:41.580 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.680$ good response to immune checkpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

00:16:43.680 --> 00:16:47.760 blockade is prior systemic priming

NOTE Confidence: 0.89447998

 $00:16:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.556$ of the anti tumor immune response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861911983333333

 $00:16:52.680 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.437$ And so just to summarize from this

NOTE Confidence: 0.861911983333333

 $00:16:54.437 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.330$ first portion of the talk we've we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.861911983333333

 $00:16:56.330 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.055$ described that circling tills are

NOTE Confidence: 0.861911983333333

 $00:16:58.055 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.259$ enriched with genes and are associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.861911983333333

 $00:17:00.259 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.743$ with clonal expansion specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.861911983333333

 $00:17:01.743 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.151$ within the tumor and that the degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.861911983333333

 $00:17:04.151 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.691$ of cytotoxicity but not exhaustion

NOTE Confidence: 0.861911983333333

 $00{:}17{:}05.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}07.428$ are reflected in circulating tills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861911983333333

 $00:17:07.428 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.051$ You find that tumor T cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.861911983333333

 $00:17:10.051 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.699$ are predictive of immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.861911983333333

00:17:11.699 --> 00:17:14.168 response are also shared within the

NOTE Confidence: 0.861911983333333

00:17:14.168 --> 00:17:15.893 blood and that hallmark features

NOTE Confidence: 0.861911983333333

 $00:17:15.893 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.760$ of a productive anti tumor immune

 $00:17:17.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.440$ response may be reflected in the blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

 $00:17:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.520$ So one of the assumptions from this early

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

 $00:17:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.079$ work was that the most tumor relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

 $00:17:28.079 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.074$ or most relevant T cells to the anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

 $00{:}17{:}31.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33.610$ tumor immune response are those that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

00:17:33.610 --> 00:17:35.960 are most largely clonally expanded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

 $00:17:35.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.395$ And around the time that we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

00:17:37.395 --> 00:17:38.240 performing this initial work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

 $00:17:38.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.652$ there were also groups that had

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

 $00:17:40.652 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.735$ described that you can use strictly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

 $00:17:43.735 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.055$ transcriptional signature of T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

 $00:17:46.055 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.615$ to accurately predict whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

 $00{:}17{:}48.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51.893$ these T cells were neo oxygen specific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

 $00:17:51.893 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.158$ whether they're truly tumor specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

 $00:17:55.160 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.600$ One such paper was out of Steve Rosenberg's

 $00:17:57.600 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.000$ group at the National Cancer Institute

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

 $00:18:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.316$ whereby he described 2 gene signatures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

 $00:18:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.526$ one for CD4T cells and one for CD8T

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

00:18:05.526 --> 00:18:08.600 cells that can with high accuracy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

 $00:18:08.600 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.120$ predicts whether or not a given T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

00:18:12.120 --> 00:18:15.438 was likely to be neo Entergen specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

 $00:18:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.239$ And so we simply ask the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

 $00:18:17.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.980$ can the transcriptional identification

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

00:18:18.980 --> 00:18:21.590 of tumor specific T cells improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

00:18:21.652 --> 00:18:23.952 our understanding of the blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.878912314615385

 $00{:}18{:}23.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.480$ and tumor relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00{:}18{:}29.200 \longrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31.510$ And so we then apply this transcription

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:18:31.510 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.079$ prediction to our own data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:18:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.312$ And so these are the CDAT cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

00:18:36.312 --> 00:18:39.440 I had shown in the previous section

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:18:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.786$ and this is all from the tumor and

 $00:18:41.786 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.102$ we've identified those that we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:18:44.102 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.436$ are likely tumor neo antigen specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

00:18:46.436 --> 00:18:48.770 I apologize about the colouring of

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:18:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.440$ the the graph on the right over here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:18:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.688$ But what we can see is that using

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:18:53.688 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.464$ our previous definition of expanded

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:18:55.464 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.400$ or unexpanded T cells that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

00:18:57.400 --> 00:18:58.800 have to trust me on the coloring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00{:}18{:}58.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01.464$ but the vast majority of them are also

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:19:01.464 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.956$ predicted to be in the antigen specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

00:19:03.960 --> 00:19:05.580 But I think an important point

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:19:05.580 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.062$ is that of the unexpended,

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00{:}19{:}07.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09.260$ there's also a portion that we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:19:09.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.240$ not capturing before and that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:19:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.095$ actually unexpended within the tumor

00:19:14.095 --> 00:19:16.392 migraine environment to functionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:19:16.392 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.704$ confirm that these predicted T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:19:19.704 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.872$ do in fact recognize new antigens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:19:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.805$ We collaborated with rapid farming

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00{:}19{:}24.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}27.116$ medicines and with data that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

00:19:27.116 --> 00:19:29.820 generated by Ruth Haliband's lab as

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:19:29.820 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.544$ well to analyze wholexom sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:19:33.544 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.118$ and bulk RNA sequencing to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:19:37.118 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.300$ predict for each individual patients neo

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:19:40.300 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.120$ antigens and tumor associated antigens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:19:43.120 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.654$ We then ran these peptides that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:19:45.654 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.828$ synthesized in a relatively high

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

00:19:47.828 --> 00:19:50.864 throughput manner against select T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.715701025833333

 $00:19:50.864 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.520$ receptor sequences and tested for reactivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79086559125

 $00:19:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.328$ What we find in this data is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79086559125

 $00:19:59.328 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.024$ vast majority of those NEO TCR predicted

 $00{:}20{:}03.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05.890$ T cells account for basically all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79086559125

 $00{:}20{:}05.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08.952$ the the T cell receptor sequences that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79086559125

 $00:20:08.952 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.316$ elicited react functional reactivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.79086559125

 $00:20:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.420$ and that the only clonotype that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79086559125

 $00:20:14.420 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.430$ wasn't that was reactive but was not

NOTE Confidence: 0.79086559125

 $00:20:17.430 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.840$ predicted to be neo antigen specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79086559125

00:20:19.840 --> 00:20:22.600 It was in fact reactive to CMV and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79086559125

