WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:01:42" NOTE recognizability:0.527

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:03.036 Real pleasure to introduce Roy Herbst.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:00:03.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.420$ Roy of course needs no

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00{:}00{:}05.420 \longrightarrow 00{:}00{:}07.800$ introduction to all of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

00:00:07.800 --> 00:00:10.419 You can see his title on the screen Moving

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:00:10.419 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.905$ from Palliation to Cure Progress in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:00:12.905 \dashrightarrow 00:00:15.440$ treatment of non small cell lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:00:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.032$ But let me just make a couple of comments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:00:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.688$ So Roy has really witnessed over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00{:}00{:}22.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.628$ course of his 25 year career or so since

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:00:28.628 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.256$ completing training of has has witnessed

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00{:}00{:}31.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33.669$ a real revolution in lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:00:33.670 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.637$ And I remember when I was a fellow

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:00:37.637 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.575$ and nobody was interested in lung

 $00:00:39.575 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.976$ cancer and there seemed to be little

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00{:}00{:}42.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44.105$ hope for progress in lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

00:00:44.110 --> 00:00:48.170 And that has really changed so very

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00{:}00{:}48.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51.300$ dramatically And as Roy has witnessed

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:00:51.300 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.343$ that he's also participated in it

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:00:54.343 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.450$ and he has been involved in the vast

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:00:58.450 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.326$ majority of clinical trials that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:01:01.326 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.490$ led to major changes in lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:01:03.490 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.770$ whether that's related to EGFR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:01:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.720$ associated lung cancer or for

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00{:}01{:}08.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}10.670$ that matter trials focused on

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00{:}01{:}10.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}13.048$ immunotherapy and other treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:01:13.050 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.726$ So great pleasure to introduce Roy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

00:01:15.730 --> 00:01:18.495 He will take us through this talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:01:18.495 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.039$ and is a great inaugural speaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:01:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.904$ Thank you, Eric.

 $00:01:22.904 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.360$ And it's great to be back here in person in

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:01:26.360 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.817$ the auditorium and happy Friday everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

00:01:28.817 --> 00:01:31.531 And what I'm going to do in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:01:31.531 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.940$ next 45 to 50 minutes is give you a

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:01:33.940 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.542$ little bit of a tour of lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

00:01:36.542 --> 00:01:39.146 So actually my journey began here

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:01:39.146 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.800$ at Yale 44 years ago and my Pecam

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:01:41.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.400$ professor's in the front row,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00{:}01{:}43.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}45.146$ Don Engleman and and it's a mazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:01:45.146 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.920$ to see you and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

 $00:01:46.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.150$ and I I actually wasn't his

NOTE Confidence: 0.74346846

00:01:48.150 --> 00:01:49.360 course like he would probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

00:01:51.760 --> 00:01:52.772 but it's you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00{:}01{:}52.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}54.037$ Yale's just a phenomenal place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:01:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.342$ So it's just so amazing for

 $00:01:55.342 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.442$ me to be to be here and I gave

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:01:57.442 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.959$ a grand rounds about 12 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:01:58.959 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.399$ ago when I first arrived.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:02:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.200$ So a little bit of progress

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:02:02.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.016$ since then and I'll show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:02:04.016 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.680$ that now my disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

00:02:07.760 --> 00:02:11.915 So we're back. This was last week at

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

00:02:14.080 --> 00:02:16.352 East Haven. We had our ASCO review and

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:02:16.352 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.997$ and a dinner for some of the faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:02:19.000 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.944$ It was just great to see so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00{:}02{:}20.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}22.558$ people there and the spirits there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

00:02:22.558 --> 00:02:24.250 We had a great day discussing

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

00:02:24.305 --> 00:02:26.078 all of our different divisions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

00:02:26.078 --> 00:02:29.685 both solid and liquid advances in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:02:29.685 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.043$ field and it's good to see so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:02:32.043 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.143$ of those being done here at Yale.

 $00:02:34.150 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.130$ And then of course we we had many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:02:37.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.112$ our fellows and actually we're we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:02:39.112 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.448$ really multidisciplinary of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:02:40.448 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.747$ we have our hospitals team was there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:02:42.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.986$ many of our fellows were there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:02:43.990 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.234$ we had surgeons there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:02:45.234 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.520$ we'd love to have our surgeons there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:02:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.038$ radiation oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:02:48.038 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.110$ One thing that I want to get across

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:02:50.163 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.913$ today is the way we're making progress

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

00:02:51.913 --> 00:02:53.560 in lung cancer and many diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:02:53.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.504$ This is in a in a multi modality

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:02:56.504 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.140$ fashion and you know the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:02:58.140 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.960$ burden of lung cancer is is great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

00:02:59.960 --> 00:03:02.200 I think most of this group is aware of that,

 $00:03:02.200 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.835$ but it's the leading cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

00:03:03.835 --> 00:03:05.143 of cancer death worldwide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

00:03:05.150 --> 00:03:07.070 You know, more, more cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

00:03:07.070 --> 00:03:08.750 probably a skin cancer diagnosed of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:08.750 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.550$ but for you know, more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

00:03:10.550 --> 00:03:11.426 more, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:11.426 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.178$ it's more breast cancer in women

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:13.178 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.827$ and prostate cancer in men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00{:}03{:}14.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.902$ But lung cancer is the number one

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:16.902 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.653$ killer with over 2 million deaths

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:18.653 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.780$ a year in the world with over

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:20.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.150 \ 200,000 \ deaths in the United case,$

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:23.150 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.800$ 200,000 new cases in the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:24.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.056$ with over 130,000 deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:26.056 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.384$ So this is the reason there's so

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:28.384 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.932$ much research and pharmaceutical

00:03:29.932 --> 00:03:31.480 development in this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:31.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.968$ 84% of lung cancer is non

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:32.968 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.960$ small cell lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00{:}03{:}33.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}35.936$ A a great effort now still with small

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:35.936 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.964$ cell lung cancer used to be that

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:37.964 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.439$ was only associated with smokers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.840$ It's still mostly with smokers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:40.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.560$ But now we know that EGFR mutated lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00{:}03{:}42.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43.988$ cancer can develop into small cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:43.988 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.658$ lung cancer and Sheng lives in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:45.658 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.242$ 2nd row sort of leads to that effort

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:47.242 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.960$ here and she'll probably give a grand round.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:49.960 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.560$ Oh yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.860$ please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46130416

 $00:03:50.860 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.360$ It is a little distracting

 $00:03:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.940$ thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:04:04.700 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.646$ and and we'll hear from Ann hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:04:06.646 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.042$ in in this series later this year Well

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:04:09.042 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.840$ when I started out you know as after

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00{:}04{:}10.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}13.390$ I I left Yale and I spent some time in

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:04:13.390 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.334$ New York at Cornell and Rockefeller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

00:04:15.340 --> 00:04:18.700 I went up to Boston and I I was working

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:04:18.788 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.804$ at Dana Farber and I I I said this last

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:04:21.804 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.250$ week at the to some of the fellows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:04:24.250 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.727$ I got the job in lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

00:04:25.727 --> 00:04:26.730 because that's all it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:04:26.730 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.170$ You know the breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:04:28.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.322$ jobs were all filled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:04:29.330 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.346$ The leukemia and lymphoma jobs actually liked

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:04:31.346 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.486$ lung cancer and was very interested in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:04:33.490 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.610$ I had a wonderful mentor,

00:04:34.610 --> 00:04:37.940 Emil Fry, also a Yale graduate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

00:04:37.940 --> 00:04:40.952 But it was pretty dismal and it's very hard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

00:04:40.952 --> 00:04:41.826 you know, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:04:41.826 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.387$ as oncologists to work in the clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00{:}04{:}43.387 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}44.977$ when you don't have tools to offer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:04:44.980 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.740$ We had tools of chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:04:46.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.609$ but this is what survival curves look

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00{:}04{:}48.609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}50.807$ like about 25 years ago for lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

00:04:50.807 --> 00:04:53.220 And you can see there's really none at at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:04:53.220 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.020$ you know, at at two years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:04:55.020 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.057$ There's very little survival at three years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:04:57.060 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.756$ almost nothing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00{:}04{:}57.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}59.844$ And progression was quite steep and

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00{:}04{:}59.844 \to 00{:}05{:}02.377$ there was all this excitement about Dosi,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

00:05:02.380 --> 00:05:05.996 Taxol, Packley, Taxol, Amcitabine,

 $00:05:05.996 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.900$ Carboplatinum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00{:}05{:}06.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}08.440$ These drugs made a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:05:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.980$ and they did improve survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:05:09.980 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.696$ but to a very small extent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:05:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.058$ A median survival is of 7 to 8 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00{:}05{:}14.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16.112$ So the question was a paradigm

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:05:16.112 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.138$ shift was needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

00:05:17.140 --> 00:05:18.245 And you know, you're you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00{:}05{:}18.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.740$ really a product of your mentors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

00:05:19.740 --> 00:05:22.053 And I was very fortunate at at Dana Farber,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:05:22.060 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.780$ Tom Fry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:05:22.780 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.300$ In the later years of his career,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:05:25.300 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.100$ I became his mentee and we we met,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:05:27.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.372$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:05:27.372 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.460$ at least two or three times a week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

00:05:28.460 --> 00:05:31.057 And he always said take your best

00:05:31.057 --> 00:05:32.977 drugs and use them early use them you

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

00:05:32.977 --> 00:05:34.696 know in the multi modality setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:05:34.696 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.700$ with surgery with radiation and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:05:36.700 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.537$ already had seen that in lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

00:05:38.537 --> 00:05:40.132 back in those days because chemo

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:05:40.132 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.309$ radiation it was a study done by

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

00:05:42.309 --> 00:05:44.627 Doctor Dillman back in the late 80s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:05:44.630 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.054$ early 90s had shown that there was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:05:47.054 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.235$ benefit for chemo radiation in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00{:}05{:}49.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}51.503$ disease and it did improve survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:05:51.510 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.750$ So what causes lung cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00{:}05{:}53.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55.374$ I could give a whole talk on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:05:55.374 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.763$ and and to bacco cessation efforts

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

00:05:56.763 --> 00:05:58.611 that we're we're doing here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:05:58.611 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.530$ with the ACR and you know we have a

 $00{:}06{:}00.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02.098$ a large to bacco grant here at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:06:02.098 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.610$ and that that's very important for

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:06:03.610 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.380$ our community cause New Haven is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:06:05.380 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.844$ community where smoking is higher than

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:06:06.844 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.796$ than in the rest of the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

00:06:08.800 --> 00:06:10.944 But as I said many of the lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00{:}06{:}10.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12.242$ cancers are non-smoking related now

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:06:12.242 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.766$ and you can see actual mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:06:13.766 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.000$ and that's the excitement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

00:06:15.000 --> 00:06:16.890 This is why so many people want to work

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:06:16.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.814$ in this field because 20 years ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:06:18.814 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.520$ each GFR mutations were identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:06:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.194$ So we actually know driver mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00{:}06{:}22.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}23.680$ so we can target those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00{:}06{:}23.680 \longrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27.856$ But there are now at least ten other

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:06:27.856 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.260$ targetable alterations and we're

 $00:06:30.260 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.672$ seeing evidence of of benefit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61711353

 $00:06:32.672 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.538$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:06:33.540 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.480$ with a broad perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00{:}06{:}34.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35.420$ I can see it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:06:35.420 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.500$ Of course, to any given patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:06:36.500 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.180$ it's still not nearly enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:06:38.180 --> 00:06:40.168 And I'm sure almost everyone here has

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:06:40.168 --> 00:06:41.780 some experience either as a physician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:06:41.780 --> 00:06:43.938 as a, as a family member, as a friend,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:06:43.938 \dashrightarrow 00:06:45.294$ with someone who's had lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:06:45.300 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.500$ And while we can see that in men

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:06:47.500 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.237$ survival death rates are coming down

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00{:}06{:}49.237 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51.316$ and in women with a little bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:06:51.316 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.708$ a of a lag smoking in women began

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00{:}06{:}53.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55.834$ later but still if you look in men

 $00:06:55.834 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.084$ the incidence is coming down a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00{:}06{:}58.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}59.562$ that's screening and smoking cessation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:06:59.562 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.978$ but the mortality is coming down even more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:07:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.139$ And in in women the same 1.2 and 3.1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:07:05.140 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.778$ So we are making a difference in these data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:07:06.780 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.490$ You know the data you get from the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:07:08.490 --> 00:07:09.896 Cancer Society is always two or three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:07:09.900 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.620$ four years old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:07:10.620 --> 00:07:11.580 So I think this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:07:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.603$ this shows some of the targeted therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00{:}07{:}13.603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}15.393$ which I'll talk about in the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:07:15.393 --> 00:07:17.082 half of the talk and the immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00{:}07{:}17.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}19.385$ benefits are are still on the horizon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:07:19.390 --> 00:07:22.630 Well, we've built an outstanding program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:07:22.630 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.866$ We've had retreats over the years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:07:23.870 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.150$ This is a retreat about 5-6 years ago.

 $00{:}07{:}26.150 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}07{:}27.410$ And I guess want to point out

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00{:}07{:}27.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28.509$ two things about this picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:07:28.510 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.046$ One actually three things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:07:30.046 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.590$ One, this is a great group

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:07:32.590 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.750$ multidisciplinary working as a team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:07:34.750 --> 00:07:36.910 There's myself that's Dan Baffa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:07:36.910 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.786$ this is David Swenson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:07:37.786 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.406$ We we held this at the Business

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:07:39.406 --> 00:07:41.205 School and and David came in and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:07:41.205 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.031$ inspired us with some of his you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:07:43.031 --> 00:07:45.235 you know go after the problem hard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:07:45.235 --> 00:07:47.706 He gave a very amazing speech.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00{:}07{:}47.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}48.882$ Of course David passed away or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:07:48.882 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.909$ in the last few years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:07:49.910 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.401$ but then I also want to point

 $00:07:51.401 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.430$ out that's Roy Decker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:07:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.962$ We had one of the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:07:54.962 --> 00:07:56.228 radiation oncologists ever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:07:56.230 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.126$ both as a clinician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:07:58.126 --> 00:08:00.558 as a person in with patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:08:00.558 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.070$ he passed away recently as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00{:}08{:}02.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04.149$ So we lost a great member of our team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:08:04.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.530$ But I just wanted to just say

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00{:}08{:}06.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08.549$ we miss you dearly Roy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:08:08.550 --> 00:08:10.989 And then you know we've continued to to meet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:08:10.990 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.468$ this is a few years later

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00{:}08{:}13.470 \longrightarrow 00{:}08{:}15.227$ and you can see it's a team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:08:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.292$ The only way we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:08:16.292 --> 00:08:17.309 make progress is as a team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:08:17.310 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.752$ as a multi modality team and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:08:18.752 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.310$ is more of a clinical meeting.

 $00:08:20.310 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.750$ I'll show you a translational

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:08:21.750 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.902$ meeting at the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:08:22.910 --> 00:08:24.860 But you can see you know it's a it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:08:24.918 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.054$ team approach to lung cancer and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:08:27.054 \dashrightarrow 00:08:29.186$ see there's Dan Lynn Tenui from pulmonary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:08:29.190 --> 00:08:29.846 Frank Getterbach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:08:29.846 --> 00:08:31.158 Sarah Goldberg who unfortunately

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:08:31.158 --> 00:08:32.470 can't be here today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:08:32.470 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.366$ but she sent me a nice note and this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00{:}08{:}35.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}38.082$ this was over at the West campus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:08:38.090 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.735$ Now the centers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:08:38.735 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.810$ you know these disease centers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:08:39.810 --> 00:08:40.842 I just say thought I'd say

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:08:40.842 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.770$ a few words about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:08:41.770 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.324$ We talk about it a great deal.