 $00:20:22.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.362$ this peptide was included as a negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.79086559125

 $00{:}20{:}25.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27.240$ control for by the repertoire team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95779278

00:20:29.680 --> 00:20:31.120 And so using this approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95779278

 $00{:}20{:}31.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}37.640$ we then analyzed cutaneous 17 patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.95779278

 $00{:}20{:}37.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}39.620$ with cutaneous Melanoma who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.95779278

 $00{:}20{:}39.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}41.600$ immunotherapy naive and we chose

NOTE Confidence: 0.95779278

 $00:20:41.664 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.776$ to focus on a more biologically

NOTE Confidence: 0.95779278

00:20:43.776 --> 00:20:46.200 homogeneous cohort to try and really

 $00:20:46.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.000$ eliminate any treatment related effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95779278

 $00{:}20{:}50.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51.952$ We then applied the NEO TCR 8 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95779278

 $00{:}20{:}51.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54.198$ neo TCR 4 signatures to predict and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95779278

 $00:20:54.198 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.568$ identify reactive T cells and then in

NOTE Confidence: 0.95779278

 $00:20:56.568 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.392$ similar fashion link them back into

NOTE Confidence: 0.95779278

 $00:20:58.392 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.875$ T cells within the blood based off

NOTE Confidence: 0.95779278

 $00:21:00.875 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.560$ of their T cell receptor sequences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95779278

 $00:21:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.960$ In total, we identified about 7000

NOTE Confidence: 0.95779278

 $00{:}21{:}05.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}08.064$ reactive CDAT cells which again

NOTE Confidence: 0.95779278

 $00:21:08.064 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.686$ reinforces that this is a relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.95779278

00:21:10.686 --> 00:21:11.560 rare population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875864301428571

00:21:14.880 --> 00:21:17.964 We again find that they're predominantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.875864301428571

 $00:21:17.964 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.415$ CD8 that they're highly expanded and

NOTE Confidence: 0.875864301428571

 $00{:}21{:}20.415 \to 00{:}21{:}23.040$ also have a restricted clonal diversity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875864301428571

 $00:21:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.853$ So what I'm showing here on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875864301428571

 $00:21:24.853 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.136$ right is a linearized metric for

 $00:21:27.136 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.176$ the degree of clonal expansion

NOTE Confidence: 0.875864301428571

 $00:21:29.176 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.420$ within the blood and the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875864301428571

 $00:21:31.420 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.800$ Matched reactive are the ones that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.875864301428571

00:21:33.873 --> 00:21:36.799 predicted to be reactive based off of

NOTE Confidence: 0.875864301428571

 $00:21:36.799 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.760$ their tumor transcriptional signature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875864301428571

 $00:21:38.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.960$ Unreactive are ones that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.875864301428571

00:21:40.960 --> 00:21:43.160 unreactive but also found within

NOTE Confidence: 0.875864301428571

 $00:21:43.236 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.264$ the tumor and then also the ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.875864301428571

 $00:21:46.264 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.280$ that were only found in the blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912079513

 $00{:}21{:}51.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}54.140$ We also find that there's a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.912079513

00:21:54.140 --> 00:21:56.001 frequency of previously reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.912079513

00:21:56.001 --> 00:21:58.641 tumor antigen specific TCR sequences

NOTE Confidence: 0.912079513

00:21:58.641 --> 00:22:00.753 in our reactive population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912079513

 $00:22:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.952$ and to do this analysis we use

NOTE Confidence: 0.912079513

 $00:22:03.952 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.361$ publicly available databases of TCR

00:22:06.361 --> 00:22:08.756 sequences that had been annotated

NOTE Confidence: 0.912079513

 $00:22:08.756 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.840$ with their functional epitopes

NOTE Confidence: 0.888838393888889

 $00:22:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.960$ to try and understand in a more specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.888838393888889

 $00:22:15.960 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.543$ manner the transcriptional features

NOTE Confidence: 0.888838393888889

 $00:22:17.543 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.279$ of these reactive T cell population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888838393888889

 $00{:}22{:}20.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}22.475$ We collaborated with Doctor Yuval

NOTE Confidence: 0.888838393888889

 $00:22:22.475 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.400$ Kluger's group and they had developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.888838393888889

 $00:22:25.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.465$ a novel computational method for

NOTE Confidence: 0.888838393888889

 $00{:}22{:}28.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}30.492$ identifying the differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.888838393888889

 $00:22:30.492 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.316$ abundance of certain populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888838393888889

 $00{:}22{:}33.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}35.234$ Wes Lewis was a graduate student

NOTE Confidence: 0.888838393888889

 $00:22:35.234 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.843$ in his lab who applied this to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.888838393888889

00:22:37.843 --> 00:22:40.028 data set and what we find is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.888838393888889

 $00:22:40.028 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.512$ we can identify a subpopulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.888838393888889

 $00:22:41.512 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.531$ of cells that are differentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.888838393888889

 $00:22:43.531 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.477$ enriched for tumor reactive T cells.

 $00:22:48.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.424$ A look at the differential expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

 $00:22:51.424 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.051$ gene signature shows that in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

 $00{:}22{:}54.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55.759$ unmatched and unreactive cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

 $00:22:55.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.056$ there's again an enrichment

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

00:22:57.056 --> 00:22:58.676 for naive and memory markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

00:22:58.680 --> 00:23:00.876 In line with our previous work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

00:23:00.880 --> 00:23:03.364 there's a high degree of cytotoxicity

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

 $00:23:03.364 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.076$ that's both found within our reactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

 $00{:}23{:}06.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}08.036$ and our unreactive populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

00:23:08.040 --> 00:23:10.440 There's signs of cell trafficking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

 $00:23:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.316$ tissue resonance and MK associated markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

 $00:23:14.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.330$ and there's one marker in particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

 $00{:}23{:}16.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18.957$ that really stood out to us and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

 $00{:}23{:}18.957 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}20.487$ is the killer cell immunoglobulin

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

 $00:23:20.487 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.730$ like receptor family which occurred

 $00:23:22.730 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.560$ to DL3 is one of those subtypes here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