 $00:08:43.330 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.308$ That's the goal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:08:44.308 --> 00:08:45.286 Centers of excellence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

00:08:45.290 --> 00:08:47.370 multi modality centers of excellence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:08:47.370 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.578$ taking care of lung cancer throughout

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:08:49.578 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.050$ Connecticut throughout the network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:08:51.050 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.890$ You know we have I I lose count.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:08:52.890 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.462$ What is it Eric,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7823865

 $00:08:54.462 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.248$ 15 sites

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:08:55.250 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.890$ around We we are going to have experts

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:08:56.890 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.768$ at all those sites seeing lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

00:08:58.770 --> 00:08:59.970 We might not have every

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00{:}08{:}59.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}00.930$ discipline at each center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:00.930 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.066$ but we will work as a team to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

00:09:03.066 --> 00:09:05.129 coordinate and really build a big tent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:05.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.918$ bring the science to the patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.480$ The only way to continue to make progress

 $00{:}09{:}08.480 \longrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10.307$ and I hope you'll see that at the end of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:10.307 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.235$ my hour is by bringing science to the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.120$ That's the theme of today's talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.278$ And we also have a spore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:16.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.000$ So we began the spore now a God,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:18.000 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.480$ it's hard to believe almost 10 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:21.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.828$ We have three projects

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:22.828 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.270$ in the spore cyclic 15,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

00:09:24.270 --> 00:09:26.600 which is and I'll tell you a lot about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:26.600 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.616$ that's actually featured in the CCSG grant

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:28.616 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.197$ both in the DT and the immunology programs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:31.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.424$ And what you'll it's a new agent developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00{:}09{:}33.424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}35.869$ here at at Yale and liping Shen's lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00{:}09{:}35.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}37.466$ The mechanism based approach is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:37.466 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.011$ targeting EGFR are very relevant to

00:09:39.011 --> 00:09:40.731 the first part of my talk and brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:40.786 \dashrightarrow 00:09:42.669$ metastases which I'll talk about as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:42.670 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.362$ And you can see we have Coors and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:44.362 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.865$ David and David's in the front row.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:45.870 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.066$ We have wonderful bio statistical core,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:48.070 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.105$ we have developmental programs and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:50.105 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.852$ we we were first funded in 2015.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:52.852 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.378$ So this effort began on March 1st, 2011.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:56.378 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.410$ When I arrived here we had a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:09:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.035$ of submissions just for anyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00{:}10{:}00.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}01.280$ who is getting depressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:10:01.280 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.140$ It took three submissions to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:10:03.140 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.080$ this score and so it's not easy

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:10:05.080 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.988$ but it's it's been great and you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:10:06.988 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.850$ gonna see and why in a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:10:08.850 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.622$ And it was renewed in 2020 a lot more

00:10:11.622 --> 00:10:13.520 easily and now we're gonna renew it

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

00:10:13.520 --> 00:10:15.297 again because I've taken on a new

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:10:15.297 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.837$ MPI and Doctor Paletti who's in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

00:10:16.894 --> 00:10:18.539 front row and 2nd row and working

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:10:18.539 \dashrightarrow 00:10:20.570$ with Lee Ping who remains the Co Pi.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00{:}10{:}20.570 \to 00{:}10{:}22.166$ We're we're going back in in January.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:10:22.170 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.778$ So we we wanted to wait till the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

00:10:23.778 --> 00:10:25.517 core grant went in so we weren't

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00{:}10{:}25.517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}26.802$ competing for the same resources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00{:}10{:}26.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}28.798$ Although there there is I guess a

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00{:}10{:}28.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30.612$ site visit coming up too and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:10:30.612 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.579$ beautiful thing about the Spore is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00{:}10{:}32.579 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34.516$ have developmental projects over 50 of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:10:34.516 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.208$ them over the years and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:10:37.208 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.928$ these are career enhancement program,

 $00:10:38.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.210$ you know young investigators

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:10:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.810$ who aren't working lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:10:41.810 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.476$ we're getting them involved in lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:10:43.476 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.687$ and this is a developmental research program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:10:45.690 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.650$ We could have 10 projects on the SPORE.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

00:10:47.650 --> 00:10:49.450 The problem is you can really only have

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:10:49.450 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.814$ three projects on these grants because

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

00:10:50.814 --> 00:10:52.410 the NCI continues to cut the funds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00{:}10{:}52.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54.144$ We have developmental funds and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:10:54.144 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.369$ and donor funds we used to enhance it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:10:56.370 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.446$ But look at this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:10:57.446 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.522$ all the different departments

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:10:58.522 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.540$ that are involved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

00:10:59.540 --> 00:11:00.760 it's building a community of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:11:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.980$ lung cancer here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:11:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.180$ And I think they're about 17 or ones.

 $00:11:06.180 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.940$ And this is the team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

00:11:06.940 --> 00:11:07.394 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

00:11:07.394 --> 00:11:09.500 I just want to point out Anna Esteppe here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:11:09.500 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.040$ who now Ed Cafton,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00{:}11{:}11.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12.965$ actually Julie Boyer many years

NOTE Confidence: 0.6782555

 $00:11:12.965 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.500$ ago was our initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:11:14.500 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.512$ administrative leader and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:11:15.512 --> 00:11:16.777 Ed Cafton for many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:11:16.780 --> 00:11:18.634 Now of course he's working closely

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00{:}11{:}18.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}20.767$ on the CCSG but there's Anna

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:11:20.767 --> 00:11:22.170 Esteppe are now staff who who

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:11:22.170 --> 00:11:23.565 just is doing a phenomenal job and

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00{:}11{:}23.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}24.939$ actually made this slide for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00{:}11{:}24.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}26.098$ We probably need a second slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:11:26.100 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.524$ These are all the people that are working

00:11:27.524 --> 00:11:29.178 in the community of lung Cancer Research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:11:29.180 --> 00:11:30.762 And then I just want to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:11:30.762 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.180$ a little shout out to Katie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:11:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.935$ So we had a little we went to New

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:11:33.935 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.627$ York last week and maybe two weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:11:35.627 --> 00:11:37.535 ago now and Katie was honored by

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00{:}11{:}37.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39.020$ the Lung Cancer Research Foundation

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:11:39.020 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.350$ and see the multi modality in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:11:41.350 --> 00:11:43.575 Shen Liu of the Sheriff Pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:11:43.575 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.700$ Valentina from genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:11:44.700 --> 00:11:47.458 Some of us really, we're a community

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:11:47.458 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.270$ that's tackling this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00{:}11{:}49.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}50.306$ So now let's do a little science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:11:50.310 --> 00:11:51.468 I've already used 10 minutes up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:11:51.470 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.507$ but you know, I'm always taking pictures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:11:53.510 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.748$ You see why.

00:11:55.750 --> 00:11:57.388 So So what about targeted therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:11:57.390 --> 00:11:59.110 I'm going to tell you about targeted therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:11:59.110 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.334$ immunotherapy in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:12:00.334 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.702$ And I, I might skip through

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00{:}12{:}01.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}02.830$ some slides if it's going along.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:12:02.830 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.054$ So we have time for questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:12:04.054 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.190$ because you're here in person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:12:05.190 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.014$ We should be interactive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:12:07.014 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.470$ Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00{:}12{:}07.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}11.210$ I began when I when I I finished

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:12:11.210 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.430$ my my work at Dana Farber.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:12:13.430 --> 00:12:13.608 I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:12:13.608 --> 00:12:13.786 I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00{:}12{:}13.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15.210$ I went to MD Anderson and I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:12:15.260 --> 00:12:16.844 telling one of the fellows yesterday

 $00:12:16.844 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.389$ when I was meeting with her,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:12:18.390 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.625$ it's all about the mentors

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:12:19.625 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.310$ you have and I had worked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:12:21.310 --> 00:12:22.150 I had been at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:12:22.150 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.542$ I had worked in Kim Darnell's

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:12:23.542 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.470$ lab at at Rockefeller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00{:}12{:}24.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25.922$ I was very interested in signal

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:12:25.922 --> 00:12:27.211 transduction and I was very

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00{:}12{:}27.211 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28.825$ interested in EGFR and EGFR receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:12:28.830 --> 00:12:30.937 And just around that time the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00{:}12{:}30.937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32.221$ small molecules and antibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:12:32.221 --> 00:12:33.836 had been developed against EGFR

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00{:}12{:}33.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}35.824$ and we knew that in epithelial

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:12:35.824 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.424$ tumors such as lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:12:37.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.030$ EGFR was up regulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:12:39.030 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.030$ So I was very fortunate.

00:12:41.030 --> 00:12:41.640 Juan Kihan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00{:}12{:}41.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}43.775$ who is my mentor and who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:12:43.775 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.734$ recruited me to MD Anderson brought me

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:12:45.734 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.094$ upstairs to the president's office.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:12:47.094 --> 00:12:47.638 John Mendelson,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:12:47.638 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.270$ who had worked in the e.g.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:12:49.270 --> 00:12:51.230 Fr field and these new molecules were

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:12:51.230 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.845$ coming through and they they offered

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:12:52.845 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.830$ me the project and I just said sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:12:54.830 --> 00:12:55.445 And I I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:12:55.445 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.675$ I don't think I realized how

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:12:56.675 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.907$ good it was at that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00{:}12{:}57.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59.254$ I knew that it was a good science

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:12:59.254 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.661$ and the science was evolving and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:13:00.661 --> 00:13:02.390 then we started to do clinical trials.

 $00:13:02.390 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.334$ But also we worked in the lab to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00{:}13{:}04.334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06.191$ to identify biomarkers and I went

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:13:06.191 --> 00:13:08.088 to start my first clinical trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:13:08.088 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.494$ and a drug called ZD 1839 and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:13:10.494 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.570$ investigator meeting was in Palm Beach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:13:12.570 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.518$ FL which is nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00{:}13{:}13.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15.222$ My parents live there and then I

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:13:15.222 --> 00:13:16.846 go to the hotel and who's sitting

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:13:16.846 --> 00:13:18.249 across from me but Pat Larusso

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:13:18.250 --> 00:13:21.081 that's when I met Pat in 1997 and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:13:21.081 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.580$ started and we were the Co leaders

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

00:13:23.661 --> 00:13:25.942 of this first trial of ZD 1839,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00{:}13{:}25.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27.372$ which became known as confit inib

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00{:}13{:}27.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}29.208$ and some might know it as Aressa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35788172

 $00:13:29.210 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.488$ And we started using this drug,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:13:30.490 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.560$ an oral agent against patients with

 $00:13:32.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.654$ lung cancer, with what we would

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:13:34.654 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.058$ call broncholoviral lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:13:36.060 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.734$ And in one of 10 patients we saw this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:13:37.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.712$ this clearing unheard of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00{:}13{:}38.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}40.700$ You saw the survival curves I showed you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:13:40.700 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.373$ And these would be patients who could

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:13:42.373 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.160$ hardly walk into the clinic and then a

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00{:}13{:}44.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}45.979$ week or two later they'd be feeling great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:13:45.980 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.564$ We didn't know at that time

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

00:13:47.564 --> 00:13:48.620 what the biomarkers were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00{:}13{:}48.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}50.460$ We thought it was easy of our expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:13:50.460 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.468$ It it wasn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

00:13:51.468 --> 00:13:53.488 Of course, mutations were found after

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

00:13:53.488 --> 00:13:55.060 about 1002 thousand patients were

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:13:55.060 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.740$ treated and and people looked back.

 $00:13:56.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.259$ I'll show you that in a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:13:58.260 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.780$ We we knew that it was women were

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:13:59.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.060$ more likely to respond than men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:01.060 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.500$ but it was really people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:02.500 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.676$ had smoked less and smoking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

00:14:03.676 --> 00:14:04.816 You know, if you smoke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:04.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.720$ you're more likely to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00{:}14{:}05.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06.620$ other mutations like K Ras.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00{:}14{:}06.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}08.576$ And the never smokers did well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:08.580 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.735$ we did a lot of skin biopsies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:09.740 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.049$ That's that's when I first met Pat

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:11.049 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.464$ because we were talking at the meeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00{:}14{:}12.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}13.879$ about doing skin biopsies and I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

00:14:13.879 --> 00:14:15.292 at MD Anderson at the time and I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187 00:14:15.300 --> 00:14:15.484 oh, NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

00:14:15.484 --> 00:14:16.772 I need to bring in my dermatologist

 $00:14:16.772 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.188$ and we need to do a contract and

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00{:}14{:}18.188 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}14{:}19.588$ Pat just gets up and says I do

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:19.588 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.747$ them myself and then sew them up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:20.747 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.220$ And I was a little scared of her at the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:22.220 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.296$ It was like pretty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:23.296 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.215$ She was pretty intimidating and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

00:14:25.215 --> 00:14:27.215 now you know why she's been so successful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.340$ She does it herself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:29.340 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.152$ Well, then of course skipping a

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00{:}14{:}31.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}32.868$ little ahead because it's only an

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

00:14:32.868 --> 00:14:34.324 hour talk about four years later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:34.324 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.077$ five years later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

00:14:35.080 --> 00:14:36.190 And John Mendelson and I used

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00{:}14{:}36.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}37.160$ to always talk about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:37.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.080$ How can we keep it?

00:14:38.080 --> 00:14:39.718 Actually it was eight years later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.692$ the mutations were discovered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:40.692 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.560$ How can we do this more quickly?

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00{:}14{:}42.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43.904$ That's why with all the work we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

00:14:43.904 --> 00:14:45.040 doing with pathology and biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.678$ we've got to be even quicker now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.835$ But back then it took a while.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

00:14:47.840 --> 00:14:50.000 Sequencing techniques were still developing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00{:}14{:}50.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}51.120$ You know, it wasn't long before this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

00:14:51.120 --> 00:14:52.646 We're just doing the Max and Gilbert

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:52.646 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.950$ sequencing and reading the gels, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

00:14:53.950 --> 00:14:54.490 So but,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:54.490 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.840$ but a couple of centers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:55.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.272$ Boston and New York took the samples from

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:14:58.272 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.349$ patients who were getting these drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00{:}15{:}00.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02.226$ These drugs went to what we call

 $00:15:02.226 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.395$ an extended access trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00{:}15{:}03.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05.777$ People could get it off label while

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:15:05.777 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.086$ that while we were waiting for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

00:15:07.086 --> 00:15:08.578 drugs to be approved and many patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:15:08.578 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.068$ were treated and of course it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

00:15:10.068 --> 00:15:11.190 found that in the EGFR receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:15:11.190 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.226$ which of course would be a dimer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:15:12.230 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.695$ This is a simplification in

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00{:}15{:}13.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}14.867$ the tyrosine kinase domain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:15:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.000$ There were specific mutations mostly at

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:15:17.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.437$ that time discovered in exxons 19 and 21.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:15:19.440 \dashrightarrow 00:15:21.078$ Now we see them in Exxon 20 as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:15:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.922$ And these mutations of course activated

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:15:22.922 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.838$ and caused this to be a driver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

00:15:24.840 --> 00:15:26.574 And then these small molecules bound

00:15:26.574 --> 00:15:28.797 into the ATP binding site and we're very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:15:28.800 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.346$ very potent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:15:29.346 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.438$ They had some rash,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:15:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.292$ they had some diarrhea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27635187

 $00:15:31.292 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.357$ but they were very potent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

00:15:32.360 --> 00:15:33.920 And that led to this is actually being

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00{:}15{:}33.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35.799$ in a place like MD Anderson patients just

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:15:35.799 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.037$ flowed in and we had a big phase one clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00{:}15{:}38.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40.101$ I think we must have treated a couple 100

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:15:40.101 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.887$ patients in the first two or three years and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:15:41.887 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.920$ I LED the trial and that's Cicely Harris.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:15:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.635$ She was one of the first patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

00:15:45.640 --> 00:15:46.949 And, you know, she was written up

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:15:46.949 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.315$ in the Wall Street Journal because

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:15:48.315 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.839$ the idea was we probably didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:15:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.836$ We didn't cure her lung cancer.

 $00:15:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.040$ She only lived for nine years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00{:}15{:}52.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}53.640$ but we prolonged her survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:15:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.240$ with good quality of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

00:15:55.240 --> 00:15:56.800 And that's why Tara Parker Pope,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:15:56.800 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.795$ you know, wrote this article about her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:15:58.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.910$ It's like insulin for diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:16:00.910 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.492$ or or hypertensive medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:16:02.492 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.956$ But that's the problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00{:}16{:}03.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05.304$ And it continues to be the problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00{:}16{:}05.304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}06.359$ with EGFR mutated lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:16:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.280$ I've been doing this for 25 plus years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:16:08.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.655$ No one's unfortunately in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00{:}16{:}09.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10.755$ advanced stage ever cured.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

00:16:10.760 --> 00:16:11.756 That's why the work that Katie

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:16:11.756 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.680$ and her lab are doing.

00:16:12.680 --> 00:16:14.038 Mark Lemon, I'll show you the project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00{:}16{:}14.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}16.021$ We have to find new agents because

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:16:16.021 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.068$ we to always stay one step ahead

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

00:16:18.068 --> 00:16:19.940 of the cancer and this just shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:16:19.940 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.470$ that these are the first generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00{:}16{:}21.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22.917$ drugs gafitinib and orlatinib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:16:22.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.720$ This was known as OSI 774.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:16:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.112$ For those those interested,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

00:16:26.112 --> 00:16:28.200 this was actually a Pfizer drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:16:28.265 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.920$ and Pfizer when they merged,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

00:16:29.920 --> 00:16:31.600 when they took over the Pharmacia drug,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:16:31.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.944$ they they went with the Pharmacia

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:16:32.944 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.836$ product and made a bit of a mistake

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:16:34.836 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.021$ because this drugs actually became

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

00:16:36.021 --> 00:16:37.600 the the number one ETFR inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:16:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.400$ These are, these are reversible inhibitors.

 $00:16:39.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.410$ They're non specific for mutated cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00{:}16{:}41.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}44.168$ that get both wild type and mutated cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

00:16:44.170 --> 00:16:45.689 Then there was a second generation drug,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

00:16:45.690 --> 00:16:46.704 a fat nib,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:16:46.704 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.530$ which also blocked her two and her four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

00:16:49.530 --> 00:16:51.210 You add more TKI activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:16:51.210 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.562$ you get more toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:16:52.562 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.050$ We actually did a big trial of

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

00:16:55.050 --> 00:16:56.970 this with cetuximab showed some

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:16:56.970 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.750$ increased activity but not enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

00:16:58.750 --> 00:17:00.731 And then of course the third

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:17:00.731 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.948$ generation drug asimertinib which

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

00:17:01.948 --> 00:17:03.528 is an irreversible inhibitor which

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:17:03.528 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.411$ has good brain penetration and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:17:05.411 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.826$ easier for our mutation specific.

 $00:17:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.147$ So they'll there's less rash and diarrhea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00{:}17{:}09.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}11.046$ It can be given to patients for longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

00:17:11.046 --> 00:17:12.326 periods of time without toxicity

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:17:12.326 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.376$ and that's how it we were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:17:14.376 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.028$ move this drug to the earlier stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:17:16.030 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.955$ And this is just an example and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:17:17.955 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.214$ again I'm just giving you a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:17:19.214 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.870$ of an overview today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:17:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.952$ but the most common mutation for

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

00:17:21.952 --> 00:17:24.360 resistance is known as T790M And the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00{:}17{:}24.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.400$ patients that have that this drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00{:}17{:}26.400 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}17{:}28.355$ lasimertinib you know was first studied

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:17:28.355 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.970$ to target that resistance mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:17:30.026 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.721$ which is about 50% of the resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:17:31.721 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.450$ and you can see the activity that

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

00:17:33.499 --> 00:17:35.024 was seen there over 6070% response.

 $00:17:35.024 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.534$ And this quickly became the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00{:}17{:}36.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}38.270$ front line agent again bring your

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:17:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.812$ best drugs to the front line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:17:39.820 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.899$ But again few if any are cured.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:17:41.900 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.716$ That's the problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:17:42.716 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.620$ That's what I'm gonna talk to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:17:44.677 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.765$ about now I was at MD Anderson

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

00:17:46.765 --> 00:17:48.960 and you know when you you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.5602203

 $00:17:48.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.500$ here we put about 100

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:17:50.579 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.740$ and 10120 patients on lung trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:17:52.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.630$ in a Goodyear there we're putting

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:17:54.630 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.310$ with three or 400 patients on trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00{:}17{:}56.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}57.920$ But what I noticed I was leading

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00{:}17{:}57.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59.707$ the group lung group there is we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:17:59.707 --> 00:18:01.267 doing it on 20 different trials.

 $00:18:01.270 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.664$ So we we said can we do one trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:18:03.670 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.274$ and and use biomarkers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:18:06.274 --> 00:18:08.950 decide who should get which drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:18:08.950 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.525$ and wasn't easy 'cause you know if

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:18:10.525 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.463$ you have a group that's 20 people

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:18:12.463 --> 00:18:14.269 everyone has their own favorite drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:18:14.270 --> 00:18:16.252 It it really is a a sociology project

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:18:16.252 --> 00:18:18.906 and we didn't have a sociology department

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:18:18.906 --> 00:18:21.354 there like we have here or or psychology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:18:21.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.096$ But what we did is we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00{:}18{:}23.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24.704$ convinced the team that it would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:18:24.704 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.452$ better for all of us to work together

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:18:26.452 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.356$ on one trial and we used a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:18:28.360 --> 00:18:30.208 bit of push and and and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:18:30.208 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.231$ there was a pull though because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:18:32.231 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.343$ science was exciting and at that time

 $00:18:34.343 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.275$ and and David Meta who's here now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:18:36.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.192$ I work with him at that time we

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:18:38.192 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.157$ we we we could get biopsies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:18:40.160 --> 00:18:44.130 Core biopsies, prior to 2004,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:18:44.130 --> 00:18:45.630 2005, most lung cancer biopsies

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:18:45.630 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.830$ were fine needle aspirations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:18:46.830 --> 00:18:48.430 You had a little bit of few cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:18:48.430 --> 00:18:49.270 maybe you made a cell block,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:18:49.270 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.555$ but you didn't have really

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:18:50.555 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.583$ enough tissue for sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:18:51.590 --> 00:18:52.674 But now that sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:18:52.674 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.029$ was coming to to bear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:18:54.030 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.343$ We said can we do a trial called Battle

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:18:56.350 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.628$ and we worked with a pathologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:18:57.630 --> 00:19:00.856 Ignacio Astuba Jack Lee,

00:19:00.856 --> 00:19:01.762 a biostatistician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:01.762 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.152$ Ed Kim now at City of Hope and

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:19:04.152 --> 00:19:05.589 with our mentor Wang Ki Han.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:05.590 \dashrightarrow 00:19:08.187$ We developed a trial we called Battle

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:08.190 \dashrightarrow 00:19:10.045$ and what we called it is biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00{:}19{:}10.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11.242$ integrated approach of targeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:11.242 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.987$ therapy for lung cancer elimination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:12.990 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.678$ So what we did is we had four

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:19:14.678 --> 00:19:16.069 or five different drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:16.070 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.942$ We did a biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00{:}19{:}16.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}18.528$ We got the result within 14 days

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:18.528 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.312$ and then we used that result to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:19:20.312 --> 00:19:22.030 this patient has an EGFR mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:22.030 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.310$ they should go on herlatinib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:19:23.310 --> 00:19:24.990 This patient has a VEGF up regulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:24.990 \dashrightarrow 00:19:26.873$ they should go on VET detonib and

 $00:19:26.873 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.898$ I actually think it's and we used

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00{:}19{:}28.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30.478$ an adaptive statistical design and

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:30.478 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.429$ I've talked about that here before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:32.430 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.230$ The results did pan out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515 00:19:34.230 --> 00:19:34.601 We, NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:34.601 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.198$ we found new biomarkers for VEGF inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:19:37.200 --> 00:19:39.760 The EGFR mutation came out of the story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:19:39.760 --> 00:19:40.993 Now of course you say we knew that already,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.777$ but we didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:41.777 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.590$ This was before the mutation was fully

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:43.648 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.412$ validated and we showed that core

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:45.412 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.079$ biopsies were feasible and and safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.114$ And this is about when I came to Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:49.120 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.996$ So when I came to Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.476$ I said let's let's do a battle trial here.

 $00:19:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.894$ And David RIM, my friend in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:19:52.894 --> 00:19:54.360 front row and that's Jeff Sklar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:54.360 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.790$ I miss good old Jeff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:19:55.790 --> 00:19:56.136 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:56.136 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.734$ he used to always be in the front row

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00{:}19{:}57.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}59.086$ at the grand rounds he'd be here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:19:59.086 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.627$ I I said can we do a core biopsies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:20:00.630 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.673$ And they told me we had one

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:20:01.673 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.588$ table where we could do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

00:20:02.590 --> 00:20:04.510 You know, there's no, no capacity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27161515

 $00:20:04.510 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.150$ I was saying I should go back home and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:20:07.150 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.088$ that that was Rocco who was

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:20:09.088 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.989$ working with us from the CTO.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

00:20:10.990 --> 00:20:12.262 And that's Julie Boyer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

00:20:12.262 --> 00:20:14.710 that's Emily who now works as an APRN.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:20:14.710 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.390$ She was a researcher nurse at the time.

 $00:20:16.390 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.741$ We put a little team together and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:20:17.741 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.335$ we ended up putting about 40 or 50

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:20:19.335 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.910$ patients on the on the battle trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:20:20.910 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.686$ Now it wasn't as many as a 300

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00{:}20{:}22.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}24.284$ MD Anderson but we started doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

00:20:24.284 --> 00:20:25.934 a tissue based approach and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

00:20:25.987 --> 00:20:27.667 the team started to work together

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00{:}20{:}27.667 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}29.573$ and and that that's how that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:20:29.573 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.400$ we were doing that and that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:20:31.459 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.731$ actually funded by an RO one that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00{:}20{:}33.731 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35.669$ brought with me from from Anderson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00{:}20{:}35.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}37.389$ But moving to the what I want to tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00{:}20{:}37.389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}38.932$ you about it in my first story is

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:20:38.932 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.708$ how can we do better in lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:20:40.710 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.131$ We're not going to do better by

00:20:42.131 --> 00:20:43.055 using these targeted therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

00:20:43.055 --> 00:20:44.147 just in advanced disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

00:20:44.150 --> 00:20:45.837 We have to find the disease earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00{:}20{:}45.837 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}47.231$ and we're finding disease early

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:20:47.231 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.091$ because of screening and because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

00:20:49.091 --> 00:20:50.649 smoking prevention and at the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

00:20:50.649 --> 00:20:51.987 that someone comes in for smoking

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:20:51.990 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.710$ prevention that's a teachable moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00{:}20{:}53.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}55.152$ But we know that even in lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:20:55.152 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.647$ cancer when you find it early you

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00{:}20{:}56.647 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}20{:}58.172$ know and so often they find it

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00{:}20{:}58.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}59.588$ in the emergency room these days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:20:59.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.610$ right they're doing a cardiac

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:21:00.610 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.630$ scan or some other scan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

00:21:01.630 --> 00:21:03.310 Someone has a small nodule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00{:}21{:}03.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}04.960$ the five year old survival even

 $00:21:04.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.052$ even there is only 60 to 74% that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00{:}21{:}07.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}08.707$ how metastatic lung cancer is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

00:21:08.710 --> 00:21:11.230 And if it's stage 2 with a few other nodes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:21:11.230 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.718$ 47 to 55% and it happens to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

00:21:13.718 --> 00:21:16.190 stage 3 with N2 lymph nodes or

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

00:21:16.190 --> 00:21:18.470 stage 3 B 38% five year survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:21:18.470 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.186$ So even early disease even with chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:21:21.186 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.230$ is not as curable as we would like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:21:24.230 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.278$ So if someone has an EGFR mutation which

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:21:26.278 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.272$ are about 1015% of the patients in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

00:21:28.272 --> 00:21:31.160 Western world and as many as 40% in the East,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:21:31.160 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.590$ Asia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00{:}21{:}31.590 --> 00{:}21{:}32.020 \ \mathrm{China},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:21:32.020 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.315$ wouldn't it be nice if we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:21:33.315 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.670$ and we know that the percentage

 $00:21:34.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.170$ of mutations are about the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00{:}21{:}36.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37.459$ across the spectrum of stages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

00:21:37.460 --> 00:21:38.930 Wouldn't it be nice if we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:21:38.930 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.460$ give the EGFR inhibitor earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:21:40.460 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.948$ So that's that we we sat down about

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:21:42.948 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.167$ 10 years ago now a group of us

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00{:}21{:}45.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}47.472$ and I had a colleague Masa Hara

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:21:47.472 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.262$ Suboi from Japan and Ylan Wu from

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00{:}21{:}50.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52.054$ China working with Astra Zeneca.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:21:52.060 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.776$ We said let's let's design this

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00{:}21{:}53.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55.500$ trial now and they were very

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

00:21:55.500 --> 00:21:56.940 proactive and and they said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:21:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.166$ yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:21:57.166 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.974$ let's do it because they we knew an

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:21:58.974 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.794$ active and trial would take a long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:22:00.800 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.920$ So that's the Adura trial and the idea

 $00:22:02.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.334$ was to take patients who had been

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00{:}22{:}05.334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}07.159$ completely resected for lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:22:07.160 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.680$ and you can see the eligibility here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:22:09.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.624$ And then we stratified them by

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

00:22:11.624 --> 00:22:13.360 their their stage 1B2 or 3A,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

00:22:13.360 --> 00:22:15.280 they all had R0 resections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:22:15.280 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.170$ meaning all the tumor was removed

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:22:17.170 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.115$ with clean margins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

00:22:18.120 --> 00:22:19.770 We only took the two most

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

00:22:19.770 --> 00:22:20.595 canonical EGFR mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:22:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.720$ 19 and 21 and we we did stratify by race.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80064046

 $00:22:23.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.435$ About 2/3 of these patients were Asia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:22:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.372$ You can imagine it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:22:26.372 --> 00:22:28.159 There were more of these mutations in Asia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:22:28.160 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.218$ so more patients went on there and

 $00:22:30.218 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.646$ then the patients were randomized to

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:22:31.646 --> 00:22:32.896 firstly they could get chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:22:32.896 --> 00:22:34.378 if if it was deemed appropriate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:22:34.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.717$ Most of this takes two and three

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:22:35.717 --> 00:22:36.728 lung cancers, get chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:22:36.728 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.298$ platinum based chemotherapy and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:22:38.298 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.317$ about a 5 to 6% improvement in survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:22:40.317 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.620$ Not a lot but it does improve survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:22:42.620 --> 00:22:44.996 I I would use it because it's something but

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:22:44.996 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.948$ then we randomized to either acimertinib

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:22:46.948 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.458$ at 80 milligrams once a day or placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:22:49.460 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.245$ I'm often criticized how could you do

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:22:51.245 --> 00:22:52.560 a placebo, but there were no data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:22:52.560 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.121$ There were plenty of trials before this

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:22:54.121 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.820$ that had tried other EKFR inhibitors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:22:55.820 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.640$ looked in this setting and nothing worked.