 $00{:}23{:}26.560 \rightarrow 00{:}23{:}28.590$ And the reason why this is interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

 $00:23:28.590 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.592$ to us is because this work current

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

 $00:23:31.592 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.312$ expressing CDAT cells was recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

 $00:23:34.312 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.299$ described in autoimmunity and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

 $00:23:37.299 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.106$ in fection as being important mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

 $00:23:40.106 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.918$ for restoring peripheral tolerance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

00:23:41.920 --> 00:23:44.359 So just a little bit about the CUR receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

 $00:23:44.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.640$ So they're best understood for

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

00:23:46.640 --> 00:23:49.345 their function and role within NK

NOTE Confidence: 0.861705938125

 $00:23:49.345 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.320$ cells and they in part a negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.7928755

 $00:23:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.259$ suppression signal upon encounter

NOTE Confidence: 0.7928755

00:23:59.259 --> 00:24:02.519 with class one Class 2 MHC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628647091818182

 $00:24:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.757$ So they're in in fact Co inhibitory

NOTE Confidence: 0.628647091818182

 $00:24:07.757 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.996$ signaling within NK cell within CDAT cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628647091818182

00:24:10.996 --> 00:24:13.948 So they denote this regulatory like

 $00:24:13.948 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.124$ T cell which is analogous to the live

NOTE Confidence: 0.628647091818182

 $00{:}24{:}17.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}19.508$ 49 expressing CDAT cells that Harvey

NOTE Confidence: 0.628647091818182

00:24:19.508 --> 00:24:21.956 cancers group had described in mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628647091818182

 $00:24:21.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.438$ But these cells have a high expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.628647091818182

00:24:24.438 --> 00:24:26.400 of the transcription factor HELIOS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.628647091818182

 $00:24:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.660$ and although the mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.628647091818182

00:24:28.660 --> 00:24:30.355 isn't fully understood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.628647091818182

 $00{:}24{:}30.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32.934$ they can target pathogenic T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.628647091818182

00:24:32.934 --> 00:24:35.515 in autoimmune D infection and kill

NOTE Confidence: 0.628647091818182

 $00:24:35.515 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.873$ them in a contact dependent manner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628647091818182

 $00:24:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.064$ And so in essence this is a alternative

NOTE Confidence: 0.628647091818182

00:24:41.064 --> 00:24:43.240 mechanism to try and eliminate

NOTE Confidence: 0.628647091818182

 $00{:}24{:}43.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47.440$ hyperinflamed or hyperactive T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628647091818182

 $00:24:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.904$ The role in tumor immunity is not really

NOTE Confidence: 0.628647091818182

00:24:49.904 --> 00:24:51.638 well described or well understood.

00:24:53.920 --> 00:24:55.240 So turning back to our data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879434508333333

 $00:24:55.240 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.600$ we can take a look at gene signatures

NOTE Confidence: 0.879434508333333

 $00:24:57.600 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.118$ that are characteristic of these auto,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879434508333333

00:25:00.120 --> 00:25:02.860 these Kerr CD8 regulatory cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.879434508333333

 $00:25:02.860 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.542$ in autoimmunity and compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.879434508333333

 $00:25:04.542 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.997$ to them with our population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879434508333333

 $00:25:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.920$ our reactive population in Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879434508333333

 $00{:}25{:}09.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12.080$ What we find is that there's a broad

NOTE Confidence: 0.879434508333333

 $00{:}25{:}12.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}14.239$ expression of the Kerr family of receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879434508333333

 $00:25:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.720$ There's also high expression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.879434508333333

 $00{:}25{:}16.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}19.200$ cytotoxicity and NK associated genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.879434508333333

 $00:25:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.894$ in addition to many of the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.879434508333333

 $00:25:20.894 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.639$ features that I pointed out before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879434508333333

00:25:22.640 --> 00:25:23.012 Importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879434508333333

 $00:25:23.012 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.244$ there's a high expression of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.879434508333333

 $00:25:25.244 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.360$ transcription factor HELIOS,

 $00:25:26.360 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.322$ which is thought to be essential

NOTE Confidence: 0.879434508333333

 $00{:}25{:}28.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}30.073$ to their regulatory function or

NOTE Confidence: 0.879434508333333

 $00{:}25{:}30.073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31.902$ their suppressive function and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.879434508333333

00:25:31.902 --> 00:25:33.557 notable absence of Co stimulatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.87890268555556

 $00:25:35.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.266$ molecules. You can also see from

NOTE Confidence: 0.87890268555556

 $00:25:38.266 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.784$ the slide that this gene signature

NOTE Confidence: 0.87890268555556

 $00:25:40.784 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.863$ seems to be fairly specific for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87890268555556

 $00{:}25{:}43.863 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}45.395$ reactive subpop subpopulation reactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.87890268555556

00:25:45.395 --> 00:25:47.682 cells as compared with all other

NOTE Confidence: 0.87890268555556

 $00{:}25{:}47.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}49.560$ CDAT cells found within the blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814018251785714

 $00{:}25{:}52.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54.400$ We can also perform GENESAT

NOTE Confidence: 0.814018251785714

 $00{:}25{:}54.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57.560$ enrichment analysis and we do find a

NOTE Confidence: 0.814018251785714

 $00{:}25{:}57.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}59.009$ statistically significant enrichment

NOTE Confidence: 0.814018251785714

00:25:59.009 --> 00:26:02.815 for the top 200 genes of human cure

NOTE Confidence: 0.814018251785714

 $00:26:02.815 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.000$ CDAT cells found in autoimmunity.

 $00:26:05.000 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.037$ And we can also ask the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814018251785714

 $00:26:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.605$ does this population or does

NOTE Confidence: 0.814018251785714

00:26:09.605 --> 00:26:12.212 this cure CDAT cell population?

NOTE Confidence: 0.814018251785714

 $00:26:12.212 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.827$ Does it represent distinct differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.814018251785714

 $00:26:14.827 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.957$ state or is it part of a continuum

NOTE Confidence: 0.814018251785714

 $00:26:17.957 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.680$ within clonally related T cells?