 $00:22:57.640 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.576$ They're all too toxic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:22:58.576 --> 00:23:00.760 But we figured this drug was brain penetrant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:23:00.760 --> 00:23:02.640 it was EKFR mutation specific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:23:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.912$ it could be used and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:23:03.912 --> 00:23:04.760 would be safely administered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:23:04.760 --> 00:23:06.545 So we used OSTEO Mertini versus placebo

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:23:06.545 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.119$ and we treated for three years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:23:08.120 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.440$ But the primary endpoint of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:23:09.440 --> 00:23:10.720 trial was disease free survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:23:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.491$ no disease recurring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:23:11.491 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.033$ Remember they started with no disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:23:13.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.200$ So we're seeing if anything recurs and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00{:}23{:}15.200 \to 00{:}23{:}17.516$ trial was powered for a hazard ratio of .7,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00{:}23{:}17.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}19.552$ meaning a 30% improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:23:19.552 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.210$ So about I guess it's almost four

00:23:22.210 --> 00:23:24.402 years ago now in April there was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:23:24.402 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.690$ safety review of the trial going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:23:25.690 --> 00:23:27.322 We no efficacy but the cure

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:23:27.322 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.410$ of that safety committee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:23:28.410 --> 00:23:29.446 I don't know if anyone here has

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:23:29.446 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.568$ ever been on a safety committee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:23:30.570 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.250$ He said something's wrong here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:23:32.250 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.730$ It looks like one of the groups is

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00{:}23{:}33.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}34.981$ doing better than the other and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:23:34.981 --> 00:23:36.026 normally it's the control group

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00{:}23{:}36.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37.556$ is doing better and they stop the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:23:37.556 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.772$ trial but they actually looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:23:38.772 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.039$ it and said the treatment group

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:23:40.039 --> 00:23:41.050 is doing so much better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:23:41.050 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.570$ it's unethical to keep the trial going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603 00:23:43.570 --> 00:23:43.970 So,

 $00:23:43.970 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.534$ so we actually got a call it was in April.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:23:47.534 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.850$ We we looked at the data and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:23:49.850 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.845$ actually the hazard ratio I'll show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:23:51.845 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.145$ in a moment was so good that you'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:23:54.145 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.359$ you'll see where things went after that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:23:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.356$ So this is what we saw.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:23:57.360 --> 00:23:59.957 So this was depending on your religion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:23:59.960 --> 00:24:01.196 it was Good Friday and Passover,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:24:01.200 --> 00:24:02.680 I I'll go with Passover,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:24:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.720$ but you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:24:03.720 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.475$ here it was in in that year the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00{:}24{:}05.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}07.101$ stakes 1B and 3A patients here are

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00{:}24{:}07.101 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08.574$ patients who got the acid mertinib

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:24:08.574 --> 00:24:10.092 in the adjuvant setting and here

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:24:10.092 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.220$ is the control and the hazard ratio

 $00:24:12.220 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.350$ was .2 or an 80% improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:24:14.350 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.900$ So that that was phenomenal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:24:16.900 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.668$ better than expected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:24:17.668 --> 00:24:18.180 Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:24:18.180 --> 00:24:19.615 you would expect that this would work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:24:19.620 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.363$ but with this sort of separation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:24:21.363 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.181$ this sort of result and it actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:24:23.181 --> 00:24:24.991 made a plenary talk at ASCO that

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00{:}24{:}24.991 \longrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26.685$ year and then we've updated it

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:24:26.685 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.857$ just earlier this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:24:27.860 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.799$ This is the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:24:28.799 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.896$ this is actually the review at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:24:30.896 --> 00:24:32.630 time when it would have normally

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:24:32.697 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.988$ been been analyzed and it's still

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

 $00:24:34.988 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.504$.27 or 73% improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5931603

00:24:36.504 --> 00:24:39.240 So using a drug early keeps the disease

00:24:39.303 --> 00:24:41.560 from recurring. Now where do you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

00:24:41.560 --> 00:24:43.580 the disease is kept from recurring from?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

00:24:43.580 --> 00:24:46.012 Well, the first, I guess we'll show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

00:24:46.012 --> 00:24:49.800 that all parameters benefited sex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:24:49.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.452$ age, whether or not the patient was

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

00:24:51.452 --> 00:24:53.896 a prior smoker, Asian or non Asian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:24:53.896 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.660$ all three stages, both mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

00:24:55.660 --> 00:24:57.960 So you always do better with XN 19 deletion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:24:57.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.769$ it's a loss of it's a deletion versus the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:24:59.769 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.299$ point mutation which can revert a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:01.299 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.283$ easier and you can see whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:03.283 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.638$ the patient got adjuvant chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00{:}25{:}04.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.954$ When you look at a forest pot like that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:05.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.318$ for those who aren't used to it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:07.320 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.440$ anything to the left of 1 is good.

00:25:10.440 --> 00:25:13.223 And then if you look what happened is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00{:}25{:}13.223 \to 00{:}25{:}15.904$ the patients who got out to Mercenib,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

00:25:15.910 --> 00:25:17.506 you can see actually let's start here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

00:25:17.510 --> 00:25:19.647 here the patients got placebo 46%

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:19.647 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.241$ who recurred.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:20.241 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.320$ You can see that many of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:22.384 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.869$ are distant recurrences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:23.870 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.340$ Whereas in the small number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00{:}25{:}25.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}27.047$ patients in the early data who who

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:27.047 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.403$ who recurred on the ASA emergent

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:28.403 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.066$ of on the treatment job drug only

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:30.066 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.640$ about half as many were distant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

00:25:31.640 --> 00:25:33.265 The drug is keeping patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00{:}25{:}33.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}34.870$ from getting distant metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.510$ That's what causes patients to

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:36.510 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.150$ die metastases to other organs,

 $00:25:38.150 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.082$ brain, liver and bone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:39.082 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.480$ And actually we looked at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:40.529 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.849$ and this is pretty phenomenal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:41.850 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.264$ This is looking at the brain as

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:43.264 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.529$ the first site of recurrence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:44.530 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.570$ which is a major issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

00:25:45.570 --> 00:25:47.604 If if you ask a patient with lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

00:25:47.610 --> 00:25:48.842 he or she will tell you I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:48.842 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.650$ worried about my brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:49.650 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.404$ We just had AEAB for our spore last Monday.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

00:25:52.410 --> 00:25:53.450 That's exactly what our

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00{:}25{:}53.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54.490$ patient advocate told us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:54.490 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.140$ But you can see here's patients adjuvant

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:57.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.790$ disease who got last Emergenib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:25:58.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.350$ Here's the control group hazard

 $00:26:00.350 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.230$ ratio for recurrence in the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

00:26:02.230 --> 00:26:04.966 .24 meaning a 76% decrease in in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:26:04.966 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.950$ first recurrence being in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:26:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.870$ So it's keeping the tumor from the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:26:08.870 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.823$ We'll we'll do this forever probably not

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

00:26:10.823 --> 00:26:13.390 but but it did it for a long period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00{:}26{:}13.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}15.720$ We treated for three years and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:26:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.750$ here you can see now now everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

00:26:17.750 --> 00:26:19.437 was sceptical you know I don't I

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:26:19.437 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.230$ I never used to do the Twitter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

00:26:21.230 --> 00:26:22.454 Then I started doing the Twitter

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00{:}26{:}22.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}23.740$ because people said you have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:26:23.740 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.800$ read the Twitter because people

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:26:24.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.948$ are being critical of your data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00{:}26{:}25.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27.570$ And now now and I don't know how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:26:27.570 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.388$ do Twitter because it's called X and

00:26:29.388 --> 00:26:31.077 I haven't figured that out yet but

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00{:}26{:}31.077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}32.806$ there were all these people that said

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:26:32.806 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.268$ well there's no survival benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:26:34.270 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.758$ Now the drug got approved based

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:26:35.758 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.750$ on disease free survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:26:36.750 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.184$ but there hadn't been a survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:26:38.184 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.956$ benefit and we had to wait for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:26:39.956 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.652$ number of years to have 20% of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00{:}26{:}41.652 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}26{:}42.736$ patients unfortunately die because

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:26:42.736 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.868$ that was the end point that had been

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00{:}26{:}44.868 \longrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46.356$ pre specified to look at survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:26:46.407 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.055$ and it's API you hate for that to

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00{:}26{:}48.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49.282$ happen you know because I'd rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:26:49.282 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.776$ there never be an end point because

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00:26:50.776 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.162$ you don't want anyone to succumb

 $00:26:52.162 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.855$ to their disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5093791

 $00{:}26{:}52.860 \to 00{:}26{:}54.220$ But I got a call

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

00:26:54.220 --> 00:26:56.082 last November that the trial was nearing

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00{:}26{:}56.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58.312$ the end and it was very you'll see

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:26:58.312 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.900$ a very interesting because Eric was

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

00:26:59.900 --> 00:27:02.014 you know here and the ASCO President.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:02.020 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.195$ I'm thinking well this could

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:03.195 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.135$ be an ASCO presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00{:}27{:}04.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}05.202$ So we're waiting for the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:05.202 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.900$ to make sure over the, over the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:06.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.700$ over the winter and and then about

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:09.700 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.720$ March saw this curve and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:11.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.740$ is the survival curve and again

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:13.740 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.259$ it was a very big DFS benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:15.260 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.842$ But in survival the hazard ratio is .49.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00{:}27{:}17.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}19.894$ So here's the patients who got

 $00{:}27{:}19.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}21.494$ osteomertinib and here's the control

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00{:}27{:}21.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}23.542$ and you can see at at five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:23.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.702 88\%$ versus 78%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:24.702 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.790$ so 10% improvement in survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:26.790 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.458$ the hazard ratio .49,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00{:}27{:}28.458 \to 00{:}27{:}30.546$ so a 51% improvement in survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:30.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.660$ And remember the drug stopped

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:31.660 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.548$ here at three years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:32.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.630$ We only treated for three years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:33.630 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.806$ So now we have to continue

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:34.806 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.590$ to watch these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00{:}27{:}35.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37.390$ We have liquid biopsy samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00{:}27{:}37.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}38.390$ Hopefully next year I'll give

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:38.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.990$ another grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:38.990 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.930$ I have those samples.

 $00:27:39.930 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.870$ I'm analyzing them now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:40.870 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.532$ but we're not ready to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:42.532 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.640$ about them unfortunately yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:43.640 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.756$ And then it was pretty cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:44.760 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.972$ So who knew that Eric was

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:45.972 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.520$ going to be the director here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

00:27:47.520 --> 00:27:48.480 It's just like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.400$ it's almost like an amazing coincidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00{:}27{:}50.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51.415$ He's the ASCO president and

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

00:27:51.415 --> 00:27:52.864 there I am presenting it to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00{:}27{:}52.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54.034$ plenary beside him and Kimi Ying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.200$ It was really pretty cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.840$ And you little can't make this stuff up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

00:27:56.840 --> 00:27:58.074 It just sort of happened, right Eric,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:58.074 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.359$ it's who would have known?

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:27:59.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.118$ So it was really, that was phenomenal.

 $00:28:02.120 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.144$ I was pretty nervous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:03.144 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.680$ The only the best thing about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:04.680 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.035$ best thing about the plenary

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:06.035 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.119$ is the green room,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:07.120 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.359$ the drinks and the food in there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:08.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.644$ Phenomenal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:08.644 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.916$ See, that's that's the secret of the ASCO.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246 00:28:10.920 --> 00:28:12.360 OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:12.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.718$ Now you you see the overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

00:28:14.718 --> 00:28:16.284 survival both if patients got

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

00:28:16.284 --> 00:28:17.316 actually in chemotherapy or

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:17.316 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.670$ without actually in chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

00:28:18.670 --> 00:28:21.925 So you give it if you know sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:21.925 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.155$ patients don't want it and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:23.155 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.621$ a big push now to avoid the

 $00:28:24.621 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.670$ chemotherapy we're looking at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00{:}28{:}25.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27.740$ But right now we we we we we we

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:27.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.030$ we we suggest that patients get

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:30.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.968$ chemotherapy if they can And then

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:31.968 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.982$ you know the big critique

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:32.982 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.361$ of this trial and for those that

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

00:28:34.361 --> 00:28:35.356 read the New England Journal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

00:28:35.360 --> 00:28:37.028 there's there's a letter I I

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00{:}28{:}37.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}38.759$ responded to a letter today from

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:38.760 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.865$ an investigator in Italy who

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00{:}28{:}39.865 --> 00{:}28{:}41.309$ said well you didn't not all

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00{:}28{:}41.309 \to 00{:}28{:}42.477$ your patients got ostomertinib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.272$ So it's not really a fair trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:44.272 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.040$ and they're right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.960$ Not everyone could get ostomertinib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.470$ But look in the ostomertinib

 $00:28:48.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.308$ group and in the placebo group

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:50.308 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.407$ about 8080 to 90% got an EGFR

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

00:28:52.407 --> 00:28:54.501 inhibitor in a second line setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:54.501 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.916$ did only 43% got ostomertinib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00{:}28{:}55.916 \to 00{:}28{:}57.224$ But the drug wasn't even improved

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:57.224 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.340$ in the front line setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:58.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.400$ when we started the trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:28:59.400 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.680$ So well it's not perfect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00{:}29{:}00.680 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:{\mbox{-}}} 00{:}29{:}02.144$ it's not a perfect would would

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00:29:02.144 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.709$ patients have done better if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

00:29:03.709 --> 00:29:05.074 got an osteomordinib early probably.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00{:}29{:}05.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}07.600$ But I I would what we said in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00{:}29{:}07.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}10.960$ reply is the difference is so great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60456246

 $00{:}29{:}10.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}14.160$ I think use your best drugs earlier and

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

00:29:14.160 --> 00:29:17.196 I think this these data hold hold water and

00:29:17.196 --> 00:29:19.520 then you know it's not without toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

00:29:19.520 --> 00:29:20.664 Be careful as physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

00:29:20.664 --> 00:29:22.060 as nurses, as caregivers, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:29:22.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.997$ it's easy for you to say there's no problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

00:29:23.997 --> 00:29:25.930 when you're giving a drug versus a placebo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:29:25.930 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.508$ there's always going to be added

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:29:27.508 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.353$ toxicity and this drug does cause some

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:29:29.353 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.089$ rack and it does cause some diarrhea

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

00:29:31.144 --> 00:29:33.006 and it is debilitating for patients in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:29:33.010 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.998$ in about 4 to 5% of the cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00{:}29{:}34.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}36.370$ We have to be sensitive to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:29:36.370 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.450$ Some patients need breaks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:29:37.450 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.530$ some need dose reductions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

00:29:38.530 --> 00:29:40.960 But for the most part, if you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

00:29:40.960 --> 00:29:42.610 at discontinuations and serious AE,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

00:29:42.610 --> 00:29:45.088 there were 14% on placebo versus 20%

 $00:29:45.090 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.169$ on the drug which is pretty low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00{:}29{:}47.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}48.871$ But again there is toxicity and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

00:29:48.871 --> 00:29:50.939 is where quality of life and you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:29:50.939 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.224$ the survivorship clinic and others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:29:52.230 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.902$ you know we need to look at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:29:53.902 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.830$ and help patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:29:54.830 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.070$ So what's in the future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:29:57.070 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.984$ we're continuing to file the overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:29:58.984 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.541$ survival because what's going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:00.541 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.109$ happen in five years and 10 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:02.110 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.595$ we're doing a bunch of

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

00:30:03.595 --> 00:30:04.189 translational analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:04.190 \dashrightarrow 00:30:06.926$ We're going to have Pasayani here for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:06.926 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.790$ Calabrese lecture this year and he's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:08.790 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.190$ to tell us about procester Tri cells,

 $00:30:10.190 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.630$ which he studies and Katie

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:11.630 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.782$ studies these as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:12.790 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.995$ There are still cells that remain that

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:14.995 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.746$ remain resistant to to EGFR inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

00:30:16.746 --> 00:30:18.141 We actually have blood from

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:18.141 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.469$ about 1/3 of the patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

00:30:19.470 --> 00:30:22.574 It's very hard to get samples out of China,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

00:30:22.574 --> 00:30:25.306 but from the Western world and from Japan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:25.310 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.270$ we do have blood samples and tissue samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:27.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.270$ So we're looking at at at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00{:}30{:}29.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}30{:}590$ We're also looking at, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:30.590 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.275$ there are trials looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00{:}30{:}32.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}32.949$ neoactuvent osteomerotradium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:32.950 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.385$ Now that's all the rage right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:34.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.890$ We're looking at earlier disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:36.890 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.510$ Adura 2 is looking at this

 $00:30:38.510 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.670$ was stage 1B disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.110$ so more than 3 centimeters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

00:30:41.110 --> 00:30:42.014 Everyone always calls me

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:42.014 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.144$ what about the small tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:43.150 \dashrightarrow 00:30:44.788$ I think it'll probably work there too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:44.790 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.982$ But we need to do the trial and

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:45.982 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.967$ we're actually doing a trial where

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:46.967 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.147$ we're giving the drug for five more

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00{:}30{:}48.147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}49.161$ years because there is some sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:49.161 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.990$ when you stop the drug that the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:50.990 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.310$ brain metastasis started to increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:52.310 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.690$ So there might be some patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:53.690 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.190$ who are cured in my opinion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:55.190 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.381$ but some who are just having cytostatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:30:57.381 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.935$ stability of disease and that's

 $00:30:58.935 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.615$ something we have to figure out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:31:00.620 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.682$ So I'll just end this portion

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:31:01.682 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.859$ of the talk with this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:31:02.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.780$ So another mentor of mine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

00:31:03.780 --> 00:31:05.700 I don't know if anyone knew Josh Fiddler,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

00:31:05.700 --> 00:31:06.216 but I I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00{:}31{:}06.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07.900$ I actually when I was at MD Anderson,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00{:}31{:}07.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}10.800$ I had a small lab with him and and Josh

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00{:}31{:}10.882 \to 00{:}31{:}12.794$ used to always say metastases are are

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:31:12.794 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.934$ are what would kill patients and he

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00{:}31{:}14.934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}16.614$ talked about the metastasis cascade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:31:16.620 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.202$ So I think what we've really shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:31:18.202 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.132$ here is we've taken our best surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:31:20.132 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.637$ and chemotherapy and we've added

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:31:21.637 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.137$ targeted therapy to keep patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00:31:23.137 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.572$ from progressing in the brain,

 $00:31:24.580 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.189$ liver and bone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

 $00{:}31{:}25.189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}26.610$ And I think this is a paradigm

NOTE Confidence: 0.29164207

00:31:26.655 --> 00:31:27.978 now we're going to see more of

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:31:27.980 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.644$ the drug was approved as I said in 2020.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:31:30.650 \dashrightarrow 00:31:32.450$ There are a whole host of other mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

00:31:32.450 --> 00:31:33.077 As I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

00:31:33.077 --> 00:31:34.540 there's a trial called Alina that will

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:31:34.580 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.083$ be in the news in two weeks from today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

00:31:36.090 --> 00:31:37.966 you'll hear about Alina, this is electinib,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:31:37.970 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.848$ it's the Roche drug for ALC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:31:39.850 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.684$ And we, we have a press release that

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:31:42.684 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.808$ that trial is positive for disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:31:44.810 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.838$ for disease free survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

00:31:45.838 --> 00:31:47.697 And I bet they're not going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:31:47.697 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.007$ say wait for overall survival.

00:31:49.010 --> 00:31:51.096 I think based on the Adura results

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00{:}31{:}51.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}52.628$ and actually Anne and I are going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:31:52.628 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.791$ the American Medical Association next

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00{:}31{:}53.791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}55.513$ week for clinical trials meeting and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:31:55.552 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.050$ think at that meeting we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:31:57.050 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.724$ discuss this trial because as a paradigm

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

00:31:58.724 --> 00:32:00.460 what should the right end point be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:00.460 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.420$ you know in in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00{:}32{:}02.420 --> 00{:}32{:}03.544$ in the accident setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:03.544 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.230$ But I think we've shown that

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:05.291 \dashrightarrow 00:32:07.090$ disease free survival works. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:07.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.260$ And here's a little plug for Katie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

00:32:09.260 --> 00:32:11.340 I don't have time to talk about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

00:32:11.340 --> 00:32:13.300 but Katie and and Sarah and Mark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:13.300 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.436$ what an amazing team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:14.436 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.856$ Mark's a little younger there.

00:32:15.860 --> 00:32:18.176 So here, here, here's the group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806 00:32:18.180 --> 00:32:18.450 I'm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:18.450 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.340$ I'm a little older so here here's

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.148$ here's the group that that that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:22.148 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.966$ been meeting and you know Mark's

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

00:32:24.024 --> 00:32:25.860 now the Chief of Pharmacology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:25.860 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.322$ super duper team obtaining samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:27.322 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.219$ You can see we have Anna and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:29.219 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.920$ Heather and the entire team are

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:30.920 \dashrightarrow 00:32:32.300$ getting samples from the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00{:}32{:}32.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}33.536$ We're banking the samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00{:}32{:}33.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}35.081$ we're looking at these samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:35.081 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.726$ that's how science is going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:36.726 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.900$ made and understanding resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:37.900 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.810$ And then we also have a project three of

 $00:32:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.658$ the spore looking at brain metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00{:}32{:}42.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}43.944$ I'm just putting a little plug

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:43.944 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.220$ in for that that project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:45.220 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.278$ No time to talk about it today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:47.280 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.400$ And then we oh, this is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.960$ We have an alliance with AstraZeneca.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:49.960 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.418$ This has been critical for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:51.418 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.658$ sport because by developing industry

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:32:52.658 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.212$ alliances and this is one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

00:32:54.212 --> 00:32:55.747 things I've been working on with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00{:}32{:}55.747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}57.136$ Dean's office in the last year and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

00:32:57.136 --> 00:32:58.312 a half and I'm really enjoying it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

00:32:58.320 --> 00:33:00.078 working very closely with MENA Wang,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:33:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.280$ who's pictured down here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

00:33:01.280 --> 00:33:03.600 And here's Kathy Lynch from Yale Ventures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:33:03.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.192$ There's Pat.

 $00:33:04.192 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.080$ We, we, we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:33:05.080 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.880$ we started this together about 5-7 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:33:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.960$ Here we are in Cambridge 2017 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:33:11.960 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.302$ 2022 we all look the same and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00{:}33{:}14.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}16.568$ you can see that this is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:33:16.568 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.844$ an amazing collaboration because

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:33:17.844 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.560$ that's how we're we're really making

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:33:19.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.780$ difference and I I got to move on but

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:33:21.780 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.469$ this this is the timeline of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00{:}33{:}23.469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}25.317$ alliance and here's the most recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:33:25.317 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.219$ visit actually Dean Brown was with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:33:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.950$ We we're really we're getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00{:}33{:}28.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30.900$ funds but we're getting drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:33:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.140$ and compounds test compounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:33:33.140 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.176$ Marcus I see in the front row.

 $00:33:34.180 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.454$ I know he's very excited about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00{:}33{:}35.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}36.881$ It really is the way we're bringing

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:33:36.881 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.262$ this in and we're expanding this

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:33:38.262 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.702$ now to head and neck cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:33:39.710 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.432$ We're heading to breast cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:33:41.432 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.189$ other other cancers in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00:33:43.190 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.758$ So this is going to be something

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

 $00{:}33{:}44.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}45.710$ that's with AstraZeneca and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5511806

00:33:45.710 --> 00:33:46.670 other companies that will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:33:46.670 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.870$ I think be very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00{:}33{:}48.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}50.270$ So just in the last 20 minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:33:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.550$ a little bit about immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:33:53.550 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.000$ That's also an amazing new paradigm in

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00{:}33{:}56.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}58.015$ lung cancer targeting immunotherapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:33:58.015 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.265$ of course the checkpoint inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:34:00.270 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.150$ You know, the first trials

 $00:34:01.150 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.030$ were done here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:34:02.030 \dashrightarrow 00:34:03.626$ I don't know if everyone realizes that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:34:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.583$ And of course, we have Lee Ping Chen here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:34:05.590 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.528$ There's a very nice article about

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

00:34:07.528 --> 00:34:09.311 him in fierce Biotech yesterday

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00{:}34{:}09.311 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}11.885$ talking about his contribution to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

00:34:11.885 --> 00:34:13.759 development and discovery of PDL 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:34:13.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.272$ So this is the probably the

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

00:34:15.272 --> 00:34:16.680 first responder in lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:34:16.680 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.600$ I don't know if Scott.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

00:34:17.600 --> 00:34:18.945 Scott's probably in clinic now

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:34:18.945 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.832$ because that's why he sees so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00{:}34{:}20.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22.314$ patients and helps so many people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:34:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.558$ So this is a patient Maureen

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

00:34:24.560 --> 00:34:26.234 who came to Yale Squamous lung

00:34:26.234 --> 00:34:28.002 cancer wasn't a candidate for any

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:34:28.002 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.198$ of those targeted the rapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:34:29.200 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.080$ 3 times refractory lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:34:31.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.420$ And June 2010 she went

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:34:32.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.080$ on the trial of MDX one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:34:34.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.568$ one O 6 that Mario was running with

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00{:}34{:}36.568 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}34{:}38.895$ Scott and Harriet and you can see these

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

00:34:38.895 --> 00:34:40.788 large tumors in her lung and her liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00{:}34{:}40.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}42.130$ Within months they responded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:34:42.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.483$ The trial was for two years of

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:34:44.483 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.145$ what we now know as novolumab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

00:34:46.150 --> 00:34:47.470 Here she is a year 8,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:34:47.470 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.108$ but her X-rays look this way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:34:49.110 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.090$ Now she comes back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:34:50.090 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.825$ We've seen her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

00:34:50.830 --> 00:34:52.244 I'm sure Tara's seen her at some

 $00:34:52.244 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.190$ of the survivor events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00{:}34{:}53.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55.134$ This is the promise of a phase one

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:34:55.134 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.869$ trial of new drug development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:34:56.870 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.586$ The problem is this is only 15%

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:34:59.590 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.646$ but for This is why everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:00.646 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.830$ wants to work in this field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.310$ We see a light at the end of the tunnel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

00:35:03.310 --> 00:35:04.750 It's just a very long tunnel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:04.750 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.416$ but we know that 15% of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:06.416 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.748$ are going to benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:07.750 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.060$ And then Scott and he he ran

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

00:35:11.060 --> 00:35:12.560 this trial OO 3:00 and basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.086$ this is an actuarial survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

00:35:14.086 --> 00:35:15.910 curve because it's now with more

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:15.963 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.505$ than five years of follow up.

 $00:35:17.510 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.288$ He published this in JCO in 2018 at

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00{:}35{:}20.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}22.871$ five years in the refractory setting on

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:22.871 \dashrightarrow 00:35:25.790$ the volnab 16% of patients are alive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:25.790 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.430$ And when I use this slide to teach

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:27.430 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.070$ in my clinical trials course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:29.070 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.238$ I talk about the tail of the curve

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:31.238 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.841$ because this is a non proportional hazards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:32.841 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.312$ You have two slopes, 1 here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:34.312 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.754$ one here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:34.754 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.564$ We know that there's a tail problem is

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00{:}35{:}36.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}38.590$ how do we get more people off the tail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:38.590 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.310$ These patients have primary resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:40.310 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.750$ There are other things going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00{:}35{:}41.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}43.030$ Maybe it's another checkpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:43.030 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.950$ maybe it's a regulatory T cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00{:}35{:}44.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}46.114$ This is why we shouldn't just

 $00:35:46.114 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.152$ study the next PD1 inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:47.152 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.466$ or the next PDL 1 inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

00:35:48.470 --> 00:35:49.814 We need to think about what's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:49.814 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.164$ on in the micro environment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:51.164 \dashrightarrow 00:35:52.865$ I'll show you how we're doing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

00:35:52.870 --> 00:35:55.300 So just quickly keynote one, Paul.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:35:55.300 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.110$ ADA ran this trial here at Yale a decade ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00{:}36{:}00.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}01.470$ It was Melanoma lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:36:01.470 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.566$ small cell lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00{:}36{:}02.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}04.098$ This was with Keytruda with

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:36:04.098 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.222$ tembrelizumab that led to a trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00:36:06.222 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.448$ that I LED called KEYNOTE 10,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

 $00{:}36{:}08.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}10.648$ which established PDL 1 as a biomarker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71490145

00:36:10.650 --> 00:36:11.890 If you have high levels of PDL one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:36:11.890 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.758$ you do better than if you have lower levels.

 $00:36:14.758 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.528$ That led to using the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:36:16.530 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.948$ the pembrolizumab in the frontline setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:36:18.948 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.968$ and then that led to accurate the rapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:36:21.970 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.842$ Sarah Goldberg and and Harriet

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

00:36:23.842 --> 00:36:25.090 working with Veronica Shang,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:36:25.090 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.250$ they did the first study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:36:26.250 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.138$ the very first study and this is when

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:36:28.138 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.848$ we're saying we we can get this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00{:}36{:}29.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31.530$ We got this still plan on in three

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:36:31.530 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.898$ months and we're getting back to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:36:32.900 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.736$ They did a study where investigator

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00{:}36{:}34.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36.355$ initiated study where they took

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:36:36.355 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.699$ pembrolizumab because we're already

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00{:}36{:}37.699 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}40.160$ working with Merck and they did it in

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:36:40.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.854$ and we're still getting data from this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:36:41.860 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.660$ And we took patients with small brain meds,

 $00:36:43.660 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.636$ they had to be less than two centimeters

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00{:}36{:}45.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}48.054$ and we treated those patients with, with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:36:48.054 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.418$ with the drug without any radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:36:50.420 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.980$ If someone's going to live for 2-3 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:36:51.980 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.912$ the the one year survival with pembrolizumab

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:36:53.912 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.972$ and a high PDL one patient is 35%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:36:55.972 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.876$ So if you're going to be alive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:36:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.595$ actually the five year survival is 35%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:36:59.600 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.856$ excuse me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:36:59.856 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.240$ So if you're going to be alive in five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00{:}37{:}01.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03.112$ you'd rather be alive without any

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:37:03.112 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.360$ cognitive impairment from radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:37:04.360 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.935$ So this was really established in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:37:05.935 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.458$ trial that was both a collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:37:07.458 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.060$ between Melanoma and the lung cancer

00:37:09.060 --> 00:37:10.806 group and you can see here's extra

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00{:}37{:}10.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}12.045$ cerebral response and brain response

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:37:12.045 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.040$ and you can see they're about equal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

00:37:14.040 --> 00:37:15.720 And Harriet, it won't tell you this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:37:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.644$ but I'll tell you this and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:37:16.644 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.400$ wasn't the New York Times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:37:17.400 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.530$ So I'm not reaching any, any confidentiality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

00:37:19.530 --> 00:37:22.315 But when a 99 year old ex president had

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:37:22.315 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.635$ Melanoma in the brain about eight years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:37:24.640 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.110$ they called us at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00{:}37{:}26.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}28.112$ And while Curran asked what are you

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

00:37:28.112 --> 00:37:29.790 doing with pembrolizumab in that setting?

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:37:29.790 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.714$ And you can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

00:37:30.714 --> 00:37:32.870 you can put the pieces together yourself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:37:32.870 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.310$ So what about precision medicine?

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:37:34.310 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.390$ How can that help?

 $00:37:36.390 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.910$ Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:37:36.910 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.494$ David RIM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:37:37.494 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.538$ I was asked to be the discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

00:37:39.538 --> 00:37:41.630 at ASCO about six years ago for

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00{:}37{:}41.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}42.830$ the early studies on the Volumab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

00:37:42.830 --> 00:37:44.702 So I went to my my good friend David,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:37:44.710 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.348$ who by the way used to work on breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:37:46.348 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.736$ cancer and he moved over to lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:37:47.736 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.214$ cancer and now Eric's getting him back

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00{:}37{:}49.214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}50.747$ and I'm a little worried about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:37:50.750 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.110$ But but it's OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:37:52.110 \dashrightarrow 00:37:53.630$ He works on the head and export too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00{:}37{:}53.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}55.800$ The very the pathologists are

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

00:37:55.800 --> 00:37:56.668 your pathologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

00:37:56.670 --> 00:37:58.590 your your your statisticians,

 $00:37:58.590 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.030$ critical core members.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:38:00.030 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.830$ For any of these grants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:38:00.830 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.195$ you've got to have a good course

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:38:02.195 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.560$ and that we always get exceptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:38:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.024$ thanks to David and Kurt and

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00{:}38{:}05.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}06.467$ now Sonia's working with them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

00:38:06.470 --> 00:38:07.970 So you can see, I said, David,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:38:07.970 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.070$ why is this PDL one marker so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00{:}38{:}10.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}11.753$ so bad And Li Ping was with us and

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

00:38:11.753 --> 00:38:13.670 Li Ping said of course it's not bad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:38:13.670 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.720$ I discovered it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

00:38:14.720 --> 00:38:16.120 It's actually very good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

00:38:16.120 --> 00:38:17.835 but you just don't measure it properly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:38:17.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.720$ And then David made this slide for me

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:38:19.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.280$ that everyone's now used and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:38:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.918$ make sure the credit was there David.

 $00:38:22.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.074$ So everyone uses this and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.2752451

 $00:38:25.074 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.510$ David has done very

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:38:26.587 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.280$ well at meetings and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:38:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.680$ being involved in the panels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

00:38:29.680 --> 00:38:31.360 Here's one piece of lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:38:31.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.509$ one tissue piece and two different areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

00:38:33.510 --> 00:38:35.750 One area is stone cold negative for PDL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:38:35.750 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.766$ one for two different antibodies and one

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:38:37.766 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.817$ area is positive with two different results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:38:39.817 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.179$ you know slightly different and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00{:}38{:}41.179 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}42.469$ it matters where you measure it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:38:42.470 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.710$ The the green is cytokeratin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

00:38:43.710 --> 00:38:45.630 so that's tumor. The the blue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

00:38:45.630 --> 00:38:47.462 the Dappy is the nuclei and that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:38:47.462 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.387$ you can see the the red is PDL one.