NOTE Confidence: 0.814018251785714

 $00:26:19.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.128$ And I performed pseudo time trajectory

NOTE Confidence: 0.814018251785714

00:26:22.128 --> 00:26:23.760 analysis here which attempts

NOTE Confidence: 0.814018251785714

 $00:26:23.825 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.080$ to try and order biologically

NOTE Confidence: 0.814018251785714

 $00:26:27.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.603$ related cells along a continuum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814018251785714

 $00:26:30.603 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.256$ And what we find is that there seems

NOTE Confidence: 0.814018251785714

 $00:26:33.256 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.300$ to be a branch trajectory here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.814018251785714

 $00:26:36.300 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.450$ that in unsupervised analysis we also

NOTE Confidence: 0.814018251785714

 $00:26:41.450 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.460$ find that Helios which is encoded by

NOTE Confidence: 0.814018251785714

00:26:44.550 --> 00:26:48.288 the gene IKC F2 also came up as one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.814018251785714

 $00{:}26{:}48.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}50.564$ the most differentially expressed an

 $00:26:50.564 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.919$ associated genes along the trajectory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792839808333333

 $00:26:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.438$ We can also ask the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792839808333333

 $00:26:57.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.617$ so if we think that these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.792839808333333

00:26:59.617 --> 00:27:01.200 regulatory cells within the blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792839808333333

 $00:27:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.755$ do they maintain their transcriptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.792839808333333

 $00:27:02.755 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.999$ state within the tumor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.792839808333333

 $00:27:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.770$ And in essence we're trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.792839808333333

 $00:27:05.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.160$ understand what might there be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792839808333333

 $00:27:07.160 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.692$ what might be their role within

NOTE Confidence: 0.792839808333333

00:27:09.692 --> 00:27:10.958 the tumor microenvironment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792839808333333

 $00:27:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.589$ And So what we can do is we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.792839808333333

00:27:12.589 --> 00:27:14.193 trace these cells based off of

NOTE Confidence: 0.792839808333333

 $00{:}27{:}14.193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}15.563$ their T cell receptor sequences

NOTE Confidence: 0.792839808333333

00:27:15.618 --> 00:27:17.676 back into the tumor and look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.792839808333333

 $00:27:17.676 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.558$ the transcriptional profile.

 $00:27:18.560 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.730$ And what we see is that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.792839808333333

 $00{:}27{:}20.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22.291$ Kerr CD8T cell transcriptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.792839808333333

00:27:22.291 --> 00:27:23.959 profile is largely maintained

NOTE Confidence: 0.792839808333333

 $00:27:23.959 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.189$ within these sister clones within

NOTE Confidence: 0.792839808333333

00:27:26.189 --> 00:27:27.560 the tumor microenvironment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801193888888889

00:27:29.600 --> 00:27:32.432 We have ongoing work in collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.801193888888889

 $00:27:32.432 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.137$ with Doctor Marcello Distasio and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.801193888888889

00:27:35.137 --> 00:27:37.357 Department of Pathology to try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.801193888888889

 $00:27:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.712$ better characterize these histologically

NOTE Confidence: 0.80119388888889

00:27:39.712 --> 00:27:42.064 using spatial multiomic analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.801193888888889

 $00{:}27{:}42.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.079$ in the tumor micro environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885015861666667

 $00:27:47.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.342$ And so just to conclude from

NOTE Confidence: 0.885015861666667

 $00:27:49.342 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.039$ the 2nd portion of the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885015861666667

 $00:27:51.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.872$ we've demonstrated that transcriptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.885015861666667

00:27:52.872 --> 00:27:55.162 signatures can identify a subset

NOTE Confidence: 0.885015861666667

 $00:27:55.162 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.546$ of tumor reactive T cells which

 $00:27:57.546 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.038$ are not clonally expanded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885015861666667

 $00:27:59.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.705$ Differential abundance techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.885015861666667

00:28:00.705 --> 00:28:03.480 can help us identify subpopulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.885015861666667

 $00:28:03.480 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.539$ of these reactive T cells which

NOTE Confidence: 0.885015861666667

00:28:06.539 --> 00:28:09.016 largely resemble Kerr CD8 regulatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.885015861666667

00:28:09.016 --> 00:28:11.485 T cells and that these Kerr CD8T

NOTE Confidence: 0.885015861666667

00:28:11.485 --> 00:28:13.385 cells seem to represent a distinct

NOTE Confidence: 0.885015861666667

 $00:28:13.385 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.350$ differentiation state which is preserved

NOTE Confidence: 0.885015861666667

 $00:28:15.350 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.680$ in the tumor micro environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00{:}28{:}21.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}23.329$ And so an important question for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:28:23.329 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.665$ is what is the clinical relevance

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00{:}28{:}25.665 \rightarrow 00{:}28{:}28.067$ of this T cell population And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134663033333333

00:28:28.067 --> 00:28:29.669 because we can't perform single cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

00:28:29.669 --> 00:28:31.438 sequencing on all of our our patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:28:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.876$ we really wanted to move towards

 $00:28:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.035$ markers that could be assessed

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00{:}28{:}36.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37.759$ within the chemical laboratory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

00:28:37.760 --> 00:28:38.960 I'm specifically referring

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:28:38.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.560$ to using flow cytometry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:28:40.560 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.912$ And So what we wanted to do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:28:42.912 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.721$ to move from transcriptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

00:28:44.721 --> 00:28:47.468 features over to protein level

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00{:}28{:}47.468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}50.552$ cell surface features which are pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134663033333333

 $00:28:50.552 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.999$ conventionally used in flow cytometry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:28:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.596$ And in order to do so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00{:}28{:}54.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57.300$ we collaborated with Doctor Steve

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

00:28:57.300 --> 00:28:59.776 Moss Group and Yuan Shin Chan and

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

00:28:59.776 --> 00:29:01.375 Ji Ping Wang are post docs and

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

00:29:01.375 --> 00:29:02.971 graduate students in his lab who

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

00:29:02.971 --> 00:29:04.439 primarily worked on this project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:29:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.064$ And we asked them to see whether or