 $00:38:49.390 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.762$ It could be either in the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:38:50.762 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.350$ or the stroma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:38:51.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.970$ So it's the variability of

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:38:52.970 \dashrightarrow 00:38:54.590$ measurement and the sensitivity asset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

00:38:54.590 --> 00:38:56.711 But you gotta have PDL ONE for

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

00:38:56.711 --> 00:38:59.069 PD1 and PDL 1 blockers to work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:38:59.070 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.110$ And we have, we're so fortunate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.684$ So Katie deserved 2 awards last

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00{:}39{:}03.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}06.980$ week because she and Scott set up

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:06.980 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.460$ this amazing repeat biopsy program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:09.460 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.004$ That's why we got to make sure the

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:11.004 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.418$ freezers are backed up in our office.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.036$ And we also have to make sure we

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:14.036 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.440$ have more liquid nitrogen because

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.010$ these samples are so valuable

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:17.010 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.458$ because for the last decade,

 $00:39:18.460 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.056$ the lung group has been collecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:20.056 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.899$ samples when someone comes in and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

00:39:21.899 --> 00:39:23.119 have recurrent disease and they've

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:23.119 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.656$ putting a little plug in for the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.325$ When someone comes in and

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:26.325 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.657$ they have recurrent disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:27.660 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.390$ we actually get consent to

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:29.390 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.774$ get their old biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.900$ And then when we get the new biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:31.900 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.817$ the biopsy's done with the help of the team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:33.820 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.160$ And there's Anna and Heather

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

00:39:35.160 --> 00:39:36.500 works with her sometimes goopang,

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

00:39:36.500 --> 00:39:37.652 I don't know if he's here

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:37.652 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.420$ or maybe he's online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:38.420 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.544$ The team gets a fresh biopsy and and we

00:39:40.544 --> 00:39:42.655 get fresh tissue and we get paraffin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:42.660 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.140$ we make transgenic, we make,

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

00:39:44.140 --> 00:39:47.376 we make PDX mice, This is, this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

00:39:47.376 --> 00:39:49.044 And zenta or pathologist is usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:49.044 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.175$ there to make sure we get good tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:51.180 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.636$ This is the key,

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:52.636 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.456$ having samples from refractory patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:54.460 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.740$ We started this with TKIS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:55.740 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.860$ but then we did this with IO agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:39:57.860 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.476$ We went and have the spore 10 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00{:}39{:}59.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}01.330$ ago if we didn't have this data set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:40:01.330 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.276$ And we've used this both with our

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00{:}40{:}03.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}04.970$ own samples and with industry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

00:40:04.970 --> 00:40:06.720 This is a very nice trial that

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00{:}40{:}06.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}08.818$ Scott and I and others did with

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:40:08.818 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.236$ the drug known as MDX 1107.

 $00:40:11.236 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.502$ Now it's known as pembro as a tezalizumab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00{:}40{:}13.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}15.820$ So this was a phase one trial which we

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:40:15.820 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.898$ led here at Yale and it actually was

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:40:17.898 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.928$ published in Nature almost a decade ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:40:19.930 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.902$ But in this trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00{:}40{:}20.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}22.360$ we had patients with lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:40:22.416 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.089$ who responded to a PDL 1 inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00{:}40{:}24.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}25.910$ But because we could get those fresh

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:40:25.910 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.988$ biopsies, we had pre and post biopsies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:40:27.990 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.604$ So here's a prebiopsy on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00{:}40{:}29.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}31.137$ responding patient and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00{:}40{:}31.137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}32.753$ you know CD 8 positive T cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:40:32.802 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.386$ then post you can see a lot more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:40:34.390 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.846$ This is what you call the adaptive

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:40:35.846 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.262$ immune response.

 $00:40:36.270 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.824$ This is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00{:}40{:}36.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}38.763$ this is the blocking the PD1 PDL

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

00:40:38.763 --> 00:40:40.464 one up regulation of interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:40:40.464 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.841$ T cells coming to the tumor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.734546

 $00:40:42.841 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.226$ then using an RNA chip.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

00:40:44.230 --> 00:40:45.366 And why did this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00:40:45.366 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.070$ Why did we get this trial?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

00:40:47.070 --> 00:40:48.750 Ira Melman, good old Ira Melman

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

00:40:48.750 --> 00:40:50.375 had moved to Genentech and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00:40:50.375 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.950$ went out and had dinner with him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00{:}40{:}51.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}54.190$ I I had remembered IRA from cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00{:}40{:}54.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}55.668$ biology that was when I was at

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00{:}40{:}55.668 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}40{:}56.653$ Rockefeller done but but still

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

00:40:56.653 --> 00:40:57.997 I knew Ira and Ira helped us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00{:}40{:}57.997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}59.420$ get this trial and and with IRA's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00:40:59.420 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.911$ group did this work for us the

00:41:00.911 --> 00:41:02.827 RNA shift and you can see pre and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

00:41:02.827 --> 00:41:04.668 post green is pre yellow is post.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

00:41:04.670 --> 00:41:06.133 You can see this is an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00:41:06.133 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.293$ of what's happening when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

00:41:07.293 --> 00:41:08.269 immune response is active.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00:41:08.270 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.391$ So we we understand what the active

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

00:41:10.391 --> 00:41:12.317 immune response is how is how do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

00:41:12.317 --> 00:41:14.230 get this to go on in every patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00:41:14.230 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.658$ 20% of patients responded in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00{:}41{:}15.658 --> 00{:}41{:}17.396$ trial but the other 80% did not

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

00:41:17.396 --> 00:41:19.517 and those patients that did not we

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00{:}41{:}19.517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}21.202$ described in this paper the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

00:41:21.202 --> 00:41:23.188 desert you know CD 8 before and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00:41:23.188 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.024$ after the tumor just laughs at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00:41:25.030 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.738$ at the PDL 1 inhibitor nothing happens

00:41:26.738 --> 00:41:28.663 or you can have a non functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00{:}41{:}28.663 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}30.367$ immune response where you have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00{:}41{:}30.423 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}32.263$ CD8 cells and maybe a few more posts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00:41:32.270 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.712$ But if you look at that immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00:41:33.712 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.054$ shift completely flat and then this

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

00:41:35.054 --> 00:41:36.184 is actually something we're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

00:41:36.184 --> 00:41:37.701 more and more of and I don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

00:41:37.701 --> 00:41:39.282 time to talk about it today but our

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00:41:39.282 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.931$ next trial on the sport is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00:41:40.931 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.709$ to target this that that the cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

00:41:42.709 --> 00:41:44.375 that get inhibited that can't get

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00:41:44.375 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.339$ to the tumor because you get this

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00:41:46.339 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.193$ line of interference and we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00:41:48.193 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.550$ this the the immune excluded cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00:41:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.200$ So more to come on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6175327

 $00:41:52.200 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.040$ I'm going to skip this for the sake of time.

 $00:41:56.040 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.120$ We just have to do a little editing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:42:01.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.760$ So I I will just say that now all

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:42:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.637$ these agents are moving up up front.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00{:}42{:}07.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}09.607$ We're we're now in the process of

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:42:09.607 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.296$ taking immune therapies that are being

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:42:11.296 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.874$ used in the neo accurate setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

00:42:12.880 --> 00:42:14.826 And it's actually a very fertile time

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:42:14.826 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.918$ because when we sit at the tumor board,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:42:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.873$ we have to decide are we going to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00{:}42{:}18.873 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}20.263$ surgery and accurate the rapy like

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00{:}42{:}20.263 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}21.949$ I showed you for TKI inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:42:21.950 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.113$ So we're going to use the neoactivant

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00{:}42{:}24.113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}26.389$ therapy 1st and we're seeing about 15%

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:42:26.390 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.950$ half CR rate and a 30 to 40% minor CR

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

00:42:30.950 --> 00:42:34.460 rate PR minor major PR rate, excuse me,

 $00:42:34.460 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.350$ when we use these agents upfront.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00{:}42{:}36.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}38.702$ So that's going to be the next stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00{:}42{:}38.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}40.196$ neoactivant trials and I just want

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:42:40.196 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.910$ to make a plug for tumor boards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:42:41.910 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.296$ This is sort of what our tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:42:43.296 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.230$ boards look like lately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

00:42:44.230 --> 00:42:45.733 So I I would just like to as long

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:42:45.733 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.321$ as I have the podium today encourage

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00{:}42{:}47.321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}49.378$ people to go to tumor board and start

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

00:42:49.378 --> 00:42:50.748 having our tumor boards hybrid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00{:}42{:}50.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52.486$ We can't have them all in person

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:42:52.486 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.590$ because we're 15 sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00{:}42{:}53.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}55.125$ But the tumor board discussions

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:42:55.125 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.230$ are going to be really critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:42:57.230 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.127$ These are drugs that were approved from

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

00:42:59.127 --> 00:43:00.910 Yale LED studies very proud of that.

 $00:43:00.910 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.730$ All these drugs had some of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:43:02.730 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.372$ first studies here at Yale in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

00:43:04.372 --> 00:43:05.989 lung program and we have many more

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:43:05.989 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.828$ to come and we're seeing that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00{:}43{:}07.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}09.973$ all of our programs and that's our

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

00:43:09.973 --> 00:43:11.385 experimental Therapeutics or DT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:43:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.910$ We can do the science on these studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:43:12.910 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.182$ But the reason I skipped is I'm much

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:43:15.182 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.390$ more interested to tell you about the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

00:43:17.390 --> 00:43:19.231 So we're we now have to target

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

00:43:19.231 --> 00:43:20.803 immunotherapy but I showed you at

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:43:20.803 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.497$ the beginning of my talk was how

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

00:43:22.553 --> 00:43:23.869 we used targeted the rapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:43:23.870 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.024$ We understood the target brought it

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:43:26.024 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.126$ earlier to have the greatest advantage and

 $00:43:29.126 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.010$ I told you about the biobank we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:43:33.010 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.210$ Well let me show you how it has paid off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

00:43:35.210 --> 00:43:36.995 So here we had patients who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

00:43:36.995 --> 00:43:38.387 immune therapy and they responded

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

00:43:38.387 --> 00:43:40.389 and then they had more immune therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

00:43:40.389 --> 00:43:41.848 and they became resistant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:43:41.850 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.890$ So thanks to that poor protocol that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:43:43.890 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.768$ had running for I guess what what Katie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

00:43:45.770 --> 00:43:46.850 12 years or or more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:43:46.850 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.244$ right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

00:43:47.244 --> 00:43:49.608 And we now have tumor tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:43:49.610 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.140$ germline DNA pretreatment and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:43:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.442$ resistance and working with Rick

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:43:54.442 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.366$ Lifton a number of years back before

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:43:56.366 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.849$ he left and and his lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:43:57.850 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.084$ we we sequenced all those tumors.

 $00:44:01.090 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.722$ And very interestingly you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00{:}44{:}02.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}04.860$ here the 14 tumors and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:44:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.450$ the first response shown here in

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:44:06.450 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.130$ the green and the resistance shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:44:08.130 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.568$ in the yellow triangle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:44:09.570 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.298$ So we had pre and post samples on

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:44:11.298 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.739$ patients who responded and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:44:12.739 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.329$ became resistant to immune therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:44:14.330 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.882$ And you can see it was from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

00:44:15.882 --> 00:44:17.089 hodgepodge of different trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

00:44:17.090 --> 00:44:19.334 some with anti PDL 1, some with anti PD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00{:}44{:}19.334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}21.166$ One the drugs are different but quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00{:}44{:}21.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}23.035$ frankly for this type of analysis I

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:44:23.035 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.125$ don't think it makes much of a difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27132082

 $00:44:25.130 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.768$ Well, two stories emerge from this.

00:44:26.770 --> 00:44:28.186 They've both been published

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

00:44:28.186 --> 00:44:29.248 several years back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:44:29.250 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.930$ one that that Katie and Scott LED

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:44:30.930 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.450$ where we actually had one patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:44:32.450 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.448$ This patient was on Tremolomab and

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

00:44:34.448 --> 00:44:36.573 Debiolomab had a tumor that responded

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:44:36.573 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.408$ and then it became resistant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

00:44:38.410 --> 00:44:39.625 And actually by looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:44:39.625 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.130$ the the biopsies pre and post,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

00:44:41.130 --> 00:44:44.213 we didn't see much different in PDL one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:44:44.213 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.330$ But what we did see is this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00{:}44{:}47.330 --> 00{:}44{:}49.410$ loss of beta 2 microglobulin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

00:44:49.410 --> 00:44:51.209 So if you look at copy number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:44:51.210 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.688$ there was already lost a pre immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:44:53.690 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.196$ The patient had already lost one

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

00:44:55.196 --> 00:44:57.010 copy of Beta 2 microglobulin.

00:44:57.010 --> 00:44:58.690 And then when the patient became resistant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00{:}44{:}58.690 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}45{:}01.084$ they lost both copies of Beta 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

00:45:01.084 --> 00:45:02.860 blackroglobulin and Beta 2 microglobulin of

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:02.860 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.869$ course is an essential component of MHC one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:04.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.030$ So these tumors lost the ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:07.030 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.790$ to present neo anakin to T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:09.790 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.218$ So we are actually seeing that about

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00{:}45{:}11.218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}12.706$ five to 10% of the time in lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:12.710 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.070$ So these patients are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:15.070 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.270$ to need more of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00{:}45{:}16.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17.790$ This immune approach won't work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:17.790 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.708$ We need to use other other ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00{:}45{:}19.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}21.066$ Maybe the innate immune system's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:21.066 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.925$ to be the way we target these tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00{:}45{:}22.925 \rightarrow 00{:}45{:}24.550$ and K cells or something like that.

00:45:24.550 --> 00:45:26.575 And then what What Kurt and and David did

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:26.575 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.898$ is this is quantitative amino fluorescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:28.900 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.148$ We took a whole bunch of

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:30.148 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.772$ samples of responders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.340$ non responders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:31.340 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.020$ These are samples that Scott had

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:33.020 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.467$ collected over the years and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:34.467 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.721$ looked at those samples and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:35.770 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.110$ stained for CD3 and and when double

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:38.110 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.340$ labeling and we looked at CD3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.897$ So we looked for tumors that were low CD3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:41.900 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.164$ so low T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:43.164 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.699$ We looked at tumors that were low CD3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

00:45:45.700 --> 00:45:47.986 high CD3 and low grand Simon Ki 67 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:47.986 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.343$ the idea there were these are tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:50.343 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.800$ that were not activated in their T cells.

 $00:45:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.571$ And then we looked at some tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:54.571 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.610$ that were high CD3 and high Granzyme

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

00:45:56.610 --> 00:45:59.409 and KS 67 and that Granzyme and KS 67

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:45:59.409 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.959$ are the are the white and the green.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00{:}46{:}01.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}04.120$ And I would have predicted that

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

00:46:04.120 --> 00:46:06.133 this is the group Group C here

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:46:06.133 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.279$ that would have done the best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00{:}46{:}07.280 \rightarrow 00{:}46{:}09.560$ But interestingly the group that did

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00{:}46{:}09.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}11.985$ best was you can see here's here's

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:46:11.985 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.519$ the the type 2 group shown here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

00:46:14.520 --> 00:46:17.778 high CD3 and low granzyme and low KS 67.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:46:17.780 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.444$ So why is the group that has many

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:46:19.444 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.238$ T cells but has the non activated

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:46:21.238 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.298$ T cells doing better?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:46:22.300 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.208$ And that was something we really

 $00:46:24.208 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.764$ couldn't explain until recently when

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00{:}46{:}25.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}27.620$ Li Ping Chen and Kurt and others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00{:}46{:}27.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}30.511$ Miguel Sanam Ahmed who was in Li

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

00:46:30.511 --> 00:46:32.700 Ping's lab did a study and actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:46:32.700 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.060$ using citep analysis showed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:46:34.116 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.551$ many of those T cells that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:46:35.551 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.939$ in the tumor are burned out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:46:36.940 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.128$ they're they're not active.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00{:}46{:}38.128 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}46{:}39.613$ And it probably explains why

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:46:39.613 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.835$ chemotherapy works with immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

00:46:40.835 --> 00:46:42.300 because chemotherapy kills the T

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:46:42.300 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.115$ cells that are in the tumor micro

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:46:44.115 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.300$ environment making room for more

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:46:45.300 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.100$ active and newer T cells to come in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:46:47.100 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.864$ This is a work in progress and we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:46:48.864 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.578$ fresh tumor samples to study this more.