00:29:08.064 --> 00:29:10.752 not they can construct A classifier

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

00:29:10.752 --> 00:29:13.118 that is limited to clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:29:13.118 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.860$ variables and also genes that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:29:15.942 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.878$ specifically associated with protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:29:18.880 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.079$ cell surface proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:29:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.840$ We also restrict ourselves to

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:29:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.408$ genes which are known to correlate

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00{:}29{:}26.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28.577$ both at the transcriptional level

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:29:28.577 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.477$ and also the protein level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00{:}29{:}30.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}32.321$ and so they use a they construct

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00{:}29{:}32.321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33.877$ A LASSO logistic regression model

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:29:33.877 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.647$ which can accurately predict or

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:29:35.647 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.673$ classify cells as being likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

00:29:37.673 --> 00:29:40.838 within our subpopulation or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:29:40.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.185$ And when we then apply this back

00:29:43.185 --> 00:29:45.240 into our single cell data set,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

00:29:45.240 --> 00:29:48.999 what we find is that this tumor reactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:29:48.999 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.197$ or CDAT cell population seems to

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:29:52.200 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.000$ be associated with the poor survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:29:54.000 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.480$ And what we did here was we simply

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

00:29:56.480 --> 00:29:58.634 split our cohort into a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:29:58.634 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.089$ expressing group and a low expressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:30:01.089 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.840$ group using a median cut point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134663033333333

 $00:30:03.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.008$ And what I can tell you is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:30:06.008 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.272$ it doesn't matter whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134663033333333

 $00{:}30{:}08.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}09.924$ these patients were immunother apy

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:30:09.924 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.439$ naive or immunotherapy resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:30:12.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.120$ The mere presence of these cells seem to

NOTE Confidence: 0.913466303333333

 $00:30:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.599$ be associated with worst overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933140132

00:30:22.080 --> 00:30:25.040 Moving towards applying our classifier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933140132

 $00:30:25.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.238$ applying these features to flow cytometry,

 $00:30:28.240 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.159$ we then asked them whether they can

NOTE Confidence: 0.933140132

 $00{:}30{:}31.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}34.812$ construct a hierarchy of these the

NOTE Confidence: 0.933140132

 $00:30:34.812 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.804$ these genes and protein markers in

NOTE Confidence: 0.933140132

 $00:30:36.804 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.589$ order for us to be able to develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.933140132

00:30:39.589 --> 00:30:41.240 combinations of markers that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.933140132

 $00:30:41.240 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.840$ can assess on flow cytometry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70706884

 $00:30:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.440$ And so the first use single

NOTE Confidence: 0.70706884

00:30:47.440 --> 00:30:50.091 cell data that we had generated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70706884

 $00:30:50.091 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.346$ but this includes protein level

NOTE Confidence: 0.70706884

 $00:30:52.346 \dashrightarrow 00:30:54.879$ expression that from site seek data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70706884

 $00:30:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.260$ And what I'm showing you here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.70706884

 $00{:}30{:}57.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}00.644$ that the the expression of KRD one

NOTE Confidence: 0.70706884

 $00{:}31{:}00.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}04.992$ as an example that the the site seek

NOTE Confidence: 0.70706884

 $00{:}31{:}04.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07.312$ expression is relatively similar to

NOTE Confidence: 0.70706884

 $00:31:07.312 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.596$ what we would see on flow cytometry.

 $00:31:10.600 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.630$ We then constructed a decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.70706884

 $00:31:12.630 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.093$ tree model which allows us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.70706884

 $00:31:15.093 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.216$ assign a hierarchy and summarizes

NOTE Confidence: 0.70706884

 $00:31:17.216 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.000$ a combination of markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70706884

00:31:20.000 --> 00:31:21.560 And using this model and this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70706884

 $00:31:21.560 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.040$ these, this combination of markers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70706884

 $00:31:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.984$ we can accurately classify

NOTE Confidence: 0.70706884

 $00:31:26.984 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.560$ cells 91% of the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843501225789474

 $00:31:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.384$ And with the caveat that this is still

NOTE Confidence: 0.843501225789474

 $00:31:33.384 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.792$ ongoing work and that we have short

NOTE Confidence: 0.843501225789474

 $00{:}31{:}35.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}37.836$ interval fall for this exploratory cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843501225789474

 $00:31:37.836 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.342$ we do see an early trend in

NOTE Confidence: 0.843501225789474

 $00:31:40.342 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.214$ separation curves that is in line

NOTE Confidence: 0.843501225789474

 $00:31:42.214 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.160$ with what we were seeing before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843501225789474

 $00:31:44.160 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.841$ That is those who have a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.843501225789474

 $00:31:46.841 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.558$ proportion of this subpopulation

 $00:31:48.558 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.240$ seem to have worse clinical outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843501225789474

 $00:31:53.240 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.952$ And to validate both our transcriptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.843501225789474

00:31:55.952 --> 00:31:59.318 data and also our protein level data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843501225789474

 $00:31:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.490$ we are collaborating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843501225789474

 $00:32:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.050$ We established A collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.843501225789474

00:32:02.050 --> 00:32:03.596 with Doctor Benjamin Fairfax

NOTE Confidence: 0.843501225789474

 $00:32:03.596 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.196$ at the University of Oxford.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843501225789474

 $00{:}32{:}05.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}08.386$ He's a Melanoma oncologist who has

NOTE Confidence: 0.843501225789474

 $00:32:08.386 \dashrightarrow 00:32:11.057$ generated bulk RNA sequencing data

NOTE Confidence: 0.843501225789474

 $00:32:11.057 \dashrightarrow 00:32:13.841$ and also flow cytometry data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.843501225789474

 $00:32:13.841 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.120$ over 200 patients with Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.843501225789474

 $00:32:16.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.760$ prior to treatment also on treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843501225789474

 $00{:}32{:}18.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}21.660$ And so we're looking forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.843501225789474

00:32:21.660 --> 00:32:23.239 to seeing those results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8908728

 $00:32:26.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.800$ And so just to summarize,

 $00:32:28.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.738$ we believe that the induction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8908728

00:32:30.738 --> 00:32:32.463 systemic immunity is really a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8908728