 $00:46:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.834$ But the idea is that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.75460684

 $00:46:51.834 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.670$ the quality of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7446454

00:46:52.722 --> 00:46:54.339 T cells of the tumor that matters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7446454

 $00:46:54.340 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.140$ not just whether the T

NOTE Confidence: 0.7446454

 $00:46:56.140 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.940$ cells are there or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7446454

 $00:46:57.940 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.860$ In the last few minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7446454

 $00:46:58.860 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.420$ I just want to tell you about one

NOTE Confidence: 0.7446454

 $00:47:00.420 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.697$ more story and that's how how,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7446454

 $00:47:01.700 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.094$ how we, how we, how we look at resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7446454

 $00:47:04.100 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.212$ So what we're thinking here in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7446454

 $00:47:06.212 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.578$ our group is when we looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7446454

 $00:47:08.580 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.500$ 250 cases of of lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7446454

 $00{:}47{:}10.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}13.996$ you can see that about 70% of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7446454

00:47:13.996 --> 00:47:16.949 tumors were high PDL one and high kill.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7446454

 $00:47:16.950 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.381$ So they have a lot of PDL one and

 $00:47:18.381 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.867$ a lot of T cells in the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7446454

 $00:47:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.676$ These are probably the tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7446454

 $00:47:21.676 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.670$ responded quite well to immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7446454

 $00:47:23.670 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.542$ But here's another 26% of tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.7446454

 $00:47:25.542 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.790$ that have high tail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7446454

 $00:47:26.790 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.310$ There's a lot of blue but no PDL 1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7446454

 $00{:}47{:}29.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}30.550$ so probably suggest there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7446454

 $00:47:30.550 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.790$ another checkpoint in play.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7446454

 $00{:}47{:}31.790 \to 00{:}47{:}34.345$ And then very interestingly 45 or twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:47:36.710 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.468$ 7057% of lung cancers are cold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00{:}47{:}38.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}40.115$ So it's really these type 1 tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00{:}47{:}40.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}41.428$ I've already talked to you about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:47:41.430 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.230$ These are the type 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:47:42.230 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.034$ I'm sorry, these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:47:43.034 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.910$ these are the tumors that probably respond,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

00:47:44.910 --> 00:47:46.840 have a durable responder, Maureen.

 $00:47:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.720$ They either require resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00{:}47{:}47.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}49.371$ like I just showed you or they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:47:49.371 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.523$ probably resistant for mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:47:50.523 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.675$ we don't yet understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:47:51.680 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.402$ But it's the other other tumor types

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:47:53.402 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.914$ that we've been targeting in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:47:54.914 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.601$ spore and type three of the tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:47:56.655 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.354$ that must have some other checkpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

00:47:58.354 --> 00:48:01.438 So Li Ping and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00{:}48{:}01.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}03.029$ I became involved with this 'cause I

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:03.029 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.640$ did a sabbatical in this lab in 2015

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.600$ and they were working on this project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.872$ I said can we bring this

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:07.872 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.720$ project into the spore?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

00:48:08.720 --> 00:48:09.470 And and he,

00:48:09.470 --> 00:48:11.220 he said sure he was developing it

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:11.278 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.093$ with a company called Nexcure and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:13.093 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.514$ this is a drug known as cyclic

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:14.514 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.167$ 15 and I'm running low on time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:16.170 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.807$ but basically this is a homolog to PDL one

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

00:48:18.810 --> 00:48:21.730 and in tumors that are interferon high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

00:48:21.730 --> 00:48:23.248 you know that activates PDL one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

00:48:23.250 --> 00:48:25.364 but it actually down regulates cyclic 15.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:25.370 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.128$ So it makes sense that this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:27.130 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.848$ this marker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:27.848 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.207$ this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

00:48:28.207 --> 00:48:30.361 this protein might be more important

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00{:}48{:}30.361 \longrightarrow 00{:}48{:}32.767$ in tumors that are PDL one negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00{:}48{:}32.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}34.410$ and actually that's been shown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:34.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.072$ So here's cyclic 15 and here's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

00:48:36.072 --> 00:48:38.039 PDL one and you can see in

00:48:38.039 --> 00:48:40.142 tumors that that have cyclic 15,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:40.142 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.500$ it's a suppressor of T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:42.500 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.383$ So the idea was could this be

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:44.383 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.958$ an alternate target we can use

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:45.958 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.374$ in these tumors that are low

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:47.374 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.098$ PDL one and the answer is yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

00:48:49.100 --> 00:48:50.540 we were involved in the phase one trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:50.540 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.376$ Pat Larusso was API on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:52.380 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.778$ We did that with a company,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:53.780 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.180$ There's a company sponsored trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00{:}48{:}55.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}56.708$ Often times you have to do the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00{:}48{:}56.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}58.072$ trial with a company and then your

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:58.072 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.380$ next trial can be your own Ind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:48:59.380 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.892$ So the first trial was a company

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00{:}49{:}00.892 \longrightarrow 00{:}49{:}02.291$ sponsored trial and you can see

00:49:02.291 --> 00:49:03.471 here's patients two patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

00:49:03.471 --> 00:49:04.698 lung cancer who had response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:49:04.700 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.060$ one with a complete response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:49:06.060 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.660$ one with a partial response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

00:49:08.660 --> 00:49:09.896 That response and lung cancer wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

00:49:09.896 --> 00:49:11.640 here at Yale but this was a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

00:49:11.640 --> 00:49:12.730 who had already had immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

00:49:12.730 --> 00:49:14.277 and failed and you can see they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:49:14.277 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.711$ responding to the CIGLIC 15.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

00:49:15.711 --> 00:49:19.568 But the phase one team we Katie Kirk

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00{:}49{:}19.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}21.280$ was here we got a nice picture at

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:49:21.331 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.043$ least she she did the picture not me

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:49:23.043 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.967$ she had her own photographer with and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00{:}49{:}24.967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}27.300$ and then this is what I want to show you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

00:49:27.300 --> 00:49:28.710 Scott Gettinger has these data I

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00{:}49{:}28.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}29.960$ presented on his behalf because

 $00:49:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.255$ he couldn't make the meeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:49:31.260 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.540$ But this is Scott's work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

00:49:32.540 --> 00:49:34.922 Scott has LED a trial of NC 318,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:49:34.922 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.577$ which is the antibody against

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:49:36.577 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.332$ ciglic 15 and this is totally

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:49:38.332 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.878$ investigator initiated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:49:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.808$ Yale holds the I and D We've put

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:49:40.808 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.680$ almost 40 patients on this trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:49:42.680 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.480$ We have two arms of the drug alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:49:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.190$ different schedules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:49:45.190 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.675$ Then we combine the drug with pembrolizumab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00:49:47.680 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.772$ the PD1 inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

 $00{:}49{:}48.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}52.019$ We also have an arm of IO naive patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6714045

00:49:52.019 --> 00:49:53.078 We're just starting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:49:53.080 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.120$ so we've been studying this here at Yale.

 $00:49:55.120 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.485$ We've been getting biopsies pre and post

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

00:49:56.485 --> 00:49:58.239 and again I don't have a lot of time left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:49:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.264$ but I'll just get cut to the chase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:50:00.270 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.700$ The biomarker that David's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:50:01.700 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.550$ developing has been helpful to to date.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00.50.03.550 \longrightarrow 00.50.04.690$ We as always happens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00{:}50{:}04.690 --> 00{:}50{:}06.400$ we don't get biomarker on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:50:06.462 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.070$ patients that have the best response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

00:50:08.070 --> 00:50:09.380 We actually just had another

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:50:09.380 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.904$ response yesterday.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:50:09.910 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.576$ So stay tuned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

00:50:10.576 --> 00:50:12.435 But what we have done is we've looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:50:12.435 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.164$ at patients and we've looked at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

00:50:14.164 --> 00:50:15.706 number of patients have benefited

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:50:15.706 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.692$ some of them that are getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:50:17.692 \dashrightarrow 00:50:19.278$ pembrolizumab plus cyclip 15 and

 $00:50:19.278 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.150$ some of them cyclip 15 alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00{:}50{:}21.150 \longrightarrow 00{:}50{:}23.110$ And you can see we're seeing PRS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:50:23.110 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.671$ I'll tell you as someone who works

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:50:24.671 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.758$ in this field of lung cancer to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:50:26.758 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.128$ an immuno refractory patient respond,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

00:50:28.130 --> 00:50:30.242 you can count on one hand how often

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:50:30.242 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.490$ that happens with some of these new agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00{:}50{:}32.490 \to 00{:}50{:}33.810$ So we're very excited about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00{:}50{:}33.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}35.320$ We're trying to understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

00:50:35.320 --> 00:50:35.924 molecular mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

00:50:35.930 --> 00:50:38.219 but we've had four responders now to

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:50:38.219 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.648$ the combo and one to a single agent

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:50:40.650 \dashrightarrow 00:50:42.568$ and actually pictures are worth 1000 words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

00:50:42.570 --> 00:50:44.635 So here's that patient who had got

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

00:50:44.635 --> 00:50:46.838 the single agent liver lesion that's

 $00:50:46.838 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.685$ responded and here are three examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00{:}50{:}48.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}51.096$ of patients who are responding to the combo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:50:51.100 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.788$ So we are we are seeing activity here

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

00:50:52.788 --> 00:50:54.362 and we're in the process of working

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:50:54.362 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.989$ with next Cure and with other other

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:50:55.989 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.560$ groups to decide what our next trial will be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:50:58.560 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.020$ So I'm going to skip this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

00:51:00.020 --> 00:51:01.497 I'm, I'm running, I was very ambitious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00{:}51{:}01.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}04.416$ I haven't given our live talk in many years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445 00:51:04.420 --> 00:51:04.657 OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00{:}51{:}04.657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}06.553$ But what I do want to tell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:51:06.553 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.216$ about is just get to the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:51:08.220 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.379$ So what I've tried to show you today is

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00{:}51{:}11.380 \to 00{:}51{:}13.456$ we're making progress in this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

00:51:13.460 --> 00:51:14.508 It's really phenomenal progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:51:14.508 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.080$ It doesn't always seem like that

00:51:16.129 --> 00:51:17.099 if you're on the inside,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00{:}51{:}17.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}18.748$ but you know if you look back at

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:51:18.748 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.459$ it from a 2030 year perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:51:20.460 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.413$ we we we now have patients with lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:51:22.420 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.030$ Ironically the patients who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:51:24.030 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.062$ smokers who have many more mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

00:51:26.062 --> 00:51:28.589 probably have the chance of cure with

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:51:28.589 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.635$ immunotherapy and as we move that

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:51:30.635 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.531$ immunotherapy earlier maybe even more so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:51:32.540 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.270$ But the targeted therapy produces

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

00:51:34.270 --> 00:51:36.000 amazing benefit and quality of

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00{:}51{:}36.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}37.873$ life and if we use it earlier I

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00{:}51{:}37.873 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}39.679$ believe we could probably cure some

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

00:51:39.679 --> 00:51:40.975 patients there as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:51:40.980 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.906$ The theme I think of of Yale as a

00:51:42.906 --> 00:51:44.875 whole and certainly of the lung group

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:51:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.320$ is that we used to call these Darts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

00:51:46.320 --> 00:51:49.460 I haven't made the change the slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

00:51:49.460 --> 00:51:51.260 but our clinical trials team uses

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:51:51.260 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.502$ the institutional science and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:51:53.502 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.986$ industry collaborations to develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:51:54.986 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.215$ trials that lead to advances grants

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:51:57.215 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.261$ and we're feeding on that cycle

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:51:59.261 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.494$ and we're building a team that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:52:01.494 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.354$ focusing on lung cancer advances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00{:}52{:}03.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}06.720$ We have many other you know targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

00:52:06.720 --> 00:52:08.960 We're working with Aaron Rings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00{:}52{:}08.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}10.676$ Teen BP and the Melanoma group

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00{:}52{:}10.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}12.350$ and the lung group as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

00:52:12.350 --> 00:52:15.150 CD 93 is a target for vascular permeability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993445

 $00:52:15.150 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.575$ We're starting to work with

00:52:16.575 --> 00:52:18.000 here at Yale with Lee

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00{:}52{:}18.061 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}19.746$ Ping and other other targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

00:52:19.750 --> 00:52:21.310 And I didn't know Don was going to be here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

00:52:21.310 --> 00:52:22.890 but you know we're certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:52:22.890 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.830$ interested in the flip as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:52:24.830 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.616$ We're doing trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:52:25.616 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.760$ This is an example of a biomarker adaptive

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:52:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.396$ trial we did with with Werck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

00:52:29.396 --> 00:52:30.608 But I'd rather tell you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

00:52:30.608 --> 00:52:31.783 the trial that we're developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:52:31.783 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.434$ in the last minute called the I

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00{:}52{:}33.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}34.549$ Bulldog trial and Maina's here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00{:}52{:}34.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}35.774$ So Maina's been coordinating

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

00:52:35.774 --> 00:52:37.298 with us doing a great job.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:52:37.298 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.030$ How are we going to do another

 $00:52:39.030 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.595$ battle trial here at Yale?

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00{:}52{:}40.600 \to 00{:}52{:}42.608$ What I would suggest is we have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00{:}52{:}42.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}44.376$ pull together and and do a trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

00:52:44.376 --> 00:52:46.187 where we now take advantage of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

00:52:46.187 --> 00:52:47.837 pathology that I've shown you today

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00{:}52{:}47.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}49.952$ of the science here at Yale and of

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:52:49.952 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.090$ our ability to do clinical trials and

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:52:52.090 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.160$ lead that next generation of studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:52:54.160 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.152$ And we are now looking for new ideas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:52:57.152 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.704$ Here's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00{:}52{:}57.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}00.074$ here's our current idea that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00{:}53{:}00.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}01.264$ been shopping around and we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00{:}53{:}01.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}02.608$ had meetings with three different

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00{:}53{:}02.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}04.376$ pharma groups in the last month

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:53:04.376 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.316$ and they're all interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:53:05.316 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.159$ So we'll have to see who works with us.

00:53:07.160 --> 00:53:08.804 We, we're really excited about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00{:}53{:}08.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}11.228$ and we're going to do real time tumor blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:53:11.230 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.402$ real time immune profiling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:53:12.402 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.867$ We can do that here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:53:13.870 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.102$ We can do it in a clear appropriate way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

00:53:16.110 --> 00:53:17.766 And then we're going to initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:53:17.766 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.390$ equally randomized patients to treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:53:19.390 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.056$ But then once we learn about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:53:21.056 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.190$ these biomarkers pretend response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

00:53:22.190 --> 00:53:23.905 we're going to do a biomarker enrichment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:53:23.910 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.850$ adaptive randomization and Steve

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:53:25.850 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.470$ Miles very excited to do that with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00{:}53{:}29.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}31.269$ Here's the team that's working on that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:53:31.270 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.642$ just the core team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

00:53:32.642 --> 00:53:34.357 but we'll be getting everyone

 $00:53:34.357 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.349$ involved very soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00{:}53{:}35.350 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}53{:}36.822$ So as I conclude,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

00:53:36.822 --> 00:53:39.030 can we cure metastatic lung cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

00:53:39.030 --> 00:53:41.712 Yes, I couldn't have said that 10 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:53:41.720 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.680$ but only in some cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:53:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.744$ We have 12 plus year survivors

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:53:45.744 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.680$ from our very first trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00{:}53{:}47.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}48.840$ Treatment was well tolerated

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00{:}53{:}48.840 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}53{:}50.000$ and retreatment was possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

00:53:50.000 --> 00:53:50.600 I didn't show you that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00{:}53{:}50.600 \longrightarrow 00{:}53{:}52.000$ but sometimes you can retreat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:53:52.000 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.272$ but we don't even know what

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

00:53:53.272 --> 00:53:54.400 the markers are for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00{:}53{:}54.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}55.513$ But the problem is we don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

00:53:55.513 --> 00:53:56.919 any way of knowing this in advance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:53:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.237$ We've got to do more biomarker work.