 $00:32:32.463 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.971$ critical component to successful

NOTE Confidence: 0.8908728

00:32:33.971 --> 00:32:35.479 anti tumor immune responses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8908728

 $00:32:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.260$ but that clinical biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8908728

 $00:32:37.260 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.110$ which allow us to profile on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8908728

 $00:32:41.110 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.560$ population remains an unmet need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8908728

 $00:32:44.560 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.390$ We use single cell technologies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8908728

 $00{:}32{:}46.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}48.648$ to try and provide insights into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8908728

 $00:32:48.648 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.448$ the relationship between T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8908728

 $00{:}32{:}50.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52.635$ within the tumor and those within

NOTE Confidence: 0.8908728

 $00:32:52.635 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.892$ the blood and that we've identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.8908728

 $00:32:54.892 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.857$ a subpopulation of tumor reactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8908728

 $00:32:56.857 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.215$ Cur CD8 regulatory T cells which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8908728

00:32:59.215 --> 00:33:01.115 may actually suppress anti tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8908728

 $00{:}33{:}01.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}03.181$ immunity and negatively correlate

 $00:33:03.181 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.678$ with clinical outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8908728

 $00{:}33{:}04.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06.720$ I think this is largely exploratory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8908728

00:33:06.720 --> 00:33:09.120 but you know potentially if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8908728

 $00:33:09.120 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.344$ can identify this cell population

NOTE Confidence: 0.8908728

 $00:33:11.344 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.520$ within a clinical cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8908728

 $00:33:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.360$ we may be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.935832312

 $00:33:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.560$ explore a new therapeutic Ave.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935832312

 $00:33:18.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.040$ for targeting these cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:33:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.080$ And so with that I'd like to just

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00{:}33{:}24.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}25.896$ take a moment to acknowledge all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

00:33:25.896 --> 00:33:27.760 people who've made this work possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00{:}33{:}27.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}29.461$ I think first and foremost we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00{:}33{:}29.461 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30.879$ to acknowledge the patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:33:30.879 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.880$ families who are very generous in

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:33:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.560$ donating their tissue and blood.

 $00:33:34.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.560$ But also I'd like to thank them and

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:33:36.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.090$ acknowledge them just for the motivation

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:33:38.090 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.221$ that they provide all of us for the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:33:40.221 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.360$ that we do in the clinic, in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:33:43.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.280$ And also like to thank my mentors Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:33:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.495$ Hathor and Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:33:46.495 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.520$ Kruger for their unending support

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:33:48.520 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.639$ and really the opportunity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:33:50.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.360$ perform this research in addition

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:33:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.160$ to members of the halfway lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00{:}33{:}55.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}58.632$ So Liliana Luca had mentioned before is

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:33:58.632 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.962$ a independent investigator in France.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:34:00.962 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.330$ Pierre, Paul and Nick were also essential and

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:34:04.412 \dashrightarrow 00:34:07.800$ instrumental in generating data on the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

00:34:07.800 --> 00:34:09.288 Our collaborators both internally

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:34:09.288 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.520$ here at Yale and also externally.

00:34:11.520 --> 00:34:13.530 So I'm Doctor Yuval Kluger's group

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00{:}34{:}13.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}16.157$ and Wes Lewis's Wes Lewis for their

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:34:16.157 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.192$ work on the Differential Abundance

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:34:18.192 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.514$ analysis and Steve Ma Yuan Shin Chen

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

00:34:20.514 --> 00:34:24.040 G Ping Wang for their work on our

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:34:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.840$ constructing biomarker classifiers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

00:34:25.840 --> 00:34:28.080 As I mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

00:34:28.080 --> 00:34:29.200 Martello Distasio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00{:}34{:}29.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}31.060$ we have an ongoing collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:34:31.060 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.920$ to explore the spatial orientation

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:34:32.983 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.981$ of the cell population and Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:34:34.981 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.399$ Benjamin Fairfax is a collaborator

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00{:}34{:}39.399 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}43.012$ who's going to help us explore this

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00{:}34{:}43.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}45.077$ population in a larger cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

00:34:45.080 --> 00:34:47.120 I'd also like to acknowledge our

00:34:47.120 --> 00:34:49.120 collaborators at Repertoire Immune Medicines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00{:}34{:}49.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}52.836$ in addition to the Yale Skins Board whose

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:34:52.836 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.120$ support has really made this effort feasible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:34:57.120 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.919$ and also to the core facilities here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:34:59.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.404$ And a personal thank you to both

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

00:35:01.404 --> 00:35:02.840 David Braun and David Schoenfeld,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:35:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.935$ who unfortunately couldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:35:03.935 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.760$ be here in person today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:35:05.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.674$ But they were incredibly generous and

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:35:07.674 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.812$ help with their thoughts and also with

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00{:}35{:}09.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}11.688$ their time in helping prepare for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:35:11.741 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.836$ presentation and also for my funding sources,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:35:13.840 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.160$ the T32 and the K12,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:35:15.160 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.840$ as Harry had mentioned before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:35:17.840 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.080$ OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947023780952381

 $00:35:18.080 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.760$ I'd be happy to take any questions.

 $00:35:26.280 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.780$ Thank you, Ben, for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.733990485

 $00:35:27.780 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.520$ terrific talk. Any questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7409618

 $00:35:32.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.680$ So while people, so we have a few online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7409618

 $00:35:35.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.480$ Oh yeah, let's do that. Yeah. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.89712026125

00:35:40.480 --> 00:35:41.880 I don't know if people want to unmute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89712026125

 $00:35:41.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.960$ but I see that SRIVATAM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89712026125

 $00:35:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.892$ has there been an effort to isolate

NOTE Confidence: 0.89712026125

00:35:47.892 --> 00:35:48.720 and phenotypically characterize

NOTE Confidence: 0.89712026125

00:35:48.768 --> 00:35:49.800 these current CDAT cells?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89712026125

 $00{:}35{:}49.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}51.800$ I'm curious to understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89712026125

 $00:35:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.800$ uncommon state of CDAT cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89712026125

 $00:35:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.557$ So yes, there has been work in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89712026125

 $00:35:55.557 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.084$ other contexts to do that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89712026125

 $00:35:57.084 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.512$ I didn't show the data today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89712026125

 $00:35:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.040$ but we have also done that

00:36:01.040 --> 00:36:03.329 in Melanoma and have largely

NOTE Confidence: 0.89712026125

 $00{:}36{:}03.329 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05.744$ validated the the protein level

NOTE Confidence: 0.89712026125

 $00:36:05.744 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.639$ immunophenotypes of these cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:36:10.040 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.596$ The next question is from Marcus Bosenberg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:36:13.600 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.144$ He has do you have a hypothesis as

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

00:36:16.144 --> 00:36:18.876 to how Cur CDA regulatory T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:36:18.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.320$ negatively affect anti cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:36:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.760$ immune responses and outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:36:23.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.226$ You know I think the mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00{:}36{:}26.226 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}28.999$ for these Cur CDA T cells is still

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00{:}36{:}28.999 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}30.880$ really not fully understood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:36:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.700$ The hypothesis has kind of demonstrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:36:33.700 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.247$ here on this side or our hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00{:}36{:}37.247 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39.877$ is that they're somehow impacting

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:36:39.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.220$ tumor antigen specific CDAT cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00{:}36{:}42.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}44.560$ in the tumor micro environment.

00:36:44.560 --> 00:36:47.632 I'm currently in the process of setting up

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:36:47.632 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.840$ assays to try and assess this functionally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:36:52.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.234$ but my guess would be and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:36:55.234 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.915$ also possible that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

00:36:56.915 --> 00:36:58.800 they're impacting CD4T cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:36:58.800 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.200$ which is a more direct link from

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:37:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.160$ the autoimmunity literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:37:02.160 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.342$ But we're first going to explore the

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

00:37:06.342 --> 00:37:08.714 CDA component because of this negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:37:08.714 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.554$ in fact impact that we see in tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:37:11.560 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.198$ And then the last question was are

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:37:13.198 \dashrightarrow 00:37:14.696$ these cells called regulatory based

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00{:}37{:}14.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}16.196$ on their transcriptional features.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00{:}37{:}16.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21.028$ So this cell population was as I

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:37:21.028 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.359$ mentioned described both in mice and

 $00:37:24.359 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.279$ also in human autoimmunity infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:37:27.280 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.040$ because they are able to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:37:30.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.720$ functionally kill autoreactive T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:37:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.519$ And so it's not simply just based

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

00:37:36.519 --> 00:37:37.760 off of transcriptional features,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

00:37:37.760 --> 00:37:40.392 although our data is certainly inferring

NOTE Confidence: 0.869647367142857

 $00:37:40.392 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.120$ from the transcriptional expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930382

 $00:37:45.080 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.240$ I actually have a follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.930382

 $00{:}37{:}46.240 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}> 00{:}37{:}47.240$ up question to Marcus's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930479644

 $00:37:47.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.264$ Do you think that these are positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.930479644

 $00:37:50.264 \dashrightarrow 00:37:52.580$ CDAT cells might stick and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.930479644

 $00:37:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.200$ revert them to the per negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.367189963333333

 $00:37:55.800 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.360$ being A tag even because there

NOTE Confidence: 0.72058736

 $00:37:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.568$ are antibodies that have been actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.72058736

 $00:37:59.568 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.559$ given to humans that do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893781492

 $00:38:02.000 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.400$ Yeah. So I think the NKG 2DA

 $00:38:06.400 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.084$ antibodies which impact the kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.893781492

 $00{:}38{:}10.084 \to 00{:}38{:}12.039$ of analogous Co stimulatory molecule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893781492

 $00:38:12.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.253$ not the Co inhibitory molecule have

NOTE Confidence: 0.893781492

00:38:14.253 --> 00:38:16.304 been tried and I don't think the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893781492

 $00:38:16.304 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.680$ data has been all that great for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893781492

 $00:38:18.680 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.900$ But in terms of the plasticity

NOTE Confidence: 0.893781492

 $00:38:20.900 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.456$ of this cell type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893781492

00:38:22.456 --> 00:38:24.904 I think especially based off of

NOTE Confidence: 0.893781492

00:38:24.904 --> 00:38:26.538 our the trajectory analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893781492

 $00:38:26.538 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.302$ I think that it is interesting to try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.893781492

 $00:38:29.302 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.320$ explore how plastic the cell population is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893781492

 $00{:}38{:}31.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}33.308$ It does seem like there's a branch

NOTE Confidence: 0.893781492

 $00{:}38{:}33.308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}33.876$ differentiation trajectory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893781492

 $00:38:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.773$ but we just don't understand quite yet how

NOTE Confidence: 0.893781492

 $00:38:37.773 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.639$ these cells are really being generated,

 $00:38:39.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.100$ what it under what context and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.893781492

 $00{:}38{:}44.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}45.960$ really truly demonstrate their function, just

NOTE Confidence: 0.536440836666667

 $00:38:52.160 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.558$ speak up. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536440836666667

 $00:38:53.560 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.560$ So with this model in mind, when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.536440836666667

00:38:56.560 --> 00:38:59.400 look at cohorts that are receiving IO,

NOTE Confidence: 0.536440836666667

00:38:59.400 --> 00:39:01.930 there's a relationship between force

NOTE Confidence: 0.536440836666667

 $00{:}39{:}01.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}04.712$ survival and curve positive cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.536440836666667

00:39:04.712 --> 00:39:06.798 strength compared to if you look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.536440836666667

 $00:39:06.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.120$ cohorts that aren't receiving IO.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536440836666667

 $00:39:09.120 \dashrightarrow 00:39:12.080$ Yeah. So the the P value remains about

NOTE Confidence: 0.536440836666667

 $00:39:12.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.879$ the same actually in both cohorts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536440836666667

 $00:39:14.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.370$ And I think that that that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.536440836666667

 $00:39:18.370 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.914$ a really interesting point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536440836666667

 $00:39:19.920 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.090$ But whether there's a subpopation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.536440836666667

 $00:39:23.090 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.160$ patients where this is a primary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.536440836666667