 $00:53:59.240 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.277$ So do we need to personalize immunotherapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:54:01.280 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.873$ Absolutely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

00:54:01.873 --> 00:54:04.838 We spent 20 years personalizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:54:04.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.496$ targeted therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:54:05.496 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.120$ You'll you'll hear about that next June 10th,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:54:08.120 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.890$ but we're still not there yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:54:09.890 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.846$ We need biomarkers and better combos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00{:}54{:}11.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}13.610$ We need innovative trial designs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:54:13.610 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.766$ But the future for this is now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:54:15.770 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.030$ so last slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:54:17.030 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.130$ we need to personalize immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

00:54:19.130 --> 00:54:20.510 identify biomarkers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00{:}54{:}20.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}21.890$ improve combination therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:54:21.890 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.542$ identify new targets and

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:54:23.542 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.368$ rational combinations,

 $00:54:24.370 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.870$ establish novel endpoints,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00{:}54{:}25.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}27.370$ innovative trial designs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:54:27.370 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.530$ We can do that here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:54:28.530 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.190$ address mechanisms of resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:54:30.190 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.850$ and bring disease early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:54:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.685$ It's sort of reads like the CCSG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00.54:34.690 \longrightarrow 00.54:35.458$ We can do it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:54:35.458 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.926$ but I'm just my charge on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:54:36.926 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.528$ first ground round to the Friday.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:54:38.530 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.050$ To the fellows, the scientists here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00{:}54{:}41.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}42.034$ the translational scientists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:54:42.034 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.362$ everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

00:54:42.362 --> 00:54:44.002 We're we're a continuum from

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:54:44.002 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.652$ the clinic and the lab working

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:54:45.652 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.927$ together to help the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43922126

 $00:54:46.930 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.850$ We need to develop drugs in real time,

 $00:54:48.850 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.130$ and it's only going to be with science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00{:}54{:}50.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}51.467$ Ben Lewis here, He gave an amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

00:54:51.467 --> 00:54:52.888 talk the other day on a trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00:54:52.888 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.888$ that we're doing with ipilumab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00:54:53.890 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.520$ nivolumab biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00:54:54.520 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.410$ That's going to be the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00:54:56.410 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.330$ But then to translate those into new studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00:54:59.330 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.688$ So I'll just end with a picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00:55:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.730$ So this is Ben's talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00:55:01.730 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.270$ The other day we had 50 people

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00{:}55{:}03.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}04.570$ at a translational lung meeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00:55:04.570 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.307$ Katie and Sarah have been organizing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00:55:07.310 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.630$ This is how we're going to make progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00:55:08.630 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.190$ We have to meet on a regular basis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

00:55:10.190 --> 00:55:11.318 go over our science,

 $00:55:11.318 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.350$ do as many Iits as we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

00:55:13.350 --> 00:55:15.190 This trial actually was a trial of ipilumab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

00:55:15.190 --> 00:55:16.840 nivolumab that we went to meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00:55:16.840 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.240$ with Bristol-Myers at the Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00:55:18.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.470$ Club about six years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

00:55:19.470 --> 00:55:20.253 Several of us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

00:55:20.253 --> 00:55:22.509 and we're not running it under our own ID,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00:55:22.510 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.950$ but we're getting the samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00{:}55{:}23.950 \longrightarrow 00{:}55{:}25.070$ We just got to get the samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00:55:25.070 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.228$ here and get the science here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00:55:26.230 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.910$ So we can make the next step.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00:55:27.910 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.482$ So with that I'll just end

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00:55:29.482 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.880$ by saying save the date.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00:55:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.609$ Katie and I and and the whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

00:55:32.609 --> 00:55:34.263 committee are are gonna hold the

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

00:55:34.263 --> 00:55:35.683 interest for meeting here next

 $00:55:35.683 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.576$ June and we're gonna be celebrating

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

00:55:37.576 --> 00:55:39.156 20 years of EGFR mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00:55:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.011$ The guest list is everyone's

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

00:55:41.011 --> 00:55:42.277 saying yes and we're gonna we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00:55:42.277 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.239$ the hotels will be full.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00.55.43.240 \longrightarrow 00.55.43.980$ So with that off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34805804

 $00:55:43.980 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.720$ thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45548692

 $00:55:51.820 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.115$ It's it's the hour. But I'm happy

NOTE Confidence: 0.45548692

00:55:53.115 --> 00:55:54.353 to take one or two questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.45548692

 $00{:}55{:}54.353 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}55.619$ I I'm supposed to look online

NOTE Confidence: 0.45548692

 $00:55:59.900 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.660$ a lot of people online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45548692

 $00:56:00.660 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.460$ Oh, here's a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00{:}56{:}09.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}10.964$ Can you comment on giving the

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:56:10.964 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.242$ therapy of the new, Yeah, so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:56:12.242 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.628$ so new immunotherapy and the accurate

 $00:56:13.628 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.138$ setting does seem to have some benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:56:15.140 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.660$ The, the results are a bit mixed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:56:17.660 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.624$ the tezalizumab in a trial known as

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:56:19.624 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.642$ Keno 10 in the accurate setting in

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

00:56:21.642 --> 00:56:23.738 patients that were PDL one more than 1%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:56:23.740 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.980$ the hazard ratio is about point 6.7.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:56:25.980 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.586$ So there is, there is a benefit but when

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:56:28.586 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.050$ if you look at those patients who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00{:}56{:}30.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}31.700$ PDL one negative in their initial sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:56:31.700 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.440$ there was no benefit at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00{:}56{:}33.440 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}56{:}35.280$ Hembalizumab interestingly in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

00:56:35.280 --> 00:56:37.580 very similar trial didn't didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:56:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.220$ see any biomarker prevalence but

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:56:39.220 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.320$ they did see a benefit as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:56:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.252$ My sense is that neoadjuvant is

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00{:}56{:}43.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}45.027$ probably better because when you and

 $00:56:45.027 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.896$ and it comes from Melanoma I see

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00{:}56{:}46.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}48.690$ Marcus shaking his head yes in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:56:48.690 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.397$ Melanoma and in lung cancer you you

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

00:56:50.397 --> 00:56:52.197 have a tumor and you have the lymph

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:56:52.200 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.838$ nodes that are involved as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:56:53.840 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.471$ So if you use your your immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

00:56:55.471 --> 00:56:56.789 in the Neoactivate setting you've got

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

00:56:56.789 --> 00:56:58.445 the tumor in situ in in its micro

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00{:}56{:}58.445 \to 00{:}56{:}59.795$ environment with its lymph nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00{:}56{:}59.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}01.920$ So you really get the entire T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.800$ micro environment I think you know affected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:03.800 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.752$ So it's it's better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:04.752 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.180$ The problem is we're only going

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:06.233 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.809$ to be able to do neo activate on

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:07.809 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.079$ a selected group of patients.

 $00:57:09.080 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.272$ What we're seeing now is I'm sorry I

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:11.272 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.560$ didn't have time to show those data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00{:}57{:}12.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}14.248$ but you know it works but you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

00:57:14.248 --> 00:57:15.671 to pick carefully because you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

00:57:15.671 --> 00:57:17.567 not going to really take someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

00:57:17.567 --> 00:57:19.422 who's not a candidate for surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:19.422 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.902$ and bring them towards surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:20.910 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.464$ So we have to be somewhat selective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.270$ But at tumor board we'll look at a case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:24.270 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.160$ we'll say this patient looks like

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:26.160 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.420$ they're surgically resectable they

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:27.472 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.440$ they can take the three months to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.054$ the neoadjuvant therapy and and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:31.054 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.668$ treating those patients in that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.562$ Some of them might not be in that situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:35.562 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.234$ And and chemo radiation David you know is,

 $00:57:38.234 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.574$ is very is with immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:39.574 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.030$ is also very beneficial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:41.030 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.310$ So we have multiple options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:43.310 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.906$ I'll leave you with this word.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:44.910 \dashrightarrow 00:57:46.046$ Everyone should get immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:46.046 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.750$ in some way if they can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:47.750 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.060$ They,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:48.060 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.920$ the patients that don't get immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.187$ would be ones who have autoimmunity or

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:52.187 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.787$ some reason that they're intolerant

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:53.787 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.713$ or certainly those with molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:55.713 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.540$ drivers because in those cases we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:57.540 \dashrightarrow 00:57:59.059$ immunotherapy doesn't seem to work as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:57:59.060 \longrightarrow 00:58:00.620$ But I'd say if there's any

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:58:00.620 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.660$ hint of metastatic disease,

 $00:58:01.660 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.716$ I try to find ways that I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00{:}58{:}03.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}04.980$ give immunotherapy to a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557 00:58:04.980 --> 00:58:05.180 Yes, NOTE Confidence: 0.26020557

 $00:58:05.180 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.380$ because

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683 00:58:07.860 --> 00:58:07.940 you NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

 $00:58:10.220 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.597$ give her her expression so much more comment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

 $00:58:12.597 \longrightarrow 00:58:16.770$ Any thoughts on have we target that or why

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

00:58:16.770 --> 00:58:18.914 doesn't EGFR inhibition work for those?

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

 $00:58:18.914 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.766$ Yeah, we we've tried that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

 $00{:}58{:}19.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}21.330$ In fact that was the mark as we worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

 $00:58:21.330 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.749$ at an MD Anderson and actually we worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

 $00:58:22.749 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.285$ with Jose Bazaga on that many years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

 $00{:}58{:}24.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}26.174$ We thought it would be EGFR

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

 $00:58:26.174 \longrightarrow 00:58:27.610$ expression and that is helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

00:58:27.610 --> 00:58:28.786 You know, if you're using an

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

00:58:28.786 --> 00:58:30.106 antibody that could be, you know,

00:58:30.106 --> 00:58:31.446 if you're using satuximab in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

 $00.58:31.450 \longrightarrow 00.58:32.245$ in certain tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

00:58:32.245 --> 00:58:34.311 But but it really isn't, it's it's not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

 $00:58:34.311 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.546$ it's not the absolute level

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

00:58:35.546 --> 00:58:37.128 of EGFR but it's the quality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

00:58:37.130 --> 00:58:38.918 it's it's whether it's being driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

 $00:58:38.918 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.812$ by those mutations and you know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

 $00{:}58{:}40.812 \to 00{:}58{:}42.934$ TKI is you know where the you know if

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

 $00:58:42.934 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.906$ you've got that addicted tumor that then

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

 $00:58:44.906 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.210$ the TKI is will have that amazing effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

 $00:58:47.210 \longrightarrow 00:58:48.624$ But you know the expression can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

00:58:48.624 --> 00:58:49.889 helpful maybe for AD CS right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

 $00{:}58{:}49.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}51.500$ now now there's a whole new now

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

 $00:58:51.500 \longrightarrow 00:58:53.623$ that now that we're sort of at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

 $00:58:53.623 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.003$ standstill with a new immunotherapy

00:58:55.056 --> 00:58:56.691 resistant drugs and with new

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

 $00:58:56.691 \longrightarrow 00:58:58.326$ targeted drugs for EGFR resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

00:58:58.330 --> 00:59:00.164 We're using the address now with with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

00:59:00.170 --> 00:59:03.086 with with with with with payloads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28358683

 $00:59:03.090 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.650$ So that might be an an area done since early

NOTE Confidence: 0.340776916666667

00:59:07.810 --> 00:59:10.082 detection, this subject key

NOTE Confidence: 0.340776916666667

 $00:59:10.082 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.300$ piece puzzle, what are your

NOTE Confidence: 0.340776916666667

00:59:12.300 --> 00:59:13.700 thoughts on possible innovation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $00{:}59{:}15.780 \longrightarrow 00{:}59{:}18.223$ Well certainly you know there's the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

00:59:18.223 --> 00:59:20.733 easy one which is screening which now

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $00:59:20.733 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.597$ with helical CTS and low dose CTS it

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $00:59:22.597 \longrightarrow 00:59:24.468$ does pick lung cancers up earlier and

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $00:59:24.468 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.459$ it's been shown to improve survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

00:59:26.460 --> 00:59:29.140 You know in the US only about 7% of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $00:59:29.140 \longrightarrow 00:59:31.340$ eligible for screening get screened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $00:59:31.340 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.992$ You know the criteria were a bit

 $00{:}59{:}32.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}34.257$ strict they've they've been reused a

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $00:59:34.257 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.997$ bit but you know it has to have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

00:59:35.997 --> 00:59:37.473 someone who's had a smoking history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $00.59:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.356$ So of course it it it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

00:59:38.360 --> 00:59:40.103 it doesn't take into account any of

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $00:59:40.103 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.868$ these patients who are the never smokers

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

00:59:41.868 --> 00:59:44.120 or the light smokers which are the ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $00:59:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.720$ that have these different mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $00{:}59{:}45.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}48.060$ Certainly you know looking in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $00:59{:}48.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}50.696$ the DNA and and and CTDNAI think

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $00:59:50.696 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.360$ that's going to be the way to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $00:59:52.360 \longrightarrow 00:59:55.000$ We're already using that for minimal

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $00{:}59{:}55.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}57.080$ residual disease both to determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $00:59:57.080 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.250$ whether or not patients need more therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $00:59:59.250 \longrightarrow 01:00:01.033$ and and now of course we can look at

01:00:01.033 --> 01:00:02.475 the quality of of what we're finding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $01{:}00{:}02.480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}04.237$ you know what are the new mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $01:00:04.240 \longrightarrow 01:00:05.364$ those techniques are getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $01:00:05.364 \longrightarrow 01:00:06.488$ more and more sensitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $01:00:06.490 \longrightarrow 01:00:08.205$ I'll tell you in the enduro trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

01:00:08.210 --> 01:00:10.800 we only picked up you know post

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $01{:}00{:}10.800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}13.090$ resection a sample 1020% of the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

01:00:13.090 --> 01:00:15.526 So. So even though many of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $01:00:15.530 \longrightarrow 01:00:16.660$ many more of them probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

01:00:16.660 --> 01:00:17.564 did have residual disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $01:00:17.570 \longrightarrow 01:00:19.285$ but it's getting more and more sensitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $01:00:19.290 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.448$ Now you asked about you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

01:00:20.450 --> 01:00:22.250 screening someone with a history

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $01:00:22.250 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.426$ or family history or will we

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

01:00:24.426 --> 01:00:26.286 start looking for for tumor DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $01:00:26.290 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.930$ think we need a lot more work to do that.

 $01{:}00{:}27.930 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}29.154$ But you know the techniques are

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $01{:}00{:}29.154 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}30.410$ getting so much more sensitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

01:00:30.410 --> 01:00:31.454 Certainly if you know that someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

01:00:31.454 --> 01:00:32.968 has a tumor or if they have Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $01:00:32.970 \dashrightarrow 01:00:34.050$ you know what the antigens are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $01:00:34.050 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.106$ So you know what what panel to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $01:00:36.106 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.140$ for and lung cancer which has so

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $01:00:38.140 \dashrightarrow 01:00:40.068$ many different you know types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

01:00:40.068 --> 01:00:41.126 mutations there is what Charlie

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $01:00:41.126 \dashrightarrow 01:00:42.598$ Swanton is doing now and I would I

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $01{:}00{:}42.598 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}43.844$ would put my money on his approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $01{:}00{:}43.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}45.586$ You know there would be spoke models

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $01{:}00{:}45.586 \to 01{:}00{:}47.260$ where you actually can sequence a tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

 $01:00:47.260 \longrightarrow 01:00:48.908$ get a panel of mutations and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.34262696

01:00:48.908 --> 01:00:50.570 makes your your sensitivity much more,

 $01:00:50.570 \longrightarrow 01:00:51.130$ much better

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

01:00:54.490 --> 01:00:56.074 absolutely. And you know that's something

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

 $01:00:56.074 \longrightarrow 01:00:57.726$ we've talked about and maybe I know

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

 $01:00:57.726 \longrightarrow 01:00:59.271$ David and I have talked about it in

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

 $01:00:59.271 \longrightarrow 01:01:00.887$ both David's you know we don't we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

 $01{:}01{:}00.887 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}02.490$ really have a liquid approach here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

 $01:01:02.490 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.370$ So we need to think about how we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

01:01:04.422 --> 01:01:06.310 maybe that's the way to jump jump forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

01:01:06.310 --> 01:01:08.050 You need AI, you need pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

01:01:08.050 --> 01:01:08.610 competitive collaboration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

01:01:08.610 --> 01:01:10.970 you need big data sets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

 $01:01:10.970 \longrightarrow 01:01:12.783$ There's a meeting in two weeks at

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

 $01:01:12.783 \longrightarrow 01:01:14.190$ the National Academy of Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

 $01{:}01{:}14.190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}15.342$ on public private partnerships

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

01:01:15.342 --> 01:01:16.930 and you know data sharing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

 $01:01:16.930 \longrightarrow 01:01:17.861$ We're going to have the editors of

 $01:01:17.861 \longrightarrow 01:01:18.849$ some of the big journals there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

 $01{:}01{:}18.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}20.560$ We're going to have people from

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

01:01:20.560 --> 01:01:22.530 UK Welcome Trust from the NCI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

 $01:01:22.530 \longrightarrow 01:01:23.950$ That's, that's what we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

 $01:01:23.950 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.370$ to take these big approaches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

 $01:01:25.370 \longrightarrow 01:01:27.540$ large sample sets that's love to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

 $01:01:27.540 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.179$ to you more about that get your ideas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

 $01:01:30.180 \longrightarrow 01:01:31.740$ I think we better stop because it's late.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

 $01:01:31.740 \longrightarrow 01:01:32.734$ I'm starting to go a little over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

 $01:01:32.740 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.496$ But thank you all for coming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

 $01:01:33.500 \longrightarrow 01:01:35.030$ It's it's exhibitanting to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

 $01:01:35.030 \longrightarrow 01:01:37.020$ people in the talk to a live audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5640116

01:01:37.020 --> 01:01:37.980 So. So thank you.