 $00{:}39{:}25.160 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}39{:}26.792$ I mean secondary resistance

00:39:26.792 --> 00:39:29.240 mechanism I think is worth exploring

NOTE Confidence: 0.6939328

 $00:39:31.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.440$ wonderful talk. The these care

NOTE Confidence: 0.76237798

 $00:39:34.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.604$ suppressor cells that Mark

NOTE Confidence: 0.76237798

 $00:39:35.604 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.509$ Davis identified a really hot

NOTE Confidence: 0.76237798

00:39:37.509 --> 00:39:39.100 issue in human immunology now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76237798

00:39:39.100 --> 00:39:41.480 But just looking at the slide again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76237798

 $00:39:41.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.915$ do you think the tumor reactive T

NOTE Confidence: 0.76237798

00:39:42.920 --> 00:39:44.474 cells may express the log in for

NOTE Confidence: 0.76237798

 $00:39:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.040$ digit CD155 and we looked at that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925939972

 $00:39:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.368$ are you talking about the, the regulatory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925939972

 $00:39:50.368 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.640$ so the cures in cells or the no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925939972

 $00:39:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.720$ well, the ones on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925939972

 $00{:}39{:}53.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}56.330$ well the the regulatory cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.925939972

00:39:56.330 --> 00:39:58.400 care positive expressed digit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925939972

 $00:39:58.400 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.720$ yes, they do. The ligand is

 $00:40:00.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.125$ CD155 and what we're learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.877962686666667

 $00:40:02.125 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.279$ about what CD155 engagement

NOTE Confidence: 0.877962686666667

 $00:40:04.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.080$ does to cancer cells as per PPG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877962686666667

 $00:40:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.616$ I'm just wondering if CD155IS

NOTE Confidence: 0.877962686666667

 $00:40:10.616 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.253$ expressed on the tumor reactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.877962686666667

 $00:40:12.253 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.000$ T cells, we looked at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888810855384615

00:40:14.640 --> 00:40:16.488 I don't, I mean I'm I'm inferring

NOTE Confidence: 0.888810855384615

00:40:16.488 --> 00:40:18.079 we haven't directly looked at that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888810855384615

00:40:18.080 --> 00:40:21.568 but I'm inferring and I'd be guessing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.888810855384615

00:40:21.568 --> 00:40:24.280 the expression if present is very low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888810855384615

00:40:24.280 --> 00:40:26.800 but I think it's definitely worth exploring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888810855384615

 $00:40:26.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.417$ I, I think the effects of anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.888810855384615

 $00:40:28.417 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.234$ tiggering anti PD one on the cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.888810855384615

 $00:40:30.234 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.579$ population for example is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.888810855384615

 $00:40:31.579 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.560$ that we can take a look at. Absolutely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753736813636364

 $00{:}40{:}35.640 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}40{:}37.025$ Another question, have you done

 $00:40:37.025 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.720$ some of the analysis in other

NOTE Confidence: 0.753736813636364

 $00:40:38.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.360$ tumor types in the Lumpsor study?

NOTE Confidence: 0.753736813636364

 $00:40:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.568$ Do you see the same new cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.753736813636364

00:40:45.568 --> 00:40:47.280 population of CEA tumor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.792758676153846

 $00:40:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.335$ So I haven't so So for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.792758676153846

 $00:40:50.335 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.358$ who aren't able to hear online,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792758676153846

 $00:40:52.360 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.788$ Doctor Cleaver asks whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.792758676153846

 $00:40:53.788 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.573$ I've also found this cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.792758676153846

 $00{:}40{:}55.573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}57.160$ population in other tumor types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792758676153846

00:40:57.160 --> 00:40:58.360 I haven't specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.792758676153846

 $00:40:58.360 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.960$ looked under this lens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792758676153846

 $00:40:59.960 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.308$ You know, one of the the reasons why I

NOTE Confidence: 0.792758676153846

 $00:41:04.308 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.520$ think maybe if this population is real,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792758676153846

 $00:41:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.508$ it may not be as well described is because

NOTE Confidence: 0.792758676153846

 $00:41:09.508 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.387$ it has a large transcriptional overlap

 $00:41:12.387 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.040$ with other cytotoxic CDAT cell populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792758676153846

 $00:41:15.040 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.190$ And so we really need to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.792758676153846

00:41:18.190 --> 00:41:20.152 carefully The enrichment cures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792758676153846

 $00:41:20.152 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.360$ for example, can be expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.792758676153846

 $00:41:23.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.360$ on just activated CDAT cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792758676153846

 $00:41:26.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.412$ And so we really need to look a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.792758676153846

 $00:41:28.412 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.360$ bit more carefully at some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.792758676153846

 $00:41:30.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.520$ other markers like it Grows or HELIOS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.454049656666667

 $00:41:36.960 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.480$ There is some more question online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.454049656666667

 $00:41:38.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.280$ So from the inside why

NOTE Confidence: 0.454049656666667

 $00:41:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.999$ cure CDAT cell products,

NOTE Confidence: 0.454049656666667

00:41:42.000 --> 00:41:45.293 can you prevent cure CDT cell product?

NOTE Confidence: 0.454049656666667

00:41:45.293 --> 00:41:48.280 I mean, I I apologize, I'm not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.454049656666667

 $00:41:48.280 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.638$ I'm not sure I fully understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.454049656666667

00:41:50.640 --> 00:41:54.366 I'm not sure if you mean AT cell product

NOTE Confidence: 0.454049656666667

00:41:54.366 --> 00:41:57.157 that's targeting cure CDAT cells,

00:41:57.160 --> 00:41:59.245 not sure if you're available

NOTE Confidence: 0.454049656666667

 $00{:}41{:}59.245 --> 00{:}42{:}00.913$ to unmute and discuss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4540496566666667

 $00{:}42{:}00.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}05.257$ But I I I do think that exploring a

NOTE Confidence: 0.454049656666667

 $00{:}42{:}05.257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}08.479$ the rapy that would target or impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.454049656666667

 $00:42:08.479 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.760$ these cells would be of interest. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687682612

 $00:42:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.040$ Thank you everyone. Thank you